

**Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 9  
Desired Future Conditions  
2021 Joint Planning**

**Adopted Desired Future Conditions for Relevant Aquifers**

Aquifer	Desired Future Condition (DFC)	Date DFC Adopted
Edwards Group of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)	No net increase in average drawdown in Kendall and Bandera counties through 2080 [no average water level decline in 2080, as compared to 1997 water levels]	11/15/2021
Ellenburger-San Saba	Increase in average drawdown of no more than 7 feet in Kendall County through 2080 [average water level decline of no more than 7 feet in 2080, as compared to 2010 water levels]	11/15/2021
Hickory	Increase in average drawdown of no more than 7 feet in Kendall County through 2080 [average water level decline of no more than 7 feet in 2080, as compared to 2010 water levels]	11/15/2021
Trinity	Increase in average drawdown of approximately 30 feet through 2060 [no more than 30 feet of average water level decline in 2016, as compared to 2008 water levels]	11/15/2021

**Non-Relevant Aquifers \***

Aquifer	Location	Justification
Edwards Group of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)	Blanco and Kerr counties	No significant pumping
Ellenburger-San Saba	Blanco and Kerr counties	Minimal current pumping and geological/hydrogeological characteristics limiting pumping impacts on surrounding areas
Hickory	Blanco, Hays, Kerr, and Travis counties	Minimal pumping and geological/hydrogeological characteristics limiting pumping impacts on surrounding areas
Marble Falls	Blanco County	Limited areal extent, minimal pumping, and geological/hydrogeological characteristics limiting pumping impacts on surrounding areas

\* Districts in a groundwater management area may, as part of the process for adopting and submitting desired future conditions, propose classification of a portion or portions of a relevant aquifer as non-relevant if the districts determine that aquifer characteristics, groundwater demands, and current groundwater uses do not warrant adoption of a desired future condition ([Texas Administrative Code § 356.31\(b\)](#)). Declaring an aquifer as non-relevant for the purposes of joint planning does not necessarily mean that the aquifer will not be managed by a local groundwater conservation district.

**Non-Relevant Aquifers \***

<b>Aquifer</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Justification</b>
Edwards Aquifer (BFZ)	Bexar, Comal, Hays, and Travis counties	Regulatory and management jurisdiction of the Edwards Aquifer Authority and Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Conservation District