

# **State Fiscal Year 2014**

## **Drinking Water State Revolving Fund**

### **Project Information Form Rating Report**

---

To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f, and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 9911                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Bells - Paluxy Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Drill and complete a new 300 gpm "Paluxy" formation water supply replacement well.
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$64,948.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/1/2013	\$5,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$69,980.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$1,067,896.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>2</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Central WCID

**PIF #:** 9912                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Water system improvements include replacing asbestos cement distribution lines, well repair and improvement, and new ground storage and pressure tanks. The water system exceeds asbestos Maximum Contaminant Levels, the wells are in poor condition, and the water system does not meet TCEQ requirements for minimum storage capacity.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$33,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	
<b>Design:</b>			\$201,650.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$1,788,550.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	42.9
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 42.9

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 9913                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Lake Kiowa SUD - Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace twenty miles of 45 year old asbestos cement pipe that is in poor condition.

**Green Project:**            Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$8,591,688.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$597,352.58
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2014	\$490,260.92
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/30/2014	6/30/2015	\$7,504,074.81

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 9914                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Lake Kiowa SUD - Water Supply Well

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Supplemental Well

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$130,932.50
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		3/31/2014	\$64,524.70
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/30/2014	3/15/2015	\$992,807.80

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **2**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Twin Buttes Water System Inc.

**PIF #:** 9915                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Interconnect and Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition

**Project Description** Twin Buttes is developing an alternative water supply through the construction of an interconnection with San Angelo. Due to drought water production at their only water well is in decline and the system experiences periodic outages. They have supplemented water supply by trucking it in but this is costly and water quality is variable.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$100,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/2014	\$11,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		11/25/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	7/15/2014	\$285,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 11.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Anahuac

**PIF #:** 9916                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** WTP Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Rehabilitate the surface water treatment plant, construct a raw water holding pond, and replace cast iron water lines. The treatment plant is in poor condition and has been out of service since 2010; water lines were constructed in the late 1940s and 1950s. The City received a notice of enforcement in 2012 from TCEQ for trihalomethane violations

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	12/1/2015	\$2,700,741.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	79.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 79.3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** New Ulm WSC

**PIF #:** 9920

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9806

**Project Name:** Upgrade Water Storage and Distribution

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** This project includes the construction of a new ground storage tank, a new pressure tank, booster pumps, and the replacement of 2500 feet of asbestos distribution line.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$45,808.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$393,160.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **12.5**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Liberty

**PIF #:** 9927                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** North Groundwater Well Site Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Well field rehabilitation including possible replacement of well, distribution pumps, and ground storage tank. The only two functioning wells are overworked and showing signs of loss.
---

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$74,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		5/1/2014	\$84,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$1,288,400.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Liberty

**PIF #:** 9928                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Elevated Storage Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Construct a 150,000 gallon elevated storage tank to remedy low water pressure in the Northeast service area.
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$92,300.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		11/1/2014	\$70,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		7/1/2014	\$68,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	9/1/2015	\$1,045,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Liberty

**PIF #:** 9929                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Trinity Water Plant Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Construct new well, ground storage tank, and pumps to supplement existing malfunctioning well that produces low quality water.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$128,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2014	\$149,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2015	2/1/2016	\$2,067,100.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9931      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Sellers Estates - Install Pressure Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Install pressure tank to comply with TCEQ pressure and capacity rules.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$51,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9934                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Hills of Briar Oaks - Install Well

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Replace well to comply with TCEQ pressure, capacity, and contaminant rules.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$64,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$810,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **12.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9936      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** English Acres - Upgrade Water Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Construct new well, ground storage tank, and booster pump to alleviate deficiencies and come into compliance with TCEQ capacity rules.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$20,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Weslaco

**PIF #:** 9959

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Airport Dr. Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replacement of existing 16" asbestos water line to reduce water loss

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$498,355.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$12,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$456,355.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 11

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

Authorit Weslaco

PIF #: 9960

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: 8th Street Water Line Replacement

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description Replacement of existing 8" cast iron water line on 8th Street to reduce water loss

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$171,350.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$156,350.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **13**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Weslaco

**PIF #:** 9961                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Well Distribution System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

A new well to supplement existing system to address potential drought issues
--

**Green Project:**            Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$300,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$335,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$3,350,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>2</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9963      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Kickapoo Meadows - Install Pressure Tank and Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Install pressure tank and replace well to resolve system deficiencies

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$86,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9965                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** West Oak Phoenix - Install Well, Pressure and Storage Tanks

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description**

Install water pressure tank and replace well to resolve system deficiencies
---

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$192,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>2.5</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9967      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Loma Linda - Upgrade Water System

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description**

Install ground storage tank and booster pump to resolve system deficiencies
---

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$51,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9969                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Denton Estates - Upgrade Water System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description**

Install well, ground storage tank, and booster pump to resolve system deficiencies
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$117,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>2.5</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Harris Co WCID # 36

**PIF #:** 9973      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Facility and Line Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Water line replacement and rehabilitation along with upgrades to water pumping facilities to prevent water loss and improve efficiencies
--

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$876,200.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$57,200.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		10/10/2013	\$205,920.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/10/2013	10/31/2015	\$2,168,080.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating**

<b>14</b>
-----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** El Campo

**PIF #:** 9976                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Construct an elevated water storage tank in the northern part of distribution system to improve pressure
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$95,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$180,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013		\$2,200,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>10</b>
-----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Kendleton

**PIF #:** 9978                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Replacements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description**

Water system line replacements, water line extensions to unserved areas and replacing water meters
--

**Green Project:**                      Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$30,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2014	
<b>Design:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$889,900.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating**

<b>10</b>
-----------



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Point

**PIF #:** 9982                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** AMR smart water meter system

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Replace the system meters with AMR smart meters to improve detection of water loss

**Green Project:**            Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$429,700.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$48,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$346,100.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **12.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** McAllen

**PIF #:** 9983                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** McAllen Geowater

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Produce 6 MGD water source using geothermal energy/pressure to provide an alternative water source
--

**Green Project:** Yes-Comb.                      **Green Amount:** \$16,430,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$250,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/1/2013	\$200,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$850,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013	10/31/2014	\$15,130,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.4
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>5.4</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Elsa

**PIF #:** 9985                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Treatment Plant Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Water treatment plant improvements including chlorination, lagoon pumping/piping, and repair storage tank
---

**Green Project:**                      Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$47,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$88,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		4/30/2014	\$109,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2014	9/30/2015	\$1,223,750.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Port Arthur

**PIF #:** 9987                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Line Project

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** Replace water lines to reduce leaks and increase pressure

**Green Project:**            Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$7,894,476.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,371,297.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	3/1/2017	\$9,804,939.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **13.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Anthony

**PIF #:** 9988                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Arsenic Treatment & Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Water treatment improvements, including arsenic removal, and new tank, replacement of lines, and new meters/pumps
---

**Green Project:** Yes-Comb.                      **Green Amount:** \$1,114,500.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$95,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/1/2013	\$73,110.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/1/2013	\$855,009.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$5,263,367.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	50
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating**

<b>73.5</b>
-------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9990      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Preston Club - Install Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Replace well to address system deficiencies

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$125,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **25**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9991                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Plaza 290 - Install Pressure Tank and Well

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Install water pressure tank and replace well

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$2,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/31/2014	\$95,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9992                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Cooley Point - Install Well

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Replace well to resolve system deficiencies

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$86,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **15**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 9993                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Denton Estates - Install Water Meters

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Install water meters to address system deficiencies

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$264,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Texas Water Company

**PIF #:** 9995                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Boyd Acres Water System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** Construct an interconnect line to the Town of Colony to address capacity issues

**Green Project:**            No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/1/2013	
<b>Design:</b>			\$24,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$75,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **20**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Dilley

**PIF #:** 9998                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Well and Storage

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Install a new water well, treatment, ground storage, elevated storage, high service pumps, and pipelines to replace old well/pump and other deficiencies.
---

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$220,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$450,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$4,070,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

0
---

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** North Runnels Co WSC

**PIF #:** 10000      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Transmission & Distribution Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Install pump station, transmission and distribution lines for purchase water from Bronte to reduced THM levels. Also, provide public water to 200 households around Oak Creek Reservoir.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/30/2014	\$150,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$728,219.97
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	\$5,091,780.03

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	63
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	13.8
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 76.8

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Moulton

**PIF #:** 10003

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Develop & Implement Asset Management

