MARINE SCIENCE INSTITUTE

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN



750 Channel View Drive • Port Aransas, Texas 78373-5015 • Phone (361) 74BEFFFFax (361) 749-6777

FEB 0 9 2006

TWDB Contract Admin. Div

Carla G. Guthrie Natural Resource Specialist Texas Water Development Board 1700 North Congress Ave. P.O. Box 13231 Austin, TX 78711-3231

RE: Submission of Final Report entitled, "Verification of Bay Productivity Measurement by Remote Sensors" Interagency Cooperative Contract Number: IA03-483-003

Dear Dr. Guthrie,

February 1, 2006

Enclosed please find copies of the referenced final report. As required, I have enclosed one electronic copy, one single-sided hard copy, and nine double-sided hard copies. This final report is a revised version of the draft report sent in July 2004. I have revised the report to include all suggestions made by the review team. As such, this report closes this study project.

I would like to thank you and the Board for your past and continued support of my research. I find this relationship very gratifying, and hope that you have gotten information that is directly applicable to your management needs.

If you need any further information, please call me at (361)749-6779, or FAX (361)749-6777, or e-mail paul@utmsi.utexas.edu.

Sincerely,

Paul Montagna, Ph.D. Research Professor

1 2	Left running head: M. J. Russell et al.
3	Right running head: Estuarine Health and Function
4	
5	Title: Effect of Freshwater Inflow on Estuarine Health and Function: Estimated by Whole
6	Ecosystem Metabolism
7	
8	Names and address of authors:
9	Marc J. Russell ¹
10	Paul A. Montagna
11	Richard D. Kalke
12	University of Texas Marine Science Institute
13	750 Channel View Dr., Port Aransas, TX 78373
14	
15	
16	
17	Submitted to: Estuaries
18	Draft date: June 2, 2004
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	¹ Corresponding author (361) 749-6817, marcr@utmsi.utexas.edu

Russell et al. 2

25 Abstract:

26 Freshwater inflow is necessary to maintain health and productivity in estuarine ecosystems. There are no standard criteria to set inflow levels, however. Also, freshwater inflow rates are 27 28 changing due to changing land use patterns, water diversions for human consumption, and 29 climate effects. There is a need to be able to predict how changing hydrology might affect 30 estuary health. One indicator of estuarine health is ecosystem function of which whole 31 ecosystem metabolism is a major component. It was hypothesized that whole ecosystem 32 metabolism in shallow estuaries will depend on freshwater inflow. To test this hypothesis, whole 33 ecosystem metabolism was calculated in Lavaca Bay, Texas and its relationship to freshwater 34 inflow determined. We calculated a significant indirect relationship between whole ecosystem 35 metabolism and freshwater inflow near to the freshwater source in the upper bay, with more 36 negative whole ecosystem metabolism occurring after higher freshwater inflow events. No 37 significant relationship was found between whole ecosystem metabolism and freshwater inflow 38 in the lower bay. The relationship between freshwater inflow and net ecosystem metabolism 39 could be useful in total maximum daily load (TMDL) programs for dissolved oxygen 40 impairment. We conclude that freshwater loading i.e., the combination of water quality and 41 quantity, drives ecosystem function in shallow water estuaries. The location of freshwater inflow 42 sources within an estuary, however, is important in regulating this relationship. 43 44 45

46 47

Russell et al. 3

48 Introduction

49 Freshwater inflow is necessary to maintain both primary and secondary productivity in coastal estuary ecosystems. Minimum freshwater inflow levels are required by many states to protect 50 51 estuarine health, but there is no standard approach or criterion to set inflow levels (Montagna et 52 al. 2002). Also, freshwater inflow rates are changing because of changes in land use, water 53 diversion for human consumption, and climate change effects. These anthropogenic changes 54 result in decreased freshwater inflow and changes in the capture and reduction of flood events. 55 There is a need to be able to predict how these anthropogenic changes in hydrology might affect estuarine health. Estuarine health is the ecological integrity of an entire system. Ecological 56 57 integrity can be defined as a condition of ecosystems that is fully developed when the network of 58 biotic and abiotic components and processes is complete and functioning optimally (Campbell, 59 2000). A reliable and accurate indicator of estuarine health is ecosystem function.

60

61 An important component of ecosystem function is whole ecosystem metabolism. Whole 62 ecosystem metabolism is calculated by subtracting respiration from primary production for all 63 biological components contained in a defined body of water. A positive whole ecosystem 64 metabolism indicates that primary production exceeds respiration. A negative whole ecosystem 65 metabolism means that respiration exceeds primary production. In the aquatic environment, whole ecosystem metabolism depends on a variety of physical and biological factors. Physical 66 67 factors that influence whole ecosystem metabolism include depth, surface wind speed, 68 freshwater inflow, turbidity, substrate type, salinity, temperature, flow rates, nutrient 69 concentrations, and tidal cycles. Biological factors that influence whole ecosystem metabolism 70include chlorophyll-a, amount of live biomass in the water column and sediment, photosynthesis 71 rates, and respiration rates. Changes in whole ecosystem metabolism may be driven by short 72 term events, seasonal, or annual cycles of environmental conditions. Freshwater inflow, by 73 delivering nutrients and organic matter from the watershed, may be the most important of these 74 environmental conditions by affecting the health, function, and productivity of estuarine 75 ecosystems.

