

---

# GAM RUN 14-014: LIVE OAK UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by Shirley C. Wade, Ph.D., P.G.  
Texas Water Development Board  
Groundwater Resources Division  
Groundwater Availability Modeling Section  
(512) 936-0883  
December 12, 2014



*Shirley C. Wade, 12/12/14*

*This page is intentionally blank*

---

# GAM RUN 14-014: LIVE OAK UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by Shirley C. Wade, Ph.D., P.G.  
Texas Water Development Board  
Groundwater Resources Division  
Groundwater Availability Modeling Section  
(512) 936-0883  
December 12, 2014

## ***EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:***

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h) (Texas Water Code, 2011), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, a groundwater conservation district shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the executive administrator. Information derived from groundwater availability models that shall be included in the groundwater management plan includes:

- the annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the groundwater resources within the district, if any;
- for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and
- the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

This report—Part 2 of a two-part package of information from the TWDB to the Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District—fulfills the requirements noted above. Part 1 of the two-part package is the Estimated Historical Water Use/State Water Plan data report. The District will receive this data report from the TWDB Groundwater Technical Assistance Section. Questions about the data report can be directed to Mr. Stephen Allen, [stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov](mailto:stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov), (512) 463-7317.

The groundwater management plan for the Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District should be adopted by the district on or before August 12, 2015 and submitted to the executive administrator of the TWDB on or before September 11, 2015. The current management plan for the Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District expires on November 10, 2015.

This report discusses the methods, assumptions, and results from model runs using the groundwater availability models for the southern Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers (Kelley and others, 2004), the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (Deeds and others, 2010), and the central portion of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System (Chowdhury and others, 2004). This model run replaces the results of GAM Run 10-010 (Hassan, 2010). GAM Run 14-014 meets current standards set after the release of GAM Run 10-010. Tables 1 through 3 summarize the groundwater availability model data required by statute, and Figures 1 through 3 show the area of the models from which the values in the tables were extracted. If after review of the figures, the Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions, please notify the TWDB at your earliest convenience.

## ***METHODS:***

In accordance with the provisions of the Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), the groundwater availability models for the southern Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers (Kelley and others, 2004), the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (Deeds and others, 2010), and the central portion of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System (Chowdhury and others, 2004) were run for this analysis. Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District water budgets were extracted for the historical model period (1980 through 1999) using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009). The average annual water budget values for recharge, surface water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net inter-aquifer flow (upper), and net inter-aquifer flow (lower) for the portion of the aquifer located within the district is summarized in this report.

## ***PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:***

### ***Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers***

- We used version 2.01 of the groundwater availability model for the southern part of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers. See Deeds and others (2003) and Kelley and others (2004) for assumptions and limitations

of the groundwater availability model for the southern part of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers.

- This groundwater availability model includes eight layers which generally represent the Sparta Aquifer (Layer 1), the Weches Confining Unit (Layer 2), the Queen City Aquifer (Layer 3), the Reklaw Confining Unit (Layer 4), the Carrizo Aquifer (Layer 5), the Upper Wilcox (Layer 6), the Middle Wilcox (Layer 7), and the Lower Wilcox (Layer 8). The Sparta Aquifer (Layer 1), and Queen City Aquifer (Layer 3) are not present in Live Oak Underground Water Conservation District. Water budgets were extracted collectively for the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer (Layer 5 through Layer 8).
- Groundwater in the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers ranges from fresh to brackish in composition (Kelley and others, 2004). Groundwater with total dissolved solids of less than 1,000 milligrams per liter are considered fresh and total dissolved solids of 1,000 to 10,000 milligrams per liter are considered brackish.
- The model was run with MODFLOW-96 (Harbaugh and McDonald, 1996).

#### ***Yegua-Jackson Aquifer***

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer. See Deeds and others (2010) for assumptions and limitations of the groundwater availability model.
- This groundwater availability model includes five layers which represent the outcrop section for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer and younger overlying units (Layer 1), the upper portion of the Jackson Group (Layer 2), the lower portion of the Jackson Group (Layer 3), the upper portion of the Yegua Group (Layer 4), and the lower portion of the Yegua Group (Layer 5).
- An overall water budget for the District was determined for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (Layer 1 through Layer 5 collectively for the portions of the model that represent the Yegua Jackson Aquifer).
- The model was run with MODFLOW-2000 (Harbaugh and others, 2000).

#### ***Gulf Coast Aquifer System***

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Gulf Coast Aquifer for this analysis. See Chowdhury and

- others (2004) and Waterstone and others (2003) for assumptions and limitations of the groundwater availability model.
- The model for the central portion of the Gulf Coast Aquifer assumes partially penetrating wells in the Evangeline Aquifer due to a lack of data for aquifer properties in the deeper section of the aquifer located closer to the Gulf of Mexico.
  - This groundwater availability model includes four layers, which generally represent the Chicot Aquifer (Layer 1), the Evangeline Aquifer (Layer 2), the Burkeville Confining Unit (Layer 3), and the Jasper Aquifer including parts of the Catahoula Formation (Layer 4).
  - The model was run with MODFLOW-96 (Harbaugh and McDonald, 1996).

