

**State Fiscal Year 2016
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.

Authority: Aspermont			
PIF #:	11080	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Aspermont proposes to construct an RO Water Treatment Plant and develop additional well resources.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$313,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	05/01/2018	\$2,657,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	41.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	34.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	85.80

Authority: Bartlett			
PIF #:	11081	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing cast iron, thin wall PVC, and asbestos cement mains. Install larger mains to provide fire protection. Replace existing meters with new AMR meters. The city will prepare an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$114,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$431,900.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	10/01/2016	\$4,454,100.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$4,400,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	23.00

Authority: McAllen			
PIF #:	11206	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: McAllen Geowater			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Produce 6 MGD water source using geothermal energy/pressure to provide an alternative water source.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$250,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2013	\$200,000.00
Design:			\$850,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2013	10/31/2014	\$15,130,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$16,430,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.40
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.40

Authority: Rusk			
PIF #:	11263	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution system upgrades - SW Loop			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install 16,250 LF of 10" water line, 18 Fire Hydrants, 6 Air Release Valves, 7 Gate Valves, and 3 Road Bores to address insufficient line sizing and design. Implement an Asset Management Plan to coordinate future infrastructure needs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,290.00
Acquisition:			\$25,000.00
Design:			\$70,081.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$620,535.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Barton WSC			
PIF #:	11314	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Disinfection and Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Add chloramines disinfection systems at all pump station sites and add a section of water line for a system loop to improve disinfection residuals.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		11/30/2015	\$50,000.00
Design:		11/30/2015	\$61,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2016	07/04/2016	\$599,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$800,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Bedford			
PIF #:	11315	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System Conservation Program			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Bedford, Texas would like to undertake the "Water Distribution System Conservation Program" to reduce water lost through leaks and pipe breaks by replacing 150 miles of pipe, as well as replacing inaccurate and old water meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	01/01/2026	\$90,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$90,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Beeville			
PIF #:	11316	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Morrill WTP Pretreatment Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand and upgrade the existing pretreatment and disinfection systems at the WTP to improve system reliability and TOC reduction, helping the City to get back into compliance for TTHMs. (Refer to Section III for additional information)			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$122,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$130,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$2,754,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	72.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	26.90
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	123.40

Authority: Blooming Grove			
PIF #:	11318	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Water Well & Ground Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct new water well & associated infrastructure, erect new ground storage tank and develop an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$62,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2016	\$15,000.00
Design:			\$130,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$937,570.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Bluegrove WSC			
PIF #:	11319	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Bluegrove Pipe Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Bluegrove WSC will replace its 4" main water line through town as well as all necessary connections, valves and meter reconnections.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$29,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2015	03/01/2016	\$170,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Clyde			
PIF #:	11320	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply Line & WTP Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Pump water from Lake Fort Phantom Hill with 104,000 linear feet of water transmission pipeline. Rehabilitate intake and construct two pump stations. Rehabilitate surface water treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2016	\$150,000.00
Design:			\$980,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	05/01/2018	\$10,730,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	75.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	75.10

Authority: Coahoma			
PIF #:	11321	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged cast iron distribution lines with new PVC water lines, rehabilitate the interior and exterior of the existing EST. The city is making temporary improvements for short term compliance, the application will make permanent improvements (disinfectant chemical dosing station).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$85,500.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	09/01/2017	\$982,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$170,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	47.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		58.00

Authority: Coleman			
PIF #:	11322	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Untreated Water Supply Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace untreated water supply line from Lake Coleman.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2016	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$710,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	02/01/2018	\$8,100,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$9,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		29.80

Authority: Corix Utilities			
PIF #:	11324	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Council Creek Village Water System - East Lake Buchanan Regional Water System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Corix proposes to construct a regional surface water treatment plant (0.5 MGD initial phase) along with 90,000 gal regional storage tank, intake structure, raw water pipeline and regional transmission mains to connect adjacent groundwater systems, including Council Creek Village, under EPA enforcement of radionuclide MCLs. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,500.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2015	\$61,500.00
Design:			\$307,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2015	05/31/2016	\$2,489,520.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,007,657.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	356.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		362.70

Authority: Corix Utilities			
PIF #:	11325	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Paradise Point Water System - Water Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Corix proposes to replace the existing raw water intake structure with new barge/raw water intake, as well as replacing the ground storage tank with a new 68,000 gallon ground storage tank. In addition, 4-in and 6-in ductile iron discharge pipelines from the WTP will be replaced to reduce the high water loss. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$70,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/15/2015	10/01/2015	\$380,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$147,200.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		6.00

Authority: Corix Utilities			
PIF #:	11326	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: South Council Creek II Water System - East Lake Buchanan Regional Water System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Corix proposes to construct a regional surface water treatment plant (0.5 MGD initial phase) along with 90,000 gal regional storage tank, intake structure, raw water pipeline and regional transmission mains to connect adjacent groundwater systems, including South Council Creek II, under EPA enforcement of radionuclide MCLs. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$13,500.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2015	\$40,500.00
Design:			\$202,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2015	05/31/2016	\$1,639,440.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$319,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	203.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		209.30

Authority: Corix Utilities			
PIF #:	11327	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: South Silver Creek I, II, III Water System - East Lake Buchanan Regional Water System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Corix proposes to construct a regional surface water treatment plant (0.5 MGD initial phase) along with 90,000 gal regional storage tank, intake structure, raw water pipeline and regional transmission mains to connect adjacent groundwater systems, including South Silver Creek I, II, III, under EPA enforcement of radionuclide MCLs. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$16,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2015	\$48,000.00
Design:			\$240,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2015	05/31/2016	\$1,943,040.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$786,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	120.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		124.20

Authority: Coryell City WSD			
PIF #:	11328	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements for Water Loss Reduction			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project would replace key undersized and water waterlines with advanced age with the primary goal of reducing unaccounted for water loss. An asset management plan will be produced as part of the project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$160,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$250,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	07/01/2017	\$1,590,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Cotulla			
PIF #:	11329	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pumping and Storage Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Repair/Rehabilitation of pump stations, addition of storage, new production wells and water line loops/extensions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$329,395.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		02/01/2016	\$1,377,470.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	06/01/2018	\$18,079,294.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Cross Plains			
PIF #:	11330	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Cross Plains proposes to replace undersized lines and loop dead end areas in their system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$134,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$1,026,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Crystal City			
PIF #:	11331	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Facilities Planning			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: Proposed project consists of Water Facilities Planning in an effort to obtain quantifiable information on existing water loss in an effort to develop a comprehensive and systematic approach in generating a Capital Improvements plan for water loss reduction. Proposed planning endeavors include engineering and topographic surveys of the system, water and energy audits, leak detection study, hydraulic analysis and water use efficiency baseline study. City is currently in queue with TCEQ for FMT.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$231,821.20
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$226,720.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: East Rio Hondo WSC			
PIF #:	11332	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: UV Disinfection & 16" Waterline			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: ERHWSC will install a U/V disinfection system to obtain the 4-Log removal of cryptosporidium required by the TCEQ. ERHWSC will improve the restrictive section of waterline that connects the R/O WTP to the rest of the ERHWSC distribution system with a 16" PVC waterline to allow sufficient flow from the R/O WTP to the rest of the ERHWSC distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$68,658.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2015	\$15,000.00
Design:			\$101,370.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	08/01/2016	\$1,902,422.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	150.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		160.00

Authority: Fayetteville			
PIF #:	11334	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Ground Storage Tank Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace the existing 60,000 gallon ground storage tank with an 80,000 gallon ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$19,350.00
Est. Construction:			\$180,650.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Flo Community WSC			
PIF #:	11335	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Weedon Plant Lines Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: 12" & 8" Line upgrades to improve service from new Weedon well and plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2016	07/15/2016	\$459,200.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Forsan			
PIF #:	11336	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to replacement the existing EST with a new up-to-date tank that is fully compliant with all regulatory requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/21/2016	\$76,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$559,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.00

Authority: Fort Griffin SUD			
PIF #:	11337	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Utilize the SUD's existing raw water allotment from the BRA construct a treatment plant and water lines for that purpose.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$81,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2015	\$220,000.00
Design:			\$218,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	07/15/2016	\$3,138,500.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	44.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	20.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	66.90

Authority: Agua SUD			
PIF #:	11338	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sullivan City Water Infrastructure Upgrades			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of 6" and 8" lines by regular trenching and excavation method or pipe bursting technique, whichever is deemed feasible based on the existing water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:		05/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$140,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2016	02/01/2017	\$870,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	10.50

Authority: Freeport			
PIF #:	11339	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Main Service Line Extension 2015			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Extension of water services in the City of Freeport's ETJ from Surfside Village to Treasure Isle MUD. The City has recognized the demand for water needs in the City's ETJ. The project will consist of a water line extension and storage/booster stations as needed to maintain pressure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,250,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	07/01/2016	\$7,700,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	47.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		47.00

Authority: Granbury			
PIF #:	11340	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Granbury Meter Replacement Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City is proposing to replace all 5,481 water meters with AMR meters to reduce unaccounted / unbilled water losses and replace a large percentage of meters that are over 10 years old. The City is requesting that the project be Pre-Design or PADC since it involves only meter replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$115,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	10/31/2016	\$2,576,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$2,691,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Joaquin			
PIF #:	11341	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter and Water Main Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project seeks to replace broken/malfunctioning/unreliable water meters with AMR meters and also identify (via water leak detection survey) and replace aged water mains that continue to cause excessive water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$320,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2017	\$2,450,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$2,910,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	41.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	53.50

Authority: Alice				
PIF #:	11342	Program:	DWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Rehabilitation of 20" raw water transmission main				
Project Type: Public Water System			Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: Our proposal is to repair/rehabilitate the 20" raw water, 22.5 mile transmission main by slip lining.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$51,750.00
Acquisition:			\$51,750.00
Design:			\$310,500.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$414,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.50

Authority: Anthony			
PIF #:	11343	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Anthony Arsenic Treatment & Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrades to booster station, install arsenic treatment system, install chlorination control system, install new 250,000 gallon tank, rehab and/or replace lines, install new well and rehab existing one.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$310,450.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2015	\$73,110.00
Design:			\$658,376.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2016	04/01/2017	\$6,048,608.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,114,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	50.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		73.50

Authority: Atlanta			
PIF #:	11344	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Facilities Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install a new ground storage tank, rehabilitate another ground storage tank, rehabilitate both elevated storage tanks, install new water line with in-line meters, install new high speed pumps, and create an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$123,500.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$234,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$2,395,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$578,088.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	11.50

Authority: Kellyville-Berea WSC			
PIF #:	11345	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Water Well & Infrastructure			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct new water well and associated infrastructure and develop asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$34,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$546,300.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	10.50

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11346	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 300,000 Gallon Elevated Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of installing a new 300,000 gallon elevated storage tank to replace the badly deteriorated existing elevated tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,320.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/05/2015	\$57,500.00
Est. Construction:	10/12/2015	04/15/2016	\$1,140,180.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	16.40

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11348	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Gridbee Submersible Potable Tank Mixer			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of installing a total of five (5) Gridbee Potable Tank Mixers with Chlorine Boost Systems. This will help keep chlorine levels at a safe rate and reduce THM formations in the system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2015	\$4,125.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	08/01/2015	\$106,675.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	26.40

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11349	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Variable Frequency Drive for High Service Pump #2			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of adding a Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) to high service pump #2. This will increase the life expectancy of the motor and provide additional electricity savings of over \$800 dollars a month.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$600.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/05/2015	\$2,700.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	10/01/2015	\$36,700.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$40,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		16.40

Authority: Baird			
PIF #:	11350	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WTP and Raw Water Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace the old water treatment plant with a new 1.0 MGD microfiltration or ultrafiltration water treatment plant. This plant will allow the city to meet TCEQ supply and treatment requirements and it will eliminate the current TCEQ violations. Also, replace the 50 year old cast iron raw water transmission line with a new PVC raw water line. The city has experienced significant water loss due to leaks in the old raw water line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$610,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	05/01/2018	\$4,225,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	49.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		71.10

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11351	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Recycling Water Plant Decant Water			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of additional 10" waterline and flow meter with vault in order to re-route decant water back into the existing reservoir to re-use. Currently approximately 150,000 gallons a day of decant water is left to evaporate in ponds and could be recycled. At current water rates, this amounts to over 7,000 dollars a year of savings.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$2,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/05/2015	\$9,375.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	12/02/2016	\$127,302.50