76

Whole ecosystem metabolism is linked to dissolved oxygen dynamics through the processes of 77 78 photosynthesis and respiration. Dissolved oxygen concentrations must remain sufficiently high 79 to preserve ecosystem health. There are currently 4641 impaired water bodies in the United 80 States listed on the Environmental Protection Agency's 2002 303(d) list for organic enrichment/low dissolved oxygen. Low dissolved oxygen ranks 5th on the top 100 impairments 81 list. Low dissolved oxygen is responsible for the approval of 947 total maximum daily load 82 (TMDL) programs, representing over 10% of the total number currently approved. One effect of 83 84 dissolved oxygen dynamics that has received recent interest is bottom water hypoxia events 85 during summer months. Causes of bottom water hypoxic conditions include water column 86 stratification, nutrient enrichment, and organic matter decomposition (Officer et al., 1984; Pokryfki and Randall, 1987; Rabalais et al. 2001). The balance between water/sediment interface 87 photosynthesis and respiration can determine whether these waters become hypoxic or anoxic. 88 89 Large areas of shallow water estuaries can become hypoxic during summer months when high 90 levels of water column primary production, stratification, benthic respiration, and reduced flushing by freshwater inflow reduce bottom water dissolved oxygen levels to dangerous levels 91 $(<2.0 \text{ mg } O_2 l^{-1})$. Over one half of the estuaries in the Gulf of Mexico exhibit moderate to severe 92 93 dissolved oxygen depletion (hypoxia/anoxia), a key indicator of aquatic ecosystem health

94 (Bricker et al. 1999). Hypoxia in Corpus Christi Bay was documented in the summer months of
95 1988 (Montagna and Kalke 1992) and has occurred every summer since (Montagna and
96 Morehead 2003). Organic matter and nutrients delivered by freshwater inflow not only effect
97 estuarine health but also estuarine function.

98

99 Ecosystem function in Texas shallow water estuaries may be altered by anthropogenic

100 modifications of Texas watersheds and the subsequent changes in freshwater inflow dynamics.

101 Restored inflow to Rincon Bayou Texas, after damming reduced freshwater inflow by 55%,

102 resulted in infauna abundance, biomass, and diversity increases (Montagna et al, 2002).

103 Increased freshwater inflow restored the ecosystem function of this salt marsh nursery habitat for

104 estuarine dependent, commercially important species such as the brown shrimp, *Farfante*

105 *penaeus aztecus* (Riera et al, 2000). Ecosystem function often translates into ecosystem

106 productivity.

107

Ecosystem productivity may be related to freshwater inflow by supplying nutrients and organic 108 109 matter from the watershed. Freshwater inflows to South Texas estuaries are limited (~0-800 million $m^3 y^{-1}$). An analysis of open water dissolved oxygen measurements to calculate 110 111 ecosystem metabolism over the past 20 years concluded that some Texas estuaries have low amounts of gross primary productivity with only 200 g C m⁻² y⁻¹ (Ward, 2003). Low gross 112 primary production may be due to lack of freshwater inflow. Both organic matter and nutrients 113 114 can be used to fuel primary and secondary production in an estuary either directly by 115 incorporation into new biomass or indirectly by re-mineralization.

116

117 Open water dissolved oxygen measurements have been used to estimate whole ecosystem 118 metabolism, providing spatially and temporally integrated estimates of metabolic processes since Odum's seminal work in the 1950's (Odum 1956). Whole ecosystem metabolism is a 119 120 calculation of the change in dissolved oxygen concentration resulting from biological processes 121 in an aquatic ecosystem over a period of 24 hours. Atmospheric oxygen flux must be estimated 122 to separate physical and biological influences on dissolved oxygen concentration (Odum and 123 Wilson 1962). Atmospheric oxygen flux is influenced by a combination of dissolved oxygen 124 concentration gradients and near surface turbulence dynamics. The physical factors driving near 125 surface turbulence must therefore be accounted for during calculations of whole ecosystem 126 metabolism.

127

It was hypothesized that whole ecosystem metabolism in shallow estuaries will depend on
freshwater inflow. To test this hypothesis, whole ecosystem metabolism was calculated in
Lavaca Bay, Texas and its relationship to freshwater inflow determined. We calculated whole
ecosystem metabolism from continuous oxygen measurements and compared them to freshwater
inflow amounts.

133

134 Materials and Methods

A monitoring plan was designed to assess both the spatial and temporal variability in whole ecosystem metabolism using dissolved oxygen concentrations in Lavaca Bay. Fifty-eight 24hour water quality monitoring samples, 20 water column nutrient samples, 43 water column chlorophyll-a, and 50 sediment samples were taken over a two year period (2002-2003) (Table 1a and 1b). Six different Texas Commission of Environmental Quality (TCEQ) sites were

140	sampled to provide spatial coverage (Table 2) (Fig. 1) (http://www.tceq.state.tx.us). Sites were
141	divided into upper bay (stations 1-3), and lower bay (stations 4-6) groups. The upper, lower bay
142	groups are subdivided by a constriction caused by the Highway 35 overpass (Fig. 1). Dissolved
143	oxygen and other water quality parameter measurements were taken every 15 minutes at mid-
144	depth using YSI series 6 multiparameter data sondes. Models 6920-S and 600XLM data sondes
145	with 610-DM and 650 MDS display loggers were used. The series 6 parameters have the
146	following accuracy and units: temperature ($\pm 0.15^{\circ}$ C), pH (± 0.2 units), dissolved oxygen (mg l ⁻¹
147	\pm 0.2), dissolved oxygen saturation (% \pm 2%), specific conductivity (± 0.5% of reading
148	depending on range), depth (\pm 0.2 m), and salinity (\pm 1% of reading or 0.1 ppt, whichever is
149	greater). Salinity is automatically corrected to 25°C.
150	
151	The relatively high wind speeds that occur across the shallow water estuaries of Texas imply that
152	wind will dominate the physical control of atmospheric oxygen flux. Texas estuaries experience
153	sustained wind speeds commonly around 7-8 m s ⁻¹ (~13-18 mph), but can have daily variations
154	in wind speed from 1-10 m s ⁻¹ (~2-23 mph) (Texas Coastal Ocean Observation Network data at
155	http://lighthouse.tamucc.edu/TCOON/HomePage). Estuaries in other regions of the U.S. tend to
156	have wind speeds in the range of 0-6 m s ⁻¹ (\sim 0-12 mph) with maximum atmospheric oxygen
157	exchanges measured at 8.6 m s ⁻¹ (~19 mph) (Kemp and Boynton 1980; Marino and Howarth
158	1993). Meteorological forcing dominates water exchange and circulation in South Texas
159	estuaries because of shallow water depths (medium depth \sim 2-4 m), small tidal range (\sim 0.25 m),
160	little freshwater inflow (~0-800 million $m^3 y^{-1}$), and long over-water fetches (Orlando et al.