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Develop and implement an Asset Management Plan to document assets and record their useful life and replacement costs. The City has violated TCEQ regulations for Total Trihalomethane (TTHM) and Total Coliform (TCR); they also have capacity issues and high arsenic levels in one well. One well is inoperable and they are experiencing excessive water loss due to an aging distribution system. The Asset Management Plan is needed to begin to address their many issues.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$78,800.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$14,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013		

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	36.8
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 59.8

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Jarrell

**PIF #:** 10004      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Acquisition

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Acquisition

**Project Description** DWSRF funds will allow the City of Jarrell to purchase a water system.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$2,060,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/7/2010	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2010	3/31/2011	

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Skyline Ranch Estates \_\_\_\_\_

**PIF #:** 10008                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Well & System Upgrades

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** New well, storage tank and many system improvements to meet TCEQ contaminate and capacity requirements. Upgrades will be made to the pump controls and pump building, access road, security, and SCADA system. The system is currently experiencing problems with high levels of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), iron, and sulfate and they do not have the required well capacity.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,750.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2013	\$4,025.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/1/2013	\$111,550.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2013	1/1/2014	\$367,655.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 14

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** La Feria

**PIF #:** 10017                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Desalination Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Build a new water desalination plant to treat brackish and salt water. Due to exceptional drought conditions new water sources are needed to meet the community's demands. An emergency disaster proclamation has been issued by the Governor of Texas due to prolonged historic drought conditions.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$414,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		4/30/2014	\$727,720.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/30/2014	9/30/2015	\$4,951,200.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.9
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 16.9



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Benito

**PIF #:** 10018                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

<u>Water System Improvements</u>
----------------------------------

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/10/2013	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$470,010.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$4,570,402.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating**

<b>10</b>
-----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Mathis

**PIF #:** 10024

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Waterline Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace two inch water lines with looped eight inch lines. The system currently exceeds the TCEQ standards for number of connections allowed on the two inch lines resulting in low pressure for customers.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	
<b>Design:</b>			\$133,834.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$1,162,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Mathis

**PIF #:** 10026                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** System improvements include replacing valves and chemical feed pumps, rehabilitating clarifiers and raw water piping, and filling in lagoons.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$118,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	
<b>Design:</b>			\$135,845.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$1,529,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Swift WSC

**PIF #:** 10029                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Upgrade 6" lines to PVC

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Install approximately 21,000 linear feet of new 6" PVC lines to replace aging and decaying asbestos cement pipe within system and prepare an asset management plan to coordinate future infrastructure needs.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$619,977.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$56,296.50
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$54,663.13
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/15/2015	10/31/2015	\$484,017.13

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Canton

**PIF #:** 10032                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Treatment plant improvements include backup power and head pumps. A new transmission line is also needed to feed a new elevated storage tank.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$116,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$154,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	9/30/2016	\$1,535,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lilbert-Looneyville WSC

**PIF #:** 10033      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Install new 3 & 4-inch water lines

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Install new water lines to replace deteriorating lines, line looping, and establish an asset management plan to address system deficiencies
---

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$65,374.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$93,381.75
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$826,852.95

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Rusk

**PIF #:** 10034                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Distribution System Upgrades - SW Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Install 16,250 LF of 10" water line, 18 Fire Hydrants, 6 Air Release Valves, 7 Gate Valves, and 3 Road Bores to address insufficient line sizing, and design and implement an Asset Management Plan to coordinate future infrastructure needs.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,290.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$70,081.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2013	\$620,535.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Cushing

**PIF #:** 10036      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Elevated Storage Tank & Pump Station

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** New 100,000 gallon elevated storage tank and pump station are needed to replace aging infrastructure that is in poor condition. An asset management plan will also be designed and implemented to coordinate future infrastructure needs.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$300,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$72,540.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/15/2014	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$126,225.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$1,117,665.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0.5



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** D & M WSC

**PIF #:** 10038

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** F.R. Lewis Road - New Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Install new well, high service pump station, a pressure tank, and ground storage tank to alleviate insufficient water and storage capacity. This project will also design and implement an Asset Management Plan.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$91,282.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$138,847.50
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$1,159,633.75

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lilbert-Looneyville WSC

**PIF #:** 10039      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Wade Road Treatment Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

New well, 30,000 gal. GST, pressure tank, and asset management plan to increase water supply and pressure
---

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$175,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,620.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$28,116.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$880,578.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>3</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** D & M WSC

**PIF #:** 10040

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Well and Water Plant - Eden Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Install new well and pumps, and rehabilitate the existing well and ground storage tank to alleviate insufficient water and storage capacity, and low water pressure.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$50,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$103,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	12/31/2015	\$986,950.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **3**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10042                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Green Power Source

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Installation of three 100 kW wind turbines and 45 solar power LED lights to offset the electrical demand for the water plants, and thirteen 1-kW hybrid green power sources to power the SCADA system and Automated Meter Reading (AMR) network. This system will increase the reliability and security of the water system.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$7,220,101.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$253,060.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$564,180.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$6,456,728.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lilbert-Looneyville WSC

**PIF #:** 10043      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Install new 6-inch water lines

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Install 6-inch lines system-wide and an asset management plan to address system deficiencies & provide looping
--

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$65,787.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$95,276.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/15/2015	10/31/2015	\$843,720.16

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0.5</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10045                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Alternative raw water source

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** New raw water pump station and transmission line to establish a new connection to an irrigation district. The new source is needed to replace the current source which is expected to run out in mid-2013. This project is needed to avert potential disaster due to ongoing extreme drought. Auto-read water meters with leak detection are also needed to replace current meters.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$5,384,150.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$272,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$495,172.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$6,583,376.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 13.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Craft-Turney WSC

**PIF #:** 10046

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Well and Water Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** New well and treatment plant, ground storage tank, pressure tank, new water lines, and asset management plan to address insufficient water supply and storage, pressure, and loop system.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$111,660.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$196,715.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$1,674,185.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **3**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Craft-Turney WSC

**PIF #:** 10049

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** AMR/AMI metering system

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Install new AMR/AMI metering system and asset management plan

**Green Project:** Yes-CE

**Green Amount:** \$968,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$110,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$1,080,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2.5



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Jefferson

**PIF #:** 10050      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Facilities Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Rehabilitate 3 storage tanks, install a pressure tank, mixer, and generator. Create an asset management plan to address degrading storage, lack of elevated storage in 2nd pressure plane, and the lack of water changeover in the standpipe

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$1,115,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$77,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$146,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	9/1/2015	\$1,370,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 11.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Jefferson

**PIF #:** 10052                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace water lines and create an asset management plan to address the aged and degraded system

**Green Project:**                      Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$3,558,080.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$187,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$300,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	10/1/2015	\$3,095,580.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **11.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Atlanta

**PIF #:** 10054

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Facilities Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Install a new ground storage tank and rehabilitate another ground storage tank, rehabilitate both elevated storage tanks, install new water line with in-line meters, install new high speed pumps & create an asset management plan

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$578,088.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$123,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$234,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$2,395,300.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 11.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Dell City

**PIF #:** 10056                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Install new Reverse Osmosis water treatment facility. Currently, the Dell City has an osmotic system that is outdated and is no longer in use. Due to the age of the system, replacement parts are difficult to locate.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$52,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$161,275.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2014	11/1/2014	\$815,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 20

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Cyndie Park II WSC

**PIF #:** 10057

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Upgrades

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Upgrade the water system including a new chlorine system, new well and well meter, replace water storage tank and accessories, and prepare a drought contingency plan, plant operations manual, and monitoring plan. The water system currently lacks appropriate chlorination facilities and their water has exceeded allowed levels for Arsenic, Total Dissolved Solids, and chloride, as well as numerous other violations.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$30,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$54,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/30/2013	\$70,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$1,360,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	60
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 82.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Derby WSC

**PIF #:** 10058

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Derby Water System Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Upgrade the water system including new chlorine system, well repair and well meter replacement, replace water storage tank and accessories, prepare monitoring plan, prepare drought contingency plan, and prepare plant operations manual. These improvements are needed to meet TCEQ regulations and correct chlorination deficiencies.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$10,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$36,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/30/2013	\$18,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$140,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **20**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Rio WSC

**PIF #:** 10061                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with AMR water meter technology cutting many costs for the corporation. With the new meters the corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the city office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$938,851.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$43,551.81
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$890,299.70

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 11

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** La Grulla

**PIF #:** 10062                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with AMR water meter technology cutting many costs for the City. With the new meters the City will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the city office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$1,578,259.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$73,372.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$1,499,887.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Grand Prairie

**PIF #:** 10063                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** AMI Meter Conversion

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Automatic meter (AMI) conversion Phase 1 of 6,500 meters will save money for the water system by reducing personnel time and transportation expenses and achieves conservation goals.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$4,000,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/1/2013	
<b>Design:</b>		8/1/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$4,000,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Grand Saline

**PIF #:** 10066                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** AMR Water Meter Installation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description**

This project will reduce water loss by replacing old, malfunctioning water meters with new automatic meter reading system.
--

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$470,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$50,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$385,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>3.5</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Orangefield WSC

**PIF #:** 10068                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Victory Gardens

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** The project would provide critical first time water service to approximately 500 low to moderate income families living within the area. This project also includes the preparation of an asset management plan. This project will alleviate the hazards faced by poorly designed water wells & septic tanks.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$50,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,140,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$4,740,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Sol Y Mar WS

**PIF #:** 10072

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Quality Compliance Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

This project will install two booster pumps, two mechanical meters, two water softeners, and finally two nitrate removal systems to bring the system into TCEQ & EPA compliance. Sol Y Mar has been under enforcement action by both TCEQ and EPA for having high nitrates in its system. EPA has given the water system 18 months to fix the problem.