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$138,677.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		16.40

Authority: Brady			
PIF #:	11352	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Radium Reduction Groundwater Treatment			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of many miles of pipeline that is leaching combined radium back into treated water as it is distributed. Construction of a new elevated storage tank and lines to alleviate low pressure areas.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$700,000.00
Acquisition:			\$10,000.00
Design:			\$2,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/30/2018	\$19,671,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$400,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	199.10
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	211.10

Authority: Leakey			
PIF #:	11355	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well & Distribution			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct water well for Frio River Ranch Estates Colonia with a water transmission line from Frio River Ranch to the City of Leakey's water plant on Fourth Street, and return line to Frio River Ranch Estates Colonia.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2016	\$10,000.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$345,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	140.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	142.50

Authority: Leroy-Tours-Gerald WSC			
PIF #:	11356	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Treatment System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: LTG WSC proposes to construct an innovative treatment system for arsenic removal from groundwater that involves oxidation, coagulation and filtration components. LTG WSC is a member of FHLM WSC; an asset management plan would be developed for this water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$85,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	10/31/2015	\$600,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Brookesmith SUD			
PIF #:	11357	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of old water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$151,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2016	09/01/2016	\$2,300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,531,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.50

Authority: Brookesmith SUD			
PIF #:	11358	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Purchase 3,045 radio read meters to be installed by the Owner. This will allow for less vehicle use and manpower and increased system efficiency through increased meter accuracy reducing water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$48,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	11/02/2015	\$867,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$975,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Lone Pine WSC			
PIF #:	11359	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution Line Upgrade Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand and upgrade the distribution system allowing additional capacity and service to new customers.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$11,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$36,750.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$415,750.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Loop WSC			
PIF #:	11360	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our project consists of a proposed water treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016		\$630,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	76.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	78.80

Authority: Cameron			
PIF #:	11362	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Treatment Plant & Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Convert from surface water to groundwater by developing a new well field, constructing a ground storage tank at the new well field, constructing a raw water pump station and transmission line from new well field to existing ground storage tanks at existing high service pump station. Rehabilitate existing high service pump station and existing ground storage tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$15,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$940,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	97.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		132.80

Authority: Lone Pine WSC			
PIF #:	11363	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Proposed Well #3			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our project consists of increasing production capacity allowing additional capacity and service to new customers.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,650.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2016	\$7,600.00
Design:			\$80,325.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	01/31/2017	\$849,425.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Magnolia			
PIF #:	11364	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Magnolia Water Plant No. 3			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct new plant site to include new water well, ground storage tank, elevated storage tank, booster pump station, generator, and all related yard piping. Construct transmission line to tie new plant site into the system. Replace existing ground storage tank at Well No. 1 site.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$205,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2016	\$255,000.00
Design:			\$362,625.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$5,073,875.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Mertzon			
PIF #:	11365	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Groundwater Supply and Treatment Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include the upgrade of an existing irrigation well to municipal standards to supplement the City's existing wells, and the construction of a radionuclide reduction treatment system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$134,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/15/2014	\$61,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/30/2017	\$1,007,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$770,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	32.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	37.20

Authority: Carbon			
PIF #:	11366	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pump Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Pump station improvements to increase the storage and pumping capacities to meet compliance.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/31/2015	\$29,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2016	05/02/2016	\$351,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$425,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Cottonwood Shores			
PIF #:	11367	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Treatment Plant Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade existing 0.5 MGD water treatment plant to 1.0 MGD. Add high service pumps and upgrade raw water pumps and automatic controls at Quarry Site. The City will complete an asset management plan as part of the proposed project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$385,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$330,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2016	11/15/2016	\$3,101,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$75,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Craft-Turney WSC			
PIF #:	11368	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR/AMI water meters and leak detection system			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install new automatic meter-reading system and develop asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$110,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$1,080,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$968,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Craft-Turney WSC			
PIF #:	11369	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Well and Water Plant			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: New well and treatment plant, ground storage tank, pressure tank, water lines, and asset management plan to address insufficient water supply, storage, pressure, and system looping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$111,660.00
Acquisition:			\$20,000.00
Design:			\$196,715.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$1,674,185.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Crockett			
PIF #:	11370	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: College Hill Storage Tanks and Pump Station			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed project will construct a new high service pump station, ground storage tank and elevated tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2016	\$60,000.00
Design:			\$145,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2017	\$2,455,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11371	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: West Oak Phoenix - Install Well, Pressure and Storage Tanks			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Install water pressure tank and replace well to resolve system deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$117,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11372	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Kickapoo Meadows - Install Pressure Tank & Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Install pressure tank and replace well to resolve system deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$51,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.00

Authority: Cushing			
PIF #:	11373	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Elevated Storage Tank and Pump Station			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: New 100,000 gallon elevated storage tank and pump station are needed to replace aging infrastructure that is in poor condition. An asset management plan will also be designed and implemented to coordinate future infrastructure needs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$72,540.00
Acquisition:		03/15/2017	\$25,000.00
Design:			\$126,225.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$1,117,665.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$300,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Lilbert-Looneyville WSC			
PIF #:	11375	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New 3" & 4" Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install new water lines to replace deteriorating lines, line looping, and establish an asset management plan to address system deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,374.20
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$93,381.75
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	10/31/2015	\$826,852.90

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Midway ISD			
PIF #:	11376	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Renovations			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Midway ISD will replace their water tank, renovated the main pump station and drill another well to increase water production. The main water lines will also be replaced as well as necessary connections, valves and service reconnections.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$19,715.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$180,825.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: D & M WSC			
PIF #:	11377	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: F.R. Lewis Road- New water well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install new well, high service pump station, a pressure tank, and ground storage tank to alleviate insufficient water and storage capacity. This project will also design and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$91,282.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$138,847.50
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$1,159,634.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Authority: Lilbert-Looneyville WSC			
PIF #:	11378	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New 6" Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install 6-inch lines system-wide and an asset management plan to address system deficiencies & provide looping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,787.36
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$95,275.40
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$843,720.16

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Moore WSC			
PIF #:	11380	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Treatment System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Moore Water System proposes to construct an innovative treatment system for arsenic removal from groundwater that involves oxidation, coagulation and filtration components. An asset management plan would be developed for this water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$50,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	10/31/2015	\$180,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	44.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		44.50

Authority: D & M WSC			
PIF #:	11381	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New well and water plant-Eden Plant			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install new well and pumps, and rehabilitate the existing well and ground storage tank to alleviate insufficient water and storage capacity and low water pressure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,400.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$103,400.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$986,950.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$50,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Authority: Lilbert-Looneyville WSC			
PIF #:	11382	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wade Road Treatment Plant			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: New well, 30,000 gal. GST, pressure tank, and asset management plan to increase water supply and pressure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,620.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$28,116.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$880,578.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$175,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Morton Valley WSC			
PIF #:	11384	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our project will replace water lines, new water lines to loop distribution system, replace water meters with AMR meters, rehab Ranger Pump Station including addition of ground storage tank, new hydropneumatic pump station and ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$200,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2016	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$400,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	02/01/2018	\$3,350,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.
Green Amount: \$2,880,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Dell City			
PIF #:	11385	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Install Reverse Osmosis WTP			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install new Reverse Osmosis water treatment facility. Currently, Dell City has an osmotic system that is outdated and is no longer in use. Due to the age of the system, replacement parts are difficult to locate.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$31,500.00
Acquisition:			\$12,000.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$815,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Mount Calm			
PIF #:	11386	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Mount Calm proposes to rehab their existing well and/or possibly drill a new groundwater well. Mount Calm is a member of FHLM WSC; an asset management plan would be developed for this water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	09/15/2015	\$550,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.50

Authority: M S WSC			
PIF #:	11389	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Treatment System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: M.S. WSC proposes to construct an innovative treatment system for arsenic removal from groundwater that involves oxidation, coagulation and filtration components. M.S. WSC is a member of FHLM WSC; an asset management plan would be developed for this water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$65,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	10/31/2015	\$300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	32.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		32.50

Authority: North Central Texas MWA			
PIF #:	11390	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Nitrate Removal WTP			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to construct a nitrate removal water treatment plant, drill additional wells and construct supply line from wells.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$195,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2016	\$150,000.00
Design:			\$405,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	09/01/2018	\$6,750,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	43.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	287.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	343.00

Authority: Del Rio			
PIF #:	11391	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution Line Rehab			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement and rehabilitation of the distribution line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,008,745.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$4,218,387.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	02/28/2018	\$55,217,090.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$4,602,697.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Lyford			
PIF #:	11392	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Source Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is for installation of two ground water wells at the water treatment plant for a new water supply source, with construction of a 1.0 MGD reverse osmosis RO membrane treatment facility to treat the brackish ground water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$450,000.00
Acquisition:			\$50,000.00
Design:			\$400,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$4,490,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		16.50

Authority: Dario V. Guerra, III, dba Derby Ing.			
PIF #:	11393	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Derby Water System Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to install a new chlorine system and replace water storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$36,000.00
Acquisition:		08/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$18,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	12/01/2015	\$140,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$10,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	11394	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMI/AMR Meters & Infrastructure			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of installation of an automatic meter reading and leak detection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$178,725.60
Acquisition:			\$25,000.00
Design:			\$612,909.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$5,427,002.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,292,520.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	11395	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New 8" Waterlines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of an extension of an 8-inch PVC water line to provide looping and address delivery deficiencies. Also to implement an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$103,185.00
Acquisition:		08/15/2016	\$25,000.00
Design:			\$266,681.25
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$2,361,341.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Menard			
PIF #:	11396	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: SWTP & Well Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of a new WTP, new wells and well rehabilitation.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$350,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$440,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/15/2014	12/31/2017	\$5,075,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$225,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	140.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	165.00

Authority: North Runnels Co WSC			
PIF #:	11398	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement with AMR System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of replacing meters with AMR system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$42,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	08/01/2016	\$403,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$460,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Donna			
PIF #:	11399	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WTP Expansion and Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to increase treatment capacity to 6.0 MGD and construct new 15 acre Raw Water Reservoir.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$150,000.00
Design:			\$1,400,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	07/01/2017	\$11,510,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		26.00

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11400	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - East Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the east portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area caused disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Nueces County			
PIF #:	11401	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Cyndie Park II Water Supply System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition & Construction	
Project Description: Nueces County proposes to replace distribution lines throughout Cyndie Park II (served by CP2WSC) as well as construct additional new lines to connect Cyndie Park I residents. Nueces County will then construct upgrades to an existing water system (Nueces Water Supply, 4 miles away) and connect CP I and CP II to the new system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/31/2016	\$20,000.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2016	06/01/2016	\$1,133,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$50,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	38.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.50

Authority: Dublin			
PIF #:	11404	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Dublin has identified 6 locations where there are leaking, uncased water lines crossing under the railroad tracks. This project includes replacing these 6 leaking water lines by boring under the railroad. The project also includes replacing other old, leaking water lines in the distribution system. The City's existing elevated storage tank is in need of repair, so it is proposed to rehabilitate the existing elevated tank. It is also proposed to construct a new 250,000 gallon elevated storage tank to provide additional elevated storage. To supplement the City's water supply, it is proposed to drill a new secondary supply well. It is also proposed to construct a pressure tank at an existing water well. Also, it is proposed to make improvements to the City's disinfection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$50,000.00
Design:			\$445,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2016	08/01/2016	\$4,870,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,626,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	11.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		41.30

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11405	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of looping existing waterlines to eliminate dead ends. The district's water distribution system has many dead end lines that jeopardize the water quality for its residents. Looping these waterlines will create better water circulation and eliminate stagnant water in the lines. The project will also include the installation of new flush valves for waterlines that cannot be looped within the existing system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Eagle Pass			
PIF #:	11406	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Regional Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to expand WTP capacity, resize distribution lines and rehab storage tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		06/30/2016	\$2,089,941.00
Est. Construction:	04/13/2015	10/30/2018	\$50,503,410.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.00

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11407	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - West Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the west portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area cause disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11408	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - South Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the south portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area cause disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11409	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - North Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the north portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area cause disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	22.50

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11411	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meters, Leak Detection & Asset Mangement Plan			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the installation of water meters in the service area, purchase of leak detection equipment and instrumentation, and preparation of an asset management plan. The leak detection equipment will assist the District during the asset management planning process and conditions assessment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$5,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$165,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	22.50

