Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 2
Desired Future Conditions
2021 Joint Planning

Adopted Desired Future Conditions for Relevant Aquifers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquifer</th>
<th>Desired Future Condition (DFC)</th>
<th>Date DFC Adopted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ogallala and Edwards-Trinity (High Plains)</td>
<td>A GMA 2-wide average drawdown of 28 feet between 2013 and 2080.</td>
<td>8/17/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dockum</td>
<td>A GMA 2-wide average drawdown of 31 feet between 2013 and 2080.</td>
<td>8/17/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Relevant Aquifers *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquifer</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)</td>
<td>GMA 2 (Andrews, Martin, and Howard counties)</td>
<td>Limited areal extent; low groundwater use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos Valley</td>
<td>GMA 2 (Andrews County)</td>
<td>Limited areal extent; low groundwater use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Districts in a groundwater management area may, as part of the process for adopting and submitting desired future conditions, propose classification of a portion or portions of a relevant aquifer as non-relevant if the districts determine that aquifer characteristics, groundwater demands, and current groundwater uses do not warrant adoption of a desired future condition (Texas Administrative Code § 356.31(b)). Declaring an aquifer as non-relevant for the purposes of joint planning does not necessarily mean that the aquifer will not be managed by a local groundwater conservation district.