**Green Project:**

No

**Green Amount:**

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$19,300.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$28,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	2/1/2014	\$150,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	45
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 47.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Falcon Rural WSC

**PIF #:** 10079

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description** Replacing the existing water meters with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) technology cutting many costs for the corporation. With the new meters the corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis. Planning of an asset management plan will take place as well.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE

**Green Amount:** \$854,829.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$34,883.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/10/2014	9/10/2015	\$819,947.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Edcouch

**PIF #:** 10080                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Replacing the existing water meters with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) technology cutting many costs for the City. With the new meters the City will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis. Planning of an asset management plan will take place as well.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$633,106.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$28,992.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$594,114.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10082                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Villa Nueva Transfer of Water Services

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** Construction of new water service infrastructure, including main lines and metered service lines. As part of a negotiation with Military Highway Water Supply Corporation (MHWSC), BPUB will be adding water customers currently served by MHWSC from areas in Northwest Brownsville and along US HWY 281 in the Villanueva Colonia area.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,743,221.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10083      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Ted Hunt to Robindale 16" Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** This project will connect an existing 16" waterline with a main to create a loop that would correct pressure problems in the City's northern area of town. This area has low pressure due to constant population growth without the infrastructure needed to compensate.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		8/31/2014	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/31/2014	12/31/2014	\$279,748.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 26.3



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10084                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Line Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** This project consists of the installation of a 16" waterline and a 24" waterline that extend the BPUB's water system from a Water Tank on Martina Road to the Rio Del Sol Subdivision on the most northern end of the City of Brownsville. The purpose of this project is to increase pressures and flows to the distribution lines in the northern areas of Brownsville and to provide new service capabilities from the Martina Rd. Elevated storage tank to the Rio Del Sol Subdivision. The project increases the distribution capacity and addresses chlorine residual concerns to the northeast areas of Brownsville.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$400,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$363,401.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/31/2015	5/31/2016	\$3,017,047.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 26.3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10085      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** English Acres (2nd) Water System Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Upgrade the water system including new chlorine system, well repair and well meter replacement, replace water storage tank and accessories, prepare monitoring plan, prepare drought contingency plan, and prepare plant operations manual. These improvements are needed to meet TCEQ regulations and correct chlorination deficiencies.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE      **Green Amount:** \$50,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$64,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/30/2013	\$80,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$810,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 20

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Sweetwater

**PIF #:** 10086      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Upgrade WTP & New Storage

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** The City will upgrade the membranes at the City's water treatment plant because they are currently not compliant with the new LT2 DIT regulations. Construction of a new elevated storage tank is needed to improve system pressure and volume because the City has difficulty in maintaining equal pressure and volume throughout its distribution system.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$288,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/1/2013	\$370,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$7,014,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 13

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10087                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Hwy 77 Waterline Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** This project consists of the installation of a 24" waterline, along Hwy 77 that will loop existing water infrastructure in order to increase pressures and flows to the distribution lines in the northern areas of Brownsville. Due to the constant growth in areas of the northern part of the City of Brownsville, several areas need to be looped in order to increase pressure.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		2/27/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/15/2013		\$1,079,523.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 8.8

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10090      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Leak Detection and Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** The implementation of a third phase of leak detection and improvement projects in conjunction with the replacement of aging water meters. Specific project elements include conducting leak detection and improvements over 656 miles of the service area and the replacement of 9,714 water meters that were installed between 2003 and 2005 as part of the BPUB's maintenance program aimed at reducing overall municipal water demand.

**Green Project:** Yes-Comb.      **Green Amount:** \$1,881,677.92

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013	10/1/2014	\$1,811,668.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Grand Saline

**PIF #:** 10091                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Well, Water Lines and Generators

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Replacement of 38 year old deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems, and the development of an Asset Management Program.
---

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$695,500.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/1/2013	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$317,810.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$1,769,190.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating**

<b>13.5</b>
-------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Harris Co MUD # 148

**PIF #:** 10092      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Replacement of 38 year old deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems and the development of an Asset Management Program.
--

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$966,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$21,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$56,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	1/1/2015	\$728,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>4</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Greenbelt MIWA

**PIF #:** 10095                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** A well field, supplying up to 3 MGD, will be constructed on the North Ogallala Aquifer. This well field will be connected to the GMIWA treatment plant with a new, 16-inch pipeline approximately 15 miles long. Studies have shown that the GMIWA will require up to 2,000 acre-feet of additional supply and the proposed project seeks to remedy this shortfall.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$1,570,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$770,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$7,660,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	32
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **44**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Seymour

**PIF #:** 10096                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Construct additional water supply system from Miller Creek Reservoir water plant to correct insufficient supply, and construct evaporation ponds for reverse osmosis brine to reduce selenium discharge from plant.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/1/2013	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$555,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/15/2013	4/15/2014	\$5,398,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	59.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 59.3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Spur

**PIF #:** 10099      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace old, dilapidated distribution system piping and valves to reduce line breaks and increase pressure. The system has documented problems with low water pressure and line breaks.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$2,078,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		9/24/2014	\$177,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/15/2015	12/15/2015	\$1,866,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	Yes	5
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 26

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10102      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Van Alstyne - Disinfection Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Upgrade disinfection system.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$23,404.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$11,753.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	4/1/2014	\$121,322.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Upper Leon River MWD

**PIF #:** 10104      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9626

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replace the existing conventional filters at the water treatment plant with a new membrane filtration system. Upgrade existing transfer pump stations with new low-voltage pumps, motors and motor control centers.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$6,100,500.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$12,201,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	48.5
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	100.6
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 161.6

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Eden

**PIF #:** 10108                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Desalination System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Construction of a desalination system to be installed at the City's new water treatment plant. The City is in noncompliance of secondary standards for its groundwater supply, primarily for Total Dissolved Solids and chloride. Both concentrations in the City's groundwater violates the Maximum Contaminant Levels

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$326,795.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$222,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2014	\$107,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2015	6/1/2016	\$2,302,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 13.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Ballinger

**PIF #:** 10112                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Develop Alternative Water Supply

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Develop a new alternative groundwater supply which will require a raw water transmission system to transfer water to the City's water treatment plant, and reverse osmosis system improvements to treat the groundwater to meet primary and secondary standards. The City currently has access to two surface water supplies, Lake Ballinger (Lake) and O.H. Ivie Reservoir (Ivie). Due to the ongoing drought, Ivie is currently less than 25% full and the City's lake is less than 50% full, with spiking organic levels limiting its use for drinking water.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$317,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/1/2013	\$5,030,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/1/2013	\$321,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	4/30/2015	\$6,348,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	94.8
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	120.2
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 239.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** New Deal

**PIF #:** 10113                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9618

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replace line with new 8-inch piping, and install a new 138,000 gallon standpipe (storage tank). The existing asbestos cement pipeline has deteriorated and the leaking line has become a health issue. This will also correct low water pressure in the southwest section of the City.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$692,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		1/15/2014	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/15/2014	10/20/2014	\$1,033,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 12.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Valley WSC

**PIF #:** 10114                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9595

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Install new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss. Due to line losses, only 33% of the water purchased from the City of Spur is being delivered and billed to the WSC customers.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$981,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		10/15/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	7/1/2014	\$981,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 16