Authority: Oakmont Saddle Mountain WSC			
PIF #:	11412	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Funds are being requested to construct the water tight concrete basin installation of pump and associated piping, electrical and all appurtenances. Authorization to construct this spring water source well #4 was issued by TCEQ letter dated October 24, 2014			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/02/2016	12/20/2016	\$300,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Olsen Estates WS			
PIF #:	11414	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Total Water Plant Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: For the Arsenic, we plan to install a treatment system or obtain a new well as recommended by an engineering firm. Upgrade the water plant to include additional well, storage and pump capacities. Also provide backup generator as required by TCEQ.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,850.00
Acquisition:			\$63,300.00
Design:			\$29,250.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	04/01/2016	\$104,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Pure WSC			
PIF #:	11416	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Pure WSC proposes to rehab their existing well and/or possibly drill a new groundwater well. Pure WSC is a member of FHLM WSC. An asset management plan would be developed for this water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	09/15/2015	\$550,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Eastland			
PIF #:	11417	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of installation of new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$87,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$129,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	09/30/2017	\$803,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$918,900.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Millersview-Doole WSC			
PIF #:	11418	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Well Water Blending Facilities			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of treating well water at the source and blending with surface water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2016	07/01/2016	\$478,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	413.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	182.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	607.00

Authority: Raywood WSC			
PIF #:	11419	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Proposed Well and Elevated Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of a new water well, new ground storage tank, new yard piping, electrical and generator and new automatic read meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$164,862.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$319,500.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2017	\$1,555,400.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$135,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	4.50

Authority: Rio WSC			
PIF #:	11420	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Colonia Water Distribution Improvement Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project calls for the upgrade of existing lines that are old and small for the amount of customers serviced now and in the future. A new storage elevated storage tank will also help alleviate the pressure inefficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$38,000.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2016	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$285,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	09/01/2017	\$57,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	7.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.50

Authority: Morgan's Point Resort			
PIF #:	11421	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well Facility			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In our proposal, we plan to construct a new water supply municipal well field system. The project will also include the construction of the associated ground storage tanks, water pump station, disinfection/treatment and water main installation as required to connect to the existing distribution system. This project will also include the preparation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	06/30/2017	\$1,145,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.50

Authority: R M S WSC			
PIF #:	11424	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Treatment System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: R.M.S. WSC proposes to construct an innovative treatment system for arsenic removal from groundwater that involves oxidation, coagulation and filtration components. R.M.S. WSC is a member of FHLM WSC. An asset management plan would be developed for this water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	10/31/2015	\$720,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Mount Calm			
PIF #:	11425	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Proposed Well Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Due to the fact the well needing repair is the only water source for the city. Our proposal is to construct a new well of equal depth and size to replace the existing city well. This will eliminate electrical issues and repair costs, and maintain well production during construction.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2015	\$437,500.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	12/01/2015	\$1,500,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Rolling Hills WS			
PIF #:	11426	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Rolling Hills Shores Water Improvement Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the replacement of the existing storage, pumping and distribution facilities and groundwater treatment via MF/RO for high concentrations of TDS, chlorides, bromides and iron. The project will also include the preparation of an asset management plan and WCP/DCP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$190,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$174,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/30/2017	\$1,682,500.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	7.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		18.00

Authority: Eden			
PIF #:	11427	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of a desalination system to be installed at the City's new WTP. Replacement of multiple water lines throughout the City to reduce water losses. Complete required upgrades to the City's existing groundwater wells.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$386,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$313,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$6,341,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,865,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		36.50

Authority: San Angelo			
PIF #:	11428	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: San Angelo Potable Reuse Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to implement a direct potable reuse project that can produce a new raw water supply consisting of advanced treated recycled water, in order to produce up to 50% of the City's daily raw water demands.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,810,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$11,421,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2018	\$134,769,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.
Green Amount: \$150,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	5.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		21.50

Authority: El Campo			
PIF #:	11429	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Elevated Storage Tank, transmission lines and electrical controls			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of constructing an elevated water tower in northern part of the distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		09/01/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$3,650,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11430	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Artesia Wells Phase 1			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of drilling new wells to increase production capacity. Phase 1 will drill two new wells.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/31/2016	03/31/2017	\$7,003,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Santo SUD				
PIF #:	11431	Program:	DWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Urgent Need Water Supply Improvements				
Project Type: Public Water System			Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of making an interconnect with Parker Co SUD to obtain treated water.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:		05/30/2015	\$55,500.00
Design:			\$49,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	07/15/2016	\$598,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Seymour			
PIF #:	11432	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Seymour proposes to install a high recovery recycle system on the concentrate and build an evaporation pond.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$179,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$1,745,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$2,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Electra			
PIF #:	11433	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Due to the prolonged drought and diminishing supplies throughout the state as well as the Cities of Iowa Park and Wichita Falls, Electra is proposing to rehabilitate their abandoned well field as well as rehabilitate their existing filtration water treatment plant equipment. A transmission line to transport this water to their purchased water storage tank for blending is also proposed.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$150,000.00
Design:			\$280,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	06/01/2018	\$1,890,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: New Deal			
PIF #:	11434	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Transmission Line & Standpipe			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to replace line with new 8-inch piping, and install a new 138,000 gallon standpipe (storage tank). The existing asbestos cement pipeline has deteriorated and the leaking line has become a health issue. This will also correct low water pressure in the southwest section of the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/15/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/30/2016	09/30/2016	\$996,830.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$692,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Etoile WSC			
PIF #:	11435	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Well, Storage Tanks, and Booster Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Filter out organics reacting with chlorine to keep disinfection byproducts to a minimum and reduce the amount of water needed to waste (ABOUT 50%-70%).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$665,000.00
Acquisition:			\$8,000.00
Design:			\$93,380.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$2,361,125.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Stamford			
PIF #:	11437	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include the construction of a new groundwater well system, groundwater treatment system and installation of new raw and finished water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss. The project will also include replacement of the existing raw water pumps. Refer to Section III.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$601,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$881,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$17,514,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$10,245,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	88.20
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	67.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		181.20

Authority: New Ulm WSC			
PIF #:	11438	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Upgrade Water Storage and Distribution			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes the construction of a new ground storage tank, a new pressure tank, booster pumps, and the replacement of 2500 feet of asbestos distribution line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$63,805.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	12/31/2016	\$408,160.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Thorndale			
PIF #:	11439	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Supply Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: In order to secure additional water supplies, the City proposes to drill a new 250 GPM well in the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer, construct a 150,000 gallon ground storage tank, 6-in raw water line, filter/aeration treatment plant, 8-in transmission line, pump station and telemetry. The City also plans to develop an asset management plan for this new groundwater system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$67,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2015	\$75,000.00
Design:			\$175,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/15/2015	12/31/2015	\$5,388,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.50

Authority: Olmito WSC			
PIF #:	11440	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Elevated Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of constructing a new 300,000 elevated storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			\$50,000.00
Design:			\$185,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,909,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Valley Mills				
PIF #:	11441	Program:	DWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Implement AMR System & Replace Water Lines				
Project Type: Public Water System			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project will implement an automated meter reading system (AMR) and replace water distribution lines.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$26,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$220,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/07/2017	06/01/2017	\$690,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$425,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.50

Authority: Fayetteville			
PIF #:	11442	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New water well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes installation of a new water well and development & adoption of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:		02/14/2014	\$11,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	07/01/2016	\$357,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Authority: Vernon			
PIF #:	11443	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 16 Mile 24 Inch Well Water Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This proposal is to install a new 16 mile 24 inch PVC pipeline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2017	\$200,000.00
Design:			\$910,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	05/01/2018	\$9,750,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$11,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Paducah			
PIF #:	11444	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include the installation of new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$129,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$221,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	09/30/2017	\$2,038,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,309,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		21.00

Authority: Warren WSC			
PIF #:	11445	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Proposed Well Replacement and Meter Program			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This proposal consists of a new water well, piping, and electrical. Also new automatic read meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$74,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$202,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$918,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$168,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		14.50

Authority: Graford			
PIF #:	11446	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing old, deteriorated and leaking water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2016	07/04/2016	\$346,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$430,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Palo Pinto WSC			
PIF #:	11447	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Elevated Tank and Water Distribution Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposal consists of replacing existing distribution lines which causesignificant water loss and water outages.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		10/30/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$68,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/29/2016	12/31/2016	\$1,301,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,469,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Wellman			
PIF #:	11448	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Nitrate Fluoride Removal			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of 2 options. Option 1 is to purchase water from the City of Brownfield and build a pump station and pipeline that will transport the water to the City's existing storage facility. Option 2 is to install a reverse osmosis facility to remove the constituents from the water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$135,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2016	\$8,000.00
Design:			\$130,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$1,628,455.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	97.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	109.80

Authority: Parker County SUD			
PIF #:	11449	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Greenwood System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This proposal is for material costs for 0.1 MG elevated storage tank to meet TCEQ storage requirements and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:		10/30/2015	\$10,000.00
Design:		12/31/2015	\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/05/2015	12/31/2016	\$110,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$250,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Graham			
PIF #:	11450	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Water transmission line from water treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2016	02/01/2017	\$2,615,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	10.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: West Tawakoni			
PIF #:	11452	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Raw Water Intake Structure			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposal consists of constructing new water intake structure into deeper water. Per PER, an approx. depth of 25 feet can be obtained by construction the new intake structure at the proposed location. Developing an asset management plan and purchasing or acquiring easement to property for construction of a new raw water pipeline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$56,272.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2016	\$115,000.00
Design:			\$125,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	08/31/2017	\$1,192,750.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		11.50

Authority: Quitman			
PIF #:	11453	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant & Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of replacing existing treatment equipment and install additional treated water line from the water treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$450,605.00
Acquisition:		09/14/2015	\$294,900.00
Design:			\$868,151.00
Est. Construction:	09/14/2015	12/29/2016	\$8,586,547.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$105,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Graham			
PIF #:	11454	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Transmission and Distribution Line improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of the installation of an additional transmission line from plant to distribution system and replace aging lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$48,000.00
Acquisition:		05/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:		04/30/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/30/2015	10/30/2015	\$2,255,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	10.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	21.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11457	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sherman - Texoma Pkwy Waterline Replacement Phase V			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of 3,500 lf of existing 12 inch water main on the west side of Texoma Highway.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$37,978.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$26,280.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	12/01/2014	\$336,720.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$400,978.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	20.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	22.00

Authority: Wolfe City			
PIF #:	11458	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Entire Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to re-coat existing tank, replace all existing water lines with new 6" and 8" water lines and install one or two new wells.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$325,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$570,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2016	01/04/2017	\$7,235,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$7,317,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		15.50

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11459	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Bells - Paluxy Water Supply well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of drilling a new 300 gpm "Paluxy" formation replacement well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$64,948.00
Acquisition:		11/01/2015	\$5,000.00
Design:			\$69,980.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	06/01/2016	\$1,067,896.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Graham			
PIF #:	11460	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water system storage additions			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to increase plant storage capacity from 1 mg to 2 mg.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$48,000.00
Acquisition:		08/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:		10/31/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$1,882,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11464	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lake Kiowa SUD - Water Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of replacing asbestos cement pipe with polyethylene pipe (2.2 miles).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$586,841.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$489,100.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	12/31/2019	\$10,342,150.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11466	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lake Kiowa SUD - Water Supply Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Drill a supplemental well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$130,932.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$64,524.70
Est. Construction:	06/30/2014	03/31/2015	\$992,807.80

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11467	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Van Alstyne - Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$83,804.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$69,471.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	09/01/2014	\$927,410.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,080,685.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11469	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sherman - Water Treatment Plant Expansion and Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to expand and upgrade water treatment plant to address additional surface water supply with facilities to serve multiple customers.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$232,150.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$1,620,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016		\$24,217,728.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11473	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Van Alstyne - Disinfection Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade disinfection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$23,404.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$11,753.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	04/01/2014	\$121,322.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11477	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Tom Bean - Water Supply Well, GST,Pumps, Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of construction of a water well, ground storage water tank, high service pumps, and transmission pipeline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$115,230.00
Acquisition:		08/01/2015	\$49,500.00
Design:			\$72,500.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	04/01/2016	\$1,014,604.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Rio Grande City			
PIF #:	11479	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Storage Rehab & Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of constructing a new 1.5 MG elevated storage tank to provide capacity and improve area distribution pressures. Also to rehab the two existing storage tanks as to provide the needed maintenance and bring the tanks into compliance with TCEQ.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$228,000.00
Acquisition:		08/30/2014	\$22,500.00
Design:			\$137,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	08/01/2017	\$3,112,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Greenbelt MIWA			
PIF #:	11482	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: A wellfield, supplying up to 3 MGD, will be constructed on the North Ogallala Aquifer. This wellfield will be connected to the GMIWA treatment plant with a new, 16-inch pipeline approximately 15-miles long.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$1,570,000.00
Design:			\$770,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2014	06/30/2015	\$7,660,000.00

