REQUESTOR:

Mr. John Stover, Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District (GCD).

DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

Mr. Stover requested that we provide him with the required numbers for his district’s management plan using the groundwater availability model (GAM) for the northern part of the Gulf Coast aquifer (Kasmarek and Robinson, 2004; Kasmarek and others, 2005).

METHODS:

We analyzed the GAM results for average recharge from precipitation, average surface-water inflow, average surface-water outflow, average inflow into the district, average outflow from the district, average net inter-aquifer flow (upper), and average net inter-aquifer flow (lower) using the period 1980 to 1999. MODFLOW’s general head boundary (GHB) package was used to simulate all recharge and discharge in the GAM for the northern part of the Gulf Coast aquifer. The GHB package simulates flow into and out of the model using water-level elevations and the conductance of the aquifer materials. The amount of water that recharges the aquifer from rainfall, irrigation return flow, and river leakage and water that naturally discharges from the aquifer in the form of baseflow to streams and evapotranspiration are all accounted for in the model with the GHB package. Evapotranspiration is the water that is lost out of the aquifer due to direct evaporation from the water table (when water table is shallow) and plant transpiration. To calculate surface water interaction, we assumed the uppermost block or GHB cell in the model with a river, stream, spring, lake, or reservoir represented groundwater/surface-water interactions. All other cells using the GHB package were assumed to represent net recharge from precipitation.

To address the request, we:

• ran the GAM for the northern part of the Gulf Coast aquifer and extracted water budgets for each year from 1980 through 1999; and
• averaged the twenty-year period for recharge, surface water inflow, surface water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net inter-aquifer flow (upper) and net inter-aquifer flow (lower).
PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

- For detailed discussion on assumptions and limitations of the northern part of the Gulf Coast aquifer GAM, refer to Kasmarek and Robinson (2004) and Kasmarek and others (2005).
- The model includes four layers, representing the Chicot aquifer (Layer 1), the Evangeline aquifer (Layer 2), the Burkeville confining unit (Layer 3), and the Jasper aquifer (Layer 4).
- Quality of model calibration can be estimated using root mean square (RMS) error. RMS error evaluates differences between measured and simulated water levels in the wells considered for calibration. The RMS error is 31 feet for the Chicot aquifer, 45 feet for the Evangeline aquifer, and 38 feet for the Jasper aquifer for the calibration year 2000.

RESULTS:

The Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper are the principal aquifers in the Lower Trinity GCD with the Burkeville functioning as a confining unit. The average water budget for 1980 to 1999 resulting from the GAM run are provided in Table 1. The components of the budget shown in Table 1 include:

- Precipitation recharge—This component represents areally distributed recharge due to precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of aquifers.
- Surface water inflow and outflow—This describes the interaction between the aquifer and streams, springs, lakes, wetlands, and possibly irrigation return flow.
- Net inter-aquifer flow (upper and lower)—This describes the vertical flow, or leakage, between two aquifers. This flow is controlled by the water levels in each aquifer and aquifer properties of each aquifer that define the amount of leakage that can occur. “Inflow” to an aquifer from an overlying or underlying aquifer will always equal the “Outflow” from the other aquifer.
- Inflow into and outflow from the district—This component describes the lateral flow of groundwater within an aquifer between the Lower Trinity GCD and adjacent counties.

Please note that water budgets for an individual cell are assigned entirely to either the Lower Trinity GCD or the surrounding county.
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Table 1: All values are averages of the 1980 to 1999 water budgets and are reported in acre-feet per year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GCD</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Aquifer</th>
<th>Precipitation recharge</th>
<th>Surface water inflow</th>
<th>Surface water outflow</th>
<th>Lateral Inflow into district</th>
<th>Lateral Outflow from district</th>
<th>Net inter-aquifer flow (upper)</th>
<th>Net inter-aquifer flow (lower)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Trinity GCD</td>
<td>Gulf Coast-North</td>
<td>Chicot aquifer</td>
<td>32,024</td>
<td>3,385</td>
<td>6,894</td>
<td>66,647</td>
<td>77,342</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-23,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Trinity GCD</td>
<td>Gulf Coast-North</td>
<td>Evangeline aquifer</td>
<td>6,799</td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td>7,813</td>
<td>59,251</td>
<td>59,251</td>
<td>23,820</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Trinity GCD</td>
<td>Gulf Coast-North</td>
<td>Burkeville Confling Unit</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>-139</td>
<td>-1,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Trinity GCD</td>
<td>Gulf Coast-North</td>
<td>Jasper aquifer</td>
<td>3,793</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>3,529</td>
<td>25,288</td>
<td>25,288</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>