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** La Joya

**PIF #:** 10116                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Line Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Installation of 32,811 feet of 8" PVC pipe, an 8" gate valve, a 4" fire hydrant valve, and a 2" flush valve are needed to alleviate inadequate water pressure for customer service connections and firefighting. Also an Advanced meter reading infrastructure (AMI) system with leak detection will be installed throughout the potable water distribution system.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$988,848.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$298,718.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$2,773,696.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** DeLeon

**PIF #:** 10117                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9619

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replace existing pipes that are deteriorating and undersized. Replacement of leaking water distribution lines will reduce water loss for the City.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$1,275,500.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		6/1/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	9/30/2014	\$1,275,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **15.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** La Joya

**PIF #:** 10118                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Treatment Plant Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Expand water treatment plant to alleviate inadequate water treatment capacity, a new SCADA system, and green power infrastructure including two 100KW wind turbines and 11 solar LED lights. These units will provide cost savings and reduce the utility's carbon footprint. The SCADA system will combine health monitoring and AMR equipment with advanced power systems monitoring, physical security, and network cyber security.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$2,450,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	10/1/2014	\$6,469,080.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Maxwell WSC

**PIF #:** 10119                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** PWS Conversion to AMR Metering

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description**

<b>Project Description</b>	Replace old water meters with new Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system and purchase leak detection equipment. The system is currently experiencing high water loss.
----------------------------	---

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$410,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$410,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Graham

**PIF #:** 10121      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Storage Additions

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Increase plant storage capacity from 1 MG to 2 MG to meet minimum capacity requirements

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$48,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$1,882,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Graham

**PIF #:** 10122

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Plant expansion, Storage and Line Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description** Plant expansion and rehab to provide 10 MGD of capacity. Increase pumping capacity and plant storage capacity. Install transmission line & replace aging lines. These improvements will bring system into TCEQ compliance.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,500,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$415,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$16,185,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	11.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 32.3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Graham

**PIF #:** 10123      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Water transmission line from water treatment plant plant

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$11,900,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **30**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Abilene

**PIF #:** 10124                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** TTHM Removal

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Implement a trifluoromethane, TTHM, precursor removal and stripping proceses at the city's water treatment plant to lower TTHM in the finished water.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$245,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$460,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	3/31/2016	\$11,478,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	21.8
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **22.8**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Groesbeck

**PIF #:** 10130                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Acquire an off channel rock quarry to use as an additional water source. The City will construct a new pump station and pipeline in order to transmit the water from the quarry to Lake Groesbeck. Will also complete an asset management plan.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$358,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	
<b>Design:</b>			\$616,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	12/31/2016	\$9,278,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Westbound WSC

**PIF #:** 10131                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Wells & Water Treatment Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Install a water softener at the existing well field and develop four wells in a proposed new well field.
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$218,095.24
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	2/28/2016	\$1,761,904.76

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	1.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>1.3</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Winters

**PIF #:** 10134

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Alternative Water Supply Development

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Develop an alternative groundwater supply, requiring a raw water transmission system to transfer water to the city's water treatment plant.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$183,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		5/1/2014	\$315,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/1/2014	\$97,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$1,325,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	31.5
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **43.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Texas State Technical College

**PIF #:** 10139                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** System Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace cast iron, calcified pipes with smaller pipes to provide adequate service and stop nitrification episodes.

**Green Project:**                      Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$100,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$470,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$730,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	3/1/2016	\$7,300,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **22.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

Authorit Anahuac

PIF #: 10141

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Line Replacement

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description Replace water lines and install fire hydrants.

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$418,965.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$46,000.00
Acquisition:			\$11,000.00
Design:			\$100,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/15/2014	1/15/2015	\$459,965.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10142      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Van Alstyne - Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Water Line Replacements

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$1,080,685.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$83,804.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$69,471.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$927,410.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **2**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Merkel

**PIF #:** 10144

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New elevated storage tank

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Construct a new 250,000 gallon elevated tank and demolish the old tank that currently has several TCEQ violations:290.43 ( c )(B)-deterioration of interior and exterior coating; 290.43 ( c ) (2) inadequate diameter for roof hatch; 290.43 ( c ) (3)- Overflow pipe does not extend to the ground

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$63,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$897,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Snyder

**PIF #:** 10145

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

The proposed project is to drill a brackish well near Snyder and Construct a 1.0 MGD desalination plant with injection wells. The City of Snyder provides water to numerous systems in the area as well as the citizens of the City of Snyder. The City purchases water from CRMWD and receives water from Lakes Thomas and Ivy which are both currently extremely low. As a regional water supplier the City is looking to increase supply. The groundwater in the Snyder area is brackish.

**Green Project:**

No

**Green Amount:**

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$885,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$6,815,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	26.4
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 26.4



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Snyder

**PIF #:** 10146

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** The proposed project consists of 10 water wells in northern Mitchell County. The City of Snyder provides water to numerous systems in the area as well as the citizens of the City of Snyder. The City purchases water from CRMWD and receives water from Lakes Thomas and Ivy which are both currently extremely low. As a regional water supplier the City is looking to increase supply. The groundwater in the Snyder area is brackish.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:**

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$125,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,150,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$9,800,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	26.4
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 26.4

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Saba

**PIF #:** 10148

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** New 6" and 8" water mains are proposed to replace the dilapidated lines. Multiple existing 6" and 8" water mains located throughout the city need replacement. These lines are composed of cast iron which is over 70 years old. The lines are badly deteriorated causing frequent leakage and line breaks.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$2,000,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$290,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$1,700,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	55.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 65.3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Rising Star

**PIF #:** 10150                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace 7000 feet of asbestos cement and ductile iron pipe with C-900 PVC water main. The asbestos concrete (AC) pipe for the main distribution line has become so brittle it is very hard to repair. Frequent leaks in this line have caused pressure losses in the system. There is ductile iron pipe mixed with AC pipe at several points in the system. The ductile iron pipe has become so rusted that debris from the pipes travel through the system into the houses.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/30/2014	
<b>Design:</b>			\$221,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2014	11/30/2015	\$1,147,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 22.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Paint Rock

**PIF #:** 10151                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Construct a new microfiltration water treatment plant to replace the current antiquated plant that has a failing roof, an inadequate electrical system, and a building that is in disrepair.
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$349,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$1,336,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	75.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating**

<b>85.3</b>
-------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Anson

**PIF #:** 10152

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** The city plans to re-pipe the four clearwells with new piping and valves as well as provide a by-pass for redundancy which the system does not currently have. The city also plans to provide a building around the claricone and filter structure. The City of Anson has four 100,000 gallon clearwells at their WTP. The piping and valves between them as well as one of the high service pump structures is over 40 years old. Secondly, the current claricone and filter structure are exposed to blowing dirt and debris causing turbidity issues in the City's treatment process.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$152,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$927,600.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Rotan

**PIF #:** 10153                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace 14 miles of water line with a new 12" PVC water line, add an 8" line to connect to Bitter, and add a ground storage tank at Camp Springs where additional disinfectant can be added for the final segment of line to Rotan. The new tank will also lower the pressure to Rotan and thus reduce the water loss being experienced along the old line. The system has been cited by TCEQ for low disinfection residuals. It is believed that the iron bacteria, in the old cast iron line, contribute to the low disinfection residuals.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$2,800,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$455,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$4,725,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 32.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Edinburg

**PIF #:** 10154                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** West Edinburg Water Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Expansion of the West Water Treatment Plant from 8.0 MGD to 16.0 MGD to provide a total treatment capacity of 25.99 MGD with a required treatment capacity of 16.67 MGD. The expansion will also include a 2.0 MGD clearwell/ground storage tank.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		10/17/2012	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	12/31/2014	\$10,175,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Baird

**PIF #:** 10155

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New WTP and Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace the old water treatment plant with a new 1.0 MGD microfiltration or ultrafiltration water treatment plant. This plant will allow the city to meet TCEQ supply and treatment requirements and it will eliminate the current TCEQ violations. Also, replace the 50 year old cast iron raw water transmission line with a new PVC raw water line. The city has experienced significant water loss due to leaks in the old raw water line.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$456,650.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		7/1/2015	\$610,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2015	10/30/2016	\$4,225,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	49.1
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 71.1



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Gorman

**PIF #:** 10156                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Drill new water well and build a new 100,000 elevated tank to replace an existing 75,000 gallon elevated tank which has lead based paint and is in a state of disrepair. Additional water is needed for new connection requests from the City of Desdemona.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/30/2014	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$328,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	\$1,707,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	131.2
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 141.2

