State Fiscal Year 2027
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Alpine					
PIF #:	17353	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project consists of improvements at the City's wastewater treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$226,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$414,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2028	05/31/2029	$4,561,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	26.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Eastland					
PIF #:	17521	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City's wastewater system is in need of upgrades to existing lift stations, replacement of distressed sewer lines, and manholes throughout the collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$632,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$371,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2029	$6,083,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Eden					
PIF #:	17522	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Eden desires to replace sewer lines that are in disrepair that are causing inflow and infiltration causing inefficient operation of the wastewater treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$478,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$194,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2028	$2,843,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $1,223,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	51.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Loraine					
PIF #:	17523	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will include improvements to two existing lift stations within the system and improving undersized/deteriorated collection lines. It will also include sludge removal and repair of the WWTP lagoons and irrigation equipment.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$543,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$344,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	06/30/2029	$3,933,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $3,326,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	43.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Mason					
PIF #:	17524	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include an installation of a new lift station, rehabilitation of seven (7) existing lift stations, and about 5,000 LF of sewer collection line replacement throughout the City. Also includes preparation of an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$987,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$578,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/03/2027	03/02/2028	$8,457,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $10,022,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Matador					
PIF #:	17525	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Matador is proposing to replace the existing grit screw, sludge pump, and control panel at the WWTP. The City is also proposing the replacement of aging collection lines and manholes in the collection system along with an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$801,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$429,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2028	$6,227,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $3,682,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Miles					
PIF #:	17526	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Evaluation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Miles (City) owns and operates a WWTP that consists of an Imhoff Tank and lagoon system. The effluent from the WWTP is currently land applied at a nearby site via a TLAP permit. The WWTP is in need of upgrade and/or replacement and the City wants to evaluate improvements needed to the WWTP and its collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$586,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$275,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2028	$4,277,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $5,138,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	29.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Mertzon					
PIF #:	17527	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City desires to replace old, dilapidated sewer lines in the collection system. The project will also include the rehabilitation or replacement of manholes. Project will include an Asset Management Plan					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,526,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,142,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2029	$16,836,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $19,504,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Monahans					
PIF #:	17528	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes screening, clarifiers, pump station, oxidation ditch aerator, solids handling, electrical, and SCADA improvements at the wastewater treatment plant. An asset management plan is included.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,665,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,292,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/30/2028	04/30/2029	$15,099,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $979,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	9.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: O'Donnell					
PIF #:	17529	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include the rehabilitation of a small lift station and replacement approximately 39,000 LF of sewer collection line replacement throughout the City. An asset management plan will prepared as well.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,426,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,183,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/06/2027	03/05/2028	$13,823,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $16,432,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	6.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Paducah					
PIF #:	17530	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the full replacement of the City's sewer collection lines and associated manholes to improve system reliability and performance. In addition, accumulated solids will be removed from the wastewater lagoons to restore treatment capacity and extend the overall lifespan of the system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,776,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,365,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	01/01/2029	$20,263,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $7,441,600.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	33.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Ranger

PIF #:	17531	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027

Related PIFs:

Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements

Project Type: POTW

Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The City of Ranger intends to replace over 8 miles of existing wastewater collection lines, install or replace 85 manholes, install a new facultative lagoon wastewater treatment facility, install a new lift station to convey wastewater to the new treatment facility, install a new clarifier, install a new solids dewatering unit, and install a new center pivot for the land application effluent system.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$2,704,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,539,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/06/2027	12/12/2029	$37,595,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $25,648,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	54.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Roaring Springs					
PIF #:	17532	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: For this project, Roaring Springs will be replacing wastewater sewer lines, rehabilitation of the wastewater system's irrigation discharge system and preparation of an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$391,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$138,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2028	$1,386,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $651,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	48.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Robert Lee					
PIF #:	17533	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes the addition of a WWTP plant water system to reuse the produced effluent instead of utilizing potable water. The project also includes replacement of existing collection lines. An asset management plan will also be developed.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$352,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$157,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	06/02/2028	$2,210,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	68.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: San Angelo					
PIF #:	17534	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF WWTP Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project involves upgrades to the San Angelo Wastewater Treatment Plant to produce environmentally safe effluent for discharge into a nearby river. Key improvements include enhanced screening and grit removal, conversion of primary clarifiers to Biological Nutrient Removal (BNR) zones, new final clarifiers and cloth filtration systems, upgraded disinfection and sludge treatment processes, and modernized electrical and control systems. These upgrades will ensure TCEQ compliance, protect the river ecosystem, improve operational efficiency, and support long-term sustainability. An asset management plan will be prepared too.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$6,813,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$6,800,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/31/2027	04/30/2030	$90,825,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $57,923,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	38.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Groveton					
PIF #:	17535	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Groveton Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater Treatment Plant and Collection System Upgrades.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$650,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$450,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2028	08/01/2030	$7,150,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	76.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Seminole					
PIF #:	17536	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will incorporate a new reuse system which will be utilized to offset potable water usage in City parks and at the High School. Additionally, the project will include a new 1 mgd WWTP with type 1 reuse and an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$2,659,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,482,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2027	07/31/2028	$36,723,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $36,256,400.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	43.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Slaton					
PIF #:	17537	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Slaton is proposing to rehabilitate their 20th St lift station as well as their Main St lift station. These existing lift stations have become outdated and inefficient. The City also plans to install a new 15" PVC gravity line to increase the capacity due to an expected population growth and preparation of an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,835,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,626,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	09/01/2028	$24,020,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $2,596,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Smyer

