Texas Water Development Board SFY 2025 Clean Water State Revolving Fund - Emerging Contaminants Project Rating Details

Rank	Total Points	Entity	Name of Project	Rating Criteria 1a - Identified PFAS and and where PFAS exceeds at least 1 MCL of NPDWR and requested construction costs for treatment and removal of PFAS (200 pts)	Rating Criteria 1b - Identified PFAS and and where PFAS does not exceed at least 1 MCL of NPDWR and requested construction costs for treatment and removal of PFAS (150 pts)	Rating Criteria 1c - Identified PFAS and requested construction costs for removal (not treatment) (120 pts)	contaminants other than	associated pre-project	Rating Criteria 2 - Proposal that remove of ECs from treated wastewater Stormwater effluent or that will beneficially reuse effluent for agricultural irrigation, potable water supply, groundwater replenishment, industri	Rating Criteria 3 - Proposal will first-time service to connections currently un-served by a central wastewater plant, and eliminate ECs, originating from the on-site waste systems from entering the watershed (20 pts)	Rating Criteria 4 - Disadvantaged community or small system (<25,000 population) (30 pts)	Rating Criteria 5 - A rural project (10 pts) Yes to any of the prior 3 questions	Rating Criteria 6 - System Size Under 1,000 connections (15 pts) Between 1,000-10,000 connections (10 pts) Between 10,000-25,000 connections (5 pts) Over 25,000-50,000 connections (2 pts)
1	275	Richland Springs	Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehab	200	0	0	0	0	20	0	30	10	15
2	160	East Rio Hondo WSC	Martha Ann Simpson WTP Sludge Basins Improvements	0	0	120	0	0	0	0	30	0	10
3	140	East Rio Hondo WSC	Nelson Road WTP Sludge Basins Improvements	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	30	0	10
4	100	Johnson County SUD	JCSUD WWTP PFAS Planning	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	30	10	10
5	80	Abilene	City of Abilene WWTP PFAS Planning	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	30	0	0
6	80	Laredo	Laredo PFAs Study, Monitoring, and Action	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	30	0	0