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00 _____

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	32.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	44.00

Authority: Robert Lee			
PIF #:	11484	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Pipeline & Long-Term Plan			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of constructing a new well field, build line to neighboring community, upgrade existing WTP, reconstruct reservoir intakes, install automatic meter reading equipment and prepare an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$440,000.00
Acquisition:			\$375,000.00
Design:			\$665,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2012		\$9,575,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$225,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	201.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	129.90
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	359.10

Authority: Harris Co FWSD # 47			
PIF #:	11487	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old waterline with Class 150 C-900 PVC utilizing the most cost efficient construction method considering open-trench replacement and horizontal directional drilling. Installing a automatic water metering system will also help the District identify leaks more readily, increasing water efficiency.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$222,900.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$637,130.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2016	10/01/2017	\$4,721,640.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$5,581,670.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		6.00

Authority: Groesbeck			
PIF #:	11489	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Acquire an off channel rock quarry to use as an additional water source. The City will construct a new pump station and pipeline in order to transmit the water from the quarry to Lake Groesbeck. Will also complete an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$358,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$616,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	12/31/2016	\$9,278,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Mart			
PIF #:	11490	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Urgent Need - Water Intake Structure Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Mart proposed to construct a pier to extend the existing intake structure (approx. 100-ft) in New Lake Mart along with upgrading the pump station to allow the City to draw water from less accessible portions of the lake during the drought.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$57,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	08/31/2015	\$418,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Authority: Roma			
PIF #:	11491	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Regional Water Treatment Plant and Transmission Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of constructing a new regional treatment facility and regional transmission system. It will be designed to be expanded to support multiple utilities in and around Starr County.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,392,000.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2017	\$500,000.00
Design:			\$2,229,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2018	12/31/2019	\$0.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.20
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	3.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		21.00

Authority: Groveton			
PIF #:	11492	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct water well and transmission main to supplement the current water supply, which is seasonally inadequate for current demand, specifically during drought conditions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2015	\$100,000.00
Design:			\$225,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$1,750,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	14.50

Authority: Harris Co MUD # 50			
PIF #:	11493	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Surface Water Conversion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Design and construct a treated surface water line from Baytown Area Water Authority to the District, and related system improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,101,190.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	07/01/2020	\$7,369,503.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Gustine			
PIF #:	11494	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Storage Tank Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$33,600.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	06/01/2015	\$178,400.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Rosebud			
PIF #:	11495	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to replace broken and/or malfunctioning water meters within their CCN with meters to prevent the water loss and to ensure the safety and well being of its customers. The City intends to prepare their asset management plan with assistance from TCEQ's FMT contractor.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$55,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2016	07/31/2016	\$421,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$476,600.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.50

Authority: Hazy Hills WSC			
PIF #:	11496	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Drill a new well to meet TCEQ pumping capacity requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	11/15/2015	\$105,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Gustine			
PIF #:	11498	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Tank Rehab			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate existing 30,000 gallon storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$35,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$9,600.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	06/01/2014	\$97,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$142,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Matador			
PIF #:	11499	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Well & Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of deteriorated water transmission and distribution lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$65,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	04/30/2014	\$620,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Hondo			
PIF #:	11500	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Replace and Rehabilitate Storage Tanks			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to replace/rehab two elevated storage tanks; rehab one ground storage tank and remove one ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/16/2014	\$435,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2015	12/01/2016	\$3,950,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Harris Co FWSD # 1A			
PIF #:	11501	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace distribution system in four phases and rehabilitate elevated storage tanks (EST). The entire distribution system is original, exceeding 50 years in age. A significant amount of the distribution system is steel petroleum industry pipe that was provided by area refineries. The line sizes do not meet the current state criteria and do not offer fire protection in most areas of the district. Both EST's have been cited by the TCEQ for Notice of Violations for the maintenance issues requiring significant repair and recoating.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$68,179.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,137,223.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	03/01/2015	\$5,901,958.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,685,888.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		26.00

Authority: Rotan			
PIF #:	11502	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to install 14 miles of new 12-inch PVC water line to replace existing and ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$455,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	05/01/2018	\$3,725,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,840,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		32.50

Authority: Mathis			
PIF #:	11503	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Waterline Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace two-inch water lines with looped eight-inch lines. The system currently exceeds the TCEQ standards for number of connections allowed on the two-inch lines resulting in low pressure for customers.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$133,834.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	06/01/2014	\$1,162,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Harris Co MUD # 50			
PIF #:	11504	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project proposes to complete a detailed inspection of the Crosby-Lynchburg water plant as well as design and construct improvements to the Crosby-Lynchburg water plant, the St. Charles water plant, and increase the distribution system line size in two locations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$433,032.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	09/30/2015	\$3,051,001.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Royalwood MUD			
PIF #:	11505	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old ground storage tanks with new tanks (same capacity). Upgrade motor control centers at both water plants to ensure pumps continue to operate without interruption for lack of compatible parts. Upgrade control build-ins to prevent degradation of new controls. Replace old chlorinator and chlorine buildings. Install generators at both water plants to ensure continuous operation under power failure without having to open emergency interconnect. Upgrade access to site for emergencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$151,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$174,780.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$1,136,070.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$375,695.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Mathis			
PIF #:	11506	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: System improvements include replacing valves and chemical feed pumps, rehabilitating clarifiers and raw water piping, and filling in lagoons.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$118,500.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$135,845.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	06/01/2014	\$1,529,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Jefferson			
PIF #:	11507	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Facilities Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate 3 storage tanks, install a pressure tank, mixer, and generator. Create an asset management plan to address degrading storage, lack of elevated storage in 2nd pressure plane, and the lack of water changeover in the standpipe.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$77,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$146,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	10/01/2016	\$1,370,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,150,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	11.50

Authority: Harris Co MUD # 148			
PIF #:	11508	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged, deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems and the development of an Asset Management Program.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$21,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$56,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	01/01/2015	\$728,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$966,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	4.00

Authority: Harris Co WCID # 36			
PIF #:	11509	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Facility and Line Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged, deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems and the development of an Asset Management Program.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$305,873.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/10/2013	\$609,498.00
Est. Construction:	10/10/2013	10/31/2015	\$4,084,629.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$876,200.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	14.00

Authority: Jefferson			
PIF #:	11510	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace water lines and create an asset management plan to address the aged and degraded system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$187,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$300,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	10/01/2017	\$3,095,580.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,558,080.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		11.50

Authority: Maxwell WSC			
PIF #:	11511	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: PWS Conversion to AMR Metering			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Replace old water meters with new Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system and purchase leak detection equipment. The system is currently experiencing high water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	09/01/2014	\$410,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$410,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Kosse			
PIF #:	11512	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Drill two wells, construct a water plant, pressure/pumping facilities, and storage facilities, and distribution lines to remove dependency from WSC. The City purchases water from Tri-CountyWSC which contains arsenic.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$286,400.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2015	\$54,000.00
Design:			\$108,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2016	12/31/2016	\$2,027,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Harris Co WCID # 89			
PIF #:	11514	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Storage Tank Replacement and Facility Rehab			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes removal and replacement of the existing ground storage tank, rehabilitation of on-site hydropneumatic tanks, modifications and improvements to existing booster pump building, and rehabilitation of yard piping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/28/2014	\$126,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2014	06/01/2015	\$1,004,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Merkel			
PIF #:	11515	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New elevated storage tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new 250,000 gallon elevated tank and demolish the old tank that currently has several TCEQ violations:290.43 (c)(B)-deterioration of interior and exterior coating; 290.43 (c) (2) inadequate diameter for roof hatch; 290.43 (c) (3)- Overflow pipe does not extend to the ground.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$63,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2014	12/31/2014	\$897,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: La Joya			
PIF #:	11516	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of 32,811 feet of 8" PVC pipe, an 8" gate valve, a 4" fire hydrant valve, and a 2" flush valve are needed to alleviate inadequate water pressure. Also an Advanced meter reading infrastructure (AMI) system with leak detection will be installed throughout the potable water distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$298,717.50
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	11/01/2016	\$2,773,696.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$988,848.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Haskell			
PIF #:	11517	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Well Development			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Three public water supply wells and a transmission line will be constructed to blend well water with the purchased water from NCTMWA.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:			\$50,000.00
Design:		01/01/2014	\$160,135.26
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	08/01/2014	\$1,184,864.74

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00 _____

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Hico			
PIF #:	11518	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of waterlines, deteriorated ground storage tank and aging water meters to address low water pressure issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$81,215.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$219,009.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	12/31/2015	\$2,731,561.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	11.00

Authority: Mexia			
PIF #: 11519	Program: DWSRF	PPL Year: 2016	
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of deteriorated water meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$97,400.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2014	09/01/2014	\$1,702,600.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$1,880,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	15.50

Authority: Midland County UD			
PIF #:	11520	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Utility District and Water Distribution System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will create a utility district for the County of Midland, southeast of the City of Midland. The Midland County Utility District will provide first time adequate water services to residents in this area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$400,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$9,979,622.00
Est. Construction:			\$116,476,046.00

Green Project: Unknown
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: High Point WSC			
PIF #:	11521	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pump Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of construction of a 750,000 gallon concrete storage tank at each site, and re-arranging the piping inside the pump buildings so that the pumps can be accessed for maintenance. Existing storage tanks will be demolished and removed. Piping on each site will be adjusted to account for the new storage tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	09/01/2016	\$2,784,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Midway			
PIF #:	11522	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Filtration System for Water Quality			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct and install filters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	06/01/2015	\$277,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: La Joya			
PIF #:	11523	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Expand water treatment plant to alleviate inadequate water treatment capacity, install a new SCADA system, and install green power infrastructure including two 100KW wind turbines and 11 solar LED lights. These units will provide cost savings and reduce the utility's carbon footprint. The SCADA system will combine health monitoring and automatic meter-reading equipment with advanced power systems monitoring, physical security, and network cyber security.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2015	05/01/2016	\$6,469,080.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,450,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Moore WSC			
PIF #:	11524	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution Improvements Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Moore WSC proposes to conduct a leak detection study to identify any major leaks, an elevated storage tank to provide proper pressures to all residents, automatic meter readers, and replacement of small lines in order to provide additional services.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$370,545.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$182,500.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	04/30/2016	\$1,570,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$160,800.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Munday			
PIF #:	11526	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Well Development			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: A public water supply well and a transmission line will be constructed to blend well water with the purchased water from NCTMWA.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2013	\$5,000.00
Design:		10/01/2013	\$72,700.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2014	05/01/2014	\$379,300.00

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: La Salle Landing WSC			
PIF #:	11527	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Arsenic Treatment and Distribution Rehab			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install Oxidation filter to concurrently remove iron and arsenic, install new main water line, install customer meters, install new service lines, install ground storage to allow backwash of filter, and create an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$76,050.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/01/2015	\$2,050.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	11/30/2015	\$402,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	29.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	42.00

Authority: North Alamo WSC			
PIF #:	11529	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency La Feria Water Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of a deep water well that can supply up to 1 million gallons per day is needed to supplement our dwindling supply of water due to growth and drought conditions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$44,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2014	\$255,841.00
Design:			\$93,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2014	10/31/2014	\$927,734.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	7.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11530	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: English Acres Water Plant			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade the water system including new chlorine system, well meter replacements and repairs, replace water storage tank and accessories, prepare monitoring plan, prepare drought contingency plan, and prepare plant operations manual. These improvements are needed to meet TCEQ regulations and correct chlorination deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$64,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$80,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	05/01/2016	\$810,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$50,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: North Alamo WSC			
PIF #:	11531	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement and upgrades to existing water main to address water and pressure losses and to improve water distribution efficiency. Install a new 250,000 gallons elevated storage tank, and connect existing residential and commercial water services to new water main distribution lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$63,900.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2014	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$383,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2014	10/31/2014	\$3,457,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,886,800.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.00

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11532	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Automatic Meter Reading Program			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Install automatic meter reading devices to lower personnel and fuel costs and emissions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2015	06/30/2018	\$715,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$715,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		6.00

