

Texas Water Conditions Report

August 2026



The Meadows Center; San Marcos, Texas

RAINFALL

In August, little rainfall [yellow and orange shading, Figure 1(a)] to no rain [red shading, Figure 1(a)] fell over much of the High Plains, Low Rolling Plains, the Trans Pecos, North Central, Edwards Plateau, Southern, South Central, Lower Valley, and East Texas climate divisions. The northern High Plains, central and northwestern Trans Pecos, southern and northeastern Edwards Plateau, northern and southern Southern, northern and southern South Central, the Upper Coast, and areas of East Texas climate divisions received up to 6.11 inches of rain [light and dark blue shading, Figure 1(a)].

Compared to historical data from 1991–2020, 0–75 percent of normal rainfall [yellow and orange shading, Figure 1(b)] was received across all climate divisions in Texas. Normal rainfall, 125–200 percent [green shading, Figure 1(b)] was received in small areas of the northwestern and central Trans Pecos, northern and southern High Plains, southern and northeastern Edwards plateau, northeastern and southern East Texas, northern and southern Southern climate divisions. 200–300 percent of normal rainfall [light blue shading, Figure 1(b)] occurred in central and northwestern Trans Pecos and southern Southern climate divisions.




Figure 1: (a) Monthly accumulated rainfall, and (b) Percent of normal rainfall

DROUGHT

At the end of August 90.06% of the state was in the D0 (abnormally dry) through D4 (exceptional drought) categories (**Figure 2**). This is approximately 52.37% higher than the end of July.




Figure 2. The percentage of land area in Texas experiencing abnormally dry conditions, and in drought, according to the U.S. Drought Monitor map as of August 25, 2026.

RESERVOIR STORAGE




Figure 3. Reservoir conservation storage at the end-August expressed as percent full (%)

Out of 120 monitored reservoirs in the state, 6 reservoirs held 100 percent conservation storage capacity, and 35 reservoirs were at or above 90 percent full this month. Fifteen reservoirs remained at or below 30 percent full: Abilene (9.9 percent full), Choke Canyon (23.4 percent full), E.V. Spence (9.9 percent full), Falcon (22.8 percent full), Greenbelt (5.0 percent full), J.B. Thomas (21.6 percent full), Mackenzie (11.0 percent full), Medina Lake (29.58percent full), New Terrell City (26.1 percent full), O.C. Fisher (11.6 percent full), Oak Creek (14.7 percent full), Palo Duro Reservoir (0.4 percent full), Sweetwater (17.7 percent full), Twin Buttes (6.2 percent full), and White River (22.3 percent full). Elephant Butte Reservoir (New Mexico) was 1.4 percent full (Figure 3).

Reservoir conservation storage was at or above normal [Figure 4(a), blue shading] for East Texas (84.1 percent full), North Central (88.6 percent full), South Central (87.6 percent full), and the Upper Coast (93.9 percent full) climate divisions. The Low Rolling Plains (52.9 percent full) and Edwards Plateau (47.5) had moderately low conservation storage [Figure 4(a), orange shading]. The High Plains (34.3 percent full) and Southern (29.8 percent full) climate divisions had severely low conservation storage [Figure 4(a), brown shading]. The Trans Pecos (7.9 percent full) and Southern (29.8 percent full) climate divisions had severely low conservation storage [Figure 4(a), brown shading]. The Trans Pecos (7.9 percent full) climate division had exceptionally low conservation storage [Figure 4(a), red shading].

Combined conservation storage by river basin for the Upper/Mid Rio Grande river basin had exceptionally low conservation storage [<10 percent full, red shading, Figure 4 (b)]. Severely low conservation storage [20–40 percent full, brown shading, Figure 4(b)] was seen in the Canadian, Upper Colorado, San Antonio and Lower Rio Grande river basins. Moderately low conservation storage [40-60 percent full, orange shading, Figure 4(b)] was seen in the Nueces river basin. Normal to high conservation storage [>70 percent full, blue shading, Figure 4(b)] was seen in the Upper and Lower Red, Sulphur, Cypress, Upper and Lower Sabine, Upper and Lower Trinity, Upper and Lower Brazos, Neches, Lower Colorado, Lavaca, Guadalupe, and San Jacinto river basins.

a) Regional Reservoir Storage Index*

b) Reservoir Storage Index* (by Basin/Subbasin)




Figure 4: Reservoir Storage Index by a) climate division, and b) basin/sub-basin.