161 1993). These characteristics when combined with ample sunlight, high temperatures, and

162 relatively steady South-east winds make South Texas estuarine ecosystems particularly amenable

to open water methods of estimating whole ecosystem metabolism. Biological processes can still dominate dissolved oxygen concentration changes in South Texas estuaries even with the prevalence of high wind speeds. The physical features of South Texas estuaries, when combined with the highly dynamic and large influence of wind speed on surface turbulence, require that estimates of whole ecosystem metabolism in this region adjust for changes in atmospheric oxygen flux because of changing wind speeds.

169

170 The wind dependent diffusion coefficients given by D'Avanzo et al. (1996) were applied to 171 calculations of whole ecosystem metabolism in Lavaca Bay. D'Avanzo et al.'s diffusion 172 coefficients allowed for diffusion corrected calculations of dissolved oxygen concentration 173 change that could vary over short temporal scales (hourly). The major physical influence on 174 whole ecosystem metabolism calculations was thus removed by adjusting for atmospheric 175 oxygen flux generated during undersaturated or supersaturated dissolved oxygen concentration conditions. Removal of the physical influences on dissolved oxygen concentration left just the 176 177 biologically driven changes in dissolved oxygen concentration.

178

Net ecosystem metabolism was calculated using open water diurnal methods. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were taken every 15 minutes and converted to a rate of change in dissolved oxygen concentration. These rates of change were then adjusted to control for diffusion of oxygen between the water column and the atmosphere by using percent saturation of dissolved oxygen in the water column and the wind dependent diffusion coefficient K (g O_2 m⁻² h⁻¹) at 0% saturation proposed by D'Avanzo et al. (1996) using the equation:

185

186	$R_{dc} = R - ((1 - ((S_1 + S_2) / 200)) * K / 4);$ where
187	R_{dc} = diffusion corrected oxygen concentration rate of change per 15 minutes,
188	R = observed oxygen concentration rate of change,
189	S_1 and S_2 = dissolved oxygen percent saturations at time one and two respectively,
190	K = diffusion coefficient at 0% dissolved oxygen saturation.
191	
192	To calculate daily net ecosystem metabolism the 15-minute diffusion corrected rates of dissolved
193	oxygen change were then summed over a 24-hour period, starting and ending at 8AM. Open
194	water dissolved oxygen methods similar to those used here have been used in a variety of
195	estuaries to calculate net ecosystem metabolism (Kemp et al 1992; D'Avanzo et al. 1996; Borsuk
196	et al. 2001; Caffrey 2003).
197	
198	Net ecosystem metabolism was regressed against freshwater inflow, salinity, water temperature,
199	water column depth, water column chlorophyll-a, water column nutrients, and sediment
200	characteristics. Freshwater inflow was calculated by summing all daily USGS gauged river flow
201	(millions of cubic feet day ⁻¹) into the bay during the ten days prior to sampling
202	(http://waterdata.usgs.gov/tx/nwis/rt). A ten day period was assumed to be the time interval
203	needed to capture an estuary's response to relatively recent freshwater inflow. Salinity, water
204	temperature, and depth daily means were calculated from multiparameter sonde measurements.
205	Chlorophyll-a was sampled by modifying the TCEQ's Surface Water Quality Monitoring
206	Procedures Volume 1 (2003) (http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/admin/topdoc/rg/415/415.html)
207	methods for collection of routine water chemistry samples. Two 10-ml sub-samples from a 1-L
208	van Doran bottle were collected and filtered on site. Chlorophyll-a concentration was

210 nutrient analyses for ammonium, phosphate, silicate, and nitrate plus nitrite were run on a Lachat 211 Quikchem 8000 using standard colormetric techniques (Parsons et al 1984, Diamond 1994). 212 213 Sediment and macrobenthos were sampled by taking five 6.7 cm diameter cores per station. 214 Three cores were divided into 0-3 cm and 3-10 cm sections, and preserved in formalin until 215 macrobenthic analysis. One core was divided into 0-3 cm and 3-10 cm sections for sediment 216 grain size analysis; all of the 0-3 cm section and a vertical slice of the 3-10 cm section were collected in the field, but only 20 cm³ were used in analysis. Zero to 1 cm and 2-3 cm sections 217 218 from the final core were placed in sterile Petri dishes for total carbon, total nitrogen, and total 219 organic carbon analyses.

determined using non acidification fluorometric techniques (Welschmeyer 1994). Water column

220

209

221 Results

Principle component analysis (PCA) of site specific environmental variables yielded two 222 223 relatively distinct groups of stations located in upper and lower Lavaca bay. Two groups of 224 stations; 1, 2, and 3 in upper Lavaca bay and station 4, 5, and 6 in lower Lavaca bay were identified from salinity, temperature, and depth measurements taken during every 24-hour 225 226 dissolved oxygen deployment (Fig. 2a). Salinity and temperature had the highest loading values 227 with depth being similar to salinity (Fig. 2b). Principle components 1 and 2 explained 56.3% 228 and 28.1% respectively of the total variability. The station groups resulted from a gradient of 229 high salinity conditions at station 6 in the upper left to lower salinity conditions at station 1 in the 230 lower right (Fig. 2a). Temperature depended on time of year when samples were collected with

231 lower temperatures corresponding to the lower left and higher temperatures in the upper right232 (Fig. 2a).