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11533	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Meter Replacement & Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Replace water meters that have exceeded their useful life.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2015	06/30/2018	\$3,300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,300,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		6.00

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11534	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Facility & Re-Pump Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Evaluate electrical systems & install redundant electrical power. Rehab or replace distribution pumps, motors, valves and piping at various facilities. Make improvements as necessary at Re-Pump Stations in order to provide efficient and reliable water service. Ground Water Facilities and Re-Pump Stations have electrical, pumping, and piping deficiencies, which are causing the system to be inefficient and unreliable.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/15/2014	01/31/2017	\$8,800,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.50

Authority: North Alamo WSC			
PIF #:	11535	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Water Tower			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of a new 1 million gallon elevated storage tank is needed to meet TCEQ capacity requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$78,260.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$155,000.00
Design:			\$237,300.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2014	12/31/2014	\$2,588,800.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.00

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11536	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution System Pump Station Rehab			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Evaluate electrical systems & correct necessary deficiencies. Rehab or replace distribution pumps, motors, valves and piping at various facilities. Make improvements as necessary at Pump Stations in order to provide efficient and reliable water service. Pump Stations have electrical, pumping, and piping deficiencies, which are causing the system to be inefficient and unreliable.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/15/2014	01/31/2017	\$5,500,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.50

Authority: North Alamo WSC			
PIF #:	11537	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency FM1425 12" Water Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Emergency project to provide water through new distribution lines to the towns of San Perlita, La Sara, Port Mansfield and the areas surrounding Raymondville which currently have pressure deficiencies. This will also alleviate water pressure issues currently experienced by these systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$32,900.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$78,500.00
Design:			\$84,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2014	12/31/2014	\$598,544.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11538	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Storage Tank Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate existing tanks, including replacement of cone roof, roof rafters, interior columns and supports with prefabricated aluminum dome roof structure. Install new appurtenances. Apply protective coating. Install new tank as necessary. Water storage tanks are in deteriorated condition.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/31/2014	01/31/2017	\$8,800,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: North Runnels Co WSC			
PIF #:	11540	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Transmission & Distribution Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install pump station, transmission, and distribution lines for purchased water from Bronte to reduce THM levels. Also, provide public water to 200 households around Oak Creek Reservoir.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2014	\$150,000.00
Design:			\$728,219.97
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	06/30/2016	\$5,091,780.03

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	63.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	13.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	76.80

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11541	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Well Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate ground water wells. Ground water wells are experiencing decreased production capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/31/2014	01/31/2017	\$6,600,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11542	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Denton Estates - Upgrade Water System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Our project is to install a well, ground storage tank and booster pump.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$2,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$95,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11543	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Ground Water Well Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Drill a replacement ground water well within the same easement area. Ground water wells have reached the end of their useful life and are unable to be rehabilitated further.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/31/2014	01/31/2017	\$8,250,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Opdyke West			
PIF #:	11544	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Filtration for the removal of Arsenic and Fluoride			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Filtration system to remove arsenic and fluoride.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$180,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	56.25
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
	Rating:	58.75

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11545	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sludge Process & Surge Basin Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Add thickened sludge holding tank for Plant 1 & 2. Install sludge collection system for surge basin. Separate Plant 1 & 2 thickened sludge flow from Plant 3 unthickened flow to increase sludge percentage into sludge dewatering facilities. Increase volume for surge basin backwash. Sludge thickening is inefficient and filtration operations are unreliable. Polymer dosage for dewatering process is high.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/16/2014	01/31/2017	\$12,650,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Orangefield WSC			
PIF #:	11546	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Victory Gardens			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project would provide critical first time water service to approximately 500 low to moderate income families living within the area. This project also includes the preparation of an asset management plan. This project will alleviate the hazards faced by poorly designed water wells & septic tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,140,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	09/01/2015	\$4,740,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.50

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11547	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Chemical Feed Sys & Bulk Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Install bulk storage tanks for lime, caustic, aluminum sulfate, powder activated carbon & ammonia. Rehab chemical feed system. Modify chemical loading & unloading areas. Chemical storage capacity is inadequate and unreliable at East Water Purification Plant No. 1.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/23/2014	01/31/2017	\$9,735,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.00

Authority: Paint Rock			
PIF #:	11548	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new microfiltration water treatment plant to replace the current antiquated plant that has a failing roof, an inadequate electrical system, and a building that is in disrepair.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$349,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$1,336,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	75.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		85.30

Authority: Plains			
PIF #:	11549	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Arsenic Treatment			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design	
Project Description: Provide precipitation treatment and activated alumina treatment to lower arsenic and floride levels.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$250,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2014	06/02/2014	\$0.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	70.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		70.30

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11550	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Switchgear Rehab/Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Rehab or replace switchgears at East Water Purification Plant No. 3. Switchgears at East Water Purification Plant No. 3 are old and near failure. This is a critical component for the safe operation of the plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/31/2015	01/31/2017	\$8,250,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Authority: Point			
PIF #:	11551	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR smart water meter system			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace the system meters with AMR smart meters to improve detection of water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$48,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$35,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	06/01/2014	\$346,100.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$429,700.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Spur			
PIF #:	11552	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old, dilapidated distribution system piping and valves to reduce line breaks and increase pressure. The system has documented problems with low water pressure and line breaks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$35,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		09/24/2014	\$177,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/15/2015	12/15/2015	\$1,866,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,078,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	5.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		26.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11553	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Denton Estates - Water Meter Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to install water meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$26,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Port Arthur			
PIF #:	11554	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace water lines to reduce leaks and increase pressure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,371,297.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	03/01/2017	\$9,804,939.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$7,894,476.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		13.50

Authority: Jarrell			
PIF #:	11555	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Acquisition			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Acquisition	
Project Description: DWSRF funds will allow the City of Jarrell to purchase a water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$2,060,000.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2010	03/31/2011	\$0.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.50

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11556	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: English Acres - Water Plant Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to install needed well, ground storage tank and booster pump.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$192,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Johnson City			
PIF #:	11558	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Reuse			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project for Johnson City involves constructing a waste water reuse system to provide irrigation water for the City's park and baseball field located in close proximity to the City's WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2015	\$25,000.00
Design:			\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$225,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$225,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		4.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11559	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Plaza 290 - Install Pressure Tank & Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to install water pressure tank and replace well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$51,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.00

Authority: Stamford			
PIF #:	11560	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include the installation of new finished water and raw water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss. The project will also include replacement of the existing raw water pumps.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$523,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$577,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	02/28/2017	\$11,711,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$12,812,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		23.00

Authority: Kendleton			
PIF #:	11562	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Replacements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Water system line replacements, water line extensions to unserved areas and water meter replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$150,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	12/31/2014	\$889,900.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$30,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Strawn			
PIF #:	11563	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency New Supply Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Emergency project to abandon the old existing WTP and connect to the City of Ranger's water supply.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2014	\$28,000.00
Design:			\$77,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	08/31/2014	\$1,384,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,580,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Poteet			
PIF #:	11564	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Interconnect to two (2) WSCs and upgrades.			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Establish the interconnections with storage and pumps with Benton City WSC and McCoy WSC to serve as back up source for the city; update to AMR meter system to replace aging meters and improve the city's ability to closely monitor water loss and leaks; and replace cast iron and asbestos/concrete service mains that leak often & reduce the amount of water rust concerns.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2015	\$89,500.00
Design:			\$300,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2015	08/31/2015	\$4,970,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$300,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	25.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		35.00

Authority: Kenedy County			
PIF #:	11565	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sarita Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project includes installation of meters and rehabilitation of an elevated storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$105,883.48
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$70,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	06/01/2015	\$543,710.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Knox City			
PIF #:	11566	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Well Development			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Three public water supply wells and a transmission line will be constructed to blend well water with the purchased water from NCTMWA.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2013	\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2014	\$261,497.75
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	08/01/2014	\$984,602.50

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Ralls			
PIF #:	11567	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Meter and Water Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install/retrofit existing meters with automatic readers, as well as replace problematic (leaking) distribution lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$70,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2013	06/01/2014	\$471,396.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$586,396.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11569	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Preston Club - Install Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Replace well to address system deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$86,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	25.00

Authority: Study Butte WSC			
PIF #:	11570	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace water lines, install pressure reducing outages, inadequate chemical storage facilities valves, install well servicing rig to reduce and inadequate housing for plant equipment. Install chemical storage facilities and building upgrades to address system deficiencies, in downtime.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$160,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		02/25/2013	\$56,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2013	12/31/2013	\$1,040,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,256,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Ralston Acres WSC			
PIF #:	11571	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply Rebuild			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Update system and move mains from private backyards to the public streets.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$134,000.00
Acquisition:			\$7,000.00
Design:			\$169,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2014	12/01/2015	\$1,180,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$925,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: La Grulla			
PIF #:	11572	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with automatic meter-reading technology, cutting many costs for the City. With the new meters the City will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the city office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$73,372.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	09/01/2015	\$1,499,887.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$1,578,259.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11573	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Seller's Estates - Install Pressure Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to install a needed pressure tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$20,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Riesel			
PIF #:	11574	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Arsenic Treatment			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Arsenic Treatment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$78,700.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,023,300.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	50.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.50

Authority: Texas State Technical College			
PIF #:	11575	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution System Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace cast iron, calcified pipes with smaller pipes to provide adequate service and stop nitrification episodes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$470,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$730,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	03/01/2016	\$7,300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: La Villa			
PIF #:	11576	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include pump replacement and upgrades. A new elevated tank is included in the project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$224,691.00
Acquisition:		08/31/2014	\$26,000.00
Design:			\$400,254.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	12/20/2015	\$4,187,324.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$312,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Rio Grande City			
PIF #:	11577	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing broken/malfunctioning water meters with 100% lead-free smart meters with built in leak detection. Install AMR system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$257,630.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2014	10/31/2014	\$3,301,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$3,558,330.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Rio Hondo			
PIF #:	11578	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Water Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of the treatment plant, replacement of distribution lines, replacement of meters, and new pumping system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$460,950.99
Acquisition:			\$243,500.00
Design:			\$518,295.31
Est. Construction:	07/15/2014	10/15/2015	\$2,371,418.50

Green Project: Yes-Comb.
Green Amount: \$5,309,758.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		44.50

Authority: Ladonia			
PIF #:	11579	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Distribution Lines & System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install new water distribution lines to address water loss of 30% associated with aging abestos-cement lines. Rehabilitate existing elevated storage tank and recoat to address excessive rusting.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$219,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$175,800.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2014	08/01/2015	\$1,966,800.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	32.50

Authority: Lake Texoma VFW Post 7873			
PIF #:	11580	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: System Upgrades			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Radium removal from well water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$22,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2015	\$5,000.00
Design:			\$80,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	12/01/2016	\$722,715.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	82.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		82.00

Authority: Rio WSC			
PIF #:	11581	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with AMR water meter technology, cutting many costs for the corporation. With the new meters the corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the City office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center, therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$43,551.81
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	09/01/2015	\$890,299.70

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$938,851.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Rising Star			
PIF #:	11582	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace 7,000 feet of asbestos cement and ductile iron pipe with C-900 PVC water main. The asbestos concrete (AC) pipe for the main distribution line has become so brittle it is very hard to repair. Frequent leaks in this line have caused pressure losses in the system. There is ductile iron pipe mixed with AC pipe at several points in the system. The ductile iron pipe has become so rusted that debris from the pipes travel through the system into the houses.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$221,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2014	11/30/2015	\$1,147,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Rockdale			
PIF #:	11583	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct/improve the Mill Street Central Treatment Facility to meet higher demand and to increase water pressure throughout system. Also, implement an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		08/01/2013	\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2014	\$222,700.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2014	02/02/2015	\$2,747,300.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	25.50