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brady

**PIF #:** 10157                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9638, 9198

**Project Name:** Water Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace existing old, deteriorated and leaking water lines. The existing waterlines are not adequate for new service requests in the northeast part of the City.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$400,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		10/31/2013	\$20,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	\$310,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	199.1
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **211.1**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Colorado City

**PIF #:** 10158                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Wells, Elevated Tank, and Water Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

<b>Project Description</b>	Drill 14 new water wells east of Colorado City, build new elevated storage tank, and install 14 miles of 8-inch through 16-inch water line from the new wells to the existing supply line. The City has implemented water rationing since summer 2010 in an attempt to keep the city from running out of water. In 2010 the capacities of two wells in the Perkins well field dropped enough that they can no longer be used; the East well field was operated 24 hours a day for 3 consecutive months just to keep up with demand. The city has reached its water supply limit and needs additional wells.
----------------------------	---

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$800,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	5/1/2016	\$9,050,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 22

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Gustine

**PIF #:** 10159

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Tank Rehab

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Rehab the existing 30,000 gallon storage tank

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$142,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		1/31/2014	\$9,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$97,400.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Study Butte WSC

**PIF #:** 10160

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace water lines, install pressure reducing outages, inadequate chemical storage facilities valves, install well servicing rig to reduce and inadequate housing for plant equipment. Downtime, install chemical storage facilities and building upgrades to address system deficiencies.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,256,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$160,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		2/26/2013	
<b>Design:</b>		2/25/2013	\$56,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2013	12/31/2013	\$1,040,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 12.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Parker County SUD

**PIF #:** 10161                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Greenwood System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Material costs for 0.1 MG elevated storage tank to meet TCEQ storage requirements and reduce water loss.
--

**Green Project:**                      Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$250,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$10,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$75,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$110,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>2.5</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Palo Pinto WSC

**PIF #:** 10162                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Elevated Tank and Water Distribution Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replacing existing distribution lines which cause significant water loss and water outages.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$1,469,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$68,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$1,301,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Willow Park

**PIF #:** 10163      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Replace existing old and deteriorated waterlines with larger, PVC waterlines. The water system is experiencing significant water loss and low pressures in the area of the West Oak Development.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$684,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>		2/1/2014	\$558,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 10



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** West Tawakoni

**PIF #:** 10164                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Collection System Improvement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace existing 2 inch lines with 6 inch lines and install fire hydrants

**Green Project:**            Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$2,274,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		3/1/2014	\$162,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$2,042,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **20**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Alice

**PIF #:** 10165                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Wells

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** This project would add 19 wells along the course of the 20" raw water transmission main and would add approximately 25.36 acre- feet of water/day or 9,257 acre- feet per year to the City's potable water. With the drought the past two years and with increased commercial and industrial development, it is increasingly important to provide additional resources to the City's potable water. This project implements recommended water management strategies in the 2012 State Water Plan.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$2,662,537.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/15/2016	\$479,101.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,552,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lone Oak

**PIF #:** 10167

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New WTP & Distribution Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Construction of new water plant and replacement of distribution lines

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$150,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/1/2014	\$60,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/31/2014	\$90,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	3/1/2016	\$1,245,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Clyde

**PIF #:** 10168                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Lake Fort Phantom Hill Water Supply

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Construction of 104,000 lf of water pipeline and rehabilitation of the surface water treatment plant
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$140,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		7/1/2014	\$400,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$810,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	5/1/2016	\$7,550,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	49.1
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>49.1</b>
-------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** West Odessa WSC

**PIF #:** 10169                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Distribution System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** The WSC is proposing to construct a 12" treated water transmission pipeline from Odessa. The WSC is also proposing to construct a distribution system with an elevated tank and a pump station. The Corporation has an unserved population that either haul water or depend on shallow wells which have poor quality and low quantity.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$357,331.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		7/1/2014	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,142,669.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	5/1/2016	\$8,900,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Gordon

**PIF #:** 10170

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** WTP & Water Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Installing a new microfilter at the existing water treatment plant, and replacing old and deteriorated water lines throughout the City which have caused numerous water leaks. The water treatment plant has exceeded 85% of production capacity and is required by TCEQ to add more production capacity, and significant water loss is due to deteriorated and leaking raw water lines and treated distribution water lines.

**Green Project:**

Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$359,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2013	\$57,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	10/31/2014	\$1,083,400.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Eagle Pass

**PIF #:** 10171                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9621

**Project Name:** Regional Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of inadequately sized pipe that does not meet current standards

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$5,130,055.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$488,439.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$6,072,236.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	5/31/2017	\$57,758,450.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **22**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Graford

**PIF #:** 10172

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace existing old, deteriorated and leaking water lines.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$430,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2013	\$24,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$346,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **10**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Wiedefeld Water Works

**PIF #:** 10173      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9883

**Project Name:** New Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description** Drill new well into the Trinity Aquifer

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		1/31/2013	\$25,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2013	6/1/2013	\$325,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 8

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Plains

**PIF #:** 10174                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9889

**Project Name:** Arsenic Treatment

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** Provide precipitation treatment and activated alumina treatment to lower arsenic and floride levels

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		6/1/2013	\$250,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/2/2014	6/2/2014	

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	70.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **70.3**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Hico

**PIF #:** 10175                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9890

**Project Name:** Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of waterlines, deteriorated ground storage tank and aging water meters to address low water pressure issues.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$3,100,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$81,215.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$219,009.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$2,731,561.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 11

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Matador

**PIF #:** 10176                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9893

**Project Name:** New Well & Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of deteriorated water transmission and distribution lines

**Green Project:**            Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$500,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$45,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$65,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	4/30/2014	\$620,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **2.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Bluff Dale WSC

**PIF #:** 10177      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9892

**Project Name:** New Well & Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Installation of a second well that will allow the continual distribution of water

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		5/1/2013	\$6,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$6,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2013	8/1/2013	\$264,020.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Juan

**PIF #:** 10178

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9730

**Project Name:** WTP #2 Raw Water Reservoir Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Elevate reservoir bottom to higher level to bring reservoir bottom out of the existing ground water level and replace existing synthetic liner with an earthen type constructed liner. Mixture of ground and surface water is causing disinfection and treatment difficulties.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$4,210,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Donna

**PIF #:** 10179                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Storage Reservoir at WTP

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** New raw water storage reservoir will allow existing WTP to provide raw water for treatment when the local irrigation district has problems with pumping/canals & would provide pre-settlement of water prior to treatment. City is currently adding an inordinate amount of chemicals to settle raw water, causing the water to become extremely corrosive, subsequently causing plant mechanism deterioration. City is already spending an inordinate amount of money replacing clarifier mechanisms.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$2,340,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 40

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit**  Tioga

**PIF #:**  10180                      **Program:**  DWSRF                      **PPL Year:**  2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:**  New Water Well

**Project Type**  Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:**  Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Drill a new well to replace Well #2 approximately 1,600 feet deep into the Antlers formation to produce water with iron content below secondary limits. Improve energy efficiency with more efficient pump and motor and lower pumping head. Reduce unaccounted for water by metering public facilities.

**Green Project:**  Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:**  \$275,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$85,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/30/2014	\$10,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/30/2013	5/31/2015	\$315,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2.5



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Siesta Shores WCID

**PIF #:** 10181                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Tank Repairs & Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Propose to repair all rust spots of standpipe and sandblast interior, coat and paint both interior and exterior. Upgrade any deficient regulations. Propose to replace ground storage tank with new tank next to existing one at plant and demolish old tank that has deteriorated. Includes bypass piping.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		5/31/2013	\$40,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/15/2013	11/15/2013	\$450,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10182      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Nacogdoches Pump Station Upgrad & Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of all electrical switchgear, chlorination and fluoridation equipment to bring them into compliance with fire codes & to add a 7.5 MG storage tank for new water sources

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		1/18/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	6/1/2015	\$21,116,880.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Ralls

**PIF #:** 10183                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Meter and Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Install/retrofit existing meters with automatic readers as well as replace problematic (leaking) distribution lines.