*Reservoir Storage Index is defined as the percent full of conservation storage capacity.

Percent full is calculated as the combined conservation storage of all reservoirs in a climate region or a basin/subbasin, excluding dead pool storage.

CONSERVATION STORAGE DATA FOR SELECTED MAJOR TEXAS RESERVOIRS							
Name of lake or reservoir	Storage capacity	Storage at end-August 2026		Storage change from end-Jul 2026		Storage change from end-Aug 2025	
	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	(%)	(acre-feet)	(%)	(acre-feet)**	(%)
Abilene, Lake	7,900	779	9.9	-231	-2.9	484	6.1
Alan Henry Reservoir	96,207	70,115	72.9	-3,089	-3.2	-20,712	-21.5
*Amistad Reservoir (Texas & Mexico)	3,275,532	777,996	23.8	-3,851	0.0	10,858	0.3
*Amistad Reservoir (Texas)	1,813,408	711,721	39.2	12,164	0.7	80,617	4.4
Amon G Carter, Lake	19,266	15,016	77.9	-1,159	-6.0	-3,859	-20.0
Aquilla Lake	43,243	38,722	89.5	-4,121	-9.5	-3,205	-7.4
Arlington, Lake	40,157	30,489	75.9	-3,005	-7.5	-5,534	-13.8
Arrowhead, Lake	230,359	168,605	73.2	-11,992	-5.2	-44,303	-19.2
Athens, Lake	29,503	27,706	93.9	-1,210	-4.1	-1,797	-6.1
*Austin, Lake	23,972	23,344	97.4	464	1.9	-47	0.0
B A Steinhagen Lake	69,186	67,562	97.7	804	1.2	-1,624	-2.3
Bardwell Lake	43,856	39,873	90.9	-3,001	-6.8	-3,983	-9.1
Belton Lake	432,631	424,475	98.1	-8,156	-1.9	-8,156	-1.9
Benbrook Lake	85,648	68,236	79.7	-11,125	-13.0	-10,082	-11.8
Bob Sandlin, Lake	192,417	176,052	91.5	-6,272	-3.3	-12,473	-6.5
Bois d'Arc Lake	367,609	342,859	93.3	-18,321	-5.0	-7,071	-1.9
Bonham, Lake	11,027	9,246	83.8	-1,035	-9.4	-117	-1.1
Brady Creek Reservoir	28,808	25,193	87.5	-1,336	-4.6	-2,265	-7.9
Bridgeport, Lake	372,183	291,845	78.4	-19,908	-5.3	-54,930	-14.8
*Brownwood, Lake	130,868	112,945	86.3	-6,846	-5.2	-9,769	-7.5
Buchanan, Lake	866,694	845,465	97.6	-15,848	-1.8	-2,003	0.0
Caddo, Lake	29,898	22,925	76.7	-6,973	-23.3	-6,973	-23.3
Canyon Lake	378,781	378,781	100.0	0	0.0	122,782	32.4
Cedar Creek Reservoir in Trinity	644,686	490,133	76.0	-36,813	-5.7	-119,879	-18.6
Champion Creek Reservoir	41,580	17,925	43.1	-785	-1.9	-664	-1.6
Cherokee, Lake	40,094	34,942	87.2	-3,886	-9.7	-5,152	-12.8
Choke Canyon Reservoir	662,820	154,846	23.4	-10,457	-1.6	75,613	11.4
*Cisco, Lake	29,003	12,690	43.8	-412	-1.4	-2,624	-9.0
Coleman, Lake	38,075	30,695	80.6	-1,426	-3.7	-4,365	-11.5
Colorado City, Lake	31,040	23,416	75.4	-1,803	-5.8	-4,986	-16.1
*Coletto Creek Reservoir	30,758	16,784	54.6	-972	-3.2	-2,080	-6.8
Comanche Creek Reservoir	151,250	151,250	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Conroe, Lake	417,577	398,341	95.4	-14,478	-3.5	-17,250	-4.1
Corpus Christi, Lake	256,062	228,994	89.4	132,055	51.6	184,879	72.2
Crook, Lake	9,195	8,213	89.3	-628	-6.8	-451	-4.9
Cypress Springs, Lake	66,756	61,676	92.4	-2,454	-3.7	-4,209	-6.3
E. V. Spence Reservoir	517,272	51,067	9.9	-4,400	0.0	-22,515	-4.4
Eagle Mountain Lake	185,087	139,782	75.5	-16,760	-9.1	-30,588	-16.5
Elephant Butte Reservoir (Texas)	852,491	11,902	1.4	315	0.0	-20,453	-2.4
Elephant Butte Reservoir (Total Storage)	1,960,900	27,552	1.4	729	0.0	-47,345	-2.4
*Falcon Reservoir (Texas & Mexico)	2,646,817	495,372	18.7	-74,008	-2.8	208,358	7.9
*Falcon Reservoir (Texas)	1,562,367	355,588	22.8	-62,312	-4.0	114,083	7.3
Fork Reservoir, Lake	606,088	509,637	84.1	-23,021	-3.8	-77,064	-12.7
Fort Phantom Hill, Lake	70,030	48,761	69.6	-3,729	-5.3	-6,765	-9.7
Georgetown, Lake	38,005	32,898	86.6	-5,107	-13.4	-2,238	-5.9
Gibbons Creek Reservoir	25,721	23,279	90.5	-1,455	-5.7	-2,315	-9.0
Graham, Lake	45,288	30,844	68.1	-2,314	-5.1	-9,960	-22.0
Granbury, Lake	132,949	118,305	89.0	-5,255	-4.0	-12,048	-9.1

CONSERVATION STORAGE DATA FOR SELECTED MAJOR TEXAS RESERVOIRS

Name of lake or reservoir	Storage capacity	Storage at end-August 2026		Storage change from end-Jul 2026		Storage change from end-Aug 2025	
	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	(%)	(acre-feet)	(%)	(acre-feet)**	(%)
<i>Continued</i>							
Granger Lake	51,822	51,822	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grapevine Lake	163,064	153,747	94.3	-8,724	-5.4	-6,244	-3.8
Greenbelt Lake	59,968	2,991	5.0	-405	0.0	-2,497	-4.2
*Halbert, Lake	6,033	4,516	74.9	-397	-6.6	-731	-12.1
Hords Creek Lake	8,109	3,874	47.8	-247	-3.0	-1,346	-16.6
Houston County Lake	17,113	16,282	95.1	-612	-3.6	-831	-4.9
Houston, Lake	132,318	132,318	100.0	3,526	2.7	0	0.0
Hubbard Creek Reservoir	313,298	118,320	37.8	-8,831	-2.8	-37,900	-12.1
Hubert H Moss Lake	24,058	22,133	92.0	-984	-4.1	-741	-3.1
Inks, Lake	13,729	13,005	94.7	-16	0.0	-40	0.0
J. B. Thomas, Lake	199,931	43,174	21.6	-4,325	-2.2	-28,224	-14.1
Jacksonville, Lake	25,670	24,412	95.1	-980	-3.8	-1,258	-4.9
Jim Chapman Lake (Cooper)	258,723	195,751	75.7	-22,931	-8.9	-39,026	-15.1
Joe Pool Lake	149,629	139,215	93.0	-7,168	-4.8	-6,578	-4.4
Kemp, Lake	245,307	178,892	72.9	-24,833	-10.1	-66,415	-27.1
Kickapoo, Lake	86,345	70,088	81.2	-4,552	-5.3	-12,265	-14.2
Lavon Lake	409,757	352,012	85.9	-41,187	-10.1	-19,055	-4.7
Leon, Lake	27,762	21,912	78.9	-1,520	-5.5	-3,060	-11.0
Lewisville Lake	563,228	501,793	89.1	-46,153	-8.2	-23,497	-4.2
Limestone, Lake	203,780	181,878	89.3	-12,816	-6.3	-4,986	-2.4
*Livingston, Lake	1,603,504	1,497,744	93.4	-88,753	-5.5	-91,061	-5.7
*Lost Creek Reservoir	11,950	10,702	89.6	-355	-3.0	-1,143	-9.6
Lyndon B Johnson, Lake	112,778	111,045	98.5	1,280	1.1	385	0.3
Mackenzie Reservoir	46,450	5,131	11.0	-230	0.0	-1,104	-2.4
Marble Falls, Lake	7,597	7,335	96.6	186	2.4	66	0.9
Martin, Lake	75,726	63,954	84.5	-5,863	-7.7	-8,300	-11.0
Medina Lake	254,823	75,962	29.8	581	0.2	60,305	23.7
Meredith, Lake	500,000	206,664	41.3	-7,937	-1.6	-32,371	-6.5
Millers Creek Reservoir	26,768	16,202	60.5	-1,508	-5.6	-6,032	-22.5
*Mineral Wells, Lake	5,273	4,659	88.4	-415	-7.9	-230	-4.4
Monticello, Lake	34,740	26,496	76.3	-1,155	-3.3	-2,349	-6.8
Mountain Creek, Lake	22,850	22,850	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Murvail, Lake	38,285	34,515	90.2	-2,474	-6.5	-2,779	-7.3
Nacogdoches, Lake	39,522	34,778	88.0	-1,942	-4.9	-2,669	-6.8
Nasworthy	9,615	8,171	85.0	-74	0.0	12	0.1
Navarro Mills Lake	49,827	41,705	83.7	-4,421	-8.9	-7,418	-14.9
New Terrell City Lake	8,583	2,240	26.1	-298	-3.5	-129	-1.5
Nocona, Lake (Farmers Crk)	21,444	15,927	74.3	-1,145	-5.3	-4,562	-21.3
North Fork Buffalo Creek Reservoir	15,400	11,770	76.4	-1,341	-8.7	-2,545	-16.5
O' the Pines, Lake	268,566	235,581	87.7	-14,144	-5.3	-32,241	-12.0
O. C. Fisher Lake	115,742	13,472	11.6	-1,048	0.0	-6,260	-5.4
*O. H. Ivie Reservoir	554,340	242,077	43.7	-13,692	-2.5	-43,479	-7.8
Oak Creek Reservoir	39,210	5,778	14.7	-597	-1.5	-3,250	-8.3

CONSERVATION STORAGE DATA FOR SELECTED MAJOR TEXAS RESERVOIRS							
Name of lake or reservoir	Storage capacity	Storage at end-August 2026		Storage change from end-Jul 2026		Storage change from end-Aug 2025	
	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	(%)	(acre-feet)	(%)	(acre-feet)**	(%)
<i>Continued</i>							
Palestine, Lake	367,303	331,686	90.3	-21,235	-5.8	-30,555	-8.3
Palo Duro Reservoir	61,066	230	0.4	6	0.0	-248	0.0
Palo Pinto, Lake	26,766	21,891	81.8	-2,252	-8.4	-2,082	-7.8
Pat Cleburne, Lake	26,008	22,938	88.2	-1,751	-6.7	-2,883	-11.1
*Pat Mayse Lake	113,683	108,321	95.3	-5,362	-4.7	-3,284	-2.9
Possum Kingdom Lake	538,139	475,696	88.4	-27,531	-5.1	-51,603	-9.6
Proctor Lake	54,762	36,999	67.6	-6,195	-11.3	-13,904	-25.4
Ray Hubbard, Lake	439,559	390,949	88.9	-30,754	-7.0	-27,722	-6.3
Ray Roberts, Lake	788,167	757,359	96.1	-24,865	-3.2	-6,935	0.0
Red Bluff Reservoir	145,165	66,786	46.0	-3,221	-2.2	-6,167	-4.2
Richland-Chambers Reservoir	1,099,417	1,010,732	91.9	-41,336	-3.8	-75,995	-6.9
Sam Rayburn Reservoir	2,857,077	1,934,177	67.7	-126,799	-4.4	-188,414	-6.6
Somerville Lake	150,293	143,954	95.8	-6,339	-4.2	-2,427	-1.6
Stamford, Lake	51,570	34,603	67.1	-3,696	-7.2	-15,556	-30.2
Stillhouse Hollow Lake	229,796	226,662	98.6	-3,134	-1.4	-3,134	-1.4
Striker, Lake	16,878	13,874	82.2	-1,577	-9.3	-3,004	-17.8
Sweetwater, Lake	12,267	2,173	17.7	-214	-1.7	-1,363	-11.1
*Sulphur Springs, Lake	17,747	16,143	91.0	-1,422	-8.0	934	5.3
Tawakoni, Lake	871,685	818,797	93.9	-38,546	-4.4	-34,897	-4.0
Texana, Lake	158,975	141,138	88.8	-14,066	-8.8	857	0.5
Texoma, Lake (Texas & Oklahoma)	2,487,601	2,425,789	97.5	-149,839	-6.0	-81,032	-3.3
Texoma, Lake (Texas)	1,243,801	1,212,894	97.5	-30,907	-2.5	-30,907	-2.5
Toledo Bend Reservoir (Texas & Louisiana)	4,472,900	3,961,497	88.6	-159,949	-3.6	-61,351	-1.4
Toledo Bend Reservoir (Texas)	2,236,450	1,978,698	88.5	-79,975	-3.6	-30,676	-1.4
Travis, Lake	1,098,044	1,051,351	95.7	-46,693	-4.3	87,207	7.9
Twin Buttes Reservoir	182,454	11,291	6.2	-2,673	-1.5	-15,566	-8.5
Tyler, Lake	72,073	65,112	90.3	-4,448	-6.2	-4,909	-6.8
Waco, Lake	188,891	171,548	90.8	-12,228	-6.5	-15,995	-8.5
Waxahachie, Lake	11,060	9,165	82.9	-1,177	-10.6	-1,196	-10.8
Weatherford, Lake	17,812	10,165	57.1	-959	-5.4	-3,272	-18.4
White River Lake	31,846	7,116	22.3	-727	-2.3	-4,269	-13.4
Whitney, Lake	564,808	537,386	95.1	-23,489	-4.2	-23,950	-4.2
Worth, Lake	24,419	16,477	67.5	-1,357	-5.6	-5,238	-21.5
Wright Patman Lake	122,593	122,593	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL							
STATEWIDE TOTAL	32,411,026	23,515,719	72.6	-1,069,715	-3.3	-925,052	-2.9

*Total volume below elevation of conservation pool top is used as the conservation storage capacity, because the dead pool storage is unknown.


**Monthly and yearly changes do not include reservoirs that did not have data in the last month or last year, respectively.

SOIL MOISTURE

At the end of August 2026, root zone soil moisture was low [yellow, orange shading, [Figure 5(a)] in the northeastern and southern High Plains, scattered areas of the Low Rolling Plains, portions of the Trans Pecos, northern and southern Southern, northern and the southern border of the South Central, and areas of East Texas climate divisions. Average soil moisture [green shading, Figure 5(a)] was seen in portions of all climate divisions. High soil moisture [blue shading, Figure 5(a)] was seen in the central High Plains, Edwards Plateau, eastern North Central, portions of northern Southern, northern and southern South Central, and the Upper Coast climate divisions.

Compared to conditions at the end of July soil moisture increased [blue shading in Figure 5(b)] in the High Plains, Low Rolling Plains, Trans Pecos, northern Edwards Plateau, western North Central, northern East Texas, southern Southern, and Lower Valley climate divisions. Soil moisture decreased [red shading in Figure 5(b)] in the southern Trans Pecos, Edwards Plateau, northern Southern, South Central, central and eastern North Central, much of East Texas, and the Upper Coast climate divisions.

a)



Data from NASA Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) Level 4 - Model - Value Added Version 8.
Soil moisture content is shown as volume of water per unit volume of bulk soil. Root zone: 0 to 1 meter depth.

b)




Figure 5: (a) Root zone soil moisture conditions in August 2026 and (b) the difference in root zone soil moisture between end-July 2026 and end-August 2026.

