

**Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 6
Desired Future Conditions
2021 Joint Planning**

Adopted Desired Future Conditions for Relevant Aquifers			
County	Aquifer	Desired Future Condition (DFC)	Date DFC Adopted
Childress - N of Red River	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 9 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Childress - S of Red River	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 2 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Collingsworth	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 9 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Cottle	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 2 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Fisher	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 4 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Foard	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 10 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Hall	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 9 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Hardeman	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 2 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
King	Blaine	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 7 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Fisher	Dockum	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 28 feet during the period from 2013 to 2080	11/18/2021
Motley	Dockum	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 28 feet during the period from 2013 to 2080	11/18/2021
Motley	Ogallala	Average drawdown of up to 28 feet between 2013 and 2080	11/18/2021

**Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 6
Desired Future Conditions
2021 Joint Planning**

Adopted Desired Future Conditions for Relevant Aquifers			
County	Aquifer	Desired Future Condition (DFC)	Date DFC Adopted
Childress, Collingsworth	Seymour (Pod 1)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 33 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Hall	Seymour (Pod 2)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 15 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Briscoe, Hall, Motley	Seymour (Pod 3)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 15 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Childress, Foard, Hardeman	Seymour (Pod 4)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 1 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Knox	Seymour (Pod 6)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 18 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Baylor, Haskell, Knox	Seymour (Pod 7)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 18 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Baylor	Seymour (Pod 8)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 18 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021
Fisher	Seymour (Pod 11)	Total decline in water levels will be no more than 1 feet during the period from 2010 to 2080	11/18/2021

**Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 6
Desired Future Conditions
2021 Joint Planning**

Non-Relevant Aquifers *		
Aquifer	Location	Justification
Ogallala	Collingsworth and Dickens counties	No groundwater conservation district to measure, monitor, or manage in Dickens County; Limited use and extent in Collingsworth County
Blaine	Dickens, Kent, Knox, Jones, Motley, and Stonewall counties	No groundwater conservation district to measure, monitor, or manage in Stonewall county
Dockum	Dickens and Kent counties	No groundwater conservation district to measure, monitor, or manage
Seymour (Pods 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, part of 4 in Wichita and Wilbarger counties, part of 7 in Stonewall County, part of 8 in Throckmorton and Young counties, and part of 11 in Jones and Stonewall counties)	Wichita, Wilbarger, Archer, Clay, Stonewall, Throckmorton, Young, and Jones	No groundwater conservation district to measure, monitor, or manage
Trinity	Jones County	Small sliver, no non-relevant documentation required
Cross Timbers	GMA 6	No groundwater availability model available to use in desired future condition determinations

* Districts in a groundwater management area may, as part of the process for adopting and submitting desired future conditions, propose classification of a portion or portions of a relevant aquifer as non-relevant if the districts determine that aquifer characteristics, groundwater demands, and current groundwater uses do not warrant adoption of a desired future condition ([Texas Administrative Code § 356.31\(b\)](#)). Declaring an aquifer as non-relevant for the purposes of joint planning does not necessarily mean that the aquifer will not be managed by a local groundwater conservation district.