PIF #:	17538	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027

Related PIFs:

Project Name: 2027 CWSRF WWTP Expansion

Project Type: POTW

Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The City of Smyer (City) aims to enhance its wastewater system by expanding their wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), rehabbing a lift station and replacing approximately 15,000 LF of sewer collection line throughout the city. An asset management plan will be prepared as part of this project.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$778,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$522,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2027	07/31/2028	$7,616,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	46.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Snyder					
PIF #:	17539	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Snyder aims to enhance its wastewater system by improving components of their wastewater treatment plant and wastewater collection system and an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$923,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$546,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	06/01/2029	$7,919,000.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Stamford				
PIF #:	17540	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The proposed project includes screening, clarifier, pump station, oxidation ditch aerator, solids handling, and electrical and SCADA improvements at the wastewater treatment plant and wastewater collection system improvements. An asset management plan will be prepared as part of the project.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,521,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,138,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	11/01/2028	$17,024,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-CE
Green Amount:	$19,683,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	38.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Sterling City				
PIF #:	17541	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The existing collection system has experienced failure and collapses throughout the system. This project will directly address this by replacing 30,000 LF of undersized outdated sewer mains and rehabilitate the primary lift station at the Sterling City WWTP. An asset management plan is included.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$798,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$513,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	12/01/2029	$6,004,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$2,363,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Upper Leon River MWD				
PIF #:	17542	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	2027 CWSRF Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project will include the addition of redundant clarification to provide operational flexibility for maintenance and upgrades to the solids handling and dewatering systems to provide alternative solids disposal options at the existing WWTP. An asset management plan will also be developed.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,634,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,240,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2027	10/31/2028	$18,279,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	51.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Winters					
PIF #:	17543	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The existing wastewater collection system suffers from significant infiltration and inflow (I&I), pipe blockages, collapsed manholes, and lift stations that can not keep up with capacity. The City is applying for funding to help address identified problem areas and restore the integrity and reliability within the collection system and prepare an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$728,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$370,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2028	$5,589,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $3,466,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	42.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Amarillo					
PIF #:	17544	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: River Road WWTF Expansion					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	

Project Description: The project proposes expanding the City of Amarillo's River Road Wastewater Treatment Facility (RRWWTF), one of the City's two wastewater treatment plants. RRWWTF is permitted to treat an annual average daily flow of 16.0 MGD and will remain fully operational throughout construction to maintain uninterrupted treatment service and continue meeting the City's reuse commitments to Xcel Energy.A recent condition assessment identified code compliance issues, biological process capacity limitations, and infrastructure concerns involving electrical systems, solids handling, filtration processes, and pumping equipment. The City also plans to divert a portion of the Hollywood Road Wastewater Treatment Facility (HRWWTF) flow to RRWWTF, increasing overall hydraulic and biological loading at both facilities.The planned expansion supports compliance with regulatory requirements, enhanced treatment capacity, and provides additional operational flexibility to meet future water quality goals, reuse commitments, and anticipated industrial flow increases.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$7,560,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2029	$3,500,000.00
Design:			$53,044,700.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2029	12/31/2032	$864,415,300.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Sonora					
PIF #:	17545	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Sonora needs water meter replacements for outdated water meters to reduce apparent water loss. Additionally, the city needs improvements to the sewer collection system by replacing sewer lines, manholes, and adding cleanouts.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,160,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$799,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/03/2027	05/04/2029	$11,994,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $13,953,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	9.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Bynum					
PIF #:	17546	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$15,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$51,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2026	06/01/2028	$433,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	15.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Malone					
PIF #:	17547	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City has completed an asset management plan and is requesting funding to complete recommended improvements to their wastewater system. The funds would be used to design and construct improvements such as but not limited to: rehabilitation of their wastewater treatment plant, lift station improvements, rehabilitation of various manholes, repairs/replacement of cleanouts throughout and if possible, installation of generators at their wastewater treatment plant and lift station.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$26,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$51,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2026	06/01/2028	$422,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	38.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Tyler					
PIF #:	17548	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Tyler Westside WWTP Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project will implement a multi-phase improvement program at Tyler's Westside Wastewater Treatment Plant to address aging infrastructure, capacity limitations, and regulatory compliance. Upgrades will increase treatment capacity from 13.0 MGD to 36.0 MGD AADF and 32.5 MGD to 47.2 MGD P2HF while improving operational reliability. These improvements will directly benefit the portion of the city served by the Westside WWTP by ensuring sufficient wastewater treatment capacity to support existing customers and future growth.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$17,950,820.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2026	12/31/2030	$128,404,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	67.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Rayburn Country MUD					
PIF #:	17549	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Rayburn Country Clean Water					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements and rehabilitation are needed throughout the system to keep up with demand and lower energy costs.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,016,684.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$792,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	10/01/2028	$8,543,625.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	11.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Cushing					
PIF #:	17550	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Address TCEQ flow violations at the WWTP by making improvements to the collection system and WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$447,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$205,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	10/01/2030	$2,837,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	15.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Corsicana					
PIF #:	17551	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: WWTP Improvements - Phase 1					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: At City WWTP Replacement of Digester Lids and Digester concrete tank repairs.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$748,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,496,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2028	03/31/2030	$16,140,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: La Vernia					
PIF #:	17552	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and New Reuse Facility					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City's aging wastