MOV 2 0 1987.

WATER AND WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

EL PASO COUNTY

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 1

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Prepared for

El Paso County City-County Health District

Parkhill, Smith and Cooper, Inc.

CH2M Hill

Prepared by: Daniel B. Knorr/Parkhill, Smith and Cooper, Inc.
Sterling Freeman/Parkhill, Smith and Cooper, Inc.
David Wilson/CH2M Hill

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This technical memo presents the data developed in the first task of the El Paso County Water and Sewer Plan. Efforts in this task were directed toward assessing the existing situation in the study area. The four areas studied included (1) an inventory of surface and groundwater resources; (2) an inventory of existing water and wastewater facilities; (3) identifying the existing water and wastewater wastewater management agencies; and (4) analyzing future water and wastewater demands.

Water Resources

Groundwater from aquifers in and around El Paso County and surface water from the Rio Grande River are the likely sources of supply available to the study area.

The sources of water that are identified are as follows:

Source	Quality	Potential Water Production Rate (gpd)
WITHIN TEXAS		(gpu)
Hueco Bolson (El Paso Co.)	Slightly Saline	47,000,000
Rio Grande Alluvium (El Paso Co.)	Slightly Saline	25,000,000
Jeff Davis & Presidio Counties	Good	77,000,000
WITHIN NEW MEXICO		
Mesilla & Hueco Bolsons Mesilla Bolson	Good Saline	over 100,000,000 4,000,000

New Mexico sources are not currently available to the area but may be during the next twenty years.

A total of about 13,000 acre feet of surface water per year are potentially available from the Rio Grande during years of normal flow. Only about 1,400 acre feet would be available in years of minimum recorded flow. These quantities represent supplies of about 18,100,000 gallons per day and 2,000,000 gallons per day, respectively, during the 230 days per year where river water is normally available.

Existing Facilities

Water and/or wastewater facilities have been installed by 55 public and private entities in the study area. All of these entities use groundwater as their source of supply, and ten of them have wastewater treatment facilities.

Facilities of El Paso Water Utilities, including wells, treatment plants, reservoirs, booster stations, and mains adjoin much of the study area. Currently, about 40,000 persons receive water service in the planning area. Approximately 24,200 of these are served by the El Paso Public Service Board. Sewer service is provided to about 15,000 persons in the study area by several agencies. Most of the remaining population is located on tracts of land unsuitable for on-site systems.

Management Agencies

A total of 55 water and wastewater management agencies were identified within the study area. Data relative to those agencies, such as types of ownership, assessed value of property within system boundaries and tax rates, are shown in this memorandum.

Demand Analysis

The study area was estimated to have a total population of about 68,000 based upon house counts from aerial photos prepared as a part of this task. Populations per house varied from 3.4 to 5.6 depending upon location in the study area. A population of about 125,000 persons is forecasted to occur in the year 2010. The water demand of that population would be 8,300,000 gallons per day at the present time and 15,400,000 gallons per day in 2010. The daily wastewater contribution would be approximately one half the daily water demand.

WATER AND WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR EL PASO COUNTY, TEXAS

Technical Memorandum No. 1

INDEX

					Page					
ı.	INT	RODU	CTION		1					
II.	WAT	WATER RESOURCES								
	A.	Gen	eral		4					
	В.	Gro	undwat	er Resources	4					
		1.	Sourc	e of Supply	4					
			a. G	General	4					
			ь. Q	quantity of Supply	7					
				1) Mesilla Bolson	7					
			(2) Rio Grande Alluvium	10					
				3) Hueco Bolson	10					
			(4) Summary of Quantity of Supply	11					
			_	uality of Supply	11					
				1) General	11					
				2) Mesilla Bolson	13					
				3) Rio Grande Alluvium	13					
				4) Hueco Bolson	13					
			(5) Summary of Quality of Supply	17					
		2.	Acces	s to Supply, and Cost	17					
		3.	Summa	ry	20					
	C.	Sur	face W	ater Resources	22					
		1.	Sourc	e of Supply	22					
			a. G	General	22					
			ъ. Q	Quantity of Supply	22					
				1) Availability of Supply	22					
				2) Allotments to United States and Mexico	22					
				3) Allocations to Irrigable Lands	23					
				4) Excess Water	24					
			c. Q	uality of Supply	24					

			Page
		2. Access to Supply	24
		a. General	24
		 b. Availability of Water Rights (1) El Paso County (2) Other Areas (3) Total 	27 27 29 29
		c. Quantity of Water Available	30
		d. Delivery of Water	30
-		3. Cost of Supply	32
		a. General	32
		 b. Cost of Water Rights (1) Types of Available Rights (2) Land Without Access to Water (3) Land with Unused Rights (4) Land with Delinquent Taxes (5) Total Cost (6) Summary 	32 32 32 33 33 34 36
III.	EXI	ISTING FACILITIES	37
	A.	General	37
	В.	Water Supply Facilities	38
	C.	Wastewater Disposal Facilities	40
	D.	Maps	40
	E.	On-Site Disposal Systems	40
IV.	MAN	NAGEMENT AGENCIES	40
	A.	General	42
v.	DEM	AAND ANALYSIS	42
	Α.	General	42
	В.	Population	42
	c.	Water and Wastewater Demand	44

FIGU	<u>TRES</u>	Page
1.	Study Areas	2
2.	Upper Valley Study Area	3
3.	Lower Valley Study Area	5
4.	East El Paso & Hueco Study Area	6
5.	Potential Groundwater Sources	12
6.	Quality of Potential Available Groundwater for the Mesilla Bolson	14
7.	Areal Distribution of Groundwater Quality in the Rio Grande Alluvium, Lower El Paso Valley, Within the Depth Interval 0-100 Feet	15
8.	Areal Distribution of Groundwater Quality in the Rio Grande Alluvium, Lower El Paso Valley, Within the Depth Interval 0-200 Feet	16
9.	Quality of Potential Available Groundwater for the Hueco Bolson	18
10.	Rio Grande Annual Allotments 1951-1987	25
11.	Rio Grande Water Quality, Total Dissolved Solids and Chlorides	26
12.	Potentially Available Surface Water Rights	28
13.	Annual Yield in MGD Using 4,264 Acres of Rights 1951-1987	31
14.	Average Cost of Water Rights Based Upon Allotments Since 1951	35
15.	El Paso County Population Forecasts	45
16.	1987 El Paso County Population Density	46
17.	Water and Sewer Contributions	47
18.	Forecasted Water Demand (Total)	49
19.	Forecasted Water Demand By Area	50
20	Forecasted Wastewater Flows by Area	51

TABL	<u>.ES</u>	Page
1.	Potentially Available Groundwater Resources	8
2.	Potential Future Availability of Groundwater Resources	9
3.	Summary of Quality for Potential Available Groundwater Resources	19
4.	Summary of Potential Groundwater Availability and Cost	21
5.	El Paso County Water Systems Outside of City	39
6.	El Paso County Sewer Systems Outside of City	41
7.	El Paso County Water and Sewer Entities	43

APPENDICES

- Appendix A River Water Quality Data
 - A-l Results of Surface Water Analysis
- Appendix B Correspondence with New Mexico State Engineer
 - B-l PSC Letter to State Engineer
 - B-2 State Engineer's Reply
- Appendix C Onsite Systems
- C-1 thru C-11 El Paso County Onsite System Data Pages l thru ll
- Appendix D Population Data
- D-l thru D-ll El Paso County Existing Population

Water and Wastewater Management Plan for El Paso County, Texas

Technical Memorandum No. 1

I. Introduction

Technical Memorandum No. 1 has been prepared to set forth the findings of Task No. 1 in the development of a Water and Wastewater Management Plan for El Paso County, Texas.

The purpose of Task No. I was to gather all preliminary information needed to develop the Water and Wastewater Management Plan. In performing this task, the available water resources, the existing water supply and wastewater facilities and management agencies of the study area were identified and described; the present and probable future population was determined; and the present and probable future water and wastewater demands of the area were estimated. In those areas and for those systems where wastewater is disposed of on-site, the characteristics of the soil and the depths to groundwater were determined.

The information used in performing this task was obtained from the Texas Water Commission, the Texas Water Development Board, the Texas Department of Health, the El Paso City-County Health District, the United States Soil Conservation Service, the Department of Planning, Research and Development of the City of El Paso, the Public Service Board of the City of El Paso, Raba-Kistner Constultants, Inc., the various water and wastewater management agencies in the Counyt, recent aerial photographs of the population portions of the study area, and the previous experience of Parkhill, Smith and Cooper, Inc.

The results of this work were described in narrative and tabular form and indicated on a set of sixteen 7.5 minute series United States Geological Survey topographic maps. The U.S.G.S. maps have not been included in Technical Memorandum No. 1 because of their size.

Four other maps have been included in this memorandum, however. These maps, which are designated as Figures No. 1, No. 2, No. 3 and No. 4, indicate the locations of the study areas and the grid systems which were established to control population counts and readily locate concentrations of population, as well as the water and wastewater systems in the County.

Figure No. 1 shows all of El Paso County, the sub-areas into which the County was divided and the density of population within those areas.

Figure No. 2 shows the Upper Valley Study Area, together with the boundaries of incorporated communities and the grid system established for that area.

STUDY AREAS EL PASO COUNTY WATER & WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FIGURE NO. 1




Figure No. 3 shows the Lower Valley Study Area, together with the boundaries of incorporated communities and the grid system established for that area.

Figure No. 4 shows the East El Paso and Hueco Tank Study Areas, together with the boundaries of incorporated communities and the grid system established for those areas.

Geological Survey.

II. Water Resources

A. General

The water resources of El Paso County were examined in order to determine sources of supply for the necessary water. The examination indicated that the most feasible of those sources would be the surface water of the Rio Grande River and the groundwater of the underground aquifers in and near El Paso County.

The two potential sources of supply were studied to determine the quantity and quality of water which could be expected from each source; to determine the procedures and costs involved in obtaining that water; and to set forth any particular difficulties related to the use of these sources of supply.

B. Groundwater Resources


1. Source of Supply

a. General

Groundwater availability and quality for potable use in El Paso County depends primarily on location. Generally, groundwater is available, but much of it is of poor quality. The County is underlain by three principal groundwater resources: (1) the Mesilla Bolson, along the Rio Grande upper valley, (2) the Rio Grande Alluvium, along the Rio Grande throughout the County, and (3) the Hueco Bolson, in the rest of the County. Quality and quantity of grounwater vary dramatically both within and between these aquifers.

Fresh groundwater supplies in adjacent counties in Texas are far from El Paso County. Near Dell City, 75 miles east of El Paso, in Hudspeth County, groundwater is pumped for irrigation. Most of this water is slightly to moderately saline. Near Valentine, 135 miles southeast of El Paso, in Jeff Davis and Presidio Counties, is the Ryan Flat area, but the limited geologic information indicates that a significant pool of fresh water is available.

EL PASO COUNTY
WATER & WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FIGURE No.3



b. Quantity of Supply

(1) Mesilla Bolson

The Mesilla Bolson extends over a wide area of New Mexico, Texas, and Mexico; from Las Cruces, New Mexico, on the north to 50 miles south of the Mexico border. The west boundary is the Potrillo Mountains, and the east boundary is the Franklin Mountains. Most of the pumping in the aquifer is by the City of El Paso, which operates a large well field between Canutillo and Anthony. Geologically, the aquifer is divided into two units, the upper and lower Santa Fe group.

Mesilla Bolson wells typically yield between 500 and 1,500 gallons per minute (gpm) between Canutillo and Anthony. El Paso's Canutillo wells are typically 1,200 feet deep, though the Town of Anthony pumps from a 600 foot-deep well. Small to moderate quantities of fresh water have been obtained from the Bolson in the upland east of the Rio Grande, where the saturated aquifer thickness is much less (Leggat, 1962).

Recharge to the Mesilla Bolson occurs from infiltration of runoff around its margins. In and adjacent to the Mesilla Valley part of the bolson, recharge also occurs from flow in the channels of ephemeral streams tributary to the valley and as seepage from the Rio Grande, canals, and infiltration of excess irrigation water (Gates, 1980). Leggat estimated the recharge to the lower Mesilla valley of the Mesilla Bolson to be 18,000 acre-feet-per year. Of that, 6,000 acre-feet crosses the state line into Texas near Anthony.

Table 1 presents the published reserves for each potentially available groundwater resource in the Mesilla Bolson, as well as the Hueco Bolson and Rio Grande Alluvium, an estimate of recoverable reserves, and typical well yields and depths. An estimate of the recoverable reserves was made based on a reference (Knowles, 1956) plus past experience. It was estimated that 50 percent of the available resource could be recovered. The actual percent recovery would depend on the demand, well field configuration, depths of wells, operation of the well field, geology of the area, and impacts of surrounding groundwater quality. As a result, this opinion of recovery should only be used for planning purposes. A more detailed definition would be required if any of these resources were to be used.

Table 2 presents the potential future availability of groundwater resources based on the existing recoverable resource quantity, published, recharge data, and a projection of future demands. This table also includes data for the Mesilla Bolson and Rio Grande Alluvium. Future demands were projected using a two percent per year demand increase. This is the same increase as the population projections for the County. Many factors may change

Table 1 POTENTIALLY AVAILABLE GROUNDWATER RESOURCES

	Estimated Reserves (ac-ft)	Potentially Recoverable Reserves (ac-ft)	Typical Well Yield (gpm)	Typical Well Depth (ft)
GROUNDWATER RESOURCE OR AQUIFER				
Mesilla Bolson - Canutillo-Anthony Rio Grande Valley between towns of Canutillo and	820,000 ^a	410,000	500-1,500 ^b	300-1,000 ^C
Anthony - State of New Mexico	54,000,000 ^e	27,000,000	N/A	N/A
- Slightly Saline Water	300,000 ^d	300,000	N/A	N/A
Hueco Bolson - East of Franklin Moun- tains from State Line South to City of	10,000,000 ^f	5,000,000	500-1,800 ^b	600-1,200 ^c
El Paso - North of State Line	6,200,000 ⁹	3,100,000	N/A	N/A
State of New Mexico - Fabens	40,000 ^h	20,000	150 ^b	300°
Fabens Water Company - Fabens Tornillo Area Mesa land between	30,000 ^h	15,000	100-1,000 ^b	300 ^c
Fabens and Tornillo - Montana Road	70,000 ⁱ	35,000	100-1,000 ^b	500 ^c
East of FM 659 - Slightly saline water In entire Hueco Bolson	4,000,000	4,000,000	100-1,000 ^b	600-1,200 ^c
Rio Grande Alluvium	1,800,000 ^j	1,800,000	500-1,000 ^b	50-200 ^c
Dell City Area	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ryan Flat	3,100,000 ^k	1,600,000	300-2,0001	N/A

a Gates, 1980, p. 100. Alvarez, 1980, Table 5.

Calvarez, 1980, Table 7. White, 1983, p. 71.

eWilson, 1981, p. 84, reported in White, 1983, p. 29. 34,000,000 ac-ft west of the state line and 20,000,000 north of the state line.

White, 1983, p. 68.

gKnowles, 1956, p. 43.

Extrapolation of data received in personal communication with CONDE, Inc., October 20, 1987.

Order-of-magnitude estimate calculated using areal extent given in White, 1983, Figure 18, thickness given in conversation with D. E. White, October 29, 1987, and a specific yield given in White 1983, p. 68. Alvarez, 1980, p. 49.

Gates, 1980, p. 63.

Gates, 1980, p. 58.

Indicates data not available.

Notes: 1. The volumes of groundwater estimated are theoretically recoverable volumes. The estimates are of recoverable water and not of total water in storage because specific yields were used in making the estimates, not total porosity. The volumes are termed "theoretically" recoverable because it is not known if all of the recoverable water can be removed without some deterioration in quality or without some other undesirable effect resulting from nearly complete withdrawal. Rate and extent of saline contamination has not been predicted, but it will be probably

gradual. 2. With proper well field planning, at least 50 percent of the freshwater in storage could be recovered before it became so contaminated as to be unusable for public supply (Knowles,

1956, p. 43). Slightly saline water in both the Mesilla and Hueco Bolsons, and the Rio Grande

Alluvium were assumed at 100 percent because they are not specific areas of high quality water, like the other areas presented.

ABR5/061

Table 2 POTENTIAL FUTURE AVAILABILITY OF GROUNDWATER RESOURCES

	Potentially Recoverable Reserves	Annual ¹ Recharge	Existing Annual Demand	Projected Future Cummulative ² Demand (ac-ft)			Projected Potential Quantity Available ³ of Recoverable Reserve (ac-ft)				
	(ac-ft)	(ac-ft)	(ac-ft)	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years	20 Years	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years	20 Years
GROUNDWATER RESOURCE OR AQUIFER						•					
Mesilla Bolson - Canutillo-Anthony Rio Grande Valley between towns of Canutillo and Anthony	410,000	0-12,000 ^h	30,000 ^b	160,000	330,000	520,000	730,000	250,000 to 310,000	80,000 to 200,000	(110,000) to 70,000	(320,000) to (80,000)
- State of New Mexico	27,000,000	0-18,000 ^a	4,100 ^b	20,000	40,000	70,000	100,000	27,000,000	27,000,000	27,000,000	27,000,000
- Slightly Saline Water	300,000	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000
Hueco Bolson - East of Franklin Mountains from State Line South to City of El Paso	5,000,000	6,000 ^C	129,000 ^b	700,000	1,400,000	2,200,000	3,100,000	4,400,000	3,600,000	2,900,000	2,000,000
- North of State Line State of New Mexico	3,100,000	N/A (assumed negligible)	1,300 ^b	7,000	14,000	22,000	32,000	3,100,000	3,100,000	3,100,000	3,100,0∞
- Fabens Fabens Water Company	20,000	N/A (assumed negligible)	700 ^e	4,000	8,000	12,000	17,000	16,000	12,000	8,000	3,000
- Fabens Tornillo Area Mesa land between Pabens and Tornillo	15,000	N/A (assumed negligible)	800 ^e	4,000	9,000	14,000	19,000	11,000	6,000	1,000	(4,000)
- Montana Road East of FM 659	35,000	N/A (assumed negligible)	500 ^e	3,000	5,000	9,000	12,000	32,000	30,000	26,000	23,000
- Slightly saline water In entire Hueco Bolson	4,000,000	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	N/A (assumed negligible)	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000
Rio Grande Alluyium	1,800,000	20,000 ^d	3,800	20,000	42,000	66,000	92,000	1,900,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,100,000
Dell City Area	N/A	N/A	100,000 [£]	520,000	1,100,000	1,700,000	2,400,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ryan Flat	1,600,000	5,800 ^g	1,000 ^h	5,200	11,000	17,000	24,000	1,600,000	1,600,000	1,700,000	1,700,000

bLeggat, 1963. White, 1983, Table 1.

Alvarez, 1980, p. 6. Gates, 1980, p. 94.

Conversation with D. E. White, October 22, 1987. Gates, 1980, p. 33.

Gates, 1980, p. 58.

^bGates, 1980, p. 63.

N/A: Indicates data not available.

- Notes: 1. Annual recharge to the Mesilla Bolson (Leggat, 1963) was reported at 18,000 acre-feet, with 6,000 acre-feet flowing to the State of New Mexico. The amount of recharge occurring between the Canutillo-Anthony and New Mexico portions of the bolson was not available. As a result, a range of possible recharge is given, deducting for the Canutillo-Anthony area the 6,000 acre-feet flowing to New Mexico. For the Hueco Bolson, an annual recharge of 6,000 acre-feet was reported (Alvarez, 1980). Again, data was not available indicating how recharge occurred within the bolson. The total recharge amount was applied to the area east of the Franklin Mountains, presenting a "worst case" scenario.
 - 2. Projected future cummulative demand is calculated for each time period using a 2 percent per year increase in demand, and represents the cummulative quantity over each given time period. Two percent per year increase in demand was used because that is the population increase projected for El Paso County. The actual demand increase will likely be slightly different, and depend on the cost of water to the customer, type of rate scale, degree of water conservation, changes in quality of life in the County, etc.
 - 3. Projected potential availability of groundwater resource is calculated by deducting from the recoverable reserves the projected future cummulative demand, then adding the cummulative recharge quantity for each time period. It is not meant to be an accurate determination of the available reserves at the end of each time period. It is intended solely for planning purposes to attempt to establish whether the resources would be available through the 20-year planning period, and shorter durations within the planning period; and present an order-of-magnitude that may be available.

19

this projection, and it should also be used only for planning purposes.

The intent of Table 2 is to establish an order-of magnitude quantity of the potentially available resources over time. It indicates resources which may have excess resources that El Paso County could make use of over the planning period, or for shorter intervals of time.

Many factors complicate actual future availability and life expectancy of each resource, and a true assessment requires a careful review of the lithography, extensive field investigations, along with proper well design and well field operation.

From Table 1 it is seen that significant quantities of groundwater are available from the Mesilla Bolson. However, Table 2 shows that the Canutillo-Anthony area, located in El Paso County, would not be a reliable water resource because existing demands could deplete the water in this area before the end of the planning period.

(2) Rio Grande Alluvium

The Rio Grande Alluvium consists of poorly sorted valley fill material deposited by the Rio Grande. Aquifer thickness may be less than 150 feet. The alluvium in the valley is the major source of groundater for irrigation and industry. Yields as large as 3,000 gpm have been obtained (Leggat, 1962).

Most of the recharge to the alluvium is from canal seepage and irrigation water. When surface water supplies are adequate, the Rio Grande is a gaining stream during most of the year, and serves to drain the aquifer. However, during period of irrigation well pumping, the river becomes a losing stream and recharges the aquifer.

Table 1 previously presented available groundwater resource data for the Rio Grande Alluvium, while Table 2 presented availability characteristics. Since recharge is reported at a much higher rate than demand, this resource should be available, at approximately 2,000,000 acre-feet.

(3) Hueco Bolson

The Hueco Bolson includes that part of the broad intermountain lowland lying between the Quitman, Malone, Hueco, and Finlay Mountains, and the Organ and Franklin Mountains. There is an indefinite northern divide a few miles north of the state boundary, east of the southern Organ Mountains. The bolson consists of layers of clay, sand, and gravel, deposited in random fashion, and little correlation can be found between adjacent wells. The sands and gravels of the Hueco Bolson are thickest and coarsest

near the Franklin and Organ Mountains, with little water bearing sands near the Hueco Mountains (Knowles, 1956).

The yields that can be obtained from wells in the bolson vary widely from place to place depending on the thickness of fresh water bearing sands. The principal groundwater reservoir of this bolson is along the foot of the Franklin and Organ Mountains. Most of the City of El Paso's water production is from this area. A typical well is 800 to 1,000 feet deep and produces in excess of 1,000 gpm.

A portion of the bolson deposits extend under the City of El Paso, in the El Paso Valley, where they are covered by younger alluvial deposits of the Rio Grande. Clay layers separate the bolson waters from the alluvial deposits, which are under artesian head. Since this area is within the El Paso city limits and is currently being produced by the City, it is unlikely it would be available for use by others.

Water yields that can be obtained elsewhere in the bolson vary widely from place to place depending on the fresh water bearing sand thickness.

Recharge to the Hueco Bolson occurs along the Franklin and Organ Mountains. Annual recharge around the bolson perimeter is about 6,000 acre-feet and represents only about 5% of the annual pumpage.

Table 1 previously represented available groundwater resource data for the Hueco Bolson. Generally, groundwater is readily available from the bolson. However, Table 2 indicated that the Fabens-Tornillo area might not be a reliable water resource because existing demands could deplete the water in this area before the end of the planning period.

(4) Summary of Quantity of Supply

Table 2 previously presented availability characteristics for the three potential groundwater resources: (1) the Mesilla Bolson, (2) the Rio Grande Alluvium, and (3) the Hueco Bolson. Most of the areas identified within these potential resources appear as though they could be available to El Paso County through the planning period. The two exceptions are the Canutillo-Anthony and Fabens-Tornillo areas. These areas may not be reliable water resources because existing demands could deplete the resources before the end of the planning period. Figure 5 presents an approximate water supply potential of several sources, assuming a 75 year life of the supply.

c. Quality of Supply

(1) General

In some parts of El Paso County the groundwater is saline and/or brackish, and contains high amounts of sulfates and

chlorides. The State of Texas requires that drinking water have a total dissolved solids (TDS) content less than 1,000 milligrams per liter (mg/l), and sulfates and chlorides each be less than 300 mg/l. These standards are enforced for all new water supplies. As a result, physical and/or chemical treatment would be required where groundwater quality exceeded standards.

For the purpose of this report, groundwater quality data is limited to TDS. Data for other characteristics is not available to the degree that TDS data is available.

(2) Mesilla Bolson

Water quality in the Mesilla Bolson is generally good, commonly containing less than 300 mg/l dissolved solids. High quality water extends to a depth of at least 1,200 feet, though it becomes progressively shallow towards the south and east. In the City of El Paso Canutillo well field, water from below 200 feet is satisfactory. South of Canutillo, the Mesilla Bolson water quality decreases dramatically and contains more than 1,000 mg/l (Leggat, 1962).

Figure 6 illustrates the quality of potential available groundwater in the Mesilla Bolson.


(3) Rio Grande Alluvium

Water quality in the Rio Grande alluvium varies widely throughout. Generally, it is more mineralized than the water in the river or in the underlying Mesilla Bolson. However, south of Canutillo the water in the alluvium is less mineralized than the water in the Mesilla Bolson. Most of the recharge to the alluvium is from infiltration of irrigation water, which is derived in varying proportions from surface water and pumped alluvium groundwater. This recycling of the groundwater has led to an increase in the salinity of the aquifer.


Figures 7 and 8 illustrate the quality of water in the Rio Grande alluvium, in the lower El Paso Valley, for depths of both 0-100 feet and 0-200 feet, respectively.

(4) Hueco Bolson

Quality of the water in the Hueco Bolson also varies. At the foot of the Franklin Mountains, good quality water can be found, some at less than 500 mg/l TDS. The City of El Paso pumps from the Hueco Bolson to provide over 50% of their potable water supply. Gates (95) identified an area of good quality water in the sandhills area between the floodplain and the mesa between Fabens and Tornillo. White (1983) identified an area of good quality water along U.S. 180, east of FM 659.



СКМНІШ



LEGEND

Line showing approximate concentration of disolved solids, in milligrams per liter (Interval 1000 milligrams per liter)


Approximate edge of the Rio Grande alluvium (from Van Horn-El Paso geologic atlas sheet)

Source: Groundwater Development in the El Paso Region, Texas, With Emphasis on the Resources of the Lower El Paso Valley, Texas Department of Water Resources, June, 1980.

FIGURE No. 7

Areal Distribution of Groundwater Quality in the Rio Grande Alluvium, Lower El Paso Valley, Within the Depth Interval 0-100 Feet





LEGEND

Line showing approximate concentration of disolved solids, in milligrams per liter (Interval 1000 milligrams per liter)

Approximate edge of the Rio Grande alluvium (from Van Horn-El Paso geologic atlas sheet)

Source: Groundwater Development in the El Paso Region, Texas, With Emphasis on the Resources of the Lower El Paso Valley, Texas Department of Water Resources, June, 1980.

FIGURE No. 8

Areal Distribution of Groundwater Quality in the Rio Grande Alluvium,
Lower El Paso Valley, Within the Depth Interval 0-200 Feet



This thin lens of good quality water could possibly result from Hueco Mountains drainage. White also identified a small area of good quality water near the Hueco Tanks State Park.

Figure 9 illustrates the quality of water in the Hueco Bolson.

(5) Summary of Quality of Supply

The quality of potential available groundwater to El Paso County varies with location and the specific resource. The data previously presented was taken from available published literature. Table 3 presents a summary of the quality data. Should groundwater be selected as a source of potable water supply, either throughout the County or in isolated locations, additional quality data will be required.

2. Access to Supply, and Cost


Because groundwater in the State of Texas is recognized as private property, it is subject to use by the overlying landowners. There is no cost associated with groundwater rights in El Paso County. This means that the County could develop groundwater resources after land purchase without acquiring rights from existing users.

Groundwater development costs in El Paso County would be limited to the cost of planning, purchasing the land, and drilling and equipping of wells. The only state requirement is to use a licensed well driller. However, in other portions of the state, water conservation districts control the pumping of water. Although the Texas Water Commission reports that it is investigating water use in the El Paso area, formation of a district could likely be many years away.

Both the Hueco and Mesilla Bolsons in New Mexico could possibly supply the potable water needs of El Paso County, but statutues and administrative procedures of various agencies in New Mexico presently limit the ability to use that water. Groundwater use in the State of New Mexico is recognized as private property, but it is subject to prior appropriation. Prior to 1980, New Mexico prohibited groundwater export. In a lawsuit brought by the City of El Paso, Federal District Court Judge Bratton held that the export prohibition was inconsistent with the commerce clause.

In 1985, in response to the Bratton decision, the New Mexico State Legislature imposed new requirements on new water users. The New Mexico State Engineer must assess the conservation of water and public welfare of the citizens of New Mexico. Neither of these constraints have been tested in court nor have a clear administrative interpretation.

Since the Hueco and Mesilla Bolsons are both declared underground basins in New Mexico, new uses of water must either



Source: Summary of Hydrologic Information in the El Paso, Texas Area, with Emphasis on Groundwater Studies, 1903–80, US Geological Survey, 1983.

FIGURE No. 9

Quality of Potential Available Groundwater
For the Hueco Boison



Table 3 SUMMARY OF QUALITY FOR POTENTIAL AVAILABLE GROUNDWATER RESOURCES

	Dissolved Solids Concentration (mg/l)
GROUNDWATER RESOURCE OR AQUIFER	
Mesilla Bolson	
- Canutillo-Anthony Rio Grande Valley between towns of Canutillo and Anthony	<1,000
- State of New Mexico	<1,000
- Slightly Saline Water	1,000-3,000
Hueco Bolson	
- East of Franklin Moun- tains from State Line South to City of El Paso	500-1,000
- North of State Line State of New Mexico	500-1,000
- Fabens Fabens Water Company	500-1,000
- Fabens Tornillo Area Mesa land between Fabens and Tornillo	500-1,000
- Montana Road East of FM 659	500-1,000
- Slightly saline water In entire Hueco Bolson	1,000-3,000
Rio Grande Alluvium	1,000-3,000
Dell City Area	1,000-3,000
Ryan Flat	500-1,000

ABR5/061

replace an existing right by transfer or prove that the new use will not affect existing users.

Since the Bratton decision, over 100 parties have applied for over 1,000,000 acre-feet of new appropriations in the Mesilla and Hueco Bolsons, in addition to the City of El Paso's appli-Any new application by El Paso County would be junior to these, and the backlog means that resolution would be in the distant future. Transfer may prove to be quicker water source. Since the State Engineer's opinion is that the Mesilla Underground Basin feeds the Rio Grande, surface water uses must be retired to transfer water use rights. three surface water suppliers in the region: Elephant Butte Irrigation District (EBID), El Paso County Water Improvement District Number 1 (EPCWID), and the Republic of Mexico. EBID contends that water use rights cannot be retired from This position is contrary to the opinion of the irrigation. New Mexico State Engineer's Office, which maintains that the ownership is personal. A court decision is required to resolve this issue. However, water could possibly be retired from the EPCWID to satisfy the requirements of New Mexico State Engineer Office.

3. Summary

Generally, a finite volume of groundwater is available in and around El Paso County. However, the Canutillo-Anthony area of the Mesilla Bolson and the Fabens-Tornillo area of the Hueco Bolson may not be reliable resources because existing demands could deplete those resources before the end of the 20 year planning period. In water resource planning, a 20 year planning period is very short, particularly if the resources will be depleted at the end of the period.

Quality of the water in the Mesilla and Hueco Bolsons depend on location; while quality of water in the Rio Grande Alluvium is typically poor. Areas of slightly saline water in both the Mesilla and Hueco Bolsons, and the Rio Grande Alluvium do not meet State drinking water quality. These areas cover much of El Paso County. The remaining areas, which have good quality water available, were illustrated in Figures 6 and 9.

There is no cost of groundwater rights in El Paso County since the State of Texas recognizes it as private property subject to use by overlying property. The only cost would be that of acquiring the land. The cost of potential groundwater resources in New Mexico cannot be accurately defined. Over 100 parties have recently applied for over 1,000,000 acre-feet of new appropriations in the Mesilla Hueco Bolsons, in addition to the City of El Paso's application. As a result, groundwater rights cost are in a state of flux. Table 4 summarizes the possible groundwater resources, their general quality, and comments regarding cost.

Table 4
SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY, QUALITY, AND COST

	Potentially Recoverable Reserves	Projected Potential Quantity Available 1 of Recoverable Reserve (ac-ft)		Dissolved Solids Concentration			
	(ac-ft)	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years	20 Years	(mg/1)	Cost Comments
GROUNDWATER RESOURCE OR AQUIFER							
Mesilla Bolson							
- Canutillo-Anthony Rio Grande Valley between towns of Canutillo and Anthony	410,000	250,000 to 310,000	80,000 to 200,000	(110,000) to 70,000	(320,000) to (80,000)	<1,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
- State of New Mexico	27,000,000	27,000,000	27,000,000	27,000,000	27,000,000	<1,000	Cost unknown. Would have to apply for new appropriation in New Mexico or purchase existing rights.
- Slightly Saline Water	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	1,000-3,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
Hueco Bolson							
 East of Franklin Mountains from State Line South to City of El Paso 	5,000,000	4,400,000	3,600,000	2,900,000	2,000,000	500-1,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
- North of State Line State of New Mexico	3,100,000	3,100,000	3,100,000;	3,100,000	3,100,000	500-1,000	Cost unknown. Would have to apply for new appropriation in New Mexico or purchase existing rights.
- Fabens Fabens Water Company	20,000	16,000	12,000	8,000	3,000	500-1,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
 Pabens Tornillo Area Mesa land between Fabens and Tornillo 	15,000	11,000	6,000	1,000	(4,000)	500-1,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
- Montana Road East of FM 659	35,000	32,000	30,000	26,000	23,000	500-1,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
- Slightly saline water In entire Hueco Bolson	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	1,000-3,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
Rio Grande Alluvium	1,800,000	1,900,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,100,000	1,000-3,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
Dell City Area	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,000-3,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.
Ryan Flat	1,600,000	1,600,000	1,600,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	500-1,000	Cost for groundwater rights included in land costs.

Notes: 1. Projected potential quantity of recoverable reserve is not meant to be an accurate determination of the available reserves at the end of each time period. It is intended solely for planning purposes to attempt to establish whether the resources would be available through the 20-year planning period, and shorter durations within the planning period; and present an order-of-magnitude that may be available.

C. Surface Water Resources

Source of Supply

a. General

The Rio Grande River is the only perennial stream in El Paso County, and its waters, which include all water flowing in the river and in the river's tributaries, constitute the only feasible source of surface water supply in the County. These waters, however, are fully appropriated between Elephant Butte Dam and Fort Quitman, Texas, and all flow in the river is specifically allocated to existing users of that water.

Any access to and use of the waters of the Rio Grande in El Paso County is regulated by the El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1. This agency maintains records of water rights and the lands to which they pertain; is a party to any transfer of water rights or their use; allocates and delivers water to the various users; and assesses and collects taxes to pay the costs of delivering water.

b. Quantity of Supply

(1) Availability of Supply

Prior to the construction of Elephant Butte and Caballo Dams in New Mexico, the Rio Grande in El Paso County was subject to wide variations in seasonal and annual flow. The runoff water from the upper Rio Grande watershed, as well as the flow in the river itself, is now retained by the dams, however, and that water is released in accordance with water needs downstream.

Although the amount of runoff into the dams from the upper Rio Grande watershed may vary from year to year, the capacities of the dams allow the water to be stored and then released in fairly uniform annual quantities. Since the primary function of the dams is to supply water for irrigation, and since irrigation water for the downstream farm lands is required only during the growing season, water is normally released from the dams only during the period from about February 15 to October 1, and the only water flowing in the river for the rest of the year is made up of dam seepage, sewage effluent discharge, agricultural drainage discharge, and storm water runoff below the dam. In any years in which unused amounts of runoff water fill the dams, as at the present time, water may be released to the Rio Grande throughout the year.

(2) Allotments to United States and Mexico

By the terms of an agreement enacted between the United States and Mexico in January, 1907, the waters of the Rio Grande are

apportioned for use by the two countries. Under the terms of the agreement, the United States receives an annual allotment of 3 acre feet of water per acre of irrigable land, and Mexico receives a total annual allotment of 60,000 acre feet of water.

If reduced runoff flows and storage in Elephant Butte and Caballo Dams should require the annual United States allotment to be reduced to less than the full 3 acre feet per acre, the Mexican allotment would be reduced also in an amount proportionate to the reduction in the United States allotment.

(3) Allocations to Irrigable Lands

The total amount of Rio Grande water which will be available for use in El Paso County is estimated at the start of each irrigation season. This estimate is based upon the amount of water stored in the dams, the expected runoff into the dams, the amount of water allotted to Mexico, and the expected evaporation and absorption losses in transporting the water from the dams to the users.

An initial allocation of water to the users in El Paso County is determined by dividing the estimated total amount of available water, measured in acre feet, by the total number of acres of irrigable land holding water rights. The result is an annual allocation measured in acre feet per acre.

The initial allocation is usually revised upward throughout the irrigation season as additional water becomes available either through increase of runoff into the dams or decreased use of allocated water.

Prior to 1951 there was no allocation of water as described above because there was generally sufficient flow in the Rio Grande and sufficient storage in the dams to supply the full United and Mexican allotments and deliver approximately 3 acre feet of water per acre per year to all users in El Paso County.

In 1951, however, reduced runoff from the Rio Grande watershed and reduced storage in the dams indicated that less than 3 acre feet of water per acre would be available for the users. In consideration of this fact the allocation system was instituted to assure an equitable distribution of the available water.

In 19 of the 37 years between 1951 and 1987 the annual allocation of water in El Paso County has equalled, or exceeded, 3 acre feet per acre. In the years 1954, 1955, 1956, 1964, and

1978, however, the annual allocation was less than 1 acre feet per acre. The annual allocations of water for the years 1951-1987 are indicated in Figure No. 10.

(4) Excess Water

Any portion of the annual allotment of Rio Grande water which is not used by the holders of water rights is considered to be excess water. This excess water may be purchased from El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 at the current rate per acre foot charged by that agency

The amount of excess water available varies from year to year and is dependent upon the total flow in the Rio Grande and upon the amount of irrigation water actually used during the year. In years of extremely low flow, such as in 1954, 1955, 1956, 1964 and 1978, there would be little, or no, excess water to be purchased.

c. Quality of Supply

The quality of Rio Grande water was determined from records of chemical and bacteriological analyses performed by El Paso Water Utilities on the river water received at its treatment plant.

The results of these analyses, adjusted to provide average monthly results for the years 1986 and 1987 are included in Appendix A. Figure 11 presents TDS and chloride values by month for 1986 and 1987.


2. Access to Supply

a. General

Water for municipal use could be obtained from the Rio Grande only by means of a contract with El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1. Such a contract would retire specific areas of farm land from irrigation and transfer delivery of that farm land's annual allocation of water to the point of municipal use.

All negotiations and costs involved in acquiring or transferring water rights would be the responsibility of the person, or persons, acquiring those rights. Since the rights to irrigation water pertain to and run with the land involved, they are normally acquired either by purchasing the land itself or by leasing the rights from the owner of the land.


If the water rights to be acquired pertain to land whose owners are delinquent in their payment of El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 taxes, that deliquency constitutes



Acre Feet per Acre

Concentration in mg/l

RIO GRANDE WATER QUALITY



a lien against the property, and all back taxes, plus penalties, interest and collection fees must be paid before the particular rights involved would receive any water.

Although El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 would not be involved in any negotiations or payments for purchasing or leasing water rights, it would be a party to any contract for the transfer of these rights, and it would be solely responsible for allocating and delivering water and assessing and collecting taxes for the properties involved.

In various areas of El Paso County farm land with water rights has been subdivided into residential lots and public streets. Since many of these lots have no physical access to irrigation water, and since the public streets have no use for the water, the water rights which pertain to the land occupied by those lots and streets make up a pool of unused water rights acreage held by El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1. The water allocated to this pool of water rights could be acquired for municipal use by entering into a contract with the District and paying the necessary taxes.

b. Availability of Water Rights

(1) El Paso County


In accordance with information provided by El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1, rights to the use of water from the Rio Grande are held by the owners of 69,427 acres of land in El Paso County. Not all of those rights are being used, however, and the unused rights represent what is probably the most feasible access to Rio Grande water. (See Figure No. 12.

Included in the total water right acreage are 3,592 acres of dedicated public streets and residential lots which lie outside both the present, as well as the most recently proposed, limits of the City of El Paso. The water rights held by those 3,592 acres appear to constitute the most economical and readily available access to the desired surface water.

Within the 3,592 acres described above there are 593 acres of dedicated public streets, 385 acres of residential lots which have no access to irrigation water, and 2,614 acres of residential lots which are not using their water rights, either from lack of the necessary canals, lack of need for the water, or failure to pay the required taxes.

The 593 acres of public streets and 385 acres of lots without access to irrigation water make up a pool of 978 acres of unused water rights which are held by El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 and which could be acquired by entering into a contract with El Paso County Water Improvement

POTENTIALLY AVAILABLE SURFACE WATER RIGHTS



District No. 1 and by paying the taxes for future water allocations.

The unused water rights of the remaining 2,614 acres of residential land comprised of 4,823 lots should be considered as available for lease, according to information obtained from land developers, land owners, and realtors. The acquisition of those leases would require negotiations with the individual land owners; paying the agreed prices for the leases; paying any delinquent taxes, as well as any penalties and interest due on those taxes; entering into a contract with El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1; and paying the taxes for future water allocations.

Although taxes are delinquent on 1,665 acres of land in the County, 432 acres of that total lie within the City of El Paso, and 561 acres are contained in the 2,614 acres of residential lots referred to in the preceding paragraph. The remaining 672 acres of tax deliquent land is comprised of relatively large tracts of formerly irrigated, but now uncultivated, land. The water rights of these 672 acres could probably be obtained by leasing the rights or purchasing the land and then paying all delinquent taxes, penalties, and interest due.

(2) Other Areas

The United States allotment of Rio Grande water is apportioned between the El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 in Texas and the Elephant Butte Irrigation District in southern New Mexico where approximately 77,000 acres of irrigable land have access to that water.

The Elephant Butte Irrigation District regulates access to the water of the Rio Grande in a manner similar to that employed by El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1.

Access to Rio Grande water could be acquired by purchasing land or leasing water rights in New Mexico and then entering into a contract with the Elephant Butte Irrigation District to supply that water. The water could not be delivered to El Paso County, however, until various matters are resolved. Those matters, which concern the transfer of water from New Mexico to Texas, are presently at issue in hearings before the State Engineer of New Mexico and were discussed in IIB.2 and correspondence with the New Mexico State Engineer is included as Appendix B.

(3) Total

As shown on Figure 12, a total of 4,264 acres of surface water rights in El Paso County is potentially available for gaining

access to the water of the Rio Grande. This total includes the rights of 978 acres held in the El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 pool, the rights pertaining to 2,053 acres of residential land which does use irrigation water, and the rights pertaining to 561 acres of residential land and 672 acres of farm land which are delinquent in tax payments.

No surface water rights in New Mexico are considered to be currently available or usable. These rights may become available during the planning period when water transfer issues are resolved.

c. Quantity of Water Available

The amount of surface water available for municipal use depends upon the number of acres of water rights which can be acquired and upon the annual amount of water allocated to those acres.


As described previously, it should be possible to acquire the water rights of 4,264 acres of land in El Paso County. In any year in which a full allotment of 3 acre feet per acre is supplied, those 4,264 acres would receive 12,792 acre feet of water, or slightly more than 4,168,000,000 gallons, from the Rio Grande. Full annual allotments cannot be supplied every year, however, and in 1964 the total allocation of 0.33 acre feet per acre would have supplied those 4,264 acres with only 1,407.12 acre feet of water, or slightly more than 458,480,000 gallons.

In a normal year, however, Rio Grande water would be supplied only during the seven and one half month growing season which begins about February 15 and ends about October 1. During that period a full annual allotment of 3 acre feet per acre would supply the 4,264 acres of water rights described above with about 56.35 acre feet of water per day, or about 18 million gallons per day and an annual allotment of 0.33 acre feet per acre would supply about 6.20 acre feet of water per day, or about 2 million gallons per day. During the remaining four and one half months of the year the only water available would be return flow from drainage ditches and such other flow as is generated below the dams.

The historic daily average flow delivered to 4,264 acres on a year around basis if sufficient storage were provided is shown on Figure 13. Delivered values vary from about 1 MGD to over 15 MGD.

d. Delivery of Water

The quantities of water allocated for use in El Paso County are diverted from the Rio Grande at Mesilla Dam in New Mexico



285 Day Average Flow MCD

and at the American and Riverside Dams in El Paso County. From those points of diversion the water is transported to the various water users in open canals. A network of these canals extends throughout the County and allows water to be delivered by gravity flow to all irrigated lands.

The El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 is responsible for operating and maintaining the canal system and for delivering the allocated quantities of water. The District delivers water to major users in the quantities and at the times requested by each user, and it delivers water to the smaller users in accordance with an established delivery schedule.

Water right allocations do not necessarily have to be delivered to the land to which those rights pertain. The holders of the water rights may select the points to which their allocations will be delivered, provided that the El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 facilities are capable of delivering the required amounts of water to those points.

3. Cost of Supply

a. General

The cost of Rio Grande water at its point of delivery by El Paso County Water Improvement District No. I would include the costs of acquiring rights to that water and the costs of taxes assessed by El Paso County Water Improvement District No. I to cover its costs of delivery.

The only water rights considered for acquisition would pertain to land in those areas of El Paso County which lie outside the City of El Paso.

b. Cost of Water Rights

(1) Types of Available Rights

The cost of acquiring water rights depends upon the particular circumstances affecting the land to which those rights pertain. In general those circumstances include subdivided farm land without access to irrigation water, subdivided farm land with unused access to irrigation water, and subdivided farm land with delinquent taxes.

(2) Land Without Access to Water

In those areas of El Paso County which lie outside the City of El Paso, there are 978 acres of land with water rights but no access to irrigation water. These unused rights are held by El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 and could be

acquired for the initial accounting fee of \$6.00 for each contract entered into with the District and the annual cost of the taxes assessed for delivering the water.

The cost of acquiring these water rights, assuming an average of 2 lots per acre would be:

Water Rights	Initial Cost	1987 Annual Tax	Total First
in Acres	@ \$6.00 per Lot	@ \$28.00 per Acre	Year Cost
978	\$11,736,000	\$27,384.00	\$39,120.00

(3) Land with Unused Rights

There are 2,053 acres of residential lots in the County and outside the City of El Paso which have both water rights and access to irrigation water but do not use that water even though current in payment of all taxes.

The water rights pertaining to these lots could be acquired by means of long term leases. The costs of obtaining those leases would vary from tract to tract, and in many cases could be obtained merely by asking for them. At various times, however, the Public Service Board of El Paso has paid \$150.00 per acre for a 25 year water right lease, and that figure will be used to estimate the cost of these unused rights.

The cost of these rights would be as follows:

Water Rights in Acres	25 Year Lease @ \$150.00/Acre	Initial Cost @ \$12.00/Acre	1987 Annual Tax @ \$28.00/Acre	Total First Year Cost
2,053	\$307,950.00	\$24,636.00	\$57,484.00	\$390,070.00

(4) Land with Delinquent Taxes

In the County and outside the City of El Paso, there are approximately 561 acres of residential land and 672 acres of farm land which have water rights and access to irrigation water but which do not use that water and are delinquent in payment of the water taxes. The residential land is composed of lots approximately 0.5 acres in size, and the farm land is composed of tracts which average about 4 acres in size.

The water rights to this land could be acquired by leasing those rights for about \$150.00 per acre for a 25 year lease;

by paying all delinquent taxes, plus any penalties and interest owed to El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1; by paying the costs of entering into a contract with the District; and by paying the current water taxes.

Although the amount of delinquent taxes, penalties and interest which each property owes to El Paso County Water Improvement District No. I varies in accordance with the size of the property and the period of delinquency, information obtained from the District's records indicates that the average amount owed is about \$200.00 per acre.

The cost of acquiring these water rights would be as follows:

Water	25 Year	Delinquent	Contract	1987 Annual	Total
Rights	Lease @	Taxes @	Cost @	Tax @	First Year
in Acres	\$150/Acre	\$200/Acre	\$6.00/Tract	\$28/Acre	Cost
561 672	\$ 84,150 100,800	\$112,200 134,400	\$6,732 1,008	\$15,708 18,816	\$218,790 255,024
Total	\$184,950	\$246,600	\$7,740	\$34,524	\$473,814

(5) Total Cost


The total cost of acquiring the 4,264 acres of water rights considered to be available would be as follows:

Availability Status	Number of Acres	First Year Cost
No access to Water	978	\$ 39,120.00
Unused Rights	2053	390,070.00
Delinquent Taxes	1233	473,814.00
Total	4264	\$903,004.00

An annual allotment of 3 acre feet would supply 4,168,000,000 gallons of water to the 4,264 acres. The cost of this water would be the cost of acquiring the water rights plus the annual taxes paid to the Water District. Over a 25 year period the cost of the water rights would be \$31,344.48 per year; the annual taxes, assuming a rate of \$28.00 per acre, would be \$119,392.00; and the total cost of the water delivered would be \$150,736.48; or \$0.0362 per 1000 gallons. Figure 14 shows the average 25 year cost per acre foot based upon historic allotments since 1951. This cost ranges from \$12 to \$18 acre foot.

Cost per Acre Foot (\$/AF)

Average Cost of Water Rights



(6) Summary

The Rio Grande River is the only source of surface water in El Paso County. The river can supply only a limited amount of water, however, and all of that amount is specifically allocated to existing water users.

A new entity could obtain water from the Rio Grande only by acquiring existing water allocation rights from the owners of the land to which those rights pertain, and then contracting with El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1 for delivery of the water. Although there are over 69,000 acres of land with water allocation rights in El Paso County, only about 4,264 acres of those rights appear to be available for acquisition.

The annual allotment of water to the holders of water rights varies in accordance with the amount of water stored in Elephant Butte and Caballo Dams. In a normal year this allotment would be 3 acre feet of water per acre of water right land. The annual allotment has been as little as 0.33 acre feet per acre and as large as 4.00 acre feet per acre; however, for a normal year, the allotments of water are delivered only during the period from February 15 to October 1 when irrigation is required for agriculture. The water right holders may select the point to which their water will be delivered, provided that existing facilities have sufficient capacity for that delivery.

If the 4,264 acres of potentially available water rights are acquired, they would receive 12,792 acre feet, or about 4,168,000,000 gallons, from an annual allotment of 3 acre feet of water per acre. This water would be delivered during the delivery period at an average rate of about 18 million gallons per day. An annual allotment of 0.33 acre feet per acre would aupply 1407.12 acre feet, of 458,480,000 gallons per year at an average rate of about 2 million gallons per day during the delivery period.

Historically, annual average water deliveries to 4,264 acres will range from 1 MGD to 15 MGD on a 365 day per basis, as shown on Figure 13. The costs of acquiring water rights and paying for the water's delivery would be constant, regardless of the amount of water delivered. The cost of raw water delivered to 4,264 acres of water rights at the rate of 3 acre feet per acre per year would be about \$0.036 per 1000 gallons. Water delivered at the rate of 0.33 acre feet per acre per year would cost about \$0.329 per 1000 gallons. Average costs per acre foot are estimated to range from \$12 to \$18 per acre foot.

III. Existing Facilities

A. General

The existing water supply and wastewater disposal facilities in the study area were identified using information supplied by the Texas Department of Health, the Texas Water Commission, and the El Paso City-County Health District. Included in this information were the names of the various water and wastewater systems, the populations served, the sources of water supply, the points of wastewater discharge, the capacities of the systems, and the types of treatment employed.

Additional information concerning existing facilities was obtained from the various water and wastewater management agencies. Requests for certain specific information were mailed to 55 agencies in the study area, and full or partial answers were received from 24 of those agencies. The information thus obtained included locations and boundaries of the areas served; locations, sizes, and capacities of major facilities; sources of power; and general plans of the systems.

The information concerning the existing facilities is discussed herein and presented in the accompanying tables. The major facilities of the various systems are also indicated on 7.5 minute topographic maps, together with other pertinent data.

In order to facilitate the review of this data, the study area was divided into the following 4 sub-areas:

- 1. Upper Valley the portion of El Paso County bounded on the east and south by the City of El Paso and on the west and north by the State of New Mexico;
- 2. Lower Valley the portion of El Paso County bounded on the northwest by the City of El Paso, on the northeast by Interstate Highway No. 10, on the east by Hudspeth County, and on the southwest by the Rio Grande;
- 3. East El Paso the portion of El Paso County bounded on the west by the City of El Paso, on the north by Montana Avenue (U.S. Highway 180), on the east by Hudspeth County, and on the southwest by Interstate Highway No. 10;
- 4. <u>Hueco</u> the portion of El Paso County bounded on the west by the Fort Bliss Military Reservation; on the north by the State of New Mexico, on the east by Hudspeth County, and on the south by Montana Avenue (U.S. Highway No. 180).

B. Water Supply Facilities

A total of 43 water supply systems were identified in those areas of El Paso County which lie outside the City of El Paso or which affect the study area. These systems included both public and private systems and varied in size from small systems supplied from one well and serving only one family and a few customers to the City of El Paso system supplied from 120 wells and the Rio Grande River and serving 500,000 persons.

Special conditions exist in both the Upper and Lower Valley study areas where the water mains of El Paso Water Utilities supply water outside the City of El Paso. The number of service meters supplied from this source include 1,212 in the Upper Valley, 293 in the Town of Clint, and 3,130 in the Town of Socorro and other areas which lie within the boundaries of the El Paso County Lower Valley Water District Authority.

These water supply systems were identified from data supplied by the Texas Department of Health which listed all public water systems in El Paso County and which also provided such data for each system as the population served, the source of its water, the type of treatment provided, the total production capacity, the ground and elevated storage capacity, and pumping capacity.

Additional information was obtained from the various water management agencies. This information included locations and boundaries of the areas served by each system, the locations and sizes of the major components of each system, and the sources of water supply.

The water supply systems identified and described in Task No. 1 are listed on Table 5 with the population served and other information. In summary, these water systems serve about 40,000 persons.

C. Wastewater Disposal Facilities

A total of 25 wastewater disposal systems were identified in the study area. These systems included both public and private systems and varied in size from small systems utilizing a septic tank and on-site disposal of the wastewater produced by one family and a few customers to the City of El Paso with 5 major sewage treatment plants and serving 500,000 persons.

These wastewater disposal systems were identified from data supplied by the Texas Department of Health, the Texas Water Commission, and the El Paso City-County Health District. This data also provided information as to the population served by each system, the types of treatment provided, the capacities of the systems, and the wastewater disposal methods used.

Additional information obtained from the various wastewater disposal agencies included locations and boundaries of the areas served by each system, the locations and sizes of the major components of the systems, and the points of wastewater discharge.

TABLE 5

El Paso County Nater Systems outside of City

: ENTITY	1987	1987	Total :	Number	: Source :
1	Population	=	Production :		1
Ì	•	Connections	Cap. MGD		Name :
		¦			
UPPER VALLEY				_	
Baltimore Spice Co.		1	0 1	_	
Border Steel, Inc.		1	1.78	2	H-B
Canutillo Independent Schools					i
Danny Boy Mobile Home Park		1 14	0.072	_	ALLUVIUM :
IEI Paso County WCID- Westway	1834		0.373	2	M-B
TEL Paso Nater Utilities/PSB	5696			_	1
	1500		2.45	_	
Haslight Square Mobile Home Park	400		0		: ALLUVIUM :
		; 3	0.202	_	
Hall's Lounge and Brill	}	1 7	0.04		
Hillside Mobile Home Park		1 65	0.08	1	: M-B :
		1	;		:
Hetal Processing	}	i			
Mountain Pass Canning Company	}	1	2.5	2	H-B
Monad Water District	,	:	1		1 1
	}	28	0		
Town of Anthony, Texas	2618	625	1.76	3	: M-B :
Town of Vinton, Texas	1	1	!	1	; ;
Winton Mobile Home Park	1	; 35	0.005	1	: M-B :
W. Silver Incorporated	}	; 5	0	0	ALLUVIAL :
: Upper Valley Subtotal	12048	: 2619	1	!	: :
LOWER VALLEY	-	:	+	ł	; ;
(Crinco Investments (Truck Stops)	}	!	;	}	;
	106	1 49	0	i 'O	: ALLUVIUM :
HE P Co. Lower Valley Wtr Dist. Auth	}	:	İ	}	:
IE1 Paso Co. MCID #4 - Fabens	5645	1169	2.2664	7	: ALLUVIUM :
IEL Paso Water Utilities/PSB	18524	3423	1	}	1
San Elizario Mun. Util. Dist.	}	1 - 39	0	0	: ALLUVIUM :
Texas ARM University Research Coter	}	;		,	1
Tornillo Water Supply Corporation	644	: 150	0.216	1	: ALLUVIUM :
Town of Clint, Texas	;	;	:		1
Town of Socorro, Texas		1	+	}	1
Utility Trailer Company	}	1 .	!	,	: :
	}	1 1	0.04	1	: ALLUVIUM :
Lower Valley Subtotal	24919	1 4830		1	1
HUECO :	}	;	+	}	1
Desert Oasis Park	58	; 73	0.202	1	1 H-B 1
Haciendas del Norte Water Imp. Disti	223	1 43	0.288	1	: ALLUVIUM :
Monte Vista Mobile Home Park		:		ł	: :
Paso View Estates	,	1	1	1	: 1
Texas Parks and Wildlife - Hueco		1	0.021	1	: ALLUVIUM :
Humco Subtotal	291	117		l	l
EAST EL PASO	†	ł			1
Adobe Inn	}	25	0	1	: HUECD !
Butterfield Mobile Home Park		29	0.04	i	ALLUYIUM 1
Deerfield Park Water Supply System		: 39	0.108		: ALLUVIUM :
IEI Paso County Water Authority	3000		3.506		ALLUVIUM :
El Paso Natural Gas Co Huero Club		; 5	0.115		: ALLUYIUM :
IEI Paso Truck Stop	1	1	1		
Fiesta Drive-In	}	1	0	1	: H-B :
Homestead Mun. Util. Dist. #1		: 330	0.281	1	ALLUVIUM :
Loves Country Store	1	2	0.027		ALLUVIUM :
Montana Vista Elementary School		; 3	0.04		ALLUVIUM !
: East El Paso Subtotal	3000		!	1	1
ITOTALS	40249	B877	-	}	: :

The wastewater disposal systems identified and described in Task No. 1 are listed with some supplemental information on Table 6. This would indicate sewer service is provided to about 15,000 persons in the study area.

D. Maps

The portions of El Paso County which lie outside the City of El Paso and which constitute the area being studied are indicated on sixteen 7.5 minute series United States Geological Survey topographic maps. Also indicated and suitably identified on these maps are the boundaries of all incorporated communities, the boundaries of the areas served by each water supply and wastewater disposal system, the major facilities of each system, the boundaries of areas of particular population concentration, and areas likely to have sub-standard on-site disposal systems.

These maps are not included in this technical memo due to their bulk. Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 present the location of the study areas and a grid system which can be cross referenced with tabular information.

E. On-Site Disposal Systems

On-site disposal of wastewater is commonly used throughout the study area, both by water and wastewater management agencies and by private individuals. In certain areas, however, population densities, problem soils, and high groundwater tables have resulted in sub-standard disposal systems.

The areas in which conditions are likely to produce sub-standard onsite systems are indicated on the 7.5 minute series topographic maps and in tabular form in Appendix C Table C-1.

IV. Management Agencies

A. General

The existing water and wastewater management agencies in the study area were identified using information supplied by the Texas Department of Health, the Texas Water Commission, and the El Paso City-County Health District. Included in this information were names of the various systems, the responsible officials of the agencies, the populations served, the number of service connections, connections with other systems, type of ownership, and characteristics of the service area.

Additional information concerning the assessed values, tax rates, and service charges were obtained from the El Paso Central Appraisal District and from the various water and wastewater management agencies. Requests for certain specific information were mailed to all 55 agencies in the study area, and full or partial answers were received from 24 of those agencies.

TABLE 6

El Paso County Sewer Systems outside of City

THC :	ENTITY	Stream	: Discharge	SIC	: Cur	rent Efflu	ent Set	1 1987
DISCHARGE:	(PERMIT HOLDER)	: Segment	: Type	Code	(AD)	BOD (AD)	TSS (AD)	!Population
PERMIT NO:		Code	; #		M6D	mg/l	mg/l	!
 		; 	†		;			1
WQ00025911Ba	Altimore Spice Company, The	2314	¦ R	2099 0	0.01			1
₩ 9 0011561;Ca	anutillo ISD	2314	! D	49520	0.05	20	20	!
W90001243;Ca	asuco, Inc.	2314	l R	20770	1 0.0025			;
W000117111Cr	inco Investments (Truck Stop)	2307	; R	49520	0.035	30		!
W00010795 E1	Paso County Water Authority	2307	; D	49520	1 0.5	30	30	3000
W00010166:E1	Paso Co. WCID 004	2307	; D	49520	0.35	30	30	5645
WQQ010167:E1	Paso Co. WCID Westway	2314	l R	49520	1 0.05	30		1834
WQ0011497;E1	Paso Truck Stop	2307	; R	49520	1 0.025	30	30	†
WQ001040B1E1	Paso Water Util. Haskell Street	2308	i D	49520	27.7	20	20	;
W90010408;E1	Paso Water Util. Hueco Bolson	2307	; R	49520	10	1	i	1
WQ0010408;E1	Paso Water Util. Northwest	2314	, D	49520	; 5	20	20	1
MQ0010408;E1	Paso Water Util. Socorro	2307	t D	49520	1 20	30		1
WQ001040B!E1	Paso Water Util. Southeast	2307	; S	49520	1 39	20	20	1
WQ00005161Fa	bens Delinting Plant	2307	; D	7230	1 0.038			}
WQ001124116a	aslight Square Mobile Home Estates	2314	l R	49520	0.05	20	20	1 400
WQ0012876168	RD Development Co., Inc.	2307	; R	49520	0.109	20		;
	Tuna Federal Correctional Inst.	2314	ł R	49520	1 0.135	30		1500
W900008211Mc	ountain Pass Canning Co.	2314	I R	20330	1			1
	aso-Pak Chili Company, Inc.	2307	l R	20340	0.002			}
WQ000267115a	inta Maria Chili, Inc.	2314	; R	20990	0.144			1
	and R Chemicals, Inc	2307	l R	28610	1			1
	exas A&M University System	2308	l R	49520	0.0015	30		!
	own of Anthony	2314	l D	49520	1 0.35	20	20	2618
	cility Trailer Company	2307	t R	49520	1 0.01	30	90	1
1	, , ,	! !	!		1			}

TOTAL STUDY AREA POPULATION SERVED

14997

* D = Discharged R = Retained

As described previously under Existing Facilities, the various management agencies were separated into the study sub-areas in which they are located, and the accumulated data concerning those agencies was presented in tabular forms, and as nearly as possible, on the 7.5 minute series topographic maps.

Table 7 presents the identified agencies in the County with the population served, services provided, assessed valuations, and tax rates.

V. <u>Demand Analysis</u>

A. General

An analysis was made to determine the water and wastewater demands of the study area. This analysis required accurate estimates of the present and future population of the area; the locations of that population; the present and future per capita water and wastewater demands of that population, and the total water and wastewater demands of the areas in which the population would be located.

The information used in the analysis was obtained from the City of El Paso Department of Planning, Research and Development, the Texas Water Development Board, the Public Service Board of El Paso, plats of subdivisions as filed with El Paso County Clerk, and from actual counts of houses and from previous studies and relevant experience of Parkhill, Smith & Cooper, Inc.

B. Population

The present population of the study area was determined by dividing all areas of the County outside the City of El Paso into sub-areas of convenient location and size and then taking aerial photographs of the populated portions of those areas. An area of over 220 square miles was photographed, and 24 inch by 36 inch reproducible copies of those photographs were prepared. The final result of this work was 64 photographs, each covering an area of about 3.44 square miles at a nominal scale of 1 inch equals 400 feet.

The grid systems of each study area, as well as the boundaries of incorporated communities, subdivisions, and water and wastewater agencies, were identified on the photographs, and an accurate count was made of all houses appearing within the identified areas. The population residing in those houses was estimated by multiplying the number of houses by the probable number of residents per household.

The probable number of residents per household was based upon data supplied by the City of El Paso Department of Planning, Research and Development. These numbers varied in accordance with the particular study area involved. The following number of residents per average household were used in each study area.

El Paso County Nater and Sewer Entities

TABLE 7

ENTITY	: BRI		Water Served	Sever Served	1987 Population	1 1987 ! Service	! Assessed ! Evaluation :	Tax : Rate :
	EN I			SEI YEU	•	Connections		\$/\$100
UPPER VALLEY	 !	 			!		! !	
Baltimore Spice Co.	. 9 :	12 !	1	X	<u>:</u>		i i	
Border Steel, Inc.	9			•			i	
		4 :		x	!		!!!	
Danny Boy Mobile Home Park	1 7 1	10 :		•	!	14	!	
IE1 Paso County MCID- Westway		12 :	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X	1834	_	\$11,404,557	0.621344
IEI Paso Nater Utilities/PSB	. 10 .	4 ;		•	5696		•	V+021977 1
		15 ;			1500			1
	1 / .	3	••	¥	1 400		-	1
Baslight Square Mobile Home Park			-	*	1 400	1 3		
Great Southwest Water Irrigation		13 ;			•	· -		
Hall's Lounge and Grill		16 ;			i •	7	•	
Hillside Mobile Home Park	. 7	9 :			i	65	i i	
Little Diner	. /	5 1			i	i	i i	
Metal Processing	-	11 1			1	1	! !	-
Mountain Pass Canning Company		15 1		X	1	1 1	1 1	;
Mowad Water District	-	3 :			}	1	1 !	;
Snug Harbor Motel and Trailer Park		3 :			1	1 28		i
Town of Anthony, Texas	7 1	17 :	X	X	2618	625	1 \$56,445,694 1	0.199925
Town of Vinton, Texas	7 3	10 :			1	1	1 \$17,679,047 1	0 1
Winton Mobile Home Park	8 3	10 1	X		}	; 35	1 1	;
IN. Silver Incorporated	. 6 :	13 1	X		1	1 5	1	1
Upper Valley Subtotal	;	1			12048	2619	1 1	•
LOWER VALLEY	;	1			!	1	1 ;	;
(Crinco Investments (Truck Stops)	4 2	21		X	1	1	1 1	ł
Cuadrilla Improvement Corporation	_	26 1			1 106	1 49	\$888,560 :	0
IE P Co. Lower Valley Ntr Dist. Auth		7 ;			!	1	1\$307,972,944 1	0.12
El Paso Co. NCID #4 - Fabens		33 :		X	5645	1149	\$33,169,841 ;	0
El Paso Water Utilities/PSB		7:		, *	18524			•
San Elizario Mun. Util. Dist.	•	4 1	-		i ibati	; 3423		0
		6 1		X	,	1 30	1 44,002,023 1	V
Texas A&M University Research Coter				. ^	1 142	1 150	1 414 044 014 1	0
Tornillo Water Supply Corporation		13 :	X		644	1 120	\$16,861,816	0 1
Town of Clint, Texas		17			i •	ì	\$19,355,669	0.244301
ITown of Socorro, Texas		7 1		u	i	i	1\$176,763,194	0.33
Outility Trailer Company		10 :		X	i .	i	!	
Taragosa Bridge Water Supply	; ; ;	17	X		i	1		
Lower Valley Subtotal	:	i			1 24919	1 4830	;	
HUECO		;			1	1	;	i
Desert Dasis Park	4 1				: 58			į
Haciendas del Norte Water Imp. Dist					223	1 43	; \$9,205,195 i	0.193864
Monte Vista Mobile Home Park	7 1	0 1			}	1	1 1	:
Paso View Estates	10	7 :			1	1	; ;	!
Texas Parks and Wildlife - Hueco	16	3 1	X	X	-	1	1 1	;
Hueco Subtotal	1	:			281	117	1 :	1
EAST EL PASO	1	1			1	:	1 1	;
Adabe Inn	6 1	1 :	X		1	: 25	; ;	}
Butterfield Mobile Home Park	5 1				1	1 29	:	;
					1	1 39		1
IEI Paso County Water Authority		9 ;		¥	3000		1\$128,496,966	0.5325
IEI Paso Natural Bas Co Hueco Club		i i		•	1	. 5		
IEI Paso Truck Stop		21 /						
Fiesta Drive-In	-		Ŷ			1		
Honestead Mun. Util. Dist. \$1	9 1		Ŷ		•			1 11 1
Loves Country Store			-		1		1 \$12,232,49B ;	1.51
		! !	X		•	2	•	1
Montana Vista Elementary School	9 1	1 ;	X			1 3		i
East El Paso Subtotal	i				3000			;
ITOTALS	i	ŧ			1 40249	8877	;	}

Study Area	No. of Residents per Household
Upper Valley	4.7
Lower Valley	5.6
East El Paso	4.4
Hueco	3.6

In those cases where population could not be obtained by examination of the aerial photographs, such as at the Federal Correctional Institution at La Tuna, the number of residents was obtained from data obtained from the particular entity involved.

The present population of the study areas, determined as described above, was tabulated by identifiable areas, subdivisions and grid locations, and the results were compared with present population estimates of the City of El Paso Department of Planning, Research and Development for the same areas. Over 500 of these population groups were identified and are listed in Appendix D.


The number of residences, average number of persons per residence (after adjusting for special cases, such as the Federal Correctional Institute), and the total population of each study area were determined to be as follows:


Study Area	No. of Residences	Average Population per Residence	Total Population		
Upper Valley	2,970	5.21	15,459		
Lower Valley	7,400	5,51	40,809		
East El Paso	2,218	4.09	9,078		
Hueco	847	3.60	3,049		
Total	13,435	5.09	68,395		

After determining that there was essential agreement between the two population estimates, forecasts of future population were made to the year 2010 in ten year increments. These forecasts used the probable annual growth rates for each sub-area which have been predicted by the City of El Paso Department of Planning, Research and Development and by the Texas Water Development Board. These forecasts were shown on Figure 15 and tabulated by study areas and the various entities involved in Appendix D. The locations of population within the study area are shown on Figure 16.

C. Water and Wastewater Demand


After estimating the present and future population of the area, the water and wastewater demands of that population were determined using pertinent per capita demand figures supplied by El Paso Water Utilities; from previous studies made by Parkhill, Smith & Cooper, Inc.; in consideration of the Texas Department of Health requirements concerning minimum capacities of community water systems; and in consideration of the need to conserve water supplies. The values used ranged from 100 to 125 gallons per day per capita for water demand and from 50 to 60 gallons per day per capita for wastewater contribution. These values are shown on Figure 17.





capita per

Water and Sewer Contributions



Water Contribution

Sewer Contribution

The water demand and wastewater contribution quantities were determined for the previously discussed population and listed in Appendix D. The total potential water demand of the planning area, as shown on Figure 18, increases from about 8,000,000 gallons per day in 1990 to over 15,000,000 gallons per day in 2010. The water demands of the sub-areas are shown on Figure 19. The wastewater contributions by sub-areas are shown on Figure 20.






FIGURE No. 18





APPENDIX A

RIVER WATER QUALITY DATA

RESULTS OF SURFACE WATER ANALYSIS

Anions in mg/l	Jan-B6	Feb-86	Mar-86	Apr-86	May-B6	Jun-86	Jul-86	Aug-86	Sep-86	Oct-86	Nov-86	Dec-86 Jan-87 Feb-87	Mar-87	Apr-87	May-87	Jun-87	Jul-97 Aug-87	Sep-87
Phenol Alkalinity as CaCo3	5	5	6	7	9	5	5	4	8	3	1.5	3	4	3	3	1.8	4.3	5
Total Alkalinity as CaCo3	151	140	157	153	154	150	142	138	161	124	103	135	143	137	140	136	19.7	161
Carbonates as Co3	6	6	7.2	8.4	10.8	6	6	5	10	4	2	3.6	4.8	3.8	3.6	2.16	5.4	6
Bicarbonates as HCO3	172	159	177	170	166	171	161	159	177	144	122	157	165	160	163	162	229	184
Chlorides as Cl	85	87	75	70	60	66	57	61	82	48	37	65	54	46	47	46	115	110
Sufates as SO4	193	154	178	166	157	165	147	161	198	135	100	121	133	120	130	133	27:	217
Fluorides as F	0.6	0.55	0.5	0.48	0.47	0.49	0.46	0.47	0.52	0.49	0.38	0.45	0.54	0.57	0.49	0.56	0.73	0.68
Mitrates as NO3	< 4.43	< 4.43	₹ 4.43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.53	1.54	2.6	1.76
Total Phosphates as PO4	< 0.19	< 0.19	0.34	0.15	0.18	0.11	0.16	0.3	0.21	0.18	0.28	0.14	0.21	0	0.27	0.25	0.4	0.21
Cations in M6/1																		
Total Hardness as CaCo3	224	222	214	219	218	214	187	206	226	176	138	182	194	184	188	181	304	250
Calcium as Ca	70	60	74	70	67	66	61	66	73	54	43	57	60	52	59	57	94	78
Magnesium as Mg	12	18	6.8	10	12	12	8.3	9.7	11	9.7	7.4	9.59	10.68	13.11	9.83	9.35	15.5	13.36
Sodium as Na	108	91	101	92	86	84	80	89	111	74	55	73	72	65	73	72	158	138
Potassium as K	5.8	5.2	5.8	5.6	6.1	6.4	6	4.9	6.3	4.9	4.2	5	5	5	5	6.99	8.6	6.9
Total Dissolved Solids	652	580	626	592	565	577	527	556	669	474	371	145	505	135	147	490	271	236
Turbidity (avg) in MTU	450	143	89	58	65	203.1	269	* 237	107.33	215.58	112.8	40.94	23.12	35.73	46.71	87.87	68.1 189.7	65.37
pH	8.3	8.45	8.39	B.44	8.42	8.28	8.38	B.36	8.45	8.35	8.25	8.44	8.35	8.39	8.37	8.33	8.38 8.3	8.32
Bacteriological Results																		
In Col/100ml (max)		11000	11000	9400	11000	24000	24000	11000	9400	5500	24000	6200	16000	11000	6200	11000	3600 7000	38000
In Col/100ml (min)		1100	3300	1100	2300	3600	6200	3600	3600	2300	13000	2300	2300	2100	3600	2300	1600 210	11000

APPENDIX B

CORRESPONDENCE WITH NEW MEXICO STATE ENGINEER



Mr. Steve Reynolds, P.E. State Engineer State of New Mexico Bataan Memorial Building State Capitol Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503

Dear Sir:

Parkhill, Smith and Cooper is preparing a water supply and wastewater disposal plan to serve the residents of El Paso County, Texas who live outside the City of El Paso.

Among the possible sources of water being considered to supply those residents are the surface waters of the Rio Grande and the ground waters of the Mesilla and Hueco Bolsons.

It is our understanding, however, that (1) all waters of the Rio Grande in this area are fully appropriated and can be accessed only by contracts with either the Elephant Butte Irrigation District or the El-Paso County Water Improvement District No. 1; and (2) ground water can be produced from Dona Ana County wells only under permits issued by the New Mexico State Engineer office.

In consideration of the above, we would appreciate receiving your opinions and thoughts relating to the following questions:

- 1. Can contracts with the Elephant Butte Irrigation District for access to Rio Grande water in Dona Ana County be sold, or otherwise transferred, so as to permit access to that Rio Grande water in El Paso County, Texas for municipal uses?
- 2. Can Rio Grande water received in Dona Ana County by contract with the Elephant Butte Water District be transported by pipeline to El Paso County, Texas for municipal uses?

Mr. Steve Reynolds August 31, 1987 Page 2

3. Can ground water produced from duly licensed wells in Dona Ana County be transported by pipeline to El Paso County, Texas for municipal consumption?

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

PARKHILL, SMITH & COOPER, INC.

By Daniel B. Knorr, P.E.

Project Manager

DBK/jd



STATE OF NEW MEXICO

STATE ENGINEER OFFICE SANTA FE

S. E. REYNOLOS STATE ENGINEER

September 10, 1987

BATAAN MEMORIAL BUILDING STATE CAPITOL SANTA FE NEW MEXICO 87503

Mr. Daniel Knorr, P.E. Project Manager PARKHILL, SMITH & COOPER, INC. 810 E. Yandell El Paso, Texas 79902

Dear Mr. Knorr:

Your August 31, 1987, letter requests my opinion and thoughts on three questions. Each of those questions involves matters at issue in the ongoing administrative hearings before the State Engineer on the City of El Paso's applications to appropriate water from wells in the Mesilla and Hueco Bolsons in New Mexico. My opinions and thoughts on the issues would be premature, at best; however, I am pleased to invite your attention to Section 72-1-9, NMSA 1978 and Chapter 72, Article 12 of NMSA 1978. Your consideration of these New Mexico statutes may provide helpful guidance for consultation with your attorneys, which I recommend.

Sincerely

S. E. Reynolds

State Engineer SER:pt

APPENDIX C

ONSITE SYSTEMS

	ID	: ENTITY	: SR	ID	Average :	1987	: Soil	Perc.	Rate	: Dept	h to	On-site :
	1 NO.	1	LOCA	TION	Lot Size	Resid-	1 Type	in.	/hr.	: Grnd	Wtr	System
	;				sq. ft. :							Suitable?
			;					;				!
		UPPER VALLEY					. =					
					42,000		165	0.06			8.5	
					44,300		IDCB DCD	2			50	
					3,000		IPAA BPC AGB	0.63				
					220,000		IDCB DCD	2			50	
					205,000		DCB DCD	2			50	
					218,000		DCB DCD	2			50	
					253,000		IDCB DCD	1 2			50	
					272,000		IDCB DCD	1 2			50	
					218,000		IDCB DCD	1 2			50	
Va					219,000		:DCB DCD	2			50 50	-
					208,000		IDEB DCD	2			50	•
					19,500		lHa Sa	. 0.06			8.5	
~.~=		:Gaslight Square Water Distribution :Greenbriar					IDCB BPC IHa Hk	1 2			50 0 s	
			1 2		41,800	2		0.06				
			1	2 3		2		1 2			8.5 8.5	
		#6rid 0103 #6rid 0201	1 1	1 1		5		1 0.06		1 6	8.5	
			12	3	_	. 5		_			8.5	
		Grid 0202	-	3		9		1 2				
		lGrid 0203	2	4		2		1 0.06		1 6	8.5 8.5	
		16rid 0204	1 2	14		1		1 0.2		1 6	15	
		Brid 0214		15		3				1 6	16	
			2					0.06		1 6		
		Grid 0216	2	16		. 1 . 4		0.2		1 6	15 0 E	
		16rid 0301	3	1 1		1		1 0.06		1 6	8.5 8.5	
		16rid 0302	3	3		4	-	_		1 6	8.5	
		16rid 0303	: 3	4		13		0.06		1 2	8.5	
04.00		Serid 0304	: 3	6		2		0.06		; b		
		16rid 0306	-	7 :		2		0.63		1 6		
		16rid 0307	3	13		5		1 0.06		1 6		
		18rid 0313				2				1 6	15	
		Grid 0314 Brid 0315	1 3 1 3	14 15		1		0.06		1 6	16	
						2	•			-		
		16rid 0401 16rid 0402	4	1 2		16		0.06			8.5 8.5	
		16rid 0403	, 7 , 8	3		8		0.06		; 6	8.5	
		16rid 0404	! ¶!	4		10		0.06		1 6	8.5	
		16rid 0405		5		3		0.06		1 6	8.5	
		16rid 0406	. T	6		5		1 0.63		. 6	B.5	
		16rid 0407		7 :		8		. 0.06		1 6	8.5	
		16rid 0408	. T	8		8		0.63		. 6	15	
		16rid 0409		9 1		3		0.06		1 6	8.5	
		18rid 0411	! A	11		3		; 0.06		1 6	8.5	
		16rid 0412	, <u> </u>	12		3		0.06		: 6	8.5	
		16rid 0414	. T	14		2		0.06		. 6	15	
		16rid 0415		15		2		0.2		1 6	16	
		16rid 0416	. T	16		1		0.2		; 6	15	
		18rid 0502	5	2		13		0.06		. 6	8.5	
		18rid 0503	5	3 :		2		0.06		;	B.5	
		18r1d 0504	. 5	4		2		0.06			8.5	
		Serid 0505	1 5	5		5		0.63			8.5	
·			5	8		9		0.63		. 6	15	
		imiew vwvw		- '		•	•					

	: 10 : NO.				: Average : Lot Size			Perc. Rate in./hr.	•	On-site System
	!	1	I EW	NS	sq. ft.	ences		Minimum Maximum	- Min. Max.	Buitable?
98 **** #	1 128	Grid 0509	5	9		34		0.06	6 8.5	-,, 1 No 1
	1 139	16rid 0510	; 5	10	;	2	1	0.63	1 6 8.5	i No i
	1 150	16rid 0511	: 5	11	: :	33	1	0.06	1 6 8.5	i No i
	1 161	16rid 0512	: 5	12	:	33	}	0.06	1 6 8.5	! No !
	172	16rid 0513	5	13	: ;	2	1	1 0.06	6 8.5	i No i
	1 198	18rid 0515	: 5	15	;	1	}	1 0.06	1 6 16	i No i
		'6rid 0516	: 5	16	: :	2	!	1 0.06	6 15	i No i
<u>.</u>	1 41	Grid 0601	6.	1		4	1	6.3	6 8.5	l No l
	1 52	l6rid 0602	6	2		2	1	1 6.3	1 6 8.5	i No i
	1 63	!Grid 0603	6	3	l	5	}	0.63	1 6 8.5	i No i
		Grid 0604	6	4	:	5	;	1 0.63	6 8.5	i No i
		Srid 0605	6	5	:	11	!	0.63	6 8.5	i No i
		Grid 0606	: 6	6	- 1	12	1	0.63	1 6 B.5	l No l
		Brid 0607	6	7		3	1	1 0.63	1 . 6 8.5	l No i
		Grid 0608	6	8		13	1	0.63	6 15	i No i
		Grid 0609	6	9		35	1	1 0.06	1 6 8.5	i No i
		Brid 0610	6	10		6	1	0.2	6 8.5	i No i
		Grid 0611		11		10	}	0.06	1 6 8.5	
		Brid 0612	6	12	: :	10	1	1 0.06	1 6 8.5	i No i
		Grid 0614	6	14		1	1	0.06	1 6 15	
		Grid 0701	7	1	;	2	1	0.2	1 6 B.5	
		Grid 0702	1 7	2	1	7	1	1 6.3	6 8.5	i No i
		16rid 0703	7	3	1	33	1	6.3	6 8.5	
		lBrid 0704	1 7	4	; ;	6		0.63	6 8.5	
		16rid 0706	1 7	6	i i	43	1	: 0.63	1 6 8.5	i No i
		16rid 0707	1 7	7		24		1 0.63	6 8.5	
		Grid 0708	7	8	: I	76	ł	0.63	1 6 15	
		16rid 0715 La Tuna	7	15	;		1	1 0.63	6 16	
		iGrid 0801	: 8	1	:	72		6.3	15 50	l No i
		16rid 0804	8	4		3		1 2	1 15 50	l No l
		16rid 0805	: 8	5		23		1 0.63	1 15 50	
i v. robej		16rid 0806	: 8	6		28		1 0.63	15 50	
		16rid 0808	1 0	8		9		1 2	1 15 50	
		16rid 0809	: 8	9		4		1 0.63	1 15 50	
		Brid 0901	9	1 :		0		1 2	1 15 50	
		16rid 0905	9	5		1		1 2	1 15 50	
			10	1		0		2	1 15 50	
				13		1		2	1 15 50	
				14		1		1 2	1 15 50	
			11	1		0		; 2	15 50	
		La Union Estates	6	5	•		16c Ha		6 8.5	
		Hayfair #1	7	8			IPaa A6b		15 50	
		Mayfair #2	7	8	•		Paa A6b		1 15 50	
		Hayfair #3	7	8 3			IPaa A6b		15 50	
		Hayfair #4	7	8 1			iPaa A6b	1 0.63 2		
				10	•		IDCB	2 6.3		
		Mountain Valley Unit 1	4	7	•		ISa	: 0.06 -	6 8.5	
~~		Mowad Water Distribution	7	2 :	•		IMg	1 (.06 -	6 8.5	
		Nu-way Addition	7	7 1	•		Paa A6b		1 6 8.5	
		Ponderosa Mobile Homes	5	6 1	•			1 0.06 0.2		
		!Prado Verde #1.#2,#3	5	1	•		iTg Hk	1 0.06 0.2		
	i 3	Schuman Estates	5	5 :	20,400	3	lHa Ga Hk Sa	: 0.06 2	: 6 8.5	: No :

	: ID	I ENTITY	; GR	ID :	Average	1987	!	Soil	l Perc.	Rate	: Dep	th to	On-site
e-CeTa	: NO.	1 1	ILDCA	TION	Lot Size	Resid-	}	Type	in.	/hr.	: Grn	d Wtr	System
	;	1			sq. ft.								Suitable?
•		15	-										•
-		Serene Acres	1 6		37,153		Ha	DDO	1 0.02			8.5	
		Subdivision N. of Gaslight Square						BPC	1 2		15		
	_		; 7					BPC AGB	1 0.63				
			8					ASB BPC	0.63		15		
			1 5			132			1 0.06		1 6		
		•	1 4		•		Ha		; 0.2				
		iNestway	1 10		•			DCD	1 2		1 15		
		•			16,800			DCD	1 2		1 15		
		•	1 10					DCD	1 2		1 15		
		:Westway Unit #4	1 10					DCD	1 2		1 15		
#****					11,900			DCD	1 2		! 15		
	1 35	Westway Unit #7	1 10	12	24,400	4	DCB	DCD	; 2	6.3	: 15	20	! Yes !
	I AAD	LOWER VALLEY			145 577		10-		1 0/7	•		17	1 V 1
		iAdobe	1 7		145,273		16a	171.	0.63		1 10		
		Alameda Estates	: 8		11,200		:Tg		0.06		1 10		
			1 9		37,800			Hk An	0.06		8.5		
		lAlgodon	; B		5,500		iTg		0.06		10		
			; 9		15,000			Hk Tg Sc	0.06		1 8.5		
		•	1 6		14,973		1 T g		1 0.06		1 8.5		
					161,172			Hk An Ha	1 0.06		1 8.5		
			1 11				Hk		0.06		1 10		
		Bauman Estates			20,000			Sc Ba Sa	1 0.06		1 8.5		
		Bauman Estates #2	1 10		20,500			Hk Sa	0.06		1 8.5		
		Belen Plaza	10						0.06		1 8.5		
		Bosque Bonita			19,800				1 0.06		1 B.5		
					19,500		Hk	-	1 0.06		1 8.5		
		Buford View Estates			15,000	11	lAn		6.3		1 10		No
20 c 1 45 .	709	lBurbridge Acres	: 9	14	43,560	34	165	Sa	1 0.06	0.2	1 8.5	10	No 1
	437	Calcutta Subdivision	1 11	4	10,100	В	l Hk	Sc	1 0.06		1 10		i No i
	710	Campo Bello Estates	; 7	15	21,000	0	l Hk		0.06	0.052	1 8.5	10	i No i
	606	(Colonia de Las Azales #1	; 3	13	21,000	54	۱۷n	An Ha	: 0.06	20	1 8.5	10	! No !
4-47	607	Colonia de Las Azales #2	; 3	13	20,000		l Ha	An Hk	1 0.06	20	1 8.5	10	i No i
	611	Colonia de Las Dalias #1	1 3	15	13,000	31	16e	Tg Hk	1 0.06	0.2	1 8.5	10	1 No 1
	612	:Colonia de Las Dalias #2	; 3	16	21,000	0	Ha	Sa 6d	1 0.06	0.63	1 8.5	10	i No i
	705	Colonia Del Rio #1	1 5	17	21,770	51	!Vn	Ha	0.2	6.3	1 8.5	10	l No l
	706	¦Colonia Del Rio #2	: 5	17	76,000		! Vn	Ha	1 0.2	6.3	1 8.5	10	l No i
1	707	Colonia Del Rio #3	1 5	17	79,500		! Vn	Ha Gc	0.2	0.3	1 B.5	10	i No i
	616	Community of San Elizario	1 3	14		157	i Ge	Ha	1 0.06	0.63	1 8.5	10	i No i
	702	!Connington	1 19	20	23,086	21	{Bk	Tg Vn	1 0.06	6.3	1 8.5	10	1 No 1
	411	!Country Green Addition	1 11	8	9,758	180	:Tg	Sc Hk	1 0.06	0.2	1 8.5	10	l No l
		IDelipp Subdivision	: 11	3	•		l Hk	Sc	1 0.06	0.2	1 10	13	i No i
	442	IEL Campestre	1 6	10	-		Hk	Ka 6s 6a 6d	51 0.06	2	1 8.5	10	1 No 1
		Ellen Park	1 10	Ь:	•		15c	Hk	: 0.06	0.2	1 10	13	1 No 1
	715	(Fabens Area (MCID #4)	: 9	33	•		¦Hk	An PAA	1 0.06	20	1 8.5	10	No !
		Frank	1 7				lTg		1 0.06		1 10		
		Friedman Estates #1	1 7	10	•		185	Tg	1 0.06		1 B.5	10	1 No 1
		Friedman Estates #2			10,750			Tg An Ha Ga			1 8.5		i No i
		(Gloria Elena	1 4		•			•	0.06		1 8.5		
		(Glorieta Addition			22,880			Hk &c	1 0.06		1 8.5		
		Gonzales Subdivision			21,500			6s Hk	1 0.06		1 8.5		
		16rid 0313			9,500				0.06		1 8.5		
		16rid 0318			22,500				0.06		B.5		
		.				-							

4/a	ID NO.			: Average : Lot Size		Soil Type	Perc. Rate in./hr.	•	1 On-site : 1 System :
	1	;		sq. ft.		1740	Minimum Maximum		•
	: 640	 Grid 0319		B0,000	1 {		0.06	B.5 10	 No
		16rid 0409		97,500			0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0410		18,000			0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0411		30,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0415		10,000			0.06	B.5 10	
		Brid 0416		16,500			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0417		10,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0419		60,000			0.06	8.5 10	
		18rid 0420	4 20				0.06	: B.5 10	
		Grid 0421	1 4 21 1				0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Grid 0510		18,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0511		30,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		18rid 0513		15,000		-	: 0.06	1 8.5 10	I No I
		Grid 0514		10,000			0.2	1 8.5 10	l No l
		16rid 0516		10,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	1 No 1
	628	16rid 0517		30,000			: 0.06	1 8.5 10	! No !
	1 629	Grid 0518	; 5 18 ;	45,000	1 1		1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
w. +4	1.734	16rid 0519	1 5 19 1	25,000	2 1		0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	780	Grid 0523		22,500			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	! No !
	11003	16rid 0532		22,500			: 0.06	1 8.5 10	
	11007	lGrid 0533		26,000			1 0.06	1 B.5 10	l No i
	11013	Grid 0534		29,400			1 0.06	8,5 10	No
	11037	16rid 0538		35,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0539		30,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
~~~		16rid 0540		100,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0541		15,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0604		19,500			1 0.06	1 10 13	
		Grid 0605	1 6 5	•			1 0.06	1 10 13	
		lGrid 0606		14,000			0.63	1 10 13	
		16rid 0607	167	•			1 0.06	1 B.5 10	
		16rid 0608		135,000			: 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Brid 0609		60,000			: 0.06 : 0.06	1 8.5 10 1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0610		32,400			: 0.06	; 8.5 10	
		Grid 0611  Grid 0613		13,000     22,000			: 0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0614	; 6 13 ; ; 6 14 ;				1 0.2	8.5 10	
		16rid 0615	; 6 15 t	•			: 0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0616		15,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
W. Antiqu		16rid 0617		22,500			0.2	8.5 10	
		16/10 0017 16/10 0619	6 19	-			0.06	8.5 10	
		Srid 0620		50,000			0.06	8.5 10	
		iGrid 0621		32,400			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0622	6 22				1 0.06	1 B.5 10	
		16rid 0623	6 23	•			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Brid 0631		19,500			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Grid 0632	6 32	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
		Grid 0633		22,500			1 0.06	1 B.5 10	i No i
	11012	Brid 0634	1 6 34	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
-	:1018	iBrid 0635		14,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
	11036	l6rid 0638		20,400			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Grid 0643		50,000			1 0.06	; 8.5 10 ; 10 13	
		l6rid 0703		17,000	65 ;		: 0.06	10 13	: No :

	i NO.	ENTITY		Average   Lot Size		Soil Type	Perc. Rate in./hr.	•	On-site     System
	i	] 		sq. ft.			Hinimum Maximum	Min. Max.	Suitable?
	1 454	  Grid 0704		6,500	•	************	0.06	10 13	·!:
	1 458	Grid 0705		7,000			1 6.3	1 10 13	
		Grid 0706		14,400			0.63	1 10 13	
		16rid 0707		10,200			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0708		18,000			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0709		52,800			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	: No :
		16rid 0712		10,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	: 618	Brid 0713		25,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	No
	1 624	16rid 0715		19,500			: 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No l
	721	Grid 0716		18,200			0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	1 723	Brid 0717	; 7 17 ;	37,000	5 !		0.2	1 8.5 10	I No I
	1 737	Grid 0720		20,000			0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	1 766	Grid 0721	1 7 21 1	12,800	1 1		0.06	1 8.5 10	l No l
	902	6rid 0722	1 7 22 1	130,000	1 1		. 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
_	1 905	16rid 0723		28,600			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	1 778	Grid 0723	1 7 23 1	51,000	1 1		: 0.06	1 B.5 10	: No :
	1 908	lGrid 0724	1 7 24 1	42,500	2 1		: 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	1 788	16rid 0725		18,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	l No l
	1 794	16rid 0726		20,400			1 0.06	8.5 10	i No i
		6rid 0727		14,400			1 0.06	8.5 10	i No i
		16rid 0728		14,400			1 0.06	1 B.5 10	i No i
		16rid 0731		13,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	=
		16rid 0732		82,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Grid 0733		40,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0734		39,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0735		40,000			0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0736	7 36	•			: 0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0737		44,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Grid 0738	1 7 38 1	•			1 0.06	1 B.5 10	
		16rid 0739		62,500			: 0.06	8.5 10   8.5 10	
****		Grid 0740  Grid 0743		20,000			; 0.06 ; 0.06	8.5 10   8.5 10	
		Grid 0742  Grid 0743		37,500 ( 40,000 (			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0745		60,000			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		Grid 0803		12,000			1 2	1 10 13	
		Grid 0804	1 8 4 1				0.06	1 10 13	
		Brid 0805	1851	•			0.06	1 10 13	
		Grid 0806	: 8 6 :	•			1 0.63	1 10 13	
		16rid 0807	187	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Brid 0808	: 8 8 :	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0809	1891	-			1 0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0810		19,500			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Grid 0811	; 8 11 ;				: 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Brid 0813	8 13	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	; No ;
		Brid 0814		15,000			: 0.2	1 8.5 10	l No i
		Brid 0815		43,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	l No 1
		Brid 0816		25,000			: 0.06	1 8.5 10	l No i
	722	Grid 0817	1 8 17 1	12,000	4 1		1 0.2	1 8.5 10	
-	726	Grid 0818		15,000			: 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		6rid 0819		18,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		Grid 0820		20,000			: 0.06	8.5 10	
	765	Grid 0821	1 8 21 1	35,000	3 1		1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i

	; ID				Average			Perc. Rate		On-site
- rende	; NO.				Lot Size		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	l in./hr.		System
	1				sq. ft. :			Minimum Maximum		Suitable?   -
	1 771				20,000			. 0.06	B.5 10	
		Grid 0822			27,000			0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0823			14,400			1 0.06		i No i
	1 907	16rid 0824 :		24 :			<b>!</b>	1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
of Aug	1 910	16rid 0825		25	•			: 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		16rid 0826		26	•			0.06	8.5 10	
		16rid 0826		26 1	•			0.06		l No i
		16rid 0827	8.		•			0.06		i No i
		#6rid 0828		28 ¦ 28 ¦	•			: 0.06 : 0.06	1 8.5 10 1 8.5 10	i No i
		16rid 0829		29 i	•			1 0.06		; No :
r**•		Grid 0830		30	•			0.06		l No l
		16rid 0830		30 1	•			1 0.06		l No l
		16rid 0831 :		31 :	•			0.06	1 8.5 10	: No :
	1 828	Grid 0832	8	32 :			!	0.06	1 8.5 10	1 No 1
	11004	l6rid 0833 !		33 :	•	3	1	1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
		16rid 0834 :		34 :	•			0.06		l No l
		Grid 0837		37 ;	•			0.06		l No i
		16rid 0838		38 :	•			0.06		No i
		Brid 0843		43 : 46 :	•			: 0.06 : 0.06		i No i
		16rid 0846   1 16rid 0903   1	8 9	3 ;	•			; 2		i No i
		Grid 0905	9	5 :	•			0.06		No 1
			9	6 1	•			0.63		l No i
			9	7 :	•			0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	1 468	Grid 0908	9	8 :	40,000	2	1 1	1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
	1 473	l6rid 0910 l	9	10	5,000			0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
-		lGrid 0912		12	•			0.06		I No I
		Grid 0914		14	•			0.06	18.5 10	
				18 ;	•			0.06	18.5 10	
		16rid 0919		19   21	•			: 0.06 : 0.06	8.5 10 8.5 10	
		6rid 0921			45,000   27,000			1 0.06	; 8.5 10	
		16rid 0722			13,000	_	•	1 0.06		i No i
		16rid 0923			14,300			1 0.06		l No l
		f6rid 0924		24 :	-			. 0.06		Ho !
	1 909	Grid 0925	9	25	24,000	3	! !	0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
		Grid 0926			15,000			1 0.06		i No i
		Grid 0927			34,500			1 0.04		No
		Grid 0928		28 ;	•			0.06		i No i
		16rid 0929		29 1	•			1 0.06		l No I
		16rid 0931		31   34	•			1 0.06		: No :
		16rid 0935		35	•			: 0.06		i No i
		16rid 0936			12,000			; 0.06		I No I
		Grid 0937			16,000			1 0.06		l No l
		Grid 0938		38 :	•			0.06		No 1
		Grid 0939			17,000			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
		Grid 0941		41 1	•			0.06		l No i
		Grid 0942   1			20,000			1 0.06		No 1
		16rid 0943			18,000			0.06		No i
province.	11133	Brid 0944	7	44 i	36,000	4	i	1 0.06	1 8.5 10	l No l

! ID			Average			Perc. Rate		On-site
· : NO.			Lot Size		· · ·	l in./hr.		System
i 			: sq. ft. : !			Minimum Maximum	_	Suitable?  
11138			72,000			0.06	8.5 10	
-		9 46	-			0.06	1 8.5 10	
1 474	Grid 1002	10 2	140,000			0.06	1 10 13	Yes 1
1 478	Srid 1003	10 3	20,000	4	}	0.06	1 10 13	! No !
1 482	/Brid 1004	10 4			l	0.06	1 10 13	
		10 5				0.06	1 10 13	
		10 4	,			0.04	1 10 13	
		10. 7	•			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 8	•			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 9	•			0.06	1 B.5 10	
		10 10	•			1 0.06	; 8.5 10 ; 8.5 10	
		10 11 1 10 12				1 0.06 1 0.06	18.5 10	
		10 12 10 14				0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 15				1 0.06	1 B.5 10	
		10 16				0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 19				0.06	8.5 10	
		10 19	•			0.06	B.5   10	
		10 20				1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 20	•				8.5 10	
			125,000			0.06	: 8.5 10	
		10 23			<u>.</u>	0.06	1 8.5 10	l No l
1 782		10 24			;	1 0.04	1 8.5 10	! No !
1 786	lBrid 1025	10 25	36,000	3		0.06	1 8.5 10	i No i
- 1 792	Grid 1026	10 26			1	0.06	1 8.5 10	No i
: 810	16rid 1029	10 29	•	8		0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 30	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
•		10 31	•			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 32	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 39	•			0.06	1 8.5 10	
		10 40	•			1 0.06	18.5 10	
		1 10 41	•			1 0.06 1 0.06	1 8.5 10 1 8.5 10	
		10 42	-			; 0.06	8.5 10	
		10 45				1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		11 2				0.06	10 13	
		11 3	•			0.06	1 10 13	
		11 4	•			1 0.06	1 10 13	
_		11 5	-			1 0.06	1 10 13	
		11 6				1 0.06	1 10 13	
		11 7	*			1 0.06	1	i No i
		11 7				1 0.06	1	I No I
1 498	16rid 1108	11 8			! !	1 0.04	1 8.5 10	
502		111 9	•			1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		11 10				0.06	B.5   10	
		11 11				1 0.06	18.5 10	
		11 12				1 0.04	8.5 10	
		11 13				0.06	1 8.5 10	
		11 14				1 0.06	1 8.5 10	
		11 15				1 0.06	: 8.5 10 : B.5 10	
		11 16				1 0.06	# B.5 10 # B.5 10	
- i/9/	:Brid 1117	11 17	1 35000	4	1	. 0.00	ו הים ו	, RU I

	ţ	ID	! ENTITY	; 6F	ID :	Average :	1987	1	Soil	Perc.	Rate	: Dep	th to	On-site	ŀ
• ***	-	NO.				Lot Size :			Type					: System	
	1					i sq. ft. i				Minimum	Maximum	iMin.	Max.	Suitable?	1
												•			1
*~*					19					0.06		8.5			i
					20					0.06		1 8.5			;
						14,000				1 0.06		B.5			i
					21					0.06		1 8.5 1 B.5	10 10		i
						36,000 l				0.06		1 8.5	10		!
						22,500				0.06		8.5	10		!
-						1B,000				0.06		8.5	10		:
						13,000				0.06		8.5	10		:
						12,500				0.06		1 8.5	10		1
						22,000				0.06		1 8.5	10		ł
-1						45,000 ;				0.2		1 10	13	l Yes	;
	1	488		12		10,000		†		1 0.2		1 10	13	l - No	1
	.;	492	16rid 1206	12	6	21,000	31	ł		0.06		10	13	l No	1
	ł	304	16rid 1207	12	7	12,000	70	;		0.06		!		. No	1
	1	308	Grid 1208	12	8	15,600	19	}		0.06		1		i No	ì
	1	499	Grid 1208	12	8 3	15,000	1	!		0.06		8.5	10	i No	ł
arabba.				12		75,000				1 0.06		8.5			ł
						30,000				1 0.06		8.5			1
						30,000				0.06		1 8.5			!
					13					0.06		8.5			i
					19					0.06		1 8.5			i
					20					0.06		8.5	10		i
				1 12	21					0.06		1 8.5	10		i
					21	-				1 0.06		1 8.5			i
						12,000 1				1 0.06		1 8.5	10 13		ì
				13		20,000 1				1 0.06		10		i No i No	!
				1 13 1 13	6 1	15,000     15,000	-			0.06		10			!
				1 13	7					1 0.06		!	10	i No	!
				1 13	8	•				0.06		:		l No	:
				13		15,000				0.06		8.5	10		:
						10,000				0.06		; 8.5			i
					35			1		0.06		1 8.5			1
						9,600 1				: 0.06		1 8.5			1
						10,125		Ha (	An	0.2	20	1 10	13	: No	1
	i	300		11		6,000		Hk (	An	1 0.06	20	1 10	13	l No	ł
	į	703	Hacienda Real	1 11	19	55,981	9	lHa l	Hk	: 0.06	0.63	: B.5	10	i No	ł
	ľ	301	Hillcrest Manor	: 11	7	10,000	20	lAn i	Hk	: 0.06		1 B.5			1
	ļ	424		10	5	•		Ha 6	65	1 0.06		1 10			1
				1 8	6	-		16c		1 0.63		: 10			1
parte rise.				: 8	4	•		165		1 0.06		1 10			!
				6		15,900			Be Sa	0.06		1 8.5			i.
			·	8	7	•		iAn		6.3		8.5			i
****			•	8		19,700		16s		1 0.06	V. 2	8.5			i
				: 3		21,400				0.06	_	18.5			i
			Lexis	1 6		20,000 1		iTg	Ce C.	0.06		1 8.5			!
***				1 10		43,560 : 10,700 :		ink t	6s Sa Hr	0.06		; B.5			!
			•	1 4		20,600 1		Sa '		1 0.06		; B.5			:
				11		9,600		15a  Tg	-	0.06		: B.5			i
DMINE 4			•	111		21,000		iHk i		0.06		: 8.5			i
	•	***	1130 (101 D.		• '	, , , ,	-		= =					<del>.</del>	٠

4.070	NO.				<u>-</u>	1987			Soil	: Perc.				On-site	
					Lot Size				Туре					System	
;					sq. ft.									Suitable?	
_ 	439		-		20,473					0.06				-	
;	423		; 9		11,000		165			: 0.04		1 10			
ļ	401	Moon #1	1 9		11,700				Ha Ga	1 0.06		: 10			
!	402				10,000		Hk	_		1 0.06		1 10			
					6,900		160			0.63		1 10			
1	404				9,200		!Vn			1 2		: 10			
}	700				21,000				<del>6</del> c	1 0.06		8.5			
		· ·			13,900		1Vn			1 2		1 10			
					11,000		:6e			1 0.06		1 8.5			
1	418				21,780		lAn		٧n	1 0.06		1 8.5			
					13,200		1Hk	_		1 0.06		8.5			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			67,015		!Ha		-	0.2		1 8.5		No	
1	712				22,500		165			1 0.06		1 8.5		i No i	
1	447				20,000		Br			; 2		1 10		i No i	
	426				8,700		l Hk			1 0.06		: 10			
1	414				21,000		Hk	An		: 0.06	20	1 8.5	10	i No i	
					21,000		lAn	65	Hk	1 0.06	20	1 10	13	! No 1	
					39,204		:Hk			0.06	0.2	1 8.5	10	i No i	
ł	408				9,000		185			1 0.06		1 10		! No !	
ļ	405	<u>-</u>	1 8		•		ITg	65		: 0.06		; 10		! No !	
		•	1 10		•		165			1 0.06		1 8.5		l No l	•
1	302		1 9		7,400		<b>!Sa</b>			0.06		1 10		i No i	
}	701		1 19		•		16e			1 0.06		1 8.5		1 No 1	
			; 9		•	287			65	1 0.06		1 8.5		! No !	
;	1147	Town of Tornillo (Est. boundary)	1 11	43	}	115	Į.			: 0.06		1 8.5	10	i No i	
		•	1 6	11	20,205	23	Hk	6e		1 0.06	0.2	1 8.5	10	1 No 1	
ł	603	:Valle Villa #1	1 5	13	11,050		16e	Hk	65	: 0.04	0.2	1 8.5	10	l No 1	
:	604	(Valle Villa #2	: 5	13	20,000	}	16e	Αn		1 0.06	20	1 8.5	10	! No !	
1	429	lVilla Espana	1 8	5	13,900	40	:6c	Hk	An	1 0.06	20	1 10	13	! No !	
;	433	lVinedo Acres	1 7	8	35,900	39	165	бa	Tg	1 0.06	2	1 8.5	10	i No i	
!	609	lWilbourn	1 5	15	9,400	1	165			1 0.04	0.2	1 B.5	10	i No i	
}	704	Wildhorse Valley	1 11	18	20,000	17	Ha	Hk		1 0.06	0.63	1 8.5	10	I No I	
1	419	Wilton Acres	1 10	7	13,000	22	165			1 0.06	0.2	1 8.5	10	i No i	
ţ	422	Niseman Estates	1 9	6	20,000	32	165	Hk		: 0.06	0.2	: 10	13	l No l	
		HUECO													
1	1205	:Acacia Grove	;		}	}	HW			1 (0.06		1		i No i	
1	1206	Acacia Brove #2	1	1	! !	ł	HW			1 (0.06		ł		l No i	
			1 13				Hw			1 (0.06		1 50		i No i	
1:	120B	Butterfield Trail	1 5			144	Hw			1 (0.04		1 50		i No i	
		East Wind Estates	: 8	10			Hw			1 (0.06		1 50		i No i	
		lFlamingo Addition			44,090		HW			(0.06		: 50		i No i	
			1 7		252,000		HW			1 (0.06		1 50		! No !	
		Haciendas del Norte #2			124,000		HW			1 (0.04		1 50		i No i	
		:Haciendas del Norte #3	7		89,120		Hw			(0.06		50		i No i	
		Homestead Meadows Unit 2,3,4,5,6	; B		101,500					(0.06		1 50		No i	
		Hueco Tanks	1 16	3			Hw			(0.06		1 50		i No i	
		Las Casitas Unit 2	1 8				Hw			(0.06		1 50		l No l	
erima.			1 7				l Hw			(0.04		50		1 No	
		Section 0710		10			Hw			(0.06		: 50		i No i	
		(Section 0710 (Trailer Court)	1 7				Hw			(0.06		1 50		i No i	
		Section 0810		10			HW			1 (0.06		: 50		i No i	
;;	1226	Section 0907 (Subdivision?)	; 9	7	i i	24	lHw			1 (0.06		; 50		i No :	

	; ID				Average (			! Perc. Rate	•	: On-site :
-	! NO.				Lot Size		* *	in./hr.		System
	;	1	; <b>E</b> ₩ -}		sq. ft.	ences	t .1	Minisum   Maximum	inin. max.	ibultable/i
	11744	Section 0909	; 9			74	iHw	· // //	i 50	i No
		Section 0909 (Subdivision?)	1 7				inw iHw	; <0.06 ; <0.06	1 50	i No I
		Section 0910	1 7				i Hw	1 (0.06	1 50	i No i
		(Section 0910 (Subdivision?)	1 7				i Hw	1 <0.06	1 50	i No i
		Section 1006	1 10				Hw	{0.06	1 50	l No i
		Section 1007	1 10				iHu	1 (0.04	1 50	l No i
		(Section 1007 (Subdivision?)	1 10			129		(0.06	3 50	No i
_		Section 1008	10				iHw	: <0.06	50	l No 1
		Section 1009	1 10				lHw	1 (0.06	50	i No i
		(Section 1009 (Subdivision?)	1 10	9			1Hw	1 (0.06	1 50	l No i
		Section 1010	1 10				l Hw	(0.06	1 50	i No i
		Section 1107	1 11	7			! Hw	1 (0.06	: 50	l No l
		Section 1304	1 13	4			l Hw	1 (0.06	1 50	l No i
	11246	Section 1403	1 14	3	1	3	l Hw	(0.06	50	: No :
	11247	Section 1404	1 14	4		10	i Hw	(0.06	: 50	i No i
	11250	Section 1407	1 14	7	}	8	{Hw	: <0.06	; 50	i No i
	11251	Section 1408	1 14	8	}	1	l Hw	1 (0.06	1 50	i No i
	11252	Section 1409	1 14	9	;	1	lHw	1 <0.06	1 50	i No i
	11216	Section 1503	1 15	3	;	4	1Hw	1 <0.06	1 50	1 No I
	11253	Section 1504	; 15	4		7	lHw .	1 (0.06	ł <b>5</b> 0	i No i
	11258	Section 1509	; 15	9	}	2	Hu	1 <0.06	: 50	! No :
	11261	Section 1606	: 16	6			1 Kw	1 (0.06	\$ 50	i No i
		!Vista del Este	1 7		15,100		l Hw	1 (0.06	1 50	i No I
		Wilco Unit 1	1 14	2			Hw	1 (0.06	: 50	i of i
	11211	:Wilco Unit 5	1 13	3	;	1	1Hw	1 <0.06	: 50	i No i
		EAST EL PASO				-				
		lAgua Dulce	1 19			110		1	1 50	l No
		Cowlitz Estates			18,121		Hu	1 (0.06	50	i No i
		Deerfield Park			16,552		illw	(0.06	50	i No
		iDesert Glen			10,019		î Hu	(0.06	1 50	l No l
		Desert Mesa Estates	1 7			57		j I	1 50	i No i
		Golf View Estates		19		229		i 	i ! EA	! No !
		Hillcrest Estates		11			1Hu	1 (0.06	1 50	
		Homestead Homes #1,#2,#3	_		15,246		i Hw i Hw	<0.06   <0.06	: 50 : 50	i No i
		Homestead Meadows Homestead Meadows South Unit 1	; B	11	219,700		ine iHw	: <0.06	1 50	l No i
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 2	; 8	11			iHw	; <0.06	1 50	i No i
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 3	: 8		21,800		l Hw	1 (0.06	; 50	i No i
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 4	1 8	10	•		1Hu	1 <0.06	1 50	l No i
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 5		11	•		1Hw	(0.06	50	i No i
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 6			17,400		1Hw	1 (0.06	1 50	l No i
~~		Horizon Country Club Estates		19		229		1	1	I No I
		!Horizon Heights	1 6	19		229		!	•	l No l
		Horizon Hills	1 5	20			1	}	1	I No I
-		Horizon Manor	1 7	20		114	1	1	1 50	i No i
		Jason Estates	1 8		31,944		(Hw	(0.06	50	i No i
		Knott Acres			31,944		18w	(0.06	1 50	l No l
		!Lanes Dairy	1 10			. 6	1	1	: 50	i No i
		!Las Casitas #1,#3	; B	11	}	43	l Hw	1 <0.06	1 50	1 No 1
		!Las Buintas #1,#2	: 8	11	23,090	54	!Hw	(0.06	: 50	1 No 1
	11319	Headows South	;		}	}	1Hw	1 (0.06	1 50	1 No 1
	11324	lMesa View Estates	1 8	11	15,246	; 9	l Hw	1 <0.06	: 50	1 No 1

El Paso County Dosite System Data

; ID ; NO.	: ENTITY					Average ot Size					; ;		Rate /hr.		,			n-site ystem	
}						sq. ft.												itable'	?;
						15,246			l Hw	,		(0.06		;	50		1	No	;
11322	Satiacum Estates	;	8	11	;	18,121	1	4	:Hw	1	;	(0.06		;	50		}	Nο	;
11346	(Section 031)	;	3	11	ì		;	1	1	;	;			;	50		ì	No	;
11349	Section 0411	<u> </u>	4	11	1		}	30	1	! !	;			1	50		}	No	1
11348	(Section 0411 (Trailer Park)	1	4	11	;		}	60	1	!	;			1	50		;	No	;
11334	Section 0421		4	21	!		;	53		;	1			-	10	50	;	No	,
11352	Section 0511	1	5	11			;	7		1	1			;	50		į	No	¦
11338	Section 0923	;	9	23	;		}	14	1	;	;			1	50		}	No	,
11339	Section 0924	;	9	24			-	1	į	}	;			;	50		1	No	-
11340	Section 0925	}	9	25	;		;	12		;	;			1	50		1	No	¦
11358	Section 1110	ļ	11	10	ì		}	2			i i			}	50		ŀ	No	ļ
11333	Section #293 (0321)	ļ	3	21	;		;	27		1	,			ļ	10	13	1	No	}
11311	Southwest Estates	ţ	В	10	;	40,965	;		¦H₩	;	;	(0.06		ì	50		;	No	1
11325	Sparks Addition #1	;	4	20	;	56,700	1	303	BPC	ļ	!	6.3	20	) ;	10	20	;	Yes	¦
11326	Sparks Addition #2	ì	4	20	1	14,560	;		1BPC	;	1	6.3	20	1	10	20	1	No	ł
11327	ISparks Addition ₹3	;	4	20	ļ ,	9,900	ł		BPC	!	<u>;</u>	6.3	20	)	10	20	ì	No	;
11328	Sparks Addition #4	1	4	20	;	8,400	1		BPC	;	<u>}</u>	6.3	20	) }	10	20	1	Νo	ŗ
11310	lTierra de Oro	}	4	13	ì		ì	0	¦H₩	}	¦ •	(0.06		ţ	50		;	No	}
11321	:Tillicum Estates	1	8	11	;	15,246	1 .		∃H₩	;		(0.06		;	50		}	No	ļ
11307	Wurf Estates	ì	3	11	}		}		¦Н <b>ы</b>	9	;	(0.06		1	50		1	No	;
11308	(Turf Estates (Unplatted)	ł	3	11	ļ		ţ	52	}	;	;			ì	50		!	No	1

Unsite System Suitability Criteria: Lot size > 22,000 sq. ft. Percolation rate > 0.06 min/in Depth to Broundwater > 10 ft.

## APPENDIX D

POPULATION DATA

Ho.   NO.	Population :  47 ;  0 ;  2397 ;  0 ;  0 ;  0 ;  0 ;  0 ;  0 ;  0 ;
UPPER VALLEY   1	47; 0; 2397; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0;
UPPER VALLEY   1 24   Adelante Estates	0; 2397; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0;
1   24   Adelante Estates	0; 2397; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0;
1   15   Aztec Acres	0; 2397; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0;
1   27   Canutillo	2397 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 400 ;
1   16   Colonia Vista Unit 1   12   15   64.693   10   220,000   0   4.7     1   17   Colonia Vista Unit 2   12   15   82.21   14   205,000   0   4.7     1   18   Colonia Vista Unit 3   12   15   61.75   11   218,000   0   4.7     1   19   Colonia Vista Unit 3   12   15   65.893   10   255,000   0   4.7     1   1   20   Colonia Vista Unit 5   12   15   42.211   6   272,000   0   4.7     1   21   Colonia Vista Unit 5   12   15   47.952   8   218,000   0   4.7     1   22   Colonia Vista Unit 7   12   15   48.474   8   219,000   0   4.7     1   23   Colonia Vista Unit 8   12   15   49.56   9   208,000   0   4.7     1   1   16   Colonia Vista Unit 8   12   15   49.56   9   208,000   0   4.7     1   1   1   Colonia Vista Unit 8   12   15   49.56   9   208,000   0   4.7     1   1   28   Gaslight Square Mater Distribution   8   3   29.75   208   85   4.7     1   28   Gaslight Square Mater Distribution   8   3   29.75   208   85   4.7     1   37   Grid 0201   1   2   50.1   2   4.7     1   38   Grid 0202   1   2   50.1   2   4.7     1   48   Grid 0203   2   3   143.48   5   4.7     1   195   Grid 0204   2   4   14.4   2   4.7     1   195   Grid 0215   2   15   62.72   3   4.7     1   1   10   Grid 0204   3   4   14.4   2   4.7     1   1   10   Grid 0304   3   4   70.35   1   4.7     1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 400;
	0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 400;
1   18   Colonia Vista Unit 3	0; 0; 0; 0; 0; 5; — 400;
1   19   Colonia Vista Unit 4	0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 5 ; — 400 ; —
1   20   Colonia Vista Unit 5	0; 0; 0; 0; 5; 400;
1   21   Colonia Vista Unit 6	0; 0; 0; 5; 400;
1   22   Colonia Vista Unit 7   12   15   48.474   8   219,000   0   4.7     1   23   Colonia Vista Unit 8   12   15   49.56   9   208,000   0   4.7     1   1   Edaundo Kauffmann Estates   6   1   13.285   25   19,500   1   4.7     1   28   Gaslight Square Mater Distribution   8   3   29.75   208   85   4.7     1   25   Greenbriar   2   3   10.343   10   41,800   0   4.7     1   47   Grid 0102   1   2   50.1   2   4.7     1   37   Grid 0201   2   1   27.27   5   4.7     1   38   Grid 0202   2   3   143.48   5   5   4.7     1   1   59   Grid 0203   2   3   128.22   9   4.7     1   1   182   Grid 0204   2   4   14.4   2   4.7     1   1   195   Grid 0216   2   16   0.55   1   4.7     1   1   208   Grid 0301   3   1   124.16   4   4.7     1   1   49   Grid 0303   3   3   1   124.16   4   4.7     1   1   71   Grid 0304   3   4   70.35   13   4.7     1   1   197   Grid 0307   3   5   10.35   1   13   4.7     1   1   107   Grid 0307   3   6   17.28   2   4.7     1   1   107   Grid 0307   3   7   7.6   2   4.7     1   1   107   Grid 0307   3   7   7.6   2   4.7     1   1   107   Grid 0313   3   14   143.48   2   4.7	0; 0; 5; 400; 0;
1   23   Colonia Vista Unit 8	0 ; 5 ; — 400 ; — 0 ;
1   1   Edmundo Kauffmann Estates	5 ; 400 ; 0 ;
1   28   Saslight Square Water Distribution   8   3   29.75   208   85   4.7	400 ;
1   25   Greenbriar	0 ;
1   47   6rid 0102	
1   5B   Serid 0103	7 i
1   37	
1	
1   59	
1   70   Brid   0204   2   4   14.4   2   4.7     1   182	
1   182	
1   195	
1   208	
1   38   Brid   0301	
1   49   Brid   0302	
1   60   Grid 0303	
1   93   16rid   0306	
1 1 1 104 (Brid 0307   3 7 1 7.6   2 4.7 1 1 1 170 (Brid 0313   3 13 1 54.01   5 4.7 1 1 1 183 (Brid 0314   3 14 1 143.48   2 4.7 1	
1 1 1 170 18rid 0313	
1 1 1 183 16rid 0314	
1   196   Brid   0315	
1 1 39 (Grid 0401	
-   1   50   Grid 0402   4   2   143.48   16   4.7	
1 1 61 16rid 0403	
1 1 1 72   Grid 0404	
1 1 1 94   Brid 0406	
1   105	
1 1 116 16rid 0408	
-   1   127	
1 1 149 16rid 0411	
1 1 1 160 16rid 0412	
1   184	
1 1 197 18rid 0415	
1 1 210 16rid 0416	
1   51   16rid 0502	
1 1 62 1Grid 0503 ; 5 3 1 143.48 ; 2 4.7 1	
1 1 1 73 16rid 0504	
1 1 84 16rid 0505	
1   117   16rid 0508   5 8   143.48   9 4.7	42 (

Market .	6p  No. 		<b>;</b>	LOCA Loca En	RID : ATION: NS :	Total Area Acres	Total Lots	Average Lot Size sq. ft.	: 1987 : Residences ;	Population per Residence	Population	
	1 1	128	'6rid 0509	; 5	9 ;	142.71			; 34 ; 2 ; 33 ; 33 ; 2 ; 1 ; 2 ; 4 ; 2 ; 5 ; 5	4.7	160 :	
	1 1	139	Grid 0510	† 5	10 %	B2.59			; 2	4.7	9 1	
	1-1	150	16rid 0511	1 5	11 :	143.48			; 33	4.7	155 1	
	1 1	161	16rid 0512	1 5	12	143.48			; 33	4.7	155	
-	1 1	172	Srid 0513	1 5	13 ¦	143.48			; 2	4.7	9 1	
			18rid 0515	; 5	15 :	143.48			1	4.7	5 1	
			16rid 0516	1 5	16	143.48			1 2	4.7	9 1	
			Grid 0601	; 6	1 :	133.49			; 4	4.7		
			Grid 0602	1 6	2 ;	143.48			1 2	4.7		
			lGrid 0603	1 6	3 ¦	143.48			5	4.7		
			16rid 0604	: 6	4 ;	143.4B			; 5	4.7		
			Grid 0605	1 6	5 1	97.08			11	4.7		
			16rid 0606	1 6	6 1		-		• • •			
			Grid 0607	1 6		143.48			; 3			
			(Brid 0608	1 6		143.48			13			
			16rid 0609	1 6		143.49			35			
			(Brid 0610			143,48			. 6			
			16rid 0611			143.4B			: 10			
			(Grid 0612			143.48			10			
			Brid 0614			105.72			1			
						113.39			2			
			Grid 0702	1 7		128.48			7			
			16rid 0703	7		112.41			33			
			16rid 0704	7	4 1	42.15			6	4.7		
andresis/N			Grid 0706	1 7		143.48			43			
			Brid 0707	1 7		143.48			24	4.7		
				1 7		143.48			76			
	11	200	IGrid 0715 La Tuna	1 /	15 1	<b>*</b>			;	4.5		
			Srid 0801 Srid 0804 Srid 0805 Srid 0806 Srid 0808	1 8	1 1	56.07			72			
			16rid 0804	; 8	4 1	98.9			3			
			16rid 0805	: 8	3 1	143.48			23			
			16rid 0806	1 8	D i	143.48			28	4.7		
				1 8	8 1	143.48			9			
			(0) 10 (00)	, .	, ,	2 101 10			4			
	111		Grid 0901	1 9	1 1	0.06			0	4.7		
	111			1 10	1 :	25 <b>.25</b> 0			; 1 ; 0	4.7 4.7		
			Brid 1001  Brid 1013	1 10	13 1	143.48			1 1	4.7		
			16rid 1014	10	14 :				1	4.7		
	11			111	1 :	0			1 0	4.7		
	111			1 6	5 1	18.934	15	43,600		4.7		
xerra	1 1			1 7	8 1	9.34	44	7,000		4.7		
	1 1		Mayfair #2	7	B ;	24.82	22	5,000		4.7		
	1 1		Hayfair #3	7	8 ;	4.076	6	21,500		4.7		
	1 1		Mayfair #4	7		11.3323	16	21,000		4.7		
-	11		Hobile Haven Estates	10	10 1	31.06	66	5,500		4.7		
	111		Mountain Valley Unit 1	4	7 1	10.74	5	B2,200		4.7		
	1 1		Howad Water Distribution	1 7	2 1	15.339	39	11,200		4.7		
	111		Nu-way Addition	1 7	7 1		95	7,400		4.7		
	1 1		(Ponderosa Mobile Homes	1 5	6 1		141	12,500		4.7		
	1 1		Prado Verde #1.#2,#3	5		90.2697	106	31,531		4.7		
	1 1		Schuman Estates	5	5 1		52	20,400		4,7		
								•				

open no		I ID				Total Area			: 1987 : Residences		Estimated 1987	
		!				Acres		sq. ft.		•	: Population	
												-
,			Serene Acres			7.925		37,153				
			Subdivision N. of Baslight Square				49		19			
	1 1	232	Town of Anthony	1 7	16 ;	3943			557	4.7		
		, 201	11000 01 120200		11 1	10//			236	4.7		
				1 5				_	132	4.7		
				; 4				90,300				
				10			348			4.7		
				1 10				16,800		4.7		
				1 10				6,500		4.7		
			·			51.308		6,600		4.7		
			•			42.2642		11,900		4,7		
	; 1	; 35	Westway Unit #7	1 10	12 1	2.756	4	24,400		4.7		5 38
			Upper Valley Subtotal						2970		15,459	5,39
		1 405	LOWER VALLEY									
				; 7				145,273		5.6		
			Alameda Estates			63.519		11,200				
				1 9		44.256		37,800		5.6		
-			Algodon		4 1			5,500		5.6		
				; 9				15,000		5.6		
			lAngie			7.141		14,973		5.6		
						296.49		161,172		5.6		
				1 11		28.5006	118					
				1 10		33.619		20,000		5.6		
				1 10		92.052		20,500		5.6		
			iBelen Plaza	1 10		37.5539		21,960		5.6		
			Bosque Bonita			71.69	125	•		5.6		
						22.4244	39	19,500		5.6		
_			Buford View Estates			4.9087		15,000		5.6		
						97.174		43,560		5.6		
						2.7827		10,100		5.6		
apple wood			¡Campo Bello Estates	; 7				21,000				
			Colonia de Las Azales #1			49.7407	87	,		5.6		
			Colonia de Las Azales #2			102.25	16B	,		5.6		
			Colonia de Las Dalias #1			38.041		13,000		5.6		
approxima			Colonia de Las Dalias #2			141.107	233	21,000		5.6		
			Colonia Del Rio #1			34.5769	60	•		5.6		
			(Colonia Del Rio #2			30.716	18	76,000		5.6		
			(Colonia Del Rio #3		17 1		35	79,500		5.6		
			Community of San Elizario	3		509	157	27 001		5.6		
			Connington		20		35 251	23,086		5.6 5.4		
			Country Green Addition	11	8 1		251	9,758		5.6		
			Delipp Subdivision	11		79.6497	364	•		5,6 5,6		
			IEI Campestre	1 6	10		232	•		5.6		
			!Ellen Park	1 10		19.761	71	9,100		5.6 5.4		
			(Fabens Area (WCID #4)	1 9	33 ;		1.7		1008	5.6 5.4		
			Frank	; 7			16	•		5.6 5.4		
			Friedman Estates #1	1 7		62.17	277	•		5.6 5.4		
-			(Friedman Estates #2			96.413	297 34	,		5.6 5.4		
			(Bloria Elena			21.2838	3 <b>4</b>	•		5.6 5.4		
			(Blorieta Addition			19.366	31 34	•		5.6 5.6		
			(Gonzales Subdivision			20.6836	34 34	•		5.6 5.4		
	i 4	001	:6rid 0313	i 3	13 !	114	34	9,500	34	5.6	1 190	I

		ID		ENTITY			Total	Total	_	1987		Estimated :
Auton		NO.				ATION		Lots		Residences		1987
	<i>i</i> i	: 		*	; EN	NS :	Acres		sq. ft.	; 	Residence	Population :
	4 4	638	16rid 0318		1 3	1B }	136	2	22,500	1 2	5.6	11
			16rid 0319			19 ;	144	1			5,6	
	: 3 :	50B	:Grid 0409			9 1	144	1	•	1	5.6	
			Grid 0410			10 :	144	2			5.6	
			Grid 0411			11 ;	144	3	•		5.6	
			Grid 0415			15 1	124	32	•		5.6	
			16rid 0416			16	37	6	•		5.6	
			16rid 0417			17	94	2	•			
			Srid 0419			19	144	1	•		5.6	
			16rid 0420			20 ;	144	2	•		5.6	
			Grid 0421			21 1	144	2				
Maria.			#6rid 0510			10 1	88	23			5.6	
			Grid 0511	-		11	144	18	•		5.6	
			16rid 0513			13	106	10	•		5.6	
			16rid 0514			14	137	3B	•		5.6	
			16rid 0516			16 ;	144	30	•		5.6	
			16rid 0517			17 ;	99	5	•		5.6	
			16rid 0518			18	122	1	•			
			Brid 0519			19 1	144	2	•			
			16rid 0523			23	144	1	,	1	5.6	
			HGrid 0532			32 ;	144	3	,		5.6	
			16rid 0533			33 ;	144	3	•		5.6	
			Grid 0534			34 (	144	6	•		5.6	
			16rid 0538			38 ;	144	i	'		5.6	
			Grid 0539		1 5		144	1				
			16rid 0540			40 1	144	1	•		5.6	
			16rid 0541		5		144	4		1 4	5.6	
			16rid 0604		6		144	3	-		5. <i>6</i>	
			Grid 0605		. 6		144	2	•			
			16rid 0606		1 6		144	17	,		5.6	
			16rid 0607		: 6		144	3	•		5.6	
			16rid 0608		1 6		133		135,000		5.6	
			16rid 0609		1 6		144	4	•		5.6	
			16rid 0610		1 6		20	2	. *		5.6	
			%6rid 0611		1 6		108	61	-		5.6	
			16rid 0613		1 6	13 1	71	7			5.6	
			Grid 0614		; 6	14	119	í			5.6	
			16rid 0615		! 4	15	128	5			5. <i>6</i>	
			Grid 0616		1 4	16 ;	144	6			5.6	
			Brid 0617		1 G	17 ;	119	1	22,500		5.6	
			#Brid 0619		1 6	17 1	144	4	•		5.6	
			16rid 0620			20 ;	144	3			5.6	
			16rid 0620		1 6				- 1		5.6	
					1 6		144	1				
			Srid 0622		1 6	22	144	2	•		5.6 5.4	