**Green Project:**                      Yes-Comb.                      **Green Amount:** \$586,396.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$45,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$471,396.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10184                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Basin Pump Station Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of all electrical switchgear, chlorination and fluoridation equipment to bring into compliance with fire codes

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		6/18/2012	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2013	11/7/2014	\$5,419,200.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10185      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of approximately 38,000 aging meters

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$4,150,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2013	12/31/2013	\$4,682,512.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Vinton

**PIF #:** 10186

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Installation of new high capacity water lines. These new lines will be able to maintain a minimum pressure. A service fee will be needed to allow EPWU to provide adequate water storage for Vinton. Currently, Hillside Water Works and Vinton Hills Alegre, do not have enough capacity to meet the minimum pressure. Hillside Water Works has also received numerous TCEQ violations for high arsenic levels. The new proposed system will tie into the EPWU system to provide Vinton's first public water system.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/1/2014	\$1,779,782.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,467,068.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/2/2015	1/2/2016	\$11,784,481.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	39.2
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 49.2

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10187      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Hotwells Water Main Replacement Phase I

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of approximately 60,000 lf of 6 - 12 inch water main

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$3,490,199.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$3,490,199.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Borger

**PIF #:** 10188                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Water Well Field

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Augment existing primary well field into adjacent water rights area owned by City to increase production capacity and dilute water produced by the wells having high chlorides. Increased production will allow the system to operate below the 85% threshold required by TCEQ.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$893,100.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$3,303,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	2/15/2015	\$31,400,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Upper Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10189                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Raw Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** In order to use the existing raw water system, 6.5 miles of 36" pipeline requires replacement, a condition assessment will be made on 22 miles of 33" pipeline, raw water will have to be conveyed to the intake structure, and the raw water pump station requires rehabilitation. Originally constructed in 1968, the raw water supply system from E.V. Spence Reservoir to the City of San Angelo has been out of service for approximately 20 years due to numerous failures in the supply pipeline. This raw water source is required to meet water demands.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/1969	
<b>Design:</b>		10/25/2012	\$1,250,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2016	6/1/2017	\$16,790,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	47.8
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 50.8

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10190      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Chlorine System Upgrades Phase I

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description**

Provide scales for chlorine containers and secondary containment at chlorine buildings
--

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/31/2014	12/31/2015	\$8,863,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10191      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Port SA Water Main Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description**

Improvement of fire flow by installing 12 inch water main, pressure reducing valves and connection to SCADA
---

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/31/2013	
<b>Design:</b>		8/30/2013	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/2/2014	3/1/2015	\$5,100,026.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>2.5</b>
------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Kosse

**PIF #:** 10192                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Drill two wells, construct a water plant, pressure/pumping facilities, and storage facilities, and distribution lines to remove dependency from WSC. The City purchases water from Tri-CountyWSC which contains arsenic.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$286,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		5/31/2013	\$54,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/31/2013	\$108,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2013	12/15/2014	\$2,027,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10193      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** University Pump Station Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description**

Addition of a 10 mgd pump for pressure zone 8 at the University Pump Station
--

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	1/30/2015	\$6,097,780.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10194                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Groundwater Facility & Re-Pump Station Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Evaluate electrical systems & install redundant electrical power. Rehab or replace distribution pumps, motors, valves and piping at various facilities. Make improvements as necessary at Re-Pump Stations in order to provide efficient and reliable water service. Ground Water Facilities and Re-Pump Stations have electrical, pumping, and piping deficiencies, which are causing the system to be inefficient and unreliable.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/15/2014	1/31/2017	\$8,800,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 5.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10195                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Meter Replacement & Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replace water meters that have exceeded their useful life. Water meters have a certain useful life. When the useful life is exceeded, the meters do not perform their intended function of accurately reading water consumption. The City loses revenues and leaks or high use goes undetected.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$6,050,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	1/31/2017	\$6,050,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 6

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10196

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Sherman - Texoma Pkwy Waterline Replacement Phase V

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replacement of 3,500 lf of existing 12 inch water main on the west side of Texoma Highway

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$400,978.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$37,978.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$26,280.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	12/1/2014	\$336,720.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	20
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **22**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10197                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Distribution System Pump Station Rehab

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Evaluate electrical systems & correct necessary deficiencies. Rehab or replace distribution pumps, motors, valves and piping at various facilities. Make improvements as necessary at Pump Stations in order to provide efficient and reliable water service. Pump Stations have electrical, pumping, and piping deficiencies, which are causing the system to be inefficient and unreliable.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/15/2014	1/31/2017	\$5,500,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 5.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10198                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Storage Tank Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Rehabilitate existing tanks, including replacement of cone roof, roof rafters, interior columns and supports with prefabricated aluminum dome roof structure. Install new appurtenances. Apply protective coating. Install new tank as necessary. Water storage tanks are in deteriorated condition.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/31/2014	1/31/2017	\$8,800,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10199                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Well Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Rehabilitate ground water wells. Ground water wells are experiencing decreased production capacity.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/31/2014	1/31/2017	\$6,600,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **3**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10200      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Meter Reading Program

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Install automatic meter reading devices to lower personnel and fuel costs and emissions. Reading water meters manually requires a high level of personnel and fuel costs and adds to emissions in the City.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE      **Green Amount:** \$1,320,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	1/31/2014	\$1,320,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 6

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Mexia

**PIF #:** 10201                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** AMR Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Replacement of deteriorated water meters

**Green Project:**            Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$1,880,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		4/1/2014	\$97,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$1,702,600.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **15.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Clarendon

**PIF #:** 10202                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Elevated Storage Tank & Waterline Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description**

Replacement of cast iron mains with PVC and construction of an elevated tank
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$65,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$2,250,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>10</b>
-----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10204                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Ground Water Well Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description**

Drill a replacement ground water well within the same easement area. Ground water wells have reached the end of their useful life and are unable to be rehabilitated further.
---

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/31/2014	1/31/2017	\$8,250,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>3</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Marshall

**PIF #:** 10205                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New 8" Waterlines

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Extension of 8 inch PVC water line to provide looping and address delivery deficiencies. Implement asset management plan.
---

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$103,185.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		5/15/2015	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$266,681.25
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/15/2015	10/31/2015	\$2,361,341.25

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0.5</b>
------------



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Marshall

**PIF #:** 10206

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** AMI/AMR Meters & Infrastructure

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Installation of an Automatic Meter Reading and leak detection system

**Green Project:** Yes-CE

**Green Amount:** \$4,292,520.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$178,725.60
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$612,909.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$5,247,001.60

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10207

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Sludge Process & Surge Basin Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Add thickened sludge holding tank for Plant 1 &2. Install sludge collection system for surge basin. Separate Plant 1 & 2 thickened sludge flow from Plant 3 unthickened flow to increase sludge percentage into sludge dewatering facilities. Increase volume for surge basin backwash. Sludge thickening is inefficient and filtration operations are unreliable. Polymer dosage for dewatering process is high.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/16/2014	1/31/2017	\$12,650,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10208                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Chemical Feed Sys & Bulk Storage Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Install bulk storage tanks for lime, caustic, aluminum sulfate, powder activated carbon & ammonia. Rehab chemical feed system. Modify chemical loading & unloading areas. Chemical storage capacity is inadequate and unreliable at East Water Purification Plant No. 1.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/23/2014	1/31/2017	\$9,735,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10209                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Switchgear Rehab/Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Rehab or replace switchgears at East Water Purification Plant No. 3. Switchgears at East Water Purification Plant No. 3 are old and near failure. This is a critical component for the safe operation of the plant.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/31/2015	1/31/2017	\$8,250,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 3

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Houston

**PIF #:** 10210                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Main Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replace aged water distribution lines with new plastic pipe. The existing system has limited capacity and cannot support current fire protection demands in certain areas. Existing pipe material is Cast Iron or Asbestos Cement. Pipes are old and require frequent repairs and maintenance. The quality of water has been impacted by the age of water lines. Water lines in the vicinity experience low pressure occasionally.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$59,400,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/4/2014	1/31/2017	\$59,400,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 8.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Los Fresnos

**PIF #:** 10211                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Expand Water Treatment Plant to 2.5 MGD - increase treatment, filtration, and pumping surface water to the public distribution system to address overall capacity. Replacement of 4" distribution lines will address low pressure. Replacement of existing fire hydrants will address water loss. Prepare an asset management plan.

**Green Project:** Yes-Comb.                      **Green Amount:** \$420,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$296,442.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,155,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/21/2014	4/1/2015	\$10,726,443.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 23

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10212                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Plant 1 & 2 Filter Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Update and replace filter media and underdrains. Replace surface wash system and update electrical systems to address excess turbidity and aging system.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		5/13/2013	\$670,869.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/27/2014	8/31/2014	\$4,102,960.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **0**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Rockdale

**PIF #:** 10213

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Construct/improve the Mill Street Central Treatment Facility to meet higher demand and to increase water pressure throughout system. Also, implement an asset management plan.

