From:	"Tommy Hill" <thill@gbra.org></thill@gbra.org>
то:	<pre><bill.roberts@twdb.state.tx.us></bill.roberts@twdb.state.tx.us></pre>
Date:	10/5/2006 3:37:35 PM
Subject:	2007 Water Plan

Mr. Roberts,

With regards to the draft plan, Water for Texas 2007, GBRA has reviewed the document and offer the following comments.

1) Page 79, First paragraph -- Suggest changing the fourth sentence to read " The region contains the two largest Springs in the United States, west of the Mississippi River".

2) Page 78, first paragraph -- Suggest changing the seventh sentence to read " With limited local water resources, the San Antonio Metropolitan region will rely on water supplies from outside the region to meet its future needs beyond 2040."

3) Page 81, Figure L.3. -- Figure L.3 is confusing in that for 2010 the graph shows existing supplies exceeding the total demand but also shows 190,000 ac-ft of water needs. We suggest a foot note to explain why this occurred.

4) Page 82, Table L.2 -- We found Table L.2 difficult to understand. First it appears groundwater sources and surface water sources are treated differently. Groundwater availability is shown at the ultimate development potential while run-of-river surface water supply is shown at the developed level excluding any water strategies. We suggest a foot note to explain this difference. Secondly, all of the reservoirs supplies are shown at permitted amounts with the one exception, Canyon Lake. To be consistent, Canyon Lake should be shown at the maximum potential yield. In section 4A, page 23 of the Region L 2006 Report (Volume I), it indicates the maximum use out of Canyon Reservoir is 87,484 ac-ft. We suggest for both columns(2010 and 2060) of Table L.2 using a Canyon yield of 87, 484 ac-ft.

Thanks for the opportunity to review the draft plan

Thomas D. Hill Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority 830-379-5822

CC: "Sam Vaugh (E-mail)" <Sam.Vaugh@hdrinc.com>, "Matt Nelson (E-mail)" <Matt.Nelson@twdb.state.tx.us>