			16rid 0623		1 6	23	144	1	,		5.6 5.4	
			16rid 0631		1 6	31 1	144	2			5.6 5.4	
			16rid 0632		; 6		144	3			5.6	
			Grid 0633		i 6	33 ;	144	2	•		5.6	
			16rid 0634		1 6	34 ;	144	1	,		5.6	
			18rid 0635		1 6	35 1	144	i	•		5.6	
			IGrid 063B		; 6		144	2	,		5.6	
	: 8 :	1132	16rid 0643		1 6	43 ;	144	I	50,000	1	5.6	1 61

	ID NO.		BRID    LOCATION	Total Area	Total Lots	-	1987 Residences		Estimated
; 		1	: EW NS :	Acres	<b></b>	sq. ft.		Residence	Population
3	452	Srid 0703	1 7 3 1	140.5	65	17,000		5.6	
			1 7 4 1	118	69	•		5.6	386
		Grid 0705	1751	109	84	•		5.6	470
			: 7 6 :	143	27	•		5.6	B01
3 1	466	#6rid 0707	; 7 7 ;	144	18	10,200		5.6	101
3 ;	470	#Grid 070B	7 8 1	43	13	18,000	13	5.6	73
3 :	505	16rid 0709	1791	127	10	52,800	10	5.6	56
3 ;	522	16rid 0712	; 7 12 ;	72	5	10,000		5.6	28
4 ;	618	6rid 0713	; 7 13 ;	115	5	25,000		5.6	
4 ;	624	\$6rid 0715	1 7 15 1	114	2	19,500		5.6	
5 :	721	(Grid 0716	1 7 16 1	112	17	18,200		5.6	95
5 :	723	'Grid 0717	1 7 17 1	144	5	37,000		5.6	
5 ;	737	Brid 0720	; 7 20 ;	144	3	20,000		5.6	
5	766	Brid 0721	1 7 21 1	144	1	12,800			1 6
6 :	902	16rid 0722	1 7 22 1	3	i	130,000		5.6	
		16rid 0723	1 7 23 1	50	7	28,600		5.6	
5 ;	778	16rid 0723	1 7 23 1	94	1	51,000	1	5.6	
6 1	908	l6rid 0724	1 7 24 1	27	2	42,500	2	5.6 5.6	
5 :	788	l6rid 0725	1 7 25 1	141	4	18,000			
5 1	794	16rid 0726	1 7 26 1	120	6	20,400	6		
5 ;	800	!Brid 0727	1 7 27 1	144	2	14,400	2	5.6	
5 1	806	¦Grid 072B	7 28 1	144	2	14,400	2 2 1 3	5.6	11
5 ;	824	(Grid 0731	1 7 31 1	144	3	13,000	: 3	5.6	17
7 ;	1001	16rid 0732	1 7 32 1	144	2	82,000			11
7 ;	1005	16rid 0733	1 7 33 1	144	2	40,000	2	5.6	11
7 ;	1011	Brid 0734	1 7 34 1	144	3	39,000	; 3 ; 3	5.6	17
7 1	1017	16rid 0735	1 7 35 1	144	3	40,000	1 3	5.6	17
7 1	1023	16rid 0736	1 7 36 1	144	3	30,000	3	5.6	17
7 1	1029	16rid 0737	1 7 37 1	144	1	44,000			: 6
7 1	1035	Grid 0738	: 7 3B :	144	9	50,000		5.6	50
7 ;	1039	#6rid 0739	1 7 39 1	144	1	62,500		5.6	1 6
7 :	1043	16rid 0740	1 7 40 1	144	3	20,000	1 3	5.6	17
8 1	1127	16rid 0742	7 42 1	144	1	37,500	1	5.6	1 6
B :	1131	16rid 0743	; 7 43 ;	144	4	40,000	4	5.6	22
8 :	1141	16rid 0745	1 7 45 1	144	1	60,000	1	5.6	1 6
3 1	451	16rid 0803	1831	144	41	12,000	41	5.6	230
3 ;	<b>45</b> 3	15rid 0804	1841	77	60	8,400	: 60	5.6	1 336
3 ;	457	16rid 0805	1 8 5 1	98	58	8,000	; 5B	5.6	1 325
3 ;	461	Serid 0806	1861	44	4	16,000	4	5.6	; 22
3 1	465	Grid 0807	: 8 7 :	130	9	10,400	9	5.6	: 50
3 1	469	16rid 0808	1881	144	8	20,000	1 8	5.6	
3 ;	472	16rid 0809	1 8 9 1	144	1	37,500	1	5.6	; 6
3 1	509	Srid 0810	8 10 1	144	33	19,500	; 33	5.6	185
3 ;	515	Grid 0811	8 11 1	131	12	18,000	12	5.6	: 67
		18rid 0813	1 8 13 1	138	6	30,000		5.6	
4 1	621	16rid 0814	3 8 14 1	72	5	15,000		5.6	
151	718	Grid 0815	1 8 15 1	61	6	43,000	1 6	5.6	
		;Srid 0816	1 8 16 1	49	11	25,000	11	5.6	
		'6rid 0817	; 8 17 t	43	4	12,000	4	5.6	
		Grid 0B18	1 8 18 1	26	2	15,000		5.6	11
		Brid 0B19	; 8 19 ;	144	4	18,000		5.6	
		1Grid 0820	; B 20 ;	144	5	20,000		5.6	; 28

(6p : ID			RID		Total			1987		Estimated :
No.  NO.	,		ATION RS		Area			Residences	· ·	
		. EN	57 	·	Acres		sq. ft. :		Residence	· ropolacion ·
1 5 1 765			21		144	3	35,000 :	3 2 2 31	5.6	
1 5 1 771			22		67	2	20,000	2	5.6	
			22		77	2	27,000	2	5.6	
			23		125	31	14,400	31	5.6	
16 1 907	Grid 0824	; 8	24	ļ	144	2	42,500	2	2.6	
1 6 1 910	!Grid 0825		25		139	2	•		5.6	
6 6 713	Srid 0826	: 8			111	2	•	2	5.6	
1 5 1 793	16rid 0826	: 8	26	,	33	1	44,000	1	5.6	6 1
1 6 1 915	Grid 0827	: 8	27	ļ	80	3	20,000	2	5.6	17 !
1 6 1 917	'Srid 0828	; 8	28	1	6	1	32,000		5.6	6 1
1 5 1 805	'6rid 0828	: 8	28	ì	143	4	18,000	4	5.6	22
5   811	#6rid 0829	; 8	29	į	144	1			5.6	6 1
		: 8			144	3			5.6	
1 6 1 919	Grid 0830	: B			51	1			5.6	
		: 8			121	2		2	5.6	
		; B			135	11	-		5.6	
		: B			124	3	-		5.6	
		1 8			142	3	-	3	5.6	
			37		144	1		1	5.6	
		: 8			144	7	•		5.6	
		. B			144	3		3	5.6	
		: 8			144	2	•	2	5.6	
			3		144	72			5.6	
	16rid 0905	; 9			128	10	•		5.6	
	16rid 0906	; 9			99	29	•		5.6	
		; 9			67	39	•		5.6	
		; 9			78	2	•		5.6	
			10		62	17	•		5.6	
			12				•		5.6	
			14		144	2	•	2	J.0	
		, 7 ; 9			79	2 3	•		5.6 5.6	
					21		•	ა ი	J. D	
		; 9			144	2		1	5.6	
		; 9			124	1 7			5.6	
			22		97	3			5.6	
	16rid 0922	; 9			47	2	•		5.6	
	16rid 0923	! 9			133	4			5.6	
	16rid 0924	; 9			139	6	•		5.6	
		! 9			140	3	•		5.6	
		1 9			140	12			5.6	
	16rid 0927	! 9			138	2	•		5.6	
	1Grid 0928	; 9			115	3			5.6	
	16rid 0929	: 9			98	1			5.6	
		: 9	31		B1	1	•		5.6	
			34		72	14			5.6	
		1 9			144	6	•		5.6	
	16rid 0936	9			144	3			5.6	
		; 9			144	4	•		5.6	
		; 9			144	1			5.6	
		1 9			144	6	,		5.6	
			41		144	2	•		5.6	
			42		144	2	•		5.6	
1 8 11128	16rid 0943	9	43	;	144	13	18,000	13	5.6	1 73 1

	I ID		ENTITY		ID : TION;	Total Area	Total Lots		l 1987 Residences	Population per	Estimated   1987
;	;	}		; E₩	NS ;	Acres		sq. ft.		Residence	Population
: 8	11133	Grid 0944		; 9	44 ;	144	4	36,000		5.6	
1 8	11138	16rid 0945		; 9	45 ;	144	3	72,000	3	5.6	17 :
; B	11143	16rid 0946		; 9	46 !	144	5	22,500	5	5.6	28 ;
; 3	474	Grid 1002		: 10	2 ¦	48	2	140,000	2	5.6	11 1
; 3	478	16rid 1003		1 10	3 ;	138	4	20,000	4	5.6	
		Grid 1004		: 10	4 ;	110	5	23,000		5.6	
		16rid 1005		10	5 ¦	138	4	30,000		5.6	
		Brid 1006		: 10	6 1	106	2	•			
		16rid 1007		1 10	7 ;	72	15	•		5.6	
		Grid 100B		; 10	8 ;	126	3	•		5.6	
		Brid 1009		; 10	9 1	21	4	20,400		5.6	
		16rid 1010		; 10	10 (	67	4	45,000		5.6	
		Srid 1011		; 10	11 1	91	15	30000		5.6	
		16rid 1012		1 10	12	69	1			5.6	
		Brid 1014		; 10		144	3			5.6	
		Grid 1015			15	144	2			5.6	
		Brid 1016			16	101	1				
		18rid 1019		1 10	19	144	7	•		5.6	
		Brid 1019			19	144	11			5.6	
		16rid 1020		1 10	20	144	3	,		5.6	
		18rid 1020		1 10	20	144	1			5.6	
		'Grid 1022		1 10	22	144	2	125,000		5.6	
		Brid 1023		1 10	23 !	144	9	,	9	5.6	
		16rid 1024		; 10	24 1	144	3	•		5.6	
		16rid 1025		1 10	25 ;	144	3	,		5.6	
		Brid 1026		1 10	26	144	. 2	,		5.6	
		Brid 1029		10	29 1	144	8	14,000		5.6	
		Grid 1030		10	30 1	144	8	22,000		5.6	
		16rid 1031		1 10	31 1	144	4			5.6	
		16rid 1032		1 10	32 i	83	6	25,000		5.6	
		16rid 1039		1 10	39 1	144	1	•		5.6	
		'Brid 1040		1 10	40 ;	144	3	•		5.6	
		18rid 1041		1 10		144	7	,		5.6	
		16rid 1042			42	144	1	20,400		5.6	
		Brid 1043			43 ;	144	9	. ,		5.6	
		'Brid 1045		1 10	45	128	2	•		5.6	
		16rid 1102		1 11	2	93	3	•		5.6	
		Brid 1103		1 11	3 1	88	12	14,000		5.6	
		18rid 1104		1 11	4 1	30	10	,		5.6	
		Brid 1105		11	5 1	60	1	20,000		5.6	
		18rid 1106		1 11	6 1	144	17	24,000		5.6	
		(Grid 1107		1 11	7 ;	7	7			5.6	
		18rid 1107		1 11	7 1	111	46	21,000		5.6	
		16rid 110B		1 11	8 ;	63	9	17,000		5.6	
		16rid 1109		; 11	9 ;	112	9	19,500		5.6	
		16rid 1110		11	10	63	5	15,000		5.6	
		16rid 1111		11	11	144	8	15,000		5.6	
		16rid 1112		1 11	12 ;	144	15			5.6	
		Brid 1113			13	5	В			5.6	
		Grid 1114		11		115	2			5.6	
		(Brid 1115			15 ;	60	2			5.6	
; 5	744	16rid 1116		1 11	16	134	2	30000	2	5.6	11 1

	•	ID NO.				Total Area			1987 Residences		Estimated     1987
						Acres					Population
	   E ;	787	Grid 1117	' ((	17 1	103	 7	35000	2	5.6	11 }
				1 11			7	30000	. 2	5.6	
			16rid 1120	111			7 8	40000	· /	5.6	
			Grid 1121	111			15			5.6	
				111			6	•		5.6	
			16rid 1122	111			10		10	5.6	
			16rid 1123	111			27	•		5.6	
			16rid 1124				6	•	, <u>2,</u>	5.6	
			Grid 1125	111			1		. 1	5 6	! A !
			16rid 1126	1 11			1		- 	5.6 5.6 5.6	6 1
			16rid 1128	111			3	•	3	5.6	17 1
-			16rid 1129	1 11			3		3	5.6	17 1
			Grid 1203	12	3 :		2	•	2	5.6	11 1
			Grid 1205	1 12	5		1	•		5.6	
			Grid 1206	1 12	6		31	•		5.6	
			Brid 1207	1 12	7		70	•		5.6	
			Grid 1208	1 12	8		19	•		5.6	
			Grid 1208	1 12	8		1	•		5.6	
			Brid 1209	1 12	9		1		1	5.6	
			Grid 1211	1 12			9	•		5.6	
			1Grid 1212	1 12			28	•		5.6	
			Grid 1213	1 12			7	•	7	5.6	
			Grid 1219	1 12			3		, 7	<b>5</b> /	
			Grid 1220	1 12			5		5	5.6	
			Grid 1221	; 12			3			5.6	
			16rid 1221	1_12			3		3	5.6	
	: 5 :	864	18rid 1232	1 12			1	•	1	5.6	
			Grid 1303	; 13	3		2	•	2	5.6	
	2 ;	303	16rid 1306	1 13	6		4		4	5.6	22 ;
	; 3 ;	493	Grid 1306	1 13	6	144	4	15,000	4 4 4 1 48	5.6	22 ;
	2 :	305	Grid 1307	1 13	7	144	48	25,500	48	5.6	1 269 1
alar mag	1 2 1	309	Grid 1308	13	8 :	81	. 22	3,500		5.6	123 1
	5 1	865	Grid 1332	1 13	32	144	1	15,000	1	5.6	6 1
	; 5 ;	868	Grid   1333	; 13	33	144	2	10,000	2	5.6	11 1
	19:	1175	16rid 1335	13	35	144	0	0	s •	5.6	; 0 ;
	: 5 ;	871	Brid 1920	; 19	20	68	4	9,600	4	5.6	
	: 3 :	43B	¦Grijalva Garden	1 12	4		159			5.6	
			Gurdev Subdivision	1 11	5		222	-		5.6	
			:Hacienda Real	1 11			24	•		5.6	
			Hillcrest Manor	111	7 :		58	•		5.6	
			lLa Fuente	; 10		20.9988	37	•		5.6	
			La Jolle	; B	6		119			5.6	
			lla Junta	; 8	4		59	•		5.6	
			Las Aves	1 6	15		50	•		5.6	
			Las Milpas #1	1 B	7		45	•		5.6	
			Las Milpas #2	: 8	7		15	•		5.6	
			Las Pampas #1,#2,#3,#4	; 3		146.6268	248	•		5.6	
Automatics.			Lewis	1 6		6.9098	12			5.6	
			Lordsville			31.241	27	•		5.6	
			iLynn Park	10		51.981	174			5.6	
			lMadrilena	; 4		9.735	17	•		5.6	
	i 3 i	410	IMary Lou Park	1 11	1	37.916	120	9,600	: 86	5.6	1 482 1

6p   ID   No.: NO. :	ENTITY	GR	ID	Total	Total	Average :	1987	Population	Estimated
10.1 10.1			יים אחדוו	Area Areas	LUES	rof 2116 ;	nesidences	per Rocidones	i 178/ i
· ' '		EM				54. TL. 1		nesi dence	i rupulacion i
3 : 412 iMc	Adoo Acres	1 11	9 :	74.382	116	21,000 1	2	5.6	1 11 1
3 1 439 IMe		1 8	12	14.528	26	20,473	2	5.6	11 1
3 : 423 !Mo	nterosales	! 9	5 3	30	90			5.6	
3   401   Mo	on #1	1 9	4	45.54	77	11,700			1148 ;
3 1 402 iMo	oon #1 ion #2 ion #3 ion #4 irning Glory Manor	1 9	4	14.0B	39	10,000		5.6	; 0 ;
3   403   Mo	on #3	1 9	4	22.78	92	6,900 :		5.6	0 1
3 1 404 1Mp	on #4	1 9	4	14.482	42	9,200	7	5.6	
5   700 (Mo	rning Blory Manor	1 19	20	73.262	117	21,000	7	5.6	
3   434   No	rth Loop Acres aza Bernal	11	3 1	19.3148	47	13,900			
4 : 60B :P1	aza Bernal	: 5	15	23.943	71	11,000 :			
3 1 418 170	DIE SUDCIVISION	i 9	/ 1	88.4211	147	21,780 1		5.6	
4   643   Qu	adrilla (Improvement Corp.)	1 7	26	24	19	13,200			
3 1 44B 1Qu	ail Mesa ncho Miraval Estates	1 12	14	22.4951	14	67,015 1			
5   712   Ra	ncho Miraval Estates	1 11	13	69.172	52	22,500 :		5.6	
3   447  Ri	o Rancho Estates o Vista Addition	; 11	5 1	27.062	48	20,000			
3 ! 426 !Ri	o Vista Addition	1 8	5 3	25.017	83	•		5.6	
3 ; 414 !Ro	seville Subdivision	1 10	10	B6.145	139	•			
3 : 446 !Sa	n Augustin Subdivision	1 11	5	32.715	54	21,000 :		5.6	
5   711  Sa	n Paulo	: 8	14	36.607	37	39,204			
3   40B  So	corro Village	1 7	4	10.381	37	,			
3   405  Sp	anish Trail	; ₿	4 ;	35.91	118	•			
3   417   Su	nhaven Farms	1 10	9 ;	50.1435	77	21,000			
2 1 302 1Su	n Paulo corro Village anish Trail nhaven Farms nshine nshine Acres wn of Clint	: 9	3 1	4.473	17			5.6	
5   701  Su	nshine Acres	1 19	20	21.372	35	21,780 ;			
5 : 714 :To	wn of Clint	; 9	17	1236.914		1			
g (114/ (10	wn of tornillo (Est. boundary)	i 11	40 7	117		;	115	5.6	
3   445   Va		6	11 :	29.44	51	20,205	23	5.6	
4   603   Va	lle Villa #1	: 5	13	34.05	95	11,050	65		
4   604   Va	lle Villa #2	1 5	13	5.7329	10	20,000 ;			
3 1 429 1Vi	lla Espana	1 8	5 3	21.5257	56	13,900			
3   433  Vi	nedo Acres	1 7	8 :	58.31	5B	35,900 :			
4 1 609 Ni	lbourn	: 5	15	7.979		9,400 ;		5.6	
5   704   Wi	lle Villa #2 lla Espana nedo Acres lbourn ldhorse Valley	1 11	18	14.113	28	20,000 :		5.6	
3 ; 417 ;#1	iton Acres	1 10	7	8.3545		13,000 :		5.6	
		1 9	6 }	29.6701	51	20,000		5.6	
Lo	wer Valley Subtotal HUECO						7400		40,809
1  1205  Ac	acia Grove	ì	;	160	20	1		3.6	
11 11206 IAc	acia Grove #2	:	;	400	8	;		3.6	0 1
		1 13	9 ;			;	13	3.6	47 1
		: 5				;	144	3.6	518 1
li 11207 (Ea	st Wind Estates	1 8				}	42	3.6	
		; 7	10	162.42	123	44,090 :	16	3.6	; 58 ;
1  1200  Ha		; 7	6	583.5889		252,000 (		3.6	122 1
				571.1079		124,000		3.6	90 1
				629.0108		89,120		3.6	
	mestead Meadows Unit 2,3,4,5,6					101,500		3.6	
1  1213  Hu		1 16					0	3.6	
		: 8				;	12	3.6	
1   11220   Se		1 7				;	1	3.6	
l1   11222   Se		; 7				1	22	3.6	
	ction 0710 (Trailer Court)					;	16	3.6	

alife milyan	16p : ID :No.: NO.	1	LOCA	TION	Total Area Acres		Lot Size	Residences	per	Estimated 1987 Population	1
-No Apple		Section 0810							3.6		
		(Section 0907 (Subdivision?)	1 9	7	103				3.6		
		Section 0909						24	3.6		
		(Section 0909 (Subdivision?)					,	53	3.6		
		Section 0910						0	3.6		
		(Section 0910 (Subdivision?)						15	3.6		
		Section 1006						15	3.6		
		Section 1007						2	3.6		
		(Subdivision?)						129	3.6		
		Section 1008	1 10					7	3.6		
		Section 1009	1 10					2	3.6		
		(Section 1009 (Subdivision?)					-	35	3.6		
	111 11235		10				- 1	23	3.6		
	111 1123/	Section 110/	1 11	7				3	3.6		
	111 11211	TOUGHT TOUT	1 10	4 :				4	3.6		
	111 11246	Section 1403	1 14	3 !				3	3.6		
				4 ;			1	10	3.6		
. produce		Section 1407		7 1				В	3.6		
		Section 1408	1 14	8 1				1 1	3.6		
		Section 1409	1 14	9 1				1 4	3.6		
		Section 1503	1 15	3				; <del>*</del> 7	3.6		
		Section 1504	1 15	4 ;			i	2	3.6		
		Section 1509		9 1				1 1	3.6 3.6		
		Section 1606				715	15 100		3.6		
		Vista del Este				200	15,100				
		Wilco Unit 1	1 14					; 2 ; 1	3.6		
	111 (1211	Wilco Unit 5	1 13	۱ د				, 1 847	3.6		** ************************************
400mg		Hueco Subtotal EAST EL PASO								3,049	22°
		Agua Dulce	; 19	21	320				4.4		
	112 11320	Cowlitz Estates	; 8	11 3	10.02	20	18,121	В		1 35	
		Deerfield Park			147.71	130	16,552	84		370	
		Desert Glen					10,019				
		Desert Mesa Estates	1 7			96		57	3.5		!
			6	19 /		26		229	3.5		
		Hillcrest Estates	1 6	11	634.9	493	45 54	28	4.4		
		Homestead Homes #1,#2,#3	1 8	11		112	15,246		4.4		
		Homestead Meadows	; 8	6 }	637.2	114	219,700		4.4		
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 1	. 8		143.232	28		11	4.4		
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 2	. 8		260.575	54	21 000	1	4,4		
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 3	1 8		152.543	61	21,800		4.4 4.4		
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 4	1 8	10 ;		210 8	15,000	147	4.4		
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 5	1 9	11 1		348	17 800		4.4		
		Homestead Meadows South Unit 6	1 8	10	4.361	8 376	17,400	l 1 l 229			1 -
		Horizon Country Club Estates	1 6	19 ; 19 ;		336		229	3.5 3.5		-
		Horizon Heights	1 6	20 :	254618	696 84		. 44T	3.3		-
		Horizon Hills	1 5	20 1		1142		114	3.5		·
		Horizon Manor  Jason Estates	; / ; B	11 1	442 5.189	1142	31,944		4.4		
		Knott Acres	, B	11 1		6	31,744		4.4		
		Lanes Dairy	1 10	23 ;			, , , , , ,	, <u> </u>	4.4		}
		Las Casitas #1,#3	; B	11 :				43	4.4		
	.** .**17	ICON DESITES AT \$ #0			,,			. 13	7 4 7		-

El Paso County Existing Population

	:Sp : ID				ID ;	Total Area	Total	-	l 1987 Residences	•	Estimated     1987	
-11 209	† ;		;	EW	NS :	Acres		so. ft.	 	Residence	Population	
		4  Las Quintas #1,#2	;	8	11 :		116	23,090	1 54	4.4	; 238	
	112   1131	7   Meadows South							ł .	4,4		
	112 1132	1 Mesa View Estates	;	8	11 1	5,01	12	15,246	9	4.4		
	112 1132	3 iMesquite Meadows	;	8	11	5.01	12	15,246	1 4	4.4	18	
-	112 (132)	2  Satiacum Estates				5.01	10	18,121	; 4	4,4		
	112 (134	5 (Section 0311	}	3	11 (	555.52			1			
	112 1134	7 (Section 0411	;	4	11	580				4.4		
	112 1134	3 (Section 0411 (Trailer Park)			11	11.36				4.4		
		Section 0421	1	4	21 1	633			53			
	112 1135	2  Section 0511	ł	5	11	640			7			
	112 1133	3 (Section 0923	;	9	23 ¦	640			14	4.4		
	112 1133	7   Section 0924 D   Section 0925	ļ	9	24 1	640			1	4.4		
	112 1134	)  Section 0925	;	9	25	640			12			
	112 1135	3  Section 1110	;	11	10	640				4.4		
	112 1133	3 (Section #293 (0321)	1	3	21 1	122.4			1 27			
	112 1131	l :Southwest Estates	1	8	10	37.8	37	40,965	17	4,4	75	1
	112 1132	5  Sparks Addition #1	ł	4	20 :	144.22	70	56,700	; 303	5.28		
	112 1132	5  Sparks Addition #2	1	4	20	244.89	494	14,560	1	4.4		
		7  Sparks Addition #3				162.5	625	9,900	;	4.4	1 0	ļ
	112 1132	3  Sparks Addition #4	Ì	4	20	96.8	377	8,400	1	4.4	; 0	! 
						108.618	91		: 0	4.4	: 0	1 }
	112 1132	l :Tillicum Estates	;	8	11 (	5.01	12	15,246	; 5	4,4	1 22	<b>¦</b>
	112   130	/ (Turf Estates	}	3	11 1	45.976	21		90	4.4	; 396	1
	112 1130	3 (Turf Estates (Unplatted)							52	4.4	52	
		Subtotal East El Paso							2218		9,078	3,026
		GRAND TOTAL							13,435		68,395	