233

234 Chlorophyll-a measurements resulted in similar station groups as the environmental condition 235 analysis (Fig. 3). Stations grouped together into three sets; 1 in upper bay, 3, 5, and 6 in lower 236 bay, and stations 2 and 4 made up a transitional group. Significant differences were seen 237 between station 1 and the group of stations 3, 5, and 6. Stations 2 and 4 grouped with both upper 238 and lower bay groups. The discrepancy between site 3 and 4 falling in an alternate group than 239 during the environmental condition analysis may be due to resuspension of benthic algae by 240 turbulence generated as water moves past an overpass located down estuary from station 3 and 241 up estuary of station 4. Chlorophyll-a did not have a significant relationship with net ecosystem 242 metabolism (linear regression, p = 0.5821) (Fig. 4).

243

Water column principle component nutrient analysis separated stations along a gradient from
upper to lower bay. The large change in nutrient concentrations during a large pulse of
freshwater inflow implies that the main driving force behind nutrient concentrations is freshwater
inflow (Fig. 5a). Upper bay stations encounter slightly higher concentrations of nutrients than
lower bay stations under lower freshwater inflow conditions (Fig. 5b). Principle component 1
and 2 accounted for 83.3% and 7.9% respectively of the total variance (Fig. 5c).

250

Sediment characteristic PCA resulted in a separation between upper and lower bay stations (Fig.
6a). Principal component 1 and 2 accounted for 60% and 24% respectively of the total
variability (Fig. 6b). Stations were vertically separated on PC 2 by a gradient of sandy sediment

254	in upper bay to clay dominated sediments in lower bay. Lower bay stations also had more total
255	sediment nitrogen. Station 5 separated from the rest of the stations on PC 1 because of the large
256	quantities of total carbon, total organic carbon, and rubble measured there. The rest of the
257	stations were characterized by a larger percentage of silt and higher concentrations of total
258	nitrogen. No significant relationship was found between any sediment characteristic and net
259	ecosystem metabolism (linear regression, $p = 0.076-0.106$).
260	
261	Linear regression analysis comparing net ecosystem metabolism with freshwater inflow, salinity,
262	temperature, and depth resulted in only salinity (p < 0.001, $R^2 = 0.400$) or freshwater inflow (p <
263	0.001, $R^2 = 0.374$) being significant depending on which was entered into the model first.
264	Freshwater inflow will be used during the rest of the analysis instead of salinity since freshwater
265	inflow is more manageable by anthropogenic modification of watersheds than salinity.
266	
267	Freshwater inflow correlated with net ecosystem metabolism in upper Lavaca bay (linear
268	regression p \leq 0.0001, R ² = 0.41) (Fig. 7). The largest net ecosystem metabolism residuals
269	occurred during the lowest levels of freshwater inflow into upper Lavaca bay. The most negative
270	net ecosystem metabolism values were calculated in upper Lavaca bay.
271	
272	Lower Lavaca bay net ecosystem metabolism had an insignificant correlation with freshwater
273	inflow (linear regression $p = 0.3497$, $R^2 = 0.03$) (Fig. 8). The largest response in net ecosystem
274	metabolism to freshwater inflow, however, was seen in lower Lavaca bay. The two large
275	positive values of net ecosystem metabolism in Lower Lavaca bay occurred at station 6 during
276	higher freshwater inflows. The lack of data during moderate freshwater inflows stems from the

- pulsing nature of precipitation events in Texas watersheds which are characterized by extendedperiods of drought punctuated by flood events (Fig. 9).
- 279

280 Discussion

281 Freshwater inflow and salinity were determined to be the only factors to have a relationship with 282 net ecosystem metabolism in Lavaca Bay. Freshwater inflow and salinity, however, have a fairly strong inverse relationship to each other (linear regression, p < 0.0001, $R^2 = 0.43$) (Fig. 10). 283 284 Freshwater inflow is much more manageable than salinity because freshwater inflow is not as 285 affected by tidal and meteorological changes. The large variability in estuarine environmental 286 factors means that care must be taken to control for effects these factors may have on one's 287 response variable of interest, in this case net ecosystem metabolism. Separation of stations into 288 two groups located in upper and lower Lavaca Bay, even though no significant relationships 289 were found, allowed us to remove most of the effects on net ecosystem metabolism from station 290 differences in temperature, depth, chlorophyll-a, water column nutrients, and sediment 291 characteristics. The only other environmental factor that needed to be controlled for was 292 atmospheric water column oxygen diffusion.

293

The large influence that diffusion coefficients have on atmospheric water column oxygen diffusion and the resulting net ecosystem metabolism values meant that we needed to choose an appropriate diffusion equation for our specific ecosystem of study. Caffrey (2004) concluded that 25% of daily measured oxygen concentration changes at 42 National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR) sites were due to atmospheric oxygen flux in water depths of approximately 1 meter. Estimates of diffusion coefficients and their relationship to wind speed have been 300 calculated using a variety of methods. Odum and Hoskin (1958) used a method based entirely 301 on the rate of change of dissolved oxygen concentration in South Texas estuaries during night 302 time periods experiencing constant or near constant wind velocities. Their results suggest for Texas shallow water estuaries the volumetric diffusion coefficient k (in mg $O_2 l^{-1} hr^{-1}$ at 100% 303 saturation deficit) increases linearly from 0-3 as wind increases from 0-12 m s⁻¹ (0-30 mph) 304 305 (Odum and Wilson 1962). Hartmon and Hammond (1984) working in San Francisco Bay had similar results and derived an area based wind-dependent diffusion coefficients K (in g $O_2 m^2 h^{-1}$ 306 at 100% saturation deficit) that ranged from approx. 0-1.5 with wind speeds of 0-10 m s⁻¹. Kemp 307 308 and Boynton (1980) assumed that atmospheric flux in relatively deeper systems varied as a 309 constant function of the oxygen gradient between surface water dissolved oxygen and 310 atmospheric gas with a diffusion coefficient that varied with both air and water turbulence. Their 311 estimates of gas transfer across the air-water interface from measurements using the floating 312 dome method (Copeland and Duffer 1964; Hall 1970) yielded area based diffusion coefficients of 0.9 to 9.7 g $O_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$. Boynton et al (1978) also found a similar range of K's (0.4-10.7 g O_2 313 $m^{-2} h^{-1}$) using a variety of methods. With more use of the floating dome method and 314 315 comparisons between different system types (i.e., estuaries, open ocean, and lakes) a more 316 complete picture of wind speed influence on atmospheric oxygen flux became available (Marino 317 and Howarth 1993). A general exponential relationship suggested by Smith (1985) was used to 318 model oxygen transfer velocity as a linear function of wind speed. Smith's log linear model 319 explained 55% of the atmospheric oxygen flux variability in a combined data set compiled from 320 a wide range of systems and measurement techniques (Marino and Howarth 1993). A recent 321 comparison of three wind-dependent diffusion coefficients with a constant coefficient of 0.5 g O₂ $m^{-2} h^{-1}$ concluded that the constant coefficient was only similar to the wind-dependent 322