Authority: Royal Oaks Apartments			
PIF #:	11584	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Fredericksburg City Connection			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Connection with the City of Fredericksburg, which is one mile away, to address nitrate issue.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$4,500.00
Acquisition:		05/28/2014	\$2,000.00
Design:			\$7,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/28/2014	07/28/2014	\$32,000.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11585	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Hotwells Water Main Replacement Phase I			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of approximately 60,000 l.f. of 6-inch to 12-inch water main.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$3,490,199.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,490,199.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11586	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Line Rehab & Contingency Water Breaks			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project will help to reduce the number of water line breaks; decrease the possibility of contamination of the water distribution system; reduce the amount of unaccounted water losses; lowers the amount of water used per capita per day; and decrease the peak and average flows of the water treatment plants.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$5,465,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$5,455,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: San Benito			
PIF #:	11587	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Water System Improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/10/2013	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$470,010.00
Est. Construction:			\$4,570,402.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11588	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 24" Waterline Along IH35			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: 24" waterline along IH-35.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	01/01/2018	\$3,356,418.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Texas Water Company			
PIF #:	11589	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Boyd Acres Water System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct an interconnect line to the Town of Colony to address capacity issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		08/01/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	08/01/2014	\$75,800.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: San Diego MUD # 1			
PIF #:	11590	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace water lines with PVC C-900 pipe.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$117,246.88
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$85,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	06/01/2015	\$808,750.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11591	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 24" Water Transmission Line Along US59			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: 24" water transmission line along US-59.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2018	01/01/2019	\$2,958,079.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	11593	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate and upgrade existing plant to current standards.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2015	\$310,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2014	06/01/2015	\$3,100,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11594	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 24" Waterline West of IH35			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: 24" waterline west side of IH-35.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$7,430,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Troy			
PIF #:	11595	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct new water supply municipal well system. The project will also include the construction of the associated ground storage tanks, water pump station and water main installation as required to connect to the existing distribution system. This project also includes the preparation of an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$50,000.00
Design:			\$155,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$1,625,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$250,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.50

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11596	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Cooley Point - Install Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Construction	
Project Description: Replace well to resolve system deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2013	08/01/2013	\$86,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11597	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Hills of Briar Oaks - Install Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace well to comply with TCEQ pressure, capacity, and contaminant rules.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$64,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$80,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2013	08/01/2013	\$810,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: San Marcos			
PIF #:	11598	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Reuse System Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand the City's reclaimed water system to provide irrigation in City parks, as well as provide chill plant make-up water and irrigation of athletic fields at Texas State University. The project will reduce withdrawals from the Edwards aquifer and the San Marcos River by replacing potable water used for the same purposes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$288,176.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$2,840,954.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	08/01/2017	\$18,939,698.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$22,068,800.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		29.00

Authority: Lass Water Company			
PIF #:	11599	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Loma Linda - Upgrade Water System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install ground storage tank and booster pump to resolve system deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2013	08/01/2013	\$51,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Twin Buttes Water System Inc.			
PIF #:	11600	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Interconnect and Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Provide adequate supply to the system by providing an interconnect with the City of San Angelo water system. It will also allow for more control in treatment and quality.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2014	\$2,000.00
Design:		02/15/2013	\$35,764.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2014	05/14/2014	\$308,035.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	17.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	19.00

Authority: Lawn			
PIF #:	11601	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Water Supply			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply; build taller standpipe; replace old and deteriorated water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2013	\$65,000.00
Design:			\$307,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	12/31/2014	\$4,427,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	84.10
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	111.10

Authority: San Saba			
PIF #:	11603	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: New 6" and 8" water mains are proposed to replace the dilapidated lines. Multiple existing 6" and 8" water mains located throughout the city need replacement. These lines are composed of cast iron which is over 70 years old. The lines are badly deteriorated causing frequent leakage and line breaks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$290,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$1,700,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$295,379.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	55.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		65.30

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	11604	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: North Groundwater Well Site Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Well field rehabilitation including possible replacement of well, distribution pumps, and ground storage tank. The only two functioning wells are overworked and showing signs of loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$74,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$84,400.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	05/01/2015	\$1,288,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Twin Buttes Water System Inc.			
PIF #:	11605	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Interconnect and Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Twin Buttes is developing an alternative water supply through the construction of an interconnection with San Angelo. Due to drought water production at their only water well is in decline and the system experiences periodic outages. They have supplemented water supply by trucking it in but this is costly and water quality is variable.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2014	\$11,000.00
Design:		11/25/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2014	07/15/2014	\$285,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.50

Authority: Seymour			
PIF #:	11606	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct additional water supply system from Miller Creek Reservoir water plant to correct insufficient supply, and construct evaporation ponds for reverse osmosis brine to reduce selenium discharge from plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$105,000.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2013	\$100,000.00
Design:			\$555,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/15/2013	04/15/2014	\$5,398,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	59.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		69.30

Authority: Union WSC			
PIF #:	11607	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: La Casita Water Plant Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement and upgrades to existing water main distribution lines to address water and pressure losses. Installation of new main distribution lines and valves to improve water distribution efficiency and reduce water pressures deficiencies. Connection of existing residential and commercial water services to new water main distribution lines. Construction of a 250,000 gallon elevated storage tank. Finally, expansion of the existing water treatment plant from 1.5 MGD to 3.0 MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$503,710.00
Acquisition:			\$10,500.00
Design:			\$338,300.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	05/01/2016	\$4,758,405.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$650,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Upper Colorado RA			
PIF #:	11608	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Raw Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In order to use the existing raw water system, 6.5 miles of 36" pipeline requires replacement, a condition assessment will be made on 22 miles of 33" pipeline, raw water will have to be conveyed to the intake structure, and the raw water pump station requires rehabilitation. Originally constructed in 1968, the raw water supply system from E.V. Spence Reservoir to the City of San Angelo has been out of service for approximately 20 years due to numerous failures in the supply pipeline. This raw water source is required to meet water demands.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,250,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$16,790,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	47.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.80

Authority: Webb County			
PIF #:	11609	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of the water treatment plant and water distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$712,825.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$572,400.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$7,250,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11610	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Plant & Offsite Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project includes: adding 10 MGD plant capacity; rehabilitating failing plant components; adding raw water and high service pumps; adding a transmission main from the plant; and adding a clearwell and elevated storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$642,824.73
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$5,635,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2012	08/24/2015	\$41,540,534.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	17.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11611	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 8th Street Water Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of existing 8" cast iron water line on 8th Street to reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$156,350.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$171,350.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Siesta Shores WCID			
PIF #:	11612	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Tank Repairs & Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Propose to repair all rust spots of standpipe and sandblast interior, coat and paint both interior and exterior. Upgrade any deficient regulations. Propose to replace ground storage tank with new tank next to existing one at plant and demolish old tank that has deteriorated. Includes bypass piping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/31/2013	\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/15/2013	11/15/2013	\$450,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	11613	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Elevated Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a 150,000 gallon elevated storage tank to remedy low water pressure in the Northeast service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$92,300.00
Acquisition:		11/01/2014	\$70,000.00
Design:			\$68,300.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	09/01/2015	\$1,045,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	11614	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Trinity Water Plant Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct new well, ground storage tank, and pumps to supplement existing malfunctioning well that produces low quality water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$128,900.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$149,200.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2015	02/01/2016	\$2,067,100.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Snyder			
PIF #:	11616	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project is to drill a brackish well near Snyder and construct a 1.0 MGD desalination plant with injection wells. The City of Snyder provides water to numerous systems in the area, as well as the citizens of the City of Snyder. The City purchases water from CRMWD and receives water from Lakes Thomas and Ivy, which are both currently extremely low. As a regional water supplier the City is looking to increase supply. The groundwater in the Snyder area is brackish.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$100,000.00
Design:			\$885,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$6,815,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	26.40
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.40

Authority: Linden			
PIF #:	11617	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new well with a chlorination system and ground storage, construct a new 100,000 gallon elevated storage tank, construct water lines from Well No. 6 to the elevated storage tanks, update the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system at all well and storage locations, and rehabilitate two elevated and one ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$10,000.00
Design:			\$174,636.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2015	11/01/2015	\$87,318.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.50

Authority: Live Oak Hills Subdivision			
PIF #:	11618	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Radium Treatent			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Install a radium removal system with plumbing and a building to house it.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	08/30/2013	12/31/2014	\$100,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	85.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	85.30

Authority: Snyder			
PIF #:	11619	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of 10 water wells in northern Mitchell County. The City of Snyder provides water to numerous systems in the area as well as the citizens of the City of Snyder. The City purchases water from CRMWD and receives water from Lakes Thomas and Ivy, which are both currently extremely low. As a regional water supplier the City is looking to increase supply. The groundwater in the Snyder area is brackish.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$125,000.00
Design:			\$1,150,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$9,800,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	26.40
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.40

Authority: Springtown			
PIF #:	11620	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant and System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project includes the following: relocate the backwash recycle point ahead of the pretreatment bypass connection and polymer injection; optimize the Trident Filtration System; install isolation valves on the recycle pump station on the influent lines at the backwash ponds; install a decant weir and pump station at the sludge holding pond; install a sludge dewatering device to remove settled solids; install a solids transfer pump station; miscellaneous improvements to the chlorination system; and miscellaneous yard piping associated with the new sludge dewater system, transfer pumps, and chemical feed system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$130,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$222,560.00
Est. Construction:	05/31/2015	05/31/2016	\$1,835,991.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Llano			
PIF #:	11621	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Valley Spring Water System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project would provide an alternative source of groundwater from the Hickory aquifer (near the town of Valley Springs) to supplement the City's water needs, particularly during drought conditions when flow in the Llano River becomes drastically reduced.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,529,500.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2014	\$272,000.00
Design:			\$1,223,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2014	06/01/2016	\$7,844,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Lone Oak			
PIF #:	11622	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WTP & Distribution Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of new water plant and replacement of distribution lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$105,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2014	\$60,000.00
Design:			\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	03/01/2016	\$1,245,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$150,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Los Fresnos			
PIF #:	11624	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: SWTP Expansion & Distribution Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand Water Treatment Plant to 2.5 MGD - increase treatment, filtration, and pumping surface water to the public distribution system to address overall capacity. Replacement of 4" distribution lines to address low pressure. Replacement of existing fire hydrants to address water loss. Prepare an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$296,442.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,155,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/21/2014	04/01/2015	\$10,726,443.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$420,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Los Fresnos			
PIF #:	11625	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replace stairs on existing filters. Provide waterline looping improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$10,000.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	09/01/2016	\$260,075.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11626	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Airport Dr. Water Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of existing 16" asbestos water line to reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$12,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$456,355.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$498,355.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11627	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well Distribution System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: A new well to supplement existing system to address potential drought issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$335,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$3,350,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$300,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: West Odessa WSC			
PIF #:	11628	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The WSC is proposing to construct a 12" treated water transmission pipeline from Odessa. The WSC is also proposing to construct a distribution system with an elevated tank and a pump station. The Corporation has an unserved population that either hauls water or depends on shallow wells which have poor quality and low quantity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$357,331.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2014	\$100,000.00
Design:			\$1,142,669.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	05/01/2016	\$8,900,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: West Tawakoni			
PIF #:	11629	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Storage Tank, Pumps & Water Intake			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehab existing ground storage tank and North elevated storage tank to correct identified deficiencies. The project also includes increasing high service pump capacity to supply 20-year projected capacities; construct a new ground storage tank, and extend the water intake structure deeper into Lake Tawakoni.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$117,850.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$117,500.00
Est. Construction:	08/31/2015	09/30/2016	\$1,339,725.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.50

Authority: Westbound WSC			
PIF #:	11630	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wells & Water Treatment Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install a water softener at the existing well field and develop four wells in a proposed new well field.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$218,095.24
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	02/28/2016	\$1,761,904.76

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	1.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.30

Authority: Wiedenfeld Water Works			
PIF #:	11631	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Drill new well into the Trinity Aquifer.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/31/2013	\$25,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2013	06/01/2013	\$325,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		8.00

Authority: 114th Street Mobile Home Park			
PIF #:	11634	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Filter System for Arsenic and Fluoride Removal			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of filters to remove arsenic and fluoride.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$180,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	39.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	42.00

Authority: Abilene			
PIF #:	11635	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: TTHM Removal			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Implement trihalomethane precursor removal and stripping processes at the city's water treatment plant to lower TTHM in the finished water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$245,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$460,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	03/31/2016	\$11,478,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	21.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	22.80

Authority: Abraxas Corporation			
PIF #:	11636	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Filtration System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction and installation of filters at each well to remove radium.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	06/01/2015	\$260,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	31.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		31.30