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/1/2013	
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$222,700.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	2/2/2015	\$2,747,300.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **25.5**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Tahoka

**PIF #:** 10215                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** Replace 60,000 lf of waterline with HDPE or PVC pipe and construction of a 1.5 mg ground storage tank

**Green Project:**                      Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$1,810,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/7/2013	12/21/2014	\$3,116,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Harris Co FWSD # 1A

**PIF #:** 10216      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Distribution Line Replacement & EST Rehab

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Replace distribution system in four phases and rehabilitate elevated storage tanks (EST). The entire distribution system is original exceeding 50 years in age. A significant amount of the distribution system is steel petroleum industry pipe that was provided by area refineries. The line sizes do not meet the current state criteria and do not offer fire protection in most areas of the district. Both EST's have been cited by the TCEQ for Notice of Violations for the maintenance issues requiring significant repair and recoating.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$4,677,426.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$51,130.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$857,220.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	3/1/2015	\$5,631,675.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 26

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Alice

**PIF #:** 10217

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Raw Water Transmission Main Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description** Rehabilitation of the 22.5 mile 20 inch transmission main by slip lining

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$414,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$51,750.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$51,750.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$310,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 3.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Rio Grande City

**PIF #:** 10218                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description** Replace existing broken/malfunctioning water meters with 100% lead-free smart meters with built in leak detection. Install AMR system.

**Green Project:**                      Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$3,558,330.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$257,630.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	10/31/2014	\$3,301,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **2.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Live Oak Hills Subdivision

**PIF #:** 10219      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9888

**Project Name:** Radium Treatent

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Install a radium removal system with a building and plumbing to house it.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/30/2013	12/31/2014	\$100,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	85.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **85.3**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Burnet

**PIF #:** 10220      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 8480, 9900

**Project Name:** Sherrard Street Water Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Distribution system improvements to address pressure < 20 psi

**Green Project:** Yes      **Green Amount:** \$1,375,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2010	4/1/2011	\$1,265,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	1
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	1

**Rating** **2**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Robert Lee

**PIF #:** 10221      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9809, 9211

**Project Name:** Emergency Pipeline & Long-Term Plan

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** New wells, transmission line for purchased water, SWTP upgrades, new intake and replace meters

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$224,953.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	3/1/2011	9/30/2011	\$440,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	3/1/2011	9/30/2011	\$375,000.00
<b>Design:</b>	8/1/2011	3/30/2012	\$665,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2012	10/30/2013	\$9,775,400.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	201.7
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	Yes	129.9
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **359.1**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Bronte

**PIF #:** 10222      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9840, 9110

**Project Name:** Regional Water Service

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Four new wells, raw water transmission lines, treatment plant expansion, finished water transmission lines and a new standpipe

**Green Project:** Yes-CE      **Green Amount:** \$576,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	1/1/2012	6/30/2012	\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	1/1/2012	6/30/2012	\$150,000.00
<b>Design:</b>	1/1/2012	6/30/2012	\$935,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2012	6/30/2013	\$6,698,960.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	263.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **287.8**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Menard

**PIF #:** 10223      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9160, 9896

**Project Name:** SWTP & Well Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** New WTP, new wells and well rehabilitation

**Green Project:** Yes-CE      **Green Amount:** \$224,886.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	3/1/2011	9/1/2011	\$350,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	9/1/2011	10/1/2011	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>	10/1/2011	4/1/2012	\$440,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$5,075,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	140
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **165**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Riesel

**PIF #:** 10225      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9884

**Project Name:** Arsenic Treatment

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Arsenic Treatment

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$120,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$78,700.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,023,300.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	50
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **50.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Honey Grove

**PIF #:** 10229                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9222

**Project Name:** Distribution Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Distribution improvements

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	1/1/2012	2/1/2012	\$75,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	2/1/2012	3/1/2012	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>	2/1/2012	10/1/2012	\$200,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2013	8/1/2013	\$5,534,450.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **22.5**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lake Palo Pinto Area WSC

**PIF #:** 10230      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9490, 9897, 9648

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Surface water treatment plant expansion, booster disinfection and new elevated storage tank

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$883,440.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	12/1/2011	4/1/2012	\$81,614.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>	4/1/2012	1/31/2013	\$63,873.60
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2013	4/1/2014	\$1,734,526.90

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Beaumont

**PIF #:** 10232                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9891

**Project Name:** Dishman Rd 30" Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description**

Extend a 36-inch diameter water transmission line from the Water Plant on Pine Street to the new 2 MG elevated storage tank on Dishman Road.
--

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/2/2013	\$200,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/23/2012	\$297,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	4/1/2015	\$8,800,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>0</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Castroville

**PIF #:** 10233                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9299, 9899, 9655

**Project Name:** Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description**

<u>Water Line Replacement</u>
-------------------------------

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>	2/1/2012	6/1/2012	\$334,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2012	7/1/2013	\$2,039,600.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>2</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Hondo

**PIF #:** 10235                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9377, 9378

**Project Name:** Water Line & Storage Tank Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** The proposed project will replace approximately 4.5 miles of aging water line to reduce water loss. Also replace the City's North Elevated Storage Tank (EST); rehabilitate the City Yard EST and Golf Course GST; and demolish the Spatz Road GST and high service pump station.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$139,060.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$434,562.50
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2013	9/1/2013	\$3,945,827.50

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 12.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Ladonia

**PIF #:** 10253

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Distribution Lines and Rehabilitate Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Install new water distribution lines to address water loss of 30% associated with aging abestos-cement lines. Rehabilitate existing elevated storage tank and recoat to address excessive rusting.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,466,800.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$219,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$175,800.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2014	8/1/2015	\$1,966,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 32.5



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** White River MWD

**PIF #:** 10260      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9525

**Project Name:** Regional Water System Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Rehabilitation of 8 existing municipal water supply wells; construction of 10 new water supply wells; well field storage; construct emergency backup well; general plant rehabilitation; distribution system rehabilitation projects; wind turbine construction; and reclaimed water project.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$7,300,155.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$656,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/21/2021	\$1,175,200.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/21/2021	\$2,106,752.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2013	12/21/2022	\$35,780,166.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 13

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lawn

**PIF #:** 10261                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9625

**Project Name:** New Water Supply

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply; build taller standpipe; replace old and deteriorated water lines.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/30/2013	\$65,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2013	\$307,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$4,427,400.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	84.1
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 111.1

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Carbon

**PIF #:** 10262      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9570

**Project Name:** New Water Wells & Water Line

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Replace 6" main water line and install two new water wells.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$874,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/2013	\$75,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2012	\$48,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/30/2013	12/31/2013	\$774,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 12.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

Authorit Reklaw

PIF #: 10267                      Program: DWSRF                      PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9743

Project Name: New Water Well

Project Type Public Water System                      Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description 

Drill new water well.
-----------------------

Green Project: No                      Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$41,600.00
Acquisition:		1/1/2013	\$2,500.00
Design:		2/15/2013	\$113,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$950,950.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating 

<b>20.5</b>
-------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Del Rio

**PIF #:** 10268      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9634

**Project Name:** Distribution Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Distribution Line Replacement

**Green Project:** Yes-BC      **Green Amount:** \$4,602,697.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$838,212.63
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$115,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2013	\$6,134,192.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	2/28/2015	\$43,850,712.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 12.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Amarillo

**PIF #:** 10269                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9757

**Project Name:** Arden Road Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Design phase and construction services of a proposed 36-inch transmission main from the City of Amarillo's Osage Water Treatment Plant south and west to the City of Amarillo's Arden Road Pump Station for approximately 7.63 miles. Project includes additional pump and 2.5 million gallon ground storage tank at the Arden Road Pump Station.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/31/2013	\$224,182.00
<b>Design:</b>		3/31/2013	\$1,110,687.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2013	12/31/2014	\$17,361,314.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **2**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Graham

**PIF #:** 10279                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Transmission and Distribution Line Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description**

Install additional transmission line from plant to distribution system. Replace aging lines.
--

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$48,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$255,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$1,845,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	Yes	10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>21</b>
-----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Rio Hondo

**PIF #:** 10292      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 9981

**Project Name:** Emergency Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Rehabilitation of the treatment plant, replacement of distribution lines, replacement of meters, and new pumping system

**Green Project:** Yes-Comb.      **Green Amount:** \$5,309,758.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$460,950.99
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$243,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$518,295.31
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/15/2014	10/15/2015	\$2,371,418.50

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **44.5**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Bandera Co FWSD # 1

**PIF #:** 10293      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10064

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description** Construction of a membrane treatment plant and rehabilitation of an existing well is needed to treat water with excessive dissolved organic compounds and meet TCEQ standards for water capacity.