coefficients at wind speeds from 0-5 m s⁻¹ and greatly underestimated air-sea exchange at winds 323 greater than 8 m s⁻¹ (Caffrey 2004) (Table 3). The three wind-dependent diffusion coefficient 324 equations are similar when plotted over wind speeds from 0-10 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 11). D'Avanzo et al. 325 326 (1996), studying a shallow estuarine system in Waquoit Bay, Cape Cod, Massachusetts, 327 estimated relatively higher air-sea exchanges over the entire range of wind speeds than that 328 found for the wide range of systems used by Marino and Howarth (1993) which included deep 329 open ocean waters. A wind dependent diffusion coefficient similar to that proposed by D'Avanzo 330 et al. (1996) or Marino and Howarth (1993) is therefore preferable to assuming a constant 331 diffusion coefficient in systems encountering strong and highly variable wind speeds. We chose 332 to use D'Avanzo et al.'s (1996) diffusion coefficients in our calculations of net ecosystem 333 metabolism's relationship to freshwater inflow because both of our estuarine systems have 334 shallow water depths.

335

336 Freshwater inflow alone is not driving whole ecosystem metabolism in estuaries, it is the organic 337 and inorganic loads contained in that inflow. We can define freshwater loading as the 338 combination of water quantity and quality. Freshwater inflow into an estuary contains organic 339 matter and nutrients from an estuary's corresponding watershed. Freshwater inflow rates can be 340 used as a proxy for freshwater loading from a specific watershed and will integrate watershed level processes that effect both water quality and quantity. The relationship between freshwater 341 342 inflow and whole ecosystem metabolism was found to differ depending on location within a 343 shallow water estuary.

344

345 In the upper bay, net ecosystem metabolism becomes more negative as freshwater loading 346 increases. A negative net metabolism value implies that an allochthonous source of organic 347 matter is being respired, and that daily respiration is higher than photosynthesis. This organic 348 matter sink may result in higher secondary production, but an extremely large negative net 349 ecosystem metabolism could lead to dissolved oxygen impairment as large amounts of oxygen 350 are converted to carbon dioxide during oxidation of organic matter. Upper Lavaca bay, being 351 located in close proximity to freshwater point sources, had the largest negative net ecosystem metabolism response to increased freshwater inflow. Multiple freshwater point sources present 352 353 at Lavaca Bay (i.e. rivers and streams) may have led to the relatively larger variability in net 354 ecosystem metabolism during lower freshwater inflow periods. Shallow depths in the upper bay 355 may also have contributed to variability due to the effects of changing daily irradiance on benthic 356 primary production during low inflow periods when water clarity tends to increase. Upper bay 357 health and function, even with the increased variability at lower freshwater inflows, seem to be 358 primarily driven by levels of freshwater loading, but causality cannot be drawn from these results 359 due to use of correlation statistical analysis.

360

The lower bay, which likely receives less organic matter, has a more balanced to slightly positive net ecosystem metabolism with increased freshwater loading. A balanced net ecosystem metabolism implies that lower Lavaca bay doesn't act as a sink or source of organic matter. A positive net metabolism value implies that autochthonous organic matter is being produced, and the ecosystem is a net source of organic matter. Autochthonous matter production may be the result of increased nutrient input from periods of increased freshwater flow. The two large positive net ecosystem metabolism values during a period of high freshwater inflow occurred at 368 station 6. Net ecosystem values closer to zero were found at station 4 during the same freshwater 369 inflow period. Upper bay conditions may push down into the lower bay where station 4 is 370 located during very high freshwater inflows. Station 4 may act as a transition between upper and 371 lower bay results during high freshwater inflows. If we separated the station 4 results from 372 stations 5 and 6 we could tentatively conclude that the lower bay has a large positive net 373 ecosystem response during high freshwater periods. The lack of replicate samples at station 5 374 and 6 during high freshwater inflows, however, means that further research will be needed before 375 valid conclusions about lower bay net ecosystem dynamics can be made. Autochthonous matter 376 production in lower Lavaca bay could, if severe, lead to eutrophic conditions and occurrences of 377 harmful algal blooms, but this is usually prevented in Lavaca bay by wind and tidal flushing, and 378 a well mixed water column. The deeper depths of the lower bay and the spatial separation from 379 freshwater inflow point sources implies that water column processes will dominate and tidal 380 forcing may be more important here than in the upper bay. The lack of significance in the 381 relationship between freshwater loading and whole ecosystem metabolism implies that other 382 factors are more important than freshwater loading this far away from freshwater inflow point 383 sources. Which factors are important, however, are still unknown.