Authority: Alice			
PIF #:	11637	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Wells			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: This project would add 19 wells along the course of the 20" raw water transmission main and would add approximately 25.36 acre- feet of water/day or 9,257 acre- feet per year to the City's potable water. With the drought the past two years and with increased commercial and industrial development, it is increasingly important to provide additional resources to the City's potable water. This project implements recommended water management strategies in the 2012 State Water Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$2,662,537.00
Acquisition:		06/15/2016	\$479,101.00
Design:			\$1,552,500.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Anahuac			
PIF #:	11639	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate the surface water treatment plant, construct a raw water holding pond, and replace cast iron water lines. The treatment plant is in poor condition and has been out of service since 2010; water lines were constructed in the late 1940s and 1950s. The City received a notice of enforcement in 2012 from TCEQ for trihalomethane violations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2013	12/01/2015	\$2,700,741.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	79.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		79.30

Authority: Anahuac			
PIF #:	11640	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replace water lines and install fire hydrants.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$46,000.00
Acquisition:			\$11,000.00
Design:			\$100,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2014	01/15/2015	\$459,965.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$418,965.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Euless			
PIF #:	11641	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Bear Creek Reclaimed Water System - Phase 2			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project will extend the existing City of Euless Reclaimed Water System, which currently serves a golf course and athletic fields. An expansion of the reclaimed water system will serve apartment complexes and developments along Bear Creek Parkway. Phase 1 of the expansion is currently under construction. This project would fund Phase 2 of the expansion.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$29,000.00
Acquisition:		01/31/2015	\$379,000.00
Design:			\$288,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	04/01/2016	\$2,502,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$2,502,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		4.00

Authority: Anson			
PIF #:	11642	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The city plans to re-pipe four clearwells with new piping and valves and provide a by-pass for redundancy which the system does not currently have. The city also plans to provide a building around the clarifier and filter structure. The City of Anson has four 100,000 gallon clearwells at their WTP. The piping and valves between them as well as one of the high service pump structures is over 40 years old. Secondly, the current clarifier and filter structure are exposed to blowing dirt and debris causing turbidity issues in the City's treatment process.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$152,400.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	08/01/2016	\$927,600.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Evant			
PIF #:	11643	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Waterline Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: In order to address TCEQ Agreed Order and meet minimum TCEQ standards, the city must replace antiquated and leaking water distribution pipeline to eliminate severe water loss and lack of pressure. The City will install 560 LF of 6-inch and 1575 LF of 8-inch water pipeline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$46,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2044	03/01/2015	\$154,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.00

Authority: Falcon Rural WSC			
PIF #:	11644	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$220,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$102,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	08/31/2016	\$1,718,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,040,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		35.50

Authority: Falcon Rural WSC			
PIF #:	11645	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacing the existing water meters with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) technology, cutting many costs for the corporation. With the new meters the corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis. P of an asset management plan will take place as well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$34,883.00
Est. Construction:	09/10/2014	09/10/2015	\$819,947.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$854,829.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.50

Authority: George West			
PIF #:	11646	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace undersized water lines to meet TCEQ regulations on the maximum number of connections allowed. Project also includes upgrades to the water treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,712.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$115,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	06/01/2015	\$1,130,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Gorman			
PIF #:	11648	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Gorman is proposing to eliminate the old cast iron water line and replace it with PVC water lines. The City is also proposing to replace all of its service meters with new electronic read meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$111,805.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$163,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	06/30/2016	\$1,825,195.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	131.20
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		141.20

Authority: Graham			
PIF #:	11649	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Plant expansion, Storage and Line Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Plant expansion and rehabilitation to provide 10 MGD of capacity. Increase pumping capacity and plant storage capacity. Install transmission line & replace aging lines. These improvements will bring system into TCEQ compliance.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$415,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	11/15/2013	05/30/2015	\$16,185,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	11.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	32.30

Authority: Grand Saline			
PIF #:	11653	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well, Water Lines and Generators			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged, deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems, and the development of an Asset Management Program.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2013	\$25,000.00
Design:			\$317,810.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	06/01/2015	\$1,769,190.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$695,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	13.50

Authority: Grand Saline			
PIF #:	11655	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR Water Meter Installation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project will reduce water loss by replacing old, malfunctioning water meters with new automatic meter reading system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$35,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$50,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2013	06/01/2014	\$385,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$470,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.50

Authority: Donna			
PIF #:	11656	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pre-treatment Basin			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: New raw water pre-treatment basin will allow existing WTP to provide raw water for treatment when the local irrigation district has problems with pumping/canals & would provide pre- settlement of water prior to treatment. City is currently adding an inordinate amount of chemicals to settle raw water, causing the water to become extremely corrosive, subsequently causing plant mechanism deterioration. City is already spending an inordinate amount of money replacing clarifier mechanisms.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	06/01/2016	\$3,175,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: Elsa			
PIF #:	11658	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Water treatment plant improvements, including chlorination, lagoon pumping/piping, and storage tank repair.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$88,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$109,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2014	09/30/2015	\$1,223,750.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$47,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Elkhart			
PIF #:	11659	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install a new water well and pump to help alleviate insufficient water supply and low pressure. The project will also include plugging an abandoned/non-functioning water well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2015	\$44,000.00
Design:			\$611,800.00
Est. Construction:			\$2,963,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: El Sauz WSC			
PIF #:	11660	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Automated Meter Reading Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with automatic meter-reading technology, cutting many costs for the Corporation. With the new meters the Corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the Corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$29,472.00
Est. Construction:	01/30/2015	11/15/2015	\$319,278.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: El Sauz WSC			
PIF #:	11661	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: San Isidro Water Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will provide first time water service to (3) Colonias with no existing water service. Approximately 400 families will be provided with first time water service and an additional 500 existing customers will also benefit from the proposed improvements. Improvements consist of the construction of two deep wells, one 150,000 gallon elevated storage tank, approximately 275,000 L.F. of 8" & 6" diameter PVC water mains and the adoption of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:			\$200,000.00
Design:			\$722,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/30/2015	11/15/2015	\$7,986,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		15.00

Authority: El Paso PSB			
PIF #:	11662	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed expansion will increase treatment capacity from 60 to 80 mgd allowing El Paso Water Utilities to divert and treat additional surface water from the Rio Grande Project when available (typically during the irrigation season). Optimizing their existing water rights increases the the utility's diversified water supply portfolio through expanded conjunctive management of various water supply sources.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2016	\$817,657.00
Design:			\$12,757,298.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	03/01/2017	\$85,048,654.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$16,913,038.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Eden			
PIF #:	11663	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Desalination System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of a desalination system to be installed at the City's new water treatment plant. The City is in noncompliance of secondary standards for its groundwater supply, primarily for Total Dissolved Solids and chloride. Both concentrations in the City's groundwater violates the Maximum Contaminant Levels.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$222,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$107,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	06/01/2016	\$2,302,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$326,795.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		13.50

Authority: Beaumont			
PIF #:	11664	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Dishman Rd 30" Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Extend a 36-inch diameter water transmission line from the Water Plant on Pine Street to the new 2 million gallon elevated storage tank on Dishman Road.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$200,000.00
Design:			\$297,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2013	04/01/2015	\$8,800,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Edcouch			
PIF #:	11665	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacing the existing water meters with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) technology, cutting many costs for the City. With the new meters the City will be able to quickly identify water line problems from the central metering program located at the corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis. Planning of an asset management plan will take place as well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$28,992.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	09/01/2015	\$594,114.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$633,106.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: East Rio Hondo WSC			
PIF #:	11666	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Alternative raw water source			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: New raw water pump station and transmission line to establish a new connection to an irrigation district. The new source is needed to replace the current source which is expected to run out in mid-2013. This project is needed to avert potential disaster due to ongoing extreme drought. Auto-read water meters with leak detection are also needed to replace current meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$272,000.00
Acquisition:			\$25,000.00
Design:			\$495,172.00
Est. Construction:	04/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$6,583,376.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$5,384,150.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		13.50

Authority: Blanket			
PIF #:	11667	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wells, SCADA, Water Lines, Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Drill two new water wells; replace 2,700 LF of old, leaky water lines and old meters; and construct 1,300 LF of water lines to loop dead ends.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$115,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	11/01/2016	\$640,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$320,600.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: East Rio Hondo WSC			
PIF #:	11668	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Green Power Source			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of three 100 kW wind turbines and 45 solar power LED lights to offset the electrical demand for the water plants, and thirteen 1-kW hybrid green power sources to power the SCADA system and Automated Meter Reading (AMR) network. This system will increase the reliability and security of the water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$253,060.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$564,180.00
Est. Construction:	04/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$6,456,728.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$7,220,101.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.50

Authority: Bluff Dale WSC			
PIF #:	11669	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Well & Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of a second well that will allow the continual distribution of water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:		05/01/2013	\$6,000.00
Design:			\$6,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2013	08/01/2013	\$264,020.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: East Rio Hondo WSC			
PIF #:	11670	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency - Water Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Emergency funding to increase the flow of water between the east and west portions of the distribution system through installation of a new 16-inch PVC trunkline. ERHWSC is currently pursuing construction of a second well at the North Cameron Regional Water Plant to double current plant capacity. This new distribution trunkline would allow full utilization of that additional capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$29,270.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$70,000.00
Design:			\$84,674.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2014	04/01/2015	\$955,344.00

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00 _____

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: East Rio Hondo WSC			
PIF #:	11671	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency New Water Delivery Source			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Emergency funds requested to establish another delivery source from the Rio Grande River. The Cameron County Irrigation District #6 has an existing canal/resaca that is approximately 1/2 mile west of the ERHWSC's largest WTP. Project will include a raw water pump station and a 30-inch transmission line to the existing plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$87,000.00
Acquisition:			\$8,000.00
Design:			\$61,040.00
Est. Construction:	04/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,749,705.00

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Borger			
PIF #:	11672	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Water Well Field			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Augment existing primary well field into adjacent water rights area owned by City to increase production capacity and dilute water produced by the wells having high chlorides. Increased production will allow the system to operate below the 85% threshold required by TCEQ.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$893,100.00
Design:			\$3,303,200.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2014	02/15/2015	\$31,400,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Swea Gardens Estates Water Utility			
PIF #:	11673	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Interconnect to Supply Water			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install an interconnect with the City of Houston to provide treated purchase water directed into the distribution system pressured by the water provider.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$6,000.00
Acquisition:			\$17,000.00
Design:			\$24,414.75
Est. Construction:	09/01/2013	01/01/2014	\$194,079.75

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Brady			
PIF #:	11674	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Heart of Texas Hospital Water Main Extension			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Extend a 12-inch water main with an 8-inch branch main to loop-in water distribution system to the hospital for improving water capacity and pressure requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/31/2015	\$6,000.00
Design:			\$67,350.00
Est. Construction:	03/31/2015	07/01/2015	\$731,250.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$4,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Bronte			
PIF #:	11675	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Regional Water Service			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Four new wells, raw water transmission lines, treatment plant expansion, finished water transmission lines and new standpipe.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$150,000.00
Design:			\$935,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$6,698,960.00

Green Project: Yes-CE
Green Amount: \$576,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	263.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	287.80

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11676	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Ted Hunt to Robindale 16" Loop			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project will connect an existing 16" waterline with a main to create a loop that would correct pressure problems in the northern area of town. This area has low pressure due to constant population growth without the infrastructure needed to compensate.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/31/2014	12/31/2014	\$279,748.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.30

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11677	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 34th Street Pump Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes the replacement of electrical switchgear, replace the chlorine gas system with on-site sodium hypochlorite generation system, upgrade the fluoridation equipment, and replace valves and yard piping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	06/30/2017	\$13,960,730.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11678	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Loop			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project consists of the installation of a 16" waterline and a 24" waterline that extend the BPUB's water system from a water tank on Martina Road to the Rio Del Sol Subdivision on the most northern end of the City of Brownsville. The purpose of this project is to increase pressures and flows to the distribution lines in the northern areas of Brownsville and to provide new service capabilities from the Martina Rd. Elevated storage tank to the Rio Del Sol Subdivision. The project increases the distribution capacity and addresses chlorine residual concerns to the northeast areas of Brownsville.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$400,000.00
Design:			\$363,401.00
Est. Construction:	05/31/2015	05/31/2016	\$3,017,047.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.30

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	11680	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WTP #2 Pre-treatment Basin Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Elevate pre-treatment basin bottom to higher level to bring the basin bottom out of the existing ground water level and replace existing synthetic liner with an earthen type constructed liner. Mixture of ground and surface water is causing disinfection and treatment difficulties.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	12/30/2016	\$6,585,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11681	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Leak Detection and Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The implementation of a third phase of leak detection and improvement projects in conjunction with the replacement of aging water meters. Specific project elements include conducting leak detection and improvements over 656 miles of the service area and the replacement of 9,714 water meters that were installed between 2003 and 2005 as part of the BPUB's maintenance program aimed at reducing overall municipal water demand.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2013	10/01/2014	\$1,811,668.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$1,881,677.92