### **RESULTS:**


A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected groundwater budget components listed below were extracted from the model results for the aquifers located within the district and averaged over the duration of the calibration and verification portion of the model run in the district, as shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

- Precipitation recharge—The areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
- Surface water outflow—The total water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface water features such as streams, reservoirs, and springs.
- Flow into and out of district—The lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
- Flow between aquifers—The net vertical flow between the aquifer and adjacent aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer or confining unit and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs. “Inflow” to an aquifer from an overlying or underlying aquifer will always equal the “Outflow” from the other aquifer.

It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as a district or county boundary, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.

**TABLE 1: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER THAT IS NEEDED FOR THE LIVE OAK UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT’S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.**


<i>Management Plan requirement</i>	<i>Aquifer or confining unit</i>	<i>Results</i>
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	1,390
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	1,366
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	To the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer from the down-dip portions of the equivalent formations	33
	From the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer to the overlying Reklaw Confining Unit	70



**FIGURE 1: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY MODEL FOR THE SOUTHERN CARRIZO-WILCOX, QUEEN CITY, AND SPARTA AQUIFERS FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 1 WAS EXTRACTED (THE CARRIZO-WILCOX AQUIFER EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).**

**TABLE 2: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER THAT IS NEEDED FOR THE LIVE OAK UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.**

<i>Management Plan requirement</i>	<i>Aquifer or confining unit</i>	<i>Results</i>
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	618
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	859
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	798
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	496
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer to the confined portion of the Yegua and Jackson groups	88



gdc boundary date = 09.25.13, county boundary date = 02.02.11, yjgk model grid date = 05.01.14


**FIGURE 2: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY MODEL FOR THE YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 2 WAS EXTRACTED (THE YEGUA-JACKSON AQUIFER EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).**

**TABLE 3: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM THAT IS NEEDED FOR THE LIVE OAK UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.**

<i>Management Plan requirement</i>	<i>Aquifer or confining unit</i>	<i>Results</i>
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	5,487
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	10,378
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	4,124
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	1,572
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	To the Gulf Coast Aquifer System from underlying units <sup>1</sup>	273

---

<sup>1</sup> Estimated from Layer 1 of the Groundwater Availability Model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer



**FIGURE 3: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY MODEL FOR THE GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 3 WAS EXTRACTED (THE GULF COAST AQUIFER SYSTEM EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).**

## **LIMITATIONS:**

The groundwater model(s) used in completing this analysis is the best available scientific tool that can be used to meet the stated objective(s). To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

*“Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results.”*

A key aspect of using the groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historic pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and interaction with streams are specific to particular historic time periods.

Because the application of the groundwater models was designed to address regional scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations related to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of the groundwater model and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions.

## **REFERENCES:**

- Chowdhury, Ali. H., Wade, S., Mace, R.E., and Ridgeway, C., 2004, Groundwater Availability Model of the Central Gulf Coast Aquifer System: Numerical Simulations through 1999- Model Report, 114 p.,  
[http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/glfc\\_c/TWDB\\_Recalibration\\_Report.pdf](http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/glfc_c/TWDB_Recalibration_Report.pdf).
- Deeds, N., Kelley, V., Fryar, D., Jones, T., Whallon, A.J., and Dean, K.E., 2003, Groundwater Availability Model for the Southern Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer: Contract report to the Texas Water Development Board, 452 p.,  
[http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/czwx\\_s/CZWX\\_S\\_Full\\_Report.pdf](http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/czwx_s/CZWX_S_Full_Report.pdf).
- Deeds, N.E., Yan, T., Singh, A., Jones, T.L., Kelley, V.A., Knox, P.R., Young, S.C., 2010, Groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer: Final report prepared for the Texas Water Development Board by INTERA, Inc., 582 p., <http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/ygjk/ygjk.asp>.
- Harbaugh, A. W., 2009, Zonebudget Version 3.01, A computer program for computing subregional water budgets for MODFLOW ground-water flow models, U.S. Geological Survey Groundwater Software.
- Harbaugh, A. W., and McDonald, M. G., 1996, User's documentation for MODFLOW-96, an update to the U.S. Geological Survey modular finite-difference groundwater-water flow model: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-485, 56 p.
- Harbaugh, A.W., Banta, E.R., Hill, M.C., and McDonald, M.G., 2000, MODFLOW-2000, The U.S. Geological Survey modular ground-water model-User guide to modularization concepts and the ground-water flow process: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 00-92.
- Hassan, M. M., 2010, GAM Run 10-010: Texas Water Development Board, GAM Run 10-010 Report, 9 p.,  
<http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/docs/GAMruns/GR10-010.pdf>.
- Kelley, V.A., Deeds, N.E., Fryar, D.G., and Nicot, J.P., 2004, Groundwater availability models for the Queen City and Sparta aquifers: Contract report to the Texas Water Development Board, 867 p.,  
<http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/qcsp/qcsp.asp>.
- National Research Council, 2007, Models in Environmental Regulatory Decision Making Committee on Models in the Regulatory Decision Process, National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 287 p.,  
[http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11972](http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11972).

Texas Water Code, 2011,

<http://www.statutes.legis.state.tx.us/docs/WA/pdf/WA.36.pdf>

Waterstone Environmental Hydrology and Engineering Inc. and Parsons, 2003,  
Groundwater availability of the Central Gulf Coast Aquifer: Numerical  
Simulations to 2050, Central Gulf Coast, Texas Contract report to the Texas  
Water Development Board, 157 p.