
GAM RUN 13-016: MIDDLE TRINITY GROUNDWATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by William Kohlrenken
Texas Water Development Board
Groundwater Resources Division
Groundwater Availability Modeling Section
(512) 463-8279
August 7, 2013



Cynthia K. Ridgeway is the Manager of the Groundwater Availability Modeling Section and is responsible for oversight of work performed by William Kohlrenken under her direct supervision. The seal appearing on this document was authorized by Cynthia K. Ridgeway, P.G. 471 on August 7, 2013.

This page is intentionally blank

GAM RUN 13-016: MIDDLE TRINITY GROUNDWATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by William Kohlrenken
Texas Water Development Board
Groundwater Resources Division
Groundwater Availability Modeling Section
(512) 463-8279
August 7, 2013

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, a groundwater conservation district shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the executive administrator. Information derived from groundwater availability models that shall be included in the groundwater management plan includes:

- the annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the groundwater resources within the district, if any;
- for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and
- the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

This report (Part 2 of a two-part package of information from the TWDB to Middle Trinity Groundwater Conservation District) fulfills the requirements noted above. Part 1 of the two-part package is the Historical Water Use/State Water Plan data report. The District will receive, or received, this data report from the TWDB Groundwater Technical Assistance Section. Questions about the data report can be directed to Mr. Stephen Allen, stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov, (512) 463-7317.

The groundwater management plan for the Middle Trinity Groundwater Conservation District should be adopted by the district on or before February 4, 2014 and submitted to the executive administrator of the TWDB on or before March 6, 2014. The current management plan for the Middle Trinity Groundwater Conservation District expires on May 5, 2014.

This report discusses the methods, assumptions, and results from model runs using the groundwater availability model for the Trinity Aquifer. This model run replaces the results of GAM Run 10-004 (Aschenbach, 2010). GAM Run 13-016 meets current standards set after the release of GAM Run 10-004 including use of the extent of the official aquifer boundaries within the district rather than the entire active area of the model within the district. Table 1 summarizes the groundwater availability model data required by the statute, and Figure 1 shows the area of the model from which the values in the table were extracted. If after review of the figures, Middle Trinity Groundwater Conservation District determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions, please notify the Texas Water Development Board immediately.

METHODS:

In accordance with the provisions of the Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), the groundwater availability model for the northern portion of the Trinity Aquifer was run for this analysis. Middle Trinity Groundwater Conservation District water budgets were extracted for the historical model periods (1980-1999) using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009). The average annual water budget values for recharge, surface water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net inter-aquifer flow (upper), and net inter-aquifer flow (lower) for the portion of the aquifer located within the district is summarized in this report.

PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

Northern portion of the Trinity Aquifer

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the northern portion of the Trinity and Woodbine aquifers. See Bené and others (2004) for assumptions and limitations of the groundwater availability model for the northern portion of the Trinity and Woodbine aquifers.
- The groundwater availability model includes seven layers, that roughly correspond to:
 - the Woodbine Aquifer (Layer 1),

- the Fredericksburg and Washita Confining Unit (Layer 2),
 - the Paluxy Aquifer Unit of the Trinity Aquifer (Layer 3),
 - the Glen Rose Confining Unit of the Trinity Aquifer (Layer 4),
 - the Hensell Sand Aquifer Unit of the Trinity Aquifer (Layer 5),
 - the Twin Mountains Confining Units of the Trinity Aquifer (Layer 6), and
 - the Hosston Aquifer Unit of the Trinity Aquifer (Layer 7).
- The Woodbine Aquifer (Layer 1) is not present in the district. The Trinity Aquifer units (Layers 3 through 7) were combined collectively to calculate water budget values for the Trinity Aquifer.
 - As described in Bené and others (2004), the evapotranspiration package used in the groundwater availability model represents evaporation, transpiration, springs, seeps, and discharge to streams not modeled by the streamflow-routing package. Both the streamflow-routing package and the evapotranspiration package were used, as applicable, to extract information needed for discharges to surface water in this analysis. Groundwater in the Trinity Aquifer is generally fresh except in the deeper down-dip portions of the aquifer, where total dissolved solids can range between 1,000 and 5,000 milligrams per liter (George and others, 2011). Groundwater with total dissolved solids of less than 1,000 milligrams per liter are considered fresh and total dissolved solids between 1,000 to 10,000 milligrams per liter are considered brackish, while total dissolved solids between 10,000 and 35,000 milligrams per liter are considered saline (Ashworth and Hopkins, 1995).
 - The model was run with MODFLOW-96 (Harbaugh and McDonald, 1996).

RESULTS:

A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected groundwater budget components listed below were extracted from the model results for the aquifers located within the district and averaged over the duration of the calibration and verification portion of the model run in the district, as shown in Table 1.

- Precipitation recharge—The areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
- Surface water outflow—The total water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface water features such as streams, reservoirs, and drains (springs).
- Flow into and out of district—The lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
- Flow between aquifers—The net vertical flow between aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer or confining unit and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs. “Inflow” to an aquifer from an overlying or underlying aquifer will always equal the “Outflow” from the other aquifer.

The information needed for the District’s management plan is summarized in Table 1. It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as a district or county boundary, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located (Figure 1).

TABLE 1: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE TRINITY AQUIFER THAT IS NEEDED FOR THE MIDDLE TRINITY GROUNDWATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.

<i>Management Plan requirement</i>	<i>Aquifer or confining unit</i>	<i>Results</i>
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Trinity Aquifer	172,505
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Trinity Aquifer	33,804
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Trinity Aquifer	16,540
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Trinity Aquifer	18,864
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From Washita and Fredericksburg Confining Units into the Trinity Aquifer	525




FIGURE 1: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY MODEL FOR THE TRINITY AQUIFER FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 1 WAS EXTRACTED (THE TRINITY AQUIFER EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).

LIMITATIONS

The groundwater model(s) used in completing this analysis is the best available scientific tool that can be used to meet the stated objective(s). To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

“Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results.”

A key aspect of using the groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historic pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and interaction with streams are specific to particular historic time periods.

Because the application of the groundwater models was designed to address regional scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations related to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of the groundwater model and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions.

REFERENCES:

- Ashworth, J.B. and Hopkins, J., 1995, Aquifers of Texas, 66 p.,
http://www.twdb.texas.gov/publications/reports/numbered_reports/doc/R345/R345Complete.pdf
- Bené, J., Harden, B., O'Rourke, D., Donnelly, A., and Yelderman, J., 2004, Northern Trinity/Woodbine Groundwater Availability Model: contract report to the Texas Water Development Board by R.W. Harden and Associates, 391 p.,
http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/trnt_n/TRNT_N_Model_Report.pdf.
- Aschenbach, Eric, 2010, GAM Run 10-004: Texas Water Development Board, GAM Run 10-004 Report, 6 p.,
<http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/docs/GAMruns/GR10-04.pdf>
- George, P. G., Mace, R. E., and Petrossian, R., 2011, Aquifers of Texas, 172 p.,
http://www.twdb.texas.gov/publications/reports/numbered_reports/doc/R380_AquifersofTexas.pdf
- Harbaugh, A. W., 2009, Zonebudget Version 3.01, A computer program for computing subregional water budgets for MODFLOW ground-water flow models, U.S. Geological Survey Groundwater Software.
- Harbaugh, A. W., and McDonald, M. G., 1996, User's documentation for MODFLOW-96, an update to the U.S. Geological Survey modular finite-difference groundwater-water flow model: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-485, 56 p.
- National Research Council, 2007, Models in Environmental Regulatory Decision Making Committee on Models in the Regulatory Decision Process, National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 287 p.,
http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11972.