384

These findings conclude that freshwater loading drives ecosystem function in shallow water estuaries. The location within an estuary, however, is important in describing this relationship. Whole ecosystem metabolism provides an indicator of ecosystem health and function but is also a direct estimate of the biological processing of oxygen. Total maximum daily load programs for dissolved oxygen impairment could use the techniques and relationships between freshwater inflow and net ecosystem metabolism generated during this study and apply them to keep estuarine ecosystem metabolism in balance. Future research efforts include conducting broader
scale studies to quantify the temporal and spatial variability in net ecosystem metabolism's
relationship with freshwater inflow. The larger range of environmental conditions captured
during this future research will be used to produce a practical integrated watershed level
modeling tool for management of estuarine dissolved oxygen concentrations, health, and
function.

Literature Cited

- Borsuk, M.E., C. A. Stow, J. Luettich, H. W. Paerl, and J. L. Pinckney. 2001. Modelling Oxygen Dynamics in an Intermittently Stratified Estuary: Estimation of Process Rates Using Field Data. <u>Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science</u> 52: 33-49.
- Boynton, W. R., Kemp, W. M., Osborne, C. G. and Kaumeyer, K. R. 1978. Metabolic characteristics of the water column, benthos and integral community in the vicinity of Calvert Cliffs, Chesapeake Bay. Contributed Report No. 2-72-02 (77), Maryland Power Plant Siting Program, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Bricker, S. B., C. G. Clement, D. E. Pirhalla, S. P. Orlando, and D. R. G. Farrow. 1999.National estuarine eutrophication assessment: Effects of nutrient enrichment in the nation's estuaries. NOAA, National Ocean Service.
- Caffrey, J. M. 2003. Production, respiration, and net ecosystem metabolism in U.S. estuaries. <u>Environmental Monitoring and Assessment</u> 81: 207-219.
- Caffrey, J. M. 2004. Factors controlling net ecosystem metabolism in U.S. estuaries. <u>Estuaries</u> 27 (1): 90-101.
- Campbell, D. E. 2000. Using energy systems theory to define, measure, and interpret ecological integrity and ecosystem health. In: *Ecosystem Health* 6(3) : 181-204.

- Copeland, B. J. and W. R. Duffer. 1964. Use of a clear plastic dome to measure gaseous diffusion rates in natural waters. <u>Limnology and Oceanography</u> 9: 494-499.
- D'Avanzo, C., Kremer, J. N., and Wainright, S. C. 1996. Ecosystem production and respiration in response to eutrophication in shallow temperate estuaries. <u>Marine Ecology Progress</u> <u>Series</u> 141: 263-274.

Diamond, D. 1994. Lachat Instruments Inc., QuikChem method 31-115-01-1-A.

- Hall, C. A. S. 1970. Migration and metabolism in a stream ecosystem. Ph.D. thesis. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.
- Hartmon, B. and D. E. Hammond. 1984. Gas exchange rates across the sediment-water and airwater interfaces in south San Fransisco Bay. <u>Journal of Geophysical Research</u> 89: 3593-3603.
- Kemp, W. M. and W. R. Boynton. 1980. Influence of biological and physical processes on dissolved oxygen dynamics in an estuarine system: Implication for measurement of community metabolism. Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science 11: 407-431.

- Kemp, W. M., P. A. Sampou, J. Tuttle, and W. R. Boynton. 1992. Seasonal Depletion of
 Oxygen from Bottom Waters of Chesapeake Bay: Roles of Benthic and Planktonic
 Respiration and Physical Exchange Processes. <u>Marine Ecology Progress Series</u> 85: 137-157.
- Marino, R. and R. W. Howarth. 1993. Atmospheric oxygen exchange in the Hudson River: dome measurements and comparison with other natural waters. <u>Estuaries</u> 16: 433-445.
- Montagna, P. A. and Kalke, R. D. 1992. The effect of freshwater inflow on meiofaunal and macrofaunal populations in the Guadalupe and Nueces Estuaries, Texas. <u>Estuaries</u> 15: 307-326.
- Montagna, P. A., Kalke, R. D., Ritter, C. 2002. Effect of Restored Freshwater Inflow on Macrofauna and Meiofauna in Upper Rincon Bayou, Texas, USA. <u>Estuaries</u> 25: 1436-1447.
- Odum, H. T. 1956. Primary production in flowing waters. <u>Limnology and Oceanography</u> 1: 102-117.
- Odum, H. T. and C. M. Hoskin. 1958. Comparitive studies on the metabolism of marine waters. <u>Publications of the Institute of Marine Science, Texas</u> 5: 16-46.
- Odum, H. T. and R. F. Wilson. 1962. Further studies on reaeration and metabolism of Texas Bays, 1958-1960. <u>Publication of the Institute of Marine Science, Texas</u> 8: 23-55.

- Officer, C. B., R. B. Biggs, J. L. Taft, L. E. Cronin, M. A. Tyler, and W. R. Boynton. 1984. Chesapeake Bay anoxia: origin, development, and significance. <u>Science</u> 223: 22-27.
- Orlando, S. P. Jr., L. P. Rozas, G. H. Ward, and C. J. Klein. 1993. Salinity characteristics of Gulf of Mexico estuaries. Silver Spring, MD: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Office of Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment. 209pp.
- Parsons, T. R., Maita, Y. & Lalli, G. M. 1984. A Manual of Chemical and Biological Methods for Seawater Analysis Pergamon Press, New York, pp. 173
- Pokryfki, L. and R. E. Randall. 1987. Nearshore hypoxia in the bottom water of the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico from 1981 to 1984. <u>Marine Environmental Research</u> 22: 75-90.
- Rabalais, N. N., R. E. Turner (eds). 2001. Coastal hypoxia: Consequences for living resources and ecosystems. <u>Coastal and Estuarine Studies</u> 58, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C.
- Riera, P., P. A. Montagna, R. D. Kalke, and P. Prichard. 2000. Utilization of estuarine organic matter during growth and migration by juvenile brown shrimp *Penaeus aztecus* in a South Texas estuary. <u>Marine Ecological Progress Series</u> 199: 205-216.

