



Technical Memorandum

To: Groundwater Management Area 15
From: Michael R. Keester, P.G.
Reviewed By: Andrew Donnelly, P.G. – Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc.
Date: December 10, 2021
Project: 2021 Joint Planning
Subject: Groundwater Availability Modeling Technical Elements

The purpose of this memo is to meet the requirements of “Desired Future Condition Submission Packet Checklist - Groundwater Availability Modeling Technical Elements (part 4)” checklist. All modeling was conducted at the direction of Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 15 members. If there are technical questions regarding the modeling, please contact myself or Mr. Donnelly.

Modeling Contact Information

Michael R. Keester, P.G.
LRE Water, LLC
(512) 962-7660
Mike.Keester@LREWater.com

Andrew Donnelly, P.G.
Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc.
(512) 431-3784
adonnelly@geo-logic.com

Description of Desired Future Condition (DFC)

As described in Section 2 of the Explanatory Report, for the Gulf Coast Aquifer System the adopted DFCs are expressed as average drawdown for each county and the entire GMA from January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2080. The DFC for GMA 15 shall not exceed an average drawdown of 13 feet for the Gulf Coast Aquifer System. DFCs for each county within the GMA shall not exceed the values specified in Table 1.

In addition to the adopted DFCs in Table 1, the GMA 15 members also established DFC evaluation factors. For the Gulf Coast Aquifer System and each county in GMA 15 except Goliad County, the evaluation factor is three feet above or below the adopted DFC (that is, ± 3 feet the value shown in Table 1). For Goliad County, the evaluation factors vary for each hydrogeologic unit of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System as follows:

- Chicot: ± 17 feet
- Evangeline: ± 36 feet
- Burkeville: ± 14 feet
- Jasper: ± 7 feet

Table 1. Adopted DFCs for each county in GMA 15 expressed as average drawdown from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2080.

| County | Aquifer | DFC |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----|
| Aransas | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 0 |
| Bee | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 7 |
| Calhoun | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 |
| Colorado | Chicot & Evangeline | 17 |
| | Jasper | 25 |
| De Witt | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 17 |
| Fayette | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 44 |
| Goliad | Chicot | -4 |
| | Evangeline | -2 |
| | Burkeville | 7 |
| | Jasper | 14 |
| Jackson | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 15 |
| Karnes | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 22 |
| Lavaca | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 18 |
| Matagorda | Chicot & Evangeline | 11 |
| Refugio | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 |
| Victoria | Gulf Coast Aquifer System | 5 |
| Wharton | Chicot & Evangeline | 15 |

Modeling Approach

GAM version: The central Gulf Coast GAM developed and documented by Chowdhury and others (2004).

Stress periods: 81 stress periods of 365.25 days each. The first stress period begins on January 1, 2000 and the last stress period (81) ends on December 31, 2080. The first stress period corresponds to the end of the calibration period.

Recharge: Average recharge applied throughout the predictive period

Predictive pumping: Details on the modifications to the predictive pumping are documented in the technical memorandums in Appendix 3 of the Explanatory Report.

Version of TWDB “model grid” file: “glfc_c_grid_poly062620.csv” available at http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/gam_grids/glfc_c.zip as of the date of this technical memorandum.

Evaluation method: To extract data from the model and calculate average drawdown we used a script written using the Julia programming language available at <https://julialang.org/>. The script is named “Calc_avg_dd_GMA15_2019_001_v1.jl” and is included with the modeling files. We calculated average drawdown for each county and for GMA 15 as a whole with the following assumptions:

- Calculations only occur within the active aquifer footprint as defined in the “model grid” file ($AQ_Active[\#] == 1$; where [#] is the layer number)
- Drawdown for each layer = starting head – head for the stress period of interest
 - For the DFCs, the stress period of interest = 81
 - Starting head = simulated head at the end of the calibration period (12/31/1999)
 - If a cell goes dry, it is not included in the calculations
- Drawdown for the Gulf Coast Aquifer System or for a combination of layers calculated by:
 - For each layer, multiplying the average drawdown by the number of active cells in the stress period to get the total drawdown
 - For the GCAS, dividing the sum of the total drawdown for each layer (1, 2, 3, and 4) by the sum of the number of active cells for each layer
 - For the combined Chicot and Evangeline (Chic./Evan.), dividing the sum of the total drawdown for layers 1 and 2 by the sum of the number of active cells for layers 1 and 2
- Average drawdown = sum of drawdown in each model cell within area of interest divided by the number of model cells within the area of interest

Results: Summarized below. Also, see Appendix 3.5 in Explanatory Report.

