# GAM RUN 12-015: HILL COUNTRY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by Ian C. Jones, Ph.D., P.G. Texas Water Development Board Groundwater Resources Division Groundwater Availability Modeling Section (512) 463-6641 September 4, 2012



The seal appearing on this document was authorized by Ian C. Jones, Ph.D., P.G. 477 on September 4, 2012.

This page is intentionally blank

# GAM RUN 12-015: HILL COUNTRY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

by Ian C. Jones, Ph.D., P.G. Texas Water Development Board Groundwater Resources Division Groundwater Availability Modeling Section (512) 463-6641 September 4, 2012

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, groundwater conservation districts shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the executive administrator. Information derived from groundwater availability models that shall be included in the groundwater management plan includes:

- the annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the groundwater resources within the district, if any;
- for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and
- the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

This report supersedes the revised Groundwater Availability Model (GAM) Run 08-26 (Wade, 2008). The results presented in this report differ from those in GAM Run 08-26, in which the water budgets represent groundwater flow through the model layers representing the Trinity and Edwards groups. In this report (GAM Run 12-015), the water budgets represent groundwater flow through the official aquifers in Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District—the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Trinity aquifers. The purpose of this report is to provide information to Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District for its groundwater management plan.

GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page **4** of **12** 

The groundwater management plan for Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District is due for approval by the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board before September 25, 2013.

This report discusses the methods, assumptions, and results from model runs using a groundwater model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Trinity aquifers. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the groundwater model data required by the statute, and figures 1 and 2 show the area of each model from which the values in the respective tables were extracted. If after review of the figures, Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions, please notify the Texas Water Development Board immediately.

The Hickory and Ellenburger-San Saba aquifers also underlie the Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District; however, a groundwater availability model for these aquifer has not been completed at this time. If the district would like information for the Hickory and Ellenburger-San Saba aquifers, they may request it from the Groundwater Technical Assistance Section of the Texas Water Development Board.

## **METHODS:**

A groundwater model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer that also includes the Hill Country portion of the Trinity Aquifer was run for this analysis. Water budgets for selected years of the transient model period were extracted using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009) and the average annual water budget values for recharge, surface water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net inter-aquifer flow for the portions of the aquifers located within the district are summarized in this report.

# PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

#### Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer. See Anaya and Jones (2009) for assumptions and limitations of this model.
- The Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer model includes two layers representing the Edwards Group and equivalent limestone

GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page 5 of 12

hydrostratigraphic units (Layer 1) and the undifferentiated Trinity Group hydrostratigraphic units (Layer 2) in the district.

- The root mean square error (a measure of the difference between simulated and actual water levels during model calibration) of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) groundwater availability model for the period of 1980 to 2000 is 143 feet, or six percent of the range of measured water levels (Anaya and Jones, 2009).
- We elected to use the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer instead of the groundwater availability model for the Hill Country portion of the Trinity Aquifer because the model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer covers the entire district. Because the two models are aligned in slightly different orientations, we could not combine the results from each without either double accounting or omitting important information.
- The model was run with MODFLOW-96 (Harbaugh and McDonald, 1996).

#### **RESULTS**:

A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected components were extracted from the groundwater budget for the aquifers located within the district and averaged over the duration of the calibration and verification portion of the model runs in the district, as shown in tables 1 and 2. The components of the modified budget shown in tables 1 and 2 include:

- Precipitation recharge—The spatially-distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
- Surface water outflow—The total water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface water features such as streams, reservoirs, and drains (springs).
- Flow into and out of district—The lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
- Flow between aquifers—The flow between aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer or confining

GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page 6 of 12

unit and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs.

The information needed for the District's management plan is summarized in tables 1 and 2. It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as district or county boundaries, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located (see figures 1 and 2).

## LIMITATIONS

The groundwater model(s) used in completing this analysis is the best available scientific tool that can be used to meet the stated objective(s). To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

"Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results."

A key aspect of using the groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historic pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and streamflow are specific to a particular historic time period. GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page 7 of 12

Because the application of the groundwater model was designed to address regional scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations relating to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of the groundwater model and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions. GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page **8** of **12** 

# TABLE 1: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE EDWARD-TRINITY (PLATEAU) AQUIFER THAT IS<br/>NEEDED FOR HILL COUNTRY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S<br/>GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER<br/>YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	17,310
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	16,739
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	11,519
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	14,838
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From the Trinity Aquifer to the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	1,054

GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page 9 of 12



FIGURE 1: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER MODEL FOR THE EDWARD-TRINITY (PLATEAU) AQUIFER FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 1 WAS EXTRACTED (THE AQUIFER EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).

GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page **10** of **12** 

#### TABLE 2: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE TRINITY AQUIFER THAT IS NEEDED FOR HILL COUNTRY UNDERGROUND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Trinity Aquifer	28,535
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Trinity Aquifer	25,468
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Trinity Aquifer	6,441
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Trinity Aquifer	8,547
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From the Trinity Aquifer to the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	1,054

GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page **11** of **12** 



FIGURE 2: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER MODEL FOR THE TRINITY AQUIFER FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 2 WAS EXTRACTED (THE AQUIFER EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).

GAM Run 12-015: Hill Country Underground Water Conservation District Management Plan September 4, 2012 Page **12** of **12** 

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Anaya, R., and Jones, I., 2009, Groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley aquifers of Texas: Texas Water Development Board, Report 373, 103 p.
- Harbaugh, A. W., 2009, Zonebudget Version 3.01, A computer program for computing subregional water budgets for MODFLOW ground-water flow models, U.S. Geological Survey Groundwater Software.
- Harbaugh, A. W., and McDonald, M.G., 1996, User's documentation for MODFLOW-96, an update to the U.S. Geological Survey modular finite-difference ground-water flow model: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-485, 56 p.
- National Research Council, 2007. Models in Environmental Regulatory Decision Making: Committee on Models in the Regulatory Decision Process, National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 287 p., http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\_id=11972.
- Wade, S., 2008, GAM run 08-26: Texas Water Development Board, GAM Run 08-26 Report, 4 p.