- Smith, S. V. 1985. Physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of CO₂ gas flux across the sir-water interface. <u>Plant, Cell and Environment</u> 8: 387-398.
- Texas Commission of Environmental Quality. 2003. Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures Manual. Vol. 1. <u>http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/admin/topdoc/rg/415/415.html</u>.
- Ward, G. H. 2003. Distribution of nutrients in the Coastal Bend bays in space and time. Report to the Texas General Land Office. Center for Research in Water Resources, University of Texas at Austin.
- Welschmeyer, Nicholas A. 1994. Fluorometric analysis of chlorophyll a in the presence of chlorophyll b and pheopigments. <u>Limnology and Oceanography</u>, 39: 1985-1992.

(Temp.), and depth, as well as emotophyn-a (Cma).													
Date	Sta	NEM	Sal.	Temp.	Depth	Chla	Date	Sta	NEM	Sal.	Temp.	Depth	Chla
			(ppt)	(°C)	(m)	$(ug l^{-1})$				(ppt)	(°C)	(m)	$(ug l^{-1})$
4/24/2002	1	-2.8	12.99	26.70	0.72	15.48	4/15/2003	6	-0.95	22.58	22.08	1.22	
4/24/2002	2	1.12	15.33	26.75	0.76	8.84	5/28/2003	1	1.17	17.86	26.62	0.83	5.47
4/24/2002	4	-1.43	22.74	26.36	0.85	8.64	5/28/2003	1	1.01	17.48	26.54	0.80	5.47
4/24/2002	5	-0.48	22.74	26.36	0.85	6.64	5/28/2003	2	3.43	18.70	26.70	0.84	7.15
4/24/2002	6	-0.55	24.58	26.51	1.28	3.88	5/28/2003	3	1.36	20.87	26.98	1.02	6
5/22/2002	1	-1.37	18.58	23.43	0.85	15.84	5/28/2003	4	1.37	22.33	27.05	1.06	6.85
5/22/2002	4	-1.35	24.81	23.51	1.23	13.24	5/28/2003	4	1.52	22.30	27.03	1.07	6.85
5/22/2002	5	-0.49	24.82	23.42	0.86	9.26	5/28/2003	5	1.13	23.41	27.23	0.86	2.33
5/22/2002	5	-1.31	25.18	23.44	0.89	9.26	5/28/2003	6	1.61	24.21	27.28	1.19	7.69
5/22/2002	6	-1.07	26.90	23.62	1.22	10.96	7/22/2003	2	-0.68	9.58	30.99	0.63	
8/21/2002	1	-1.94	9.48	30.21	0.65	14.16	7/22/2003	3	-1.84	9.72	30.83	1.00	
8/21/2002	2	-0.7	11.10	30.32	0.71	15.38	7/22/2003	4	-2.10	10.49	30.88	0.84	
8/21/2002	2	-1.41	11.19	30.26	0.74	15.38	7/22/2003	4	-1.76	10.48	30.88	0.84	
8/21/2002	4	-1.30	12.74	30.37	0.87	9.71	7/22/2003	6	-0.53	22.00	30.68	0.92	
8/21/2002	4	-0.70	12.81	30.31	0.91	9.71	8/19/2003	1	-0.02	13.33	30.86	0.63	
8/21/2002	5	-1.05	17.87	30.33	0.77	8.41	8/19/2003	1	0.49	13.74	30.94	0.64	
8/21/2002	6	-0.06	18.97	30.31	1.12	9.36	8/19/2003	2	0.23	17.83	30.91	0.60	
10/9/2002	1	0.4	12.88	27.13	0.79	20.4	8/19/2003	5	0.27	24.54	30.80	0.78	
10/9/2002	1	0.13	13.01	27.07	0.86	20.4	8/19/2003	6	0.43	25.54	30.71	0.99	
10/9/2002	2	-0.86	15.12	27.34	0.80	17.83	9/23/2003	1	-2.83	1.20	25.40	0.68	6.32
10/9/2002	4	-0.80	17.89	27.31	1.06	12.92	9/23/2003	1	-2.21	1.18	25.40	0.68	6.32
10/9/2002	5	-0.82	19.87	27.46	0.89	8.62	9/23/2003	2	-2.54	5.77	25.73	0.77	10.08
10/9/2002	6	-0.44	21.03	27.62	1.20	10	9/23/2003	3	-2.89	7.32	25.68	1.10	10.64
3/18/2003	1	-1.33	10.50	21.53	0.78	17.82	9/23/2003	4	-0.26	8.50	25.52	1.07	10.99
3/18/2003	2	-0.01	14.42	21.48	0.74	6.24	9/23/2003	4	-0.90	8.42	25.61	1.06	10.99
3/18/2003	3	-0.15	15.22	21.07	1.20	6.33	9/23/2003	6	3.1	19.66	26.06	1.11	12.28
3/18/2003	6	-0.13	19.71	20.89	1.12	5.62							
4/15/2003	1	-0.51	14.95	22.71	0.80								
4/15/2003	2	-0.71	18.73	22.63	0.83								
4/15/2003	2	-1.13	18.55	22.60	0.85		····						
4/15/2003	3	-1.82	17.98	22.26	1.13								
4/15/2003	4	-1.15	20.70	22.12	1.18								

Table 1a. Monitoring dates by station with results for net ecosystem metabolism (NEM), mean daily salinity (Sal.), temperature (Temp.), and depth, as well as chlorophyll-a (Chl.-a).