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$52,450.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$89,798.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$1,075,710.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Raymondville

**PIF #:** 10294                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10076

**Project Name:** Emergency Reverse Osmosis System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency project to provide Reverse Osmosis Treatment to their existing water well, reclaim its effluent from the WWTP discharge and other pretreatment upgrades. This project is needed to address its raw water storage needs for times of extreme drought.

**Green Project:** Yes-CE                      **Green Amount:** \$1,794,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$95,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$266,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$2,950,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 21

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Strawn

**PIF #:** 10295                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10166

**Project Name:** Emergency New Supply Line

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description**

Emergency project to abandon the old existing WTP and connect to the City of Ranger's water supply.
---

**Green Project:**                      Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$1,580,000.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		4/1/2014	\$28,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$77,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$1,384,400.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>10</b>
-----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10296                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10214

**Project Name:** Emergency Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Replacement and upgrades to existing water main to address water and pressure losses and to improve water distribution efficiency. Install a new 250,000 gallons elevated storage tank, and connect existing residential and commercial water services to new water main distribution lines.

**Green Project:** Yes-BC                      **Green Amount:** \$2,886,800.00

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$63,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$383,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	10/31/2014	\$3,457,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10297                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10255

**Project Name:** Emergency FM1425 12" Water Line

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency project to provide water through new distribution lines to the towns of San Perlita, La Sara, Port Mansfield and the areas surrounding Raymondville which currently have pressure deficiencies. This will also alleviate water pressure issues currently experienced by these systems.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$32,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$78,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$84,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	12/31/2014	\$598,544.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 2.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10298      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10256

**Project Name:** Emergency La Feria Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description**

Construction of a deep water well that can supply up to 1 million gallons per day is needed to supplement our dwindling supply of water due to growth and drought conditions.
---

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$44,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$255,841.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$93,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	10/31/2014	\$927,734.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

7
---

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10299      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10257

**Project Name:** Emergency Water Tower

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description**

Construction of a new 1 million gallon elevated storage tank is needed to meet TCEQ capacity requirements.
--

**Green Project:** No      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$78,260.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$155,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$237,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	12/31/2014	\$2,588,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating**

<b>5</b>
----------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit**   Agua SUD  

**PIF #:**   10300                        **Program:**   DWSRF                        **PPL Year:**   2014  

**Related PIFS:**   10272  

**Project Name:**   Emergency River Water Conveyance System  

**Project Type**   Public Water System                        **Project\_Phase:**   P A D & Construction  

**Project Description**

Emergency installation of electricity and a river pump system, pipes and appertenunces to deliver the raw water to the La Havana Water Plant.
---

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$28,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		4/30/2013	\$62,500.00
<b>Design:</b>		4/30/2013	\$99,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/31/2013	7/31/2013	\$1,265,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Elsa

**PIF #:** 10301      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10278

**Project Name:** Emergency Secondary Raw Water Line

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency secondary raw water supply line to Engelman's Irrigation main canal located 1.7 miles northwest of the water plant. This represents a secondary source of raw water. The proposed improvements consist of installing 12,700 lineal feet of 30-inch PVC pipe from the existing main canal. Other improvements include the installation of gate structures, control structures, metering devices, vent structures, fittings, and a SCADA.

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$61,650.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		5/31/2013	
<b>Design:</b>		6/17/2013	\$135,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/8/2013	12/12/2013	\$1,088,360.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10302      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10284

**Project Name:** Emergency New Water Delivery Source

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency funds requested to establish another delivery source from the Rio Grande River. The Cameron County Irrigation District #6 has an existing canal/resaca that is approximately 1/2 mile west of the ERHWSC's largest WTP. Project will include a raw water pump station and a 30-inch transmission line to the existing plant.

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$87,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$8,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$61,040.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,749,705.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 12.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10303

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10287

**Project Name:** Emergency - Water Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency funding to increase the flow of water between the east and west portions of the distribution system through installation of a new 16-inch PVC trunkline. ERHWSC is currently pursuing construction of a second well at the North Cameron Regional Water Plant in order to double current plant capacity. This new distribution trunkline would allow full utilization of that additional capacity.

**Green Project:**

**Green Amount:**

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$29,270.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$70,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$84,674.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	4/1/2015	\$955,344.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Breckenridge

**PIF #:** 10304                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10288

**Project Name:** Emergency - Water Supply Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency improvements to deal with the prolonged drought will include intake and pumping improvements for Lake Daniel, pruchasing and treating supply from PK Lake. Improvements will also include waterline replacement to reduce water losses.

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$566,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$483,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	7/30/2014	\$8,007,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 13

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Sweetwater

**PIF #:** 10305      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10289

**Project Name:** Emergency Supply Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency project will develop additional well fields to allow adequate recharge of the existing well fields and supplement the water supply capacity lost from the reduction in surface water supplies.

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$203,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2013	\$72,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2013	1/31/2014	\$1,618,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 12

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Upper Leon River MWD

**PIF #:** 10306      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10290

**Project Name:** Emergency Water Supply

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency project to develop a groundwater supply source to augment existing surface water supplies to provide additional raw water to the District in the event that the water level in Lake Proctor drops too low.

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$274,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/30/2013	\$2,300,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$141,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013	4/30/2014	\$2,563,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	48.5
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	85.2
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 143.7

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

Authorit Bangs

PIF #: 10307                      Program: DWSRF                      PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10291

Project Name: Emergency - Meter Replacement

Project Type Public Water System                      Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description Install new radio read water meters.

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_ Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		6/30/2013	\$8,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	\$267,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating 10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Central Bowie County WSC

**PIF #:** 10317      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** RTP - FM 561 Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description** Create a water line loop along FM 561. The system has difficulty maintaining chlorine residuals because of dead end lines.

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>		7/31/2013	
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2013	9/1/2013	\$88,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** **10**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Buffalo Gap

**PIF #:** 10323      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10316

**Project Name:** Water Line Relocation

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2013	\$26,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013	12/1/2013	\$374,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

Authorit Laredo

PIF #: 10324 Program: DWSRF PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10315

Project Name: Automated Meter Readers

Project Type Public Water System Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_ Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:	5/14/2013	5/14/2014	\$11,701,058.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating 1

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Swea Gardens Estates Water Utility

**PIF #:** 10325      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10320

**Project Name:** Interconnect to Supply Water

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$6,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$17,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$24,414.75
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2013	1/1/2014	\$194,079.75

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 12.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Knox City

**PIF #:** 10326

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10321

**Project Name:** Groundwater Well Development

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:**

**Green Amount:**

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/1/2013	
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$261,497.75
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$984,602.50

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Brookesmith SUD

**PIF #:** 10327      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10319

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		6/1/2013	\$48,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	4/15/2014	\$867,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Munday

**PIF #:** 10328                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Groundwater Well Development

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/1/2013	\$5,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/1/2013	\$72,700.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	5/1/2014	\$379,300.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Haskell

**PIF #:** 10331      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10330

**Project Name:** Emergency Well Development

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/1/2013	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$160,135.26
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$1,184,864.74

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 0

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** West

**PIF #:** 10334                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10333

**Project Name:** Emergency Water System Repairs

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$324,275.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>		8/14/2013	\$435,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/18/2014	4/18/2015	\$6,385,950.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** **33**



**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** West

**PIF #:** 10335      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10332

**Project Name:** RTP Water Tank Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$41,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/18/2014	4/18/2015	\$410,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 10.5

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** San Pedro Canyon Water Co

**PIF #:** 10338      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10337

**Project Name:** Emergency New Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description**

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	43.33
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 43.83

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Vista Verde Water Systems Inc

**PIF #:** 10342      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** 10341

**Project Name:** Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description** Drill a new water well in a different water table to improve water quality. Gross alpha and combined radium 266 & 228 must be corrected by 12/15/2013.

**Green Project:** \_\_\_\_\_      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			\$7,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/15/2013	12/15/2013	\$92,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	81.33
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating** 81.33

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**PIF Information and Status Summary**

**Authorit** Lyford

**PIF #:** 10363                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2014

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Emergency - Two New Ground Wells

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description** Emergency project to install two ground water wells at the water treatment plant for a new water supply source. Also includes construction of a 1.0 MGD reverse osmosis membrane treatment facility to treat the brackish groundwater.

**Green Project:** No                      **Green Amount:** \_\_\_\_\_

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$270,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/1/2013	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$350,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	5/31/2015	\$3,510,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.5
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating** 16.5