STREAMFLOW CONDITIONS

Normal streamflow (25–75th percentile, green shading, Figure 6) was recorded across most subwatersheds in all river basins.

Above normal streamflow (76–90th percentile, light blue shading, Figure 6) was found in the Upper Red, Upper Sabine, Cypress, San Antonio, and Nueces river basins.

Below normal streamflow (10–24th percentile, orange shading, Figure 6) was seen in the Canadian, Upper and Lower Red, Upper and Lower Brazos, Lower Sabine, Lower Trinity, Upper and Lower Colorado, Guadalupe, Lavaca, Nueces, Upper/Mid Rio Grande, and Pecos river basins.

Much below normal streamflow (<10th percentile, maroon shading, Figure 6) was seen in portions of the Canadian, Upper Red, Upper Colorado river basins.





Figure 6: Runoff percentiles by Hydrologic Unit Code based on active streamflow gauges operated by the U.S. Geological Survey and the International Boundary and Water Commission.

RECORDER WELL NETWORK AND WATER DATA FOR TEXAS

The TWDB, in partnership with its cooperators, continues to install and monitor automatic water level recorders in monitoring wells throughout the state. An automatic groundwater level recorder well, or recorder well, refers to a water well installed with water level recording equipment, a datalogger, and satellite or cellular transmitter. The selection and distribution of the 18 wells shown in this report are based on several considerations: key areas of drawdown and recovery, areas where local conditions are affected by recurring pumping cycles or seasonal activities, wells with a means of triggering drought conditions, and site availability. The spatial distribution of recorder wells attempts to capture broader conditions and trends representative of each aquifer while also highlighting areas of particular interest. The hydrographs provided in this report show a five-year history. For more information and to view full periods of record for available hydrographs, please visit [Water Data for Texas](http://www.twdb.texas.gov/WaterDataforTexas).



* Well numbers used in this publication on the aquifer map to indicate the monitoring well locations (numbers 1 to 18) are different than the TWDB's seven-digit state well number.

AUGUST 2026 GROUNDWATER LEVELS IN MONITORING WELLS

Water level measurements were available for 18 key monitoring wells in the state. Water levels rose in two monitoring wells since the beginning of August, with an increase of 0.01 feet in the Haskell County Seymour Aquifer well (#17 on map) to 2.16 feet in the Reeves County Pecos Valley Aquifer well (#14 on map). Water levels declined in 16 monitoring wells, ranging from a decline of -0.02 feet in the Hansford County Ogallala Aquifer well (#1 on map) to -8.68 feet in the Dallas County Trinity Aquifer well (#4 on map). The J-17 well (#8 on map) in San Antonio recorded a water level of 86.62 feet below land surface or 644.38 feet above mean sea level. The Edwards Aquifer Authority declared an increase to Stage 2 permit reductions for the San Antonio Pool, effective August 6, 2026, as a result of well J-17 water levels.

Monitoring Well	August (depth to water, feet)	July (depth to water, feet)	Month Change	Year Change	Historical Change*	First Measured (year)
(1) Hansford 0354301	167.81	167.79	-0.02	-1.09	-97.69	1951
(2) Lamb 1053602	156.54	156.49	-0.05	-0.65	-128.37	1951
(3) Martin 2739903	143.98	143.88	-0.10	0.70	-39.09	1964
(4) Dallas 3325202**	947.12	938.44	-8.68	10.44	155.55	2000
(5) Coryell 4035404	554.13	552.08	-2.05	-3.19	-262.13	1955
(6) Kendall 6802609	151.78	148.33	-3.45	5.63	-91.78	1975
(7) Bell 5804816***	122.11	121.29	-0.82	NA	1.40	2008
(8) Bexar 6837203	86.62	80.91	-5.71	15.63	-39.98	1932
(9) Anderson 3813106	244.44	243.23	-1.21	-3.98	-99.44	1965
(10) La Salle 7738103	546.44	538.26	-8.18	-8.16	-293.37	2003
(11) Harris 6514409	195.19	194.28	-0.91	1.79	-59.69	1947
(12) Victoria 8017502	32.19	31.80	-0.39	0.46	1.81	1958
(13) El Paso 4913301	301.54	300.27	-1.27	-2.55	-69.64	1964
(14) Reeves 4644501	152.30	154.46	2.16	1.29	-60.21	1952
(15) Pecos 5216802	224.46	222.24	-2.22	-8.31	22.42	1976
(16) Crockett 5423106**	314.22	313.46	-0.76	4.34	7.08	1963
(17) Haskell 2135748	46.89	46.90	0.01	-0.82	-3.89	2002
(18) Hudspeth 4807516	162.74	159.55	-3.19	-9.28	-58.82	1966

* Change since the original measurement taken on the date indicated in the last column.