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Smyer			
PIF #:	11682	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our project includes installing a fluoride water treatment system, a new water well, a water line from the new well, and re-coating the ground storage tank. Locating and installing a new water well with associated disinfection system and transmission line; Preparing the 100,000 gallon water storage tank and recoating the storage tank; Providing and installing a water treatment system to reduce the Fluoride levels in the water to below the MCL; Provide and install backup power connections to two water wells and the water pump station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/15/2016	08/20/2016	\$310,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	48.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation PIFs Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	64.40

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11683	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Hwy 77 Waterline Loop			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project consists of the installation of a 24" waterline, along Hwy 77 that will loop existing water infrastructure in order to increase pressures and flows to the distribution lines in the northern areas of Brownsville. Due to the constant growth in areas of the northern part of the City of Brownsville, several areas need to be looped in order to increase pressure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,079,523.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		8.80

Authority: Strawn			
PIF #:	11684	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Urgent Need Underground Supply Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to drill new wells in the Trinity Aquifer and install transmission lines as part of a regional supply solution.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$110,000.00
Acquisition:		05/01/2015	\$160,000.00
Design:		03/01/2015	\$129,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	03/01/2016	\$1,946,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	32.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	62.50

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11685	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Villa Nueva Transfer of Water Services			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of new water infrastructure, including main lines and metered service lines. As part of a negotiation with Military Highway Water Supply Corporation (MHWSC), BPUB will be adding water customers currently served by MHWSC from areas in Northwest Brownsville and along US HWY 281 in the Villanueva Colonia area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,743,221.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Sweetwater			
PIF #:	11686	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City will upgrade the membranes at the City's water treatment plant because they are currently not compliant with the new LT2 DIT regulations. Construction of a new elevated storage tank is needed to improve system pressure and volume because the City has difficulty in maintaining equal pressure and volume throughout its distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$304,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$406,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$7,723,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Rio Grande City			
PIF #:	11687	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 500,000 Elevated Storage Tank Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new .5 MG elevated storage tank to add additional storage capacity to the system and replace the existing eroded tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$108,640.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2015	\$91,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/10/2015	12/15/2015	\$1,420,000.00

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11688	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Plant 1 & 2 Filter Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Update and replace filter media and underdrains. Replace surface wash system and update electrical systems to address excess turbidity and aging system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/13/2013	\$670,869.00
Est. Construction:	01/27/2014	08/31/2014	\$4,102,960.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Brushy Creek MUD			
PIF #:	11689	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Brushy Bend Park Water System Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Complete replacement of the existing interior system. Replacement of the branch transmission line that connects Brushy Bend Park to the source of treated water. Includes construction of a new transmission main for service reliability and creation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/11/2014	06/11/2015	\$2,400,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,400,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.50

Authority: Buffalo Gap			
PIF #:	11690	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Relocation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace approximately 8,200 lf of water line and associated appurtenances.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$26,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2013	12/01/2013	\$374,000.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Canton			
PIF #:	11691	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Treatment plant improvements include backup power and head pumps. A new transmission line is also needed to feed a new elevated storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$116,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$154,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	09/30/2016	\$1,535,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Central WCID			
PIF #:	11692	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Water system improvements include replacing asbestos cement distribution lines, well repair and improvement, and new ground storage and pressure tanks. The water system exceeds asbestos Maximum Contaminant Levels, the wells are in poor condition, and the water system does not meet TCEQ requirements for minimum storage capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$33,500.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$201,650.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2014	07/31/2015	\$1,788,550.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	42.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		42.90

Authority: Swift WSC			
PIF #:	11693	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Upgrade 6" Lines to PVC			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to install approximately 21,000 linear feet of new 6" PVC lines to replace aging and decaying asbestos cement pipe within system and prepare an asset management plan to coordinate future infrastructure needs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$56,296.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$54,663.13
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	10/31/2016	\$484,017.10

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Tahoka			
PIF #:	11694	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal will replace 60,000 lf of waterline with HDPE or PVC pipe and construction of a 1.5 mg ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	03/01/2017	\$6,313,974.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	140.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	150.00

Authority: Tioga			
PIF #:	11695	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Water Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal is to drill a new well approximately 1,600 feet deep into the Antlers formation to produce water with iron content below secondary limits, to replace well #2; Improve energy efficiency with more efficient pump and motor and lower pumping head; Reduce unaccounted water usage by metering public facilities and preparation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$105,000.00
Acquisition:			\$10,000.00
Design:			\$65,120.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2016	01/31/2017	\$911,880.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$785,200.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		16.00

Authority: Central Texas WSC			
PIF #:	11696	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply, Storage and Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install 49,500 L.F. of water line following Hwy. 95 from Granger to existing line. Install 86,000 L.F. of water line following F.M. Hwy 2095 and Hwy 190 from a new water well near Hanover west to an existing water line. Install pump station and 200,000 gallon ground storage tank at well site, 200,000 gallon ground storage tank at existing water line site in Pettibone. Install pump station at existing site in Pettibone to pump water to Buckholts and Rogers. Recondition existing water well in Buckholts, provide R.O treatment plant and pump station to pump water to Rogers. Install 85,000 L.F. water line from Cameron, extending along Hwy 77 north to existing water line in Rosebud. Water well in Trinity Aquifer and water line to connect to existing. Another water well in Trinity Aquifer to Doc L. Curb water treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$2,402,000.00
Acquisition:		03/30/2015	\$750,000.00
Design:			\$2,485,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2015	07/15/2016	\$19,188,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Chaparral III WS			
PIF #:	11697	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Chaparral III Filtration System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Filtration system to remove fluorides.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/15/2015	12/31/2015	\$180,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.25
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Rating:		24.75

Authority: Clarendon			
PIF #:	11699	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Elevated Storage Tank & Waterline Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of cast iron mains with PVC and construction of an elevated tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$150,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	06/30/2015	\$2,250,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Colorado City			
PIF #:	11700	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wells, Elevated Tank, and Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Drill 14 new water wells east of Colorado City, build new elevated storage tank, and install 14 miles of 8-inch through 16-inch water line from the new wells to the existing supply line. The City has implemented water rationing since summer 2010 in an attempt to keep the city from running out of water. In 2010 the capacities of two wells in the Perkins well field dropped enough that they can no longer be used; the East well field was operated 24 hours a day for 3 consecutive months just to keep up with demand. The city has reached its water supply limit and needs additional wells.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$800,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	05/01/2016	\$9,050,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		22.00

Authority: Cotulla			
PIF #:	11701	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install two new wells to supplement water supply and place elevated storage in strategic locations to reinforce pressure delivery. Project also includes water meter replacements, improved grid connectivity and reliability, and miscellaneous transmission loops. An asset management plan will be done sequentially with a hydraulic model already in progress.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,064,700.00
Acquisition:		03/30/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$984,282.00
Est. Construction:	04/30/2015	12/31/2017	\$11,961,708.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$991,650.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		23.00

Authority: Country Hills Water System			
PIF #:	11702	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Automatic Meter Reader Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Automatic Meter Reader installation for water monitoring and leak detection.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2014	12/31/2014	\$20,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Vinton			
PIF #:	11703	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of the installation of new high capacity water lines. These new lines will be able to maintain a minimum pressure. A service fee will be needed to allow EPWU to provide adequate water storage for Vinton. Currently, Hillside Water Works and Vinton Hills Alegre, do not have enough capacity to meet the minimum pressure. Hillside Water Works has also received numerous TCEQ violations for high arsenic levels. The new proposed system will tie into the EPWU system to provide Vinton's first public water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2015	\$1,325,785.00
Design:			\$1,725,785.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	09/01/2018	\$8,973,833.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	39.20
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		49.20

Authority: Covington			
PIF #:	11704	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Groundwater Storage Tank Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install new 50,000 gallon ground storage tank with yard piping and controls. The project will resolve a TCEQ Notice of Violation by reducing significant water loss and providing adequate pressure. The project will increase water pressure to over 35 PSI.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2014	02/01/2015	\$165,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Cranfills Gap			
PIF #:	11705	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace broken and/or malfunctioning water meters within the CCN to prevent water loss and to ensure the safety and well being of customers. This will also result in water efficiency. The City intends to prepare their Asset Management plan with assistance from TCEQ's Financial, Managerial, & Technical contractor.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2015	07/31/2015	\$134,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$164,600.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.50

Authority: Daingerfield			
PIF #:	11707	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Electronic Meters and Processing System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace current meters with radio read meters and install electronic computer programming to process in-house.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,601.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$49,068.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	12/31/2015	\$776,434.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$731,150.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Dilley			
PIF #:	11708	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well and Storage			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install a new water well, treatment, ground storage, elevated storage, high service pumps, and pipelines to replace old well/pump and other deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$220,000.00
Acquisition:			\$60,000.00
Design:			\$450,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2014	07/01/2015	\$4,070,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: West Tawakoni			
PIF #:	11709	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal will replace existing 2 inch lines with 6 inch lines and install fire hydrants.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$162,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/31/2016	09/30/2017	\$2,042,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,274,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: White River MWD			
PIF #:	11710	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Regional Water System Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our proposal consists of rehabilitation of 8 existing municipal water supply wells; construction of 10 new water supply wells; well field storage; construct emergency backup well; general plant rehabilitation; distribution system rehabilitation projects; wind turbine construction and reclaimed water project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$656,000.00
Acquisition:			\$1,175,200.00
Design:		10/01/2014	\$2,106,752.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	12/31/2018	\$35,780,170.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$7,300,155.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	13.00

Authority: Central Bowie County WSC			
PIF #:	11711	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: RTP - FM 561 Loop			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Create a water line loop along FM 561. The system has difficulty maintaining chlorine residuals because of dead end lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2013	09/01/2013	\$88,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Burnet			
PIF #:	11712	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sherrard Street Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Distribution system improvements to address system pressure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,265,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$1,375,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	1.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	11713	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing old and deteriorated waterlines with larger, PVC waterlines. The water system is experiencing significant water loss and low pressures in the area of the West Oak Development.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$35,200.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	03/31/2015	\$558,800.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$700,065.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	11715	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Supply Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Additional supply line to provide the City with a second source of water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$103,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$117,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	03/31/2015	\$1,645,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		14.50

Authority: Winters			
PIF #:	11716	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Alternative Water Supply Development			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Our project is to develop an alternative groundwater supply, requiring a raw water transmission system to transfer water to the city's water treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/15/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	12/01/2016	\$1,360,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	31.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		43.50

Authority: Lee Co FWSD # 1			
PIF #:	11717	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Ground Storage and Pump Station			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new ground storage tank to replace the existing standpipe including new pump station facilities.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	07/01/2016	\$725,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Clyde			
PIF #:	11718	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10168			
Project Name: Lake Fort Phantom Hill Water Supply			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of 104,000 lf of water pipeline and rehabilitation of the surface water treatment plant			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2014	\$400,000.00
Design:			\$810,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	05/01/2016	\$7,550,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	49.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		49.10

Authority: Gordon			
PIF #:	11719	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WTP & Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installing a new microfilter at the existing water treatment plant, and replacing old and deteriorated water lines throughout the City which have caused numerous water leaks. The water treatment plant has exceeded 85% of production capacity and is required by TCEQ to add more production capacity, and significant water loss is due to deteriorated and leaking raw water lines and treated distribution water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$57,600.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	10/31/2014	\$1,083,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$359,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11725	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2016
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sherman - Elevated Storage Tank Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project necessary to allow Sherman additional water storage to allow system maintenance.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$147,743.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$206,517.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$3,117,623.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: West			
PIF #:	11729	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: RTP Water Tank Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project to rehabilitate two existing water storage tanks, one elevated and one ground. If not already in place, this project will institute an asset management program.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$41,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$20,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/18/2014	04/18/2015	\$410,000.00

Green Project: _____
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0.00
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	10.50

Authority: Lakeview Water Coop			
PIF #:	11732	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Ground Storage Tank Emergency Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace temporary emergency tank with permanent AWWA approved tank and start planning for distribution system replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$6,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$12,500.00
Est. Construction:	05/02/2015	06/15/2015	\$77,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	11736	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing waterlines in the project area with new PVC waterlines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$42,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/01/2014	\$27,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	02/01/2015	\$284,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$353,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00