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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h) (Texas Water Code, 2015), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, a groundwater conservation district shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the Executive Administrator.

The TWDB provides data and information to the Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District in two parts. Part 1 is the Estimated Historical Water Use/State Water Plan dataset report, which will be provided to you separately by the TWDB Groundwater Technical Assistance Department. Please direct questions about the water data report to Mr. Stephen Allen at 512-463-7317 or stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov. Part 2 is the required groundwater availability modeling information which includes:

1. the annual amount of recharge from precipitation, if any, to the groundwater resources within the district;

2. for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface-water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and

3. the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

The groundwater management plan for the Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District should be adopted by the district on or before December 15, 2022 and submitted to the Executive Administrator of the TWDB on or before January 14, 2023.
The current management plan for the Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District expires on March 15, 2023.

We used the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley Aquifers version 1.01 (Anaya and Jones, 2009) to estimate the management plan information for the aquifers within the Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District.

This report replaces the results of GAM Run 17-004 (Anaya, 2017). The approach used for analyzing model results in GAM Run 22-010 has been refined to better delineate groundwater flow. Additionally, we updated the spatial grid files used to define county, groundwater conservation district, and aquifer boundaries, which also impacted the water budget. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the groundwater availability model data required by statute. Figures 1 and 3 show the area of the model from which the values in the tables were extracted. Figures 2 and 4 provide generalized diagrams of the groundwater flow components provided in Tables 1 and 2. If, after review of the figures, the Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions, please notify the TWDB at your earliest convenience.

**METHODS:**

In accordance with the provisions of the Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), the groundwater availability model mentioned above was used to estimate information for the Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District management plan. Water budgets were extracted for the historical model periods for the Trinity and Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) aquifers (1981 through 2000) using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009). The average annual water budget values for recharge, surface-water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, and the flow between aquifers within the district are summarized in this report.

**PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:**

*Trinity and Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) aquifers*

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley Aquifers to analyze the Trinity and Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) aquifers. See Anaya and Jones (2009) for assumptions and limitations of the model.
• The groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley Aquifers contains 2 layers: within Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District, these generally represent the Edwards Group and equivalent limestone hydrostratigraphic units of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer (Layer 1) and the undifferentiated Trinity Group hydrostratigraphic units or equivalent units of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer (Layer 2).

• An individual water budget for the district was determined for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer (Layers 1 and 2, combined) and the Trinity Aquifer (Layer 2). The Pecos Valley Aquifer does not occur within Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District and therefore no groundwater budget values are included for it in this report.

• We used the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer instead of the groundwater availability model for the Hill Country portion of the Trinity Aquifer because the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer model covers the entire geographical area of the district. Both groundwater availability models are aligned with different model grid orientations which prevent combining the results from each without double accounting or omitting important water budget information.

• Water budget terms were averaged for the period 1981 to 2000 (stress periods 2 through 21)

• The model was run with MODFLOW-96 (Harbaugh and others, 1996).
RESULTS:

A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifers according to the groundwater availability model. Selected groundwater budget components listed below were extracted from the groundwater availability model results for the Trinity and Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) aquifers located within Bandera County River Authority and Groundwater District and averaged over the historical calibration periods, as shown in Tables 1 and 2.

1. Precipitation recharge—the areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.

2. Surface-water outflow—the total water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface-water features such as streams, reservoirs, and springs.

3. Flow into and out of district—the lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.

4. Flow between aquifers—the net vertical flow between the aquifer and adjacent aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs.

The information needed for the district’s management plan is summarized in Tables 1 and 2. It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as a district or county boundary, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.
TABLE 1: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE TRINITY AQUIFER THAT IS NEEDED FOR THE BANDERA COUNTY RIVER AUTHORITY AND GROUNDWATER DISTRICT’S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FeET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Plan requirement</th>
<th>Aquifer or confining unit</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district</td>
<td>Trinity Aquifer</td>
<td>47,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface-water body including lakes, streams, and rivers</td>
<td>Trinity Aquifer</td>
<td>32,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>Trinity Aquifer</td>
<td>7,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>Trinity Aquifer</td>
<td>30,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>To the Trinity Aquifer from the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer</td>
<td>12,484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 1: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY MODEL FOR THE EDWARDS-TRINITY (PLATEAU) AND PECOS VALLEY AQUIFERS FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 1 WAS EXTRACTED (THE TRINITY AQUIFER EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).
FIGURE 2. GENERALIZED DIAGRAM OF THE SUMMARIZED BUDGET INFORMATION FROM TABLE 1, REPRESENTING DIRECTIONS OF FLOW FOR THE TRINITY AQUIFER WITHIN BANDERA COUNTY RIVER AUTHORITY AND GROUNDWATER DISTRICT. FLOW VALUES EXPRESSED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR (AFY).

Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 1. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. If the District requires values for additional water budget items, please contact TWDB.
TABLE 2: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE EDWARDS-TRINITY (PLATEAU) AQUIFER THAT IS NEEDED FOR THE BANDERA COUNTY RIVER AUTHORITY AND GROUNDWATER DISTRICT’S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Plan requirement</th>
<th>Aquifer or confining unit</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district</td>
<td>Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer</td>
<td>7,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface-water body including lakes, streams, and rivers</td>
<td>Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer</td>
<td>4,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer</td>
<td>6,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer</td>
<td>4,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>From the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer to the Trinity Aquifer</td>
<td>12,484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 4. GENERALIZED DIAGRAM OF THE SUMMARIZED BUDGET INFORMATION FROM TABLE 2, REPRESENTING DIRECTIONS OF FLOW FOR THE EDWARDS-TRINITY (PLATEAU) AQUIFER WITHIN BANDERA COUNTY RIVER AUTHORITY AND GROUNDWATER DISTRICT. FLOW VALUES EXPRESSED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR (AFY).

Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 2. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. If the District requires values for additional water budget items, please contact TWDB.
**LIMITATIONS:**

The groundwater models used in completing this analysis are the best available scientific tools that can be used to meet the stated objectives. To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

“Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results.”

A key aspect of using the groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historic pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and interaction with streams are specific to particular historic time periods.

Because the application of the groundwater models was designed to address regional-scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations related to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of the groundwater model and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions.
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