Table 1b. Monitoring dates by station listing results for water column ammonium (NH₄), phophate (PO₄), silicate (SIO₄), and nitrate plus nitrite (NN) in umol 1⁻¹, sediment total nitrogen (Tot.N), total carbon (Tot.C), and total organic carbon (TOC) in percent of total sediment, and sediment composition as a proportion of total sediment.

Date	Sta	NH ₄	PO ₄	SIO ₄	NN	Tot.N	Tot.C	TOC	Rubble	Sand	Silt	Clay
		s				%	%	%				
4/24/2002	1	0.81	1.05	75.39	2.68	0.068	0.786	0.628	0.009	0.457	0.346	0.188
4/24/2002	2	0.01	0.46	65.73	0.41	0.057	1.242	0.596	0.017	0.243	0.495	0.245
4/24/2002	4	0.04	0.6	63.34	0.46	0.097	1.421	0.882	0.006	0.147	0.524	0.323
4/24/2002	5	0	0.63	45.19	0.42	0.039	12.401	10.454	0.943	0.023	0.013	0.021
4/24/2002	6	0.75	2.3	26.27	2.31	0.098	1.468	0.805	0.005	0.122	0.559	0.314
3/18/2003	1	0.28	0.4	41.6	0.4		-					
3/18/2003	2	0.28	0.49	46.38	0.53							
3/18/2003	3	0.31	0.34	55.22	0.11							
3/18/2003	6	0.66	0.01	4.05	0.3							
4/15/2003	1					0.094	1.082	0.813	0.012	0.545	0.395	0.048
4/15/2003	2					0.047	0.950	0.528	0.017	0.375	0.529	0.078
4/15/2003	3					0.127	1.647	1.132	0.015	0.241	0.649	0.094
4/15/2003	4					0.103	1.428	0.866	0.006	0.116	0.749	0.129
4/15/2003	6					0.134	1.662	1.047	0.008	0.112	0.753	0.127
5/28/2003	1	0.28	0.62	69.79	0.53							
5/28/2003	2	0.27	0.66	76.83	0.62							
5/28/2003	3	1.14	0.51	50.49	0.45							
5/28/2003	4	0.44	0.33	31.68	1.12							
5/28/2003	5	2.06	0.62	35.4	1.52							
5/28/2003	6	0.41	0.47	31.88	0.79							
9/23/2003	1	6.005	5.515	266.885	7.38							
9/23/2003	2	9.46	3.135	220.76	6.135							
9/23/2003	3	8.545	3.468	194.615	8.34							
9/23/2003	4	7.788	2.78	187.18	5.51							
9/23/2003	6	1.84	1.975	145.49	3.165							

Assessment	Statio	on No.			Longitude (W)	
Unit	TCEQ	UTMSI	Short Description	Latitude (N)		
Upper-Bay	17552	LB 1	Lavaca Bay So. of Garcitas Cove	28.69683456	96.64499664	
Upper-Bay	17553	LB 2	Lavaca Bay West of Point Comfort	28.67436218	96.58280182	
Upper-Bay	13383	LB 3	Lavaca Bay at SH 35	28.63888931	96.60916901	
Lower-Bay	17554	LB 4	Lavaca Bay East of Noble Point	28.63933372	96.58449554	
Lower-Bay	13384	LB 5	Lavaca Bay at 'Y' at CM 66	28.59583282	96.56250000	
Lower-Bay	17555	LB 6	Lavaca Bay South of Rhodes Pt.	28.59769440	96.51602173	

Table 2. Stations sampled for net ecosystem metabolism. T. C. E. Q. descriptions and locations.

Table 3. Wind dependent and constant diffusion coefficient (K) equations. Diffusion coefficients (K) are in g $O_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$. Odum and Wilson; and Marino and Howarth estuarine subset equations estimated from graphs.

Author(s)	Location(s)	Wind Speed Range (m s ⁻¹)	Equation X = Wind Speed	Variability Explained (%)
Odum and	Texas Gulf	0-12	0.2x	NA
Wilson, 1962	Coast			
Marino and	World Wide	0-12	$0.1098e^{(0.249x)}$	55
Howarth, 1993	Full data set			
Marino and	Estuarine	0-12	$e^{(1.00+0.4x)}$	NA
Howarth, 1993	data subset			
D'Avanzo et	Waquoit Bay	NA	$0.56e^{(0.15x)}$	NA
al., 1996				
Caffrey, 2004	NERR sites	0-10	0.5	NA

Fig. 1. Map of 24 hour data sonde deployment at U. T. M. S. I. stations in Lavaca Bay.

Fig. 2a. Environmental condition PCA scores.

Fig. 2b. Environmental condition PCA loads.

Fig. 3. One way anova of chl.-a by station with Tukey's minimum significant difference = \pm 3.7 as error bars.

Fig. 4. Net ecosystem metabolism vs. chlorophyll-a linear regression.

Fig. 5a. Water column nutrient PCA scores (Circled area contains scores during high freshwater inflow).

Fig. 5b. Water column nutrient PCA scores close up.

Fig. 5c. Water column nutrient PCA loads.

Fig. 6a. Sediment characteristics PCA scores.

Fig. 6b. Sediment characteristics PCA loads.

Fig. 7. Upper Bay net ecosystem metabolism vs. freshwater inflow.

Fig. 8. Lower Bay net ecosystem metabolism vs. freshwater inflow.

Fig. 9. Cumulative ten day prior to date gauged freshwater inflow into Lavaca Bay, Texas.

(Circles denote sample dates.)

Fig. 10. Mean daily salinity vs. cumulative freshwater inflow from ten days prior to sample date.

(Labeled by U. T. M. S. I. station number.)

Fig. 11. Wind dependent and constant diffusion coefficients (K) vs. wind speed.



Fig. 1. Russell et al.



Fig. 2a. Russell et al.



Fig. 2b. Russell et al.











Fig. 5a. Russell et al.



Fig. 5b. Russell et al.























Fig. 9. Russell et al.



Fig. 10. Russell et al.