** Recorder well #4 (State Well #33-19-101) was temporarily replaced for the purposes of this report with another recorder well in Dallas County (State Well #33-25-202) due to ongoing construction in the area. Recorder well #16 (State Well #55-12-134) in Schleicher County was temporarily replaced for the purposes of this report with another recorder well in Crockett County (State Well #54-23-106) due to ongoing data collection instrumentation issues.

*** August 2025 data are not available for Recorder well #7 (State Well #58-04-816) due to data availability or collection issues. No Year Change shown.


NA (not available). All data are provisional and subject to revision.

AUGUST 2026 MONITORING WELL HYDROGRAPHS


(1) State Well [#03-54-301](#)
Near Spearman, Hansford County
Ogallala Aquifer




(2) State Well [#10-53-602](#)
Near Earth, Lamb County
Ogallala Aquifer



(3) State Well [#27-39-903](#)
Northwest Martin County
Ogallala Aquifer



***(4) State Well [#33-25-202](#)**
Southeast Dallas, Dallas County
Twin Mountains Formation-Trinity Aquifer




* Recorder well #4 (State Well #33-19-101) was temporarily replaced with another recorder well in Dallas County (State Well #33-25-202) due to ongoing construction in the area. The data shown in the period displayed in this hydrograph are under review related to recent data collection issues and trends.


(5) State Well [#40-35-404](#)
Gatesville, Coryell County
Hosston Formation-Trinity Aquifer




(6) State Well [#68-02-609](#)
Waring, Kendall County
Travis Peak Formation-Trinity Aquifer




(7) State Well [#58-04-816](#)
Near Salado, Bell County
Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer




(9) State Well [#38-13-106](#)
Neches, Anderson County
Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer




(10) State Well [#77-38-103](#)
Near Cotulla, La Salle County
Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer




(11) State Well [#65-14-409](#)
North Houston, Harris County
Evangeline Formation-Gulf Coast Aquifer




(12) State Well [#80-17-502](#)
Near Bloomington, Victoria County
Lissie Formation-Gulf Coast Aquifer




(13) State Well [#49-13-301](#)
El Paso, El Paso County
Hueco-Mesilla Bolsons Aquifer



(14) State Well [#46-44-501](#)
Near Pecos, Reeves County
Pecos Valley Aquifer




(15) State Well [#52-16-802](#)
Fort Stockton, Pecos County
Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer



***(16) State Well [#54-23-106](#)**
Ozona, Crockett County
Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer




(17) State Well [#21-35-748](#)
Near O'Brien, Haskell County
Seymour Aquifer




* Recorder well #16 (State Well #55-12-134) in Schleicher County was temporarily replaced with another recorder well in Crockett County (State Well #54-23-106) due to ongoing data collection instrumentation issues.


**(18) State Well [#48-07-516](#)
Dell City, Hudspeth County
Bone Spring-Victorio Peak Aquifer**



**(8) State Well [#68-37-203 \(J-17\)](#)
San Antonio, Bexar County
Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer**



The late August water level measurement in this Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer well, located at an elevation of 731 feet above mean sea level, was 86.62 feet below land surface, or 644.38 feet above mean sea level. This was 5.71 feet below last month's measurement, 15.63 feet above last year's measurement, and 39.98 feet below the initial measurement recorded in 1932.



Water levels below the red line indicate periods in which Edwards Aquifer Authority Stage 2 drought restrictions are in effect. Effective August 6, 2026, the Edwards Aquifer Authority declared an increase to Stage 2 permit restrictions for the San Antonio Pool as a result of the 10-day water level average at the J-17 index well.