Table 2. Abbreviated summary of the pumping input values for portions of counties located within GMA 15.

| County | Aquifer | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 | 2060 | 2070 | 2080 |
|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Aransas | GCAS | 1,544 | 1,544 | 1,544 | 1,544 | 1,544 | 1,544 | 1,544 |
| Bee | GCAS | 8,015 | 8,015 | 8,015 | 8,015 | 8,015 | 8,015 | 8,015 |
| Calhoun | GCAS | 7,575 | 7,575 | 7,575 | 7,575 | 7,575 | 7,575 | 7,575 |
| Colorado | Chic./Evan. | 71,716 | 71,716 | 71,716 | 71,716 | 71,716 | 71,716 | 71,716 |
| | Jasper | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 | 919 |
| De Witt | GCAS | 18,060 | 18,060 | 18,060 | 18,060 | 18,060 | 18,060 | 18,060 |
| Fayette | GCAS | 7,187 | 7,453 | 7,756 | 8,101 | 8,496 | 8,947 | 8,947 |
| Goliad | Chicot | 419 | 422 | 426 | 4209 | 433 | 436 | 436 |
| | Evangeline | 5,000 | 5,061 | 5,122 | 5,182 | 5,243 | 5,304 | 5,304 |
| | Burkeville | 425 | 452 | 479 | 506 | 533 | 560 | 560 |
| | Jasper | 254 | 343 | 432 | 522 | 611 | 700 | 700 |
| Jackson | GCAS | 90,604 | 90,604 | 90,604 | 90,604 | 90,604 | 90,604 | 90,604 |
| Karnes | GCAS | 11,388 | 11,388 | 4,003 | 4,003 | 4,003 | 4,003 | 4,003 |
| Lavaca | GCAS | 20,627 | 20,627 | 20,627 | 20,627 | 20,627 | 20,627 | 20,627 |
| Matagorda | Chic./Evan. | 38,881 | 38,881 | 38,881 | 38,881 | 38,881 | 38,881 | 38,881 |
| Refugio | GCAS | 5,863 | 5,863 | 5,863 | 5,863 | 5,863 | 5,863 | 5,863 |
| Victoria | GCAS | 60,044 | 60,044 | 60,044 | 60,044 | 60,044 | 60,044 | 60,044 |
| Wharton | Chic./Evan. | 181,413 | 181,413 | 181,413 | 181,413 | 181,413 | 181,413 | 181,413 |

Table 3. Calculated simulated average drawdown from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2080.

| County | Chicot | Evangeline | Chic./Evan. | Burkeville | Jasper | GCAS |
|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|------------|--------|------|
| Aransas | 0 | 6 | 0 | — | — | 0 |
| Bee | 1 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| Calhoun | -1 | 10 | 3 | 3 | — | 3 |
| Colorado | 12 | 26 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 23 |
| DeWitt | 0 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 34 | 20 |
| Fayette | — | 11 | 11 | 43 | 54 | 44 |
| Goliad | -4 | -2 | -3 | 7 | 14 | 5 |
| Jackson | 15 | 20 | 18 | 14 | 22 | 17 |
| Karnes | — | 0 | 0 | 22 | 27 | 23 |
| Lavaca | 7 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 32 | 18 |
| Matagorda | 5 | 17 | 9 | 16 | — | 10 |
| Refugio | 0 | 7 | 3 | 3 | — | 3 |
| Victoria | -4 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 3 |
| Wharton | 15 | 12 | 13 | 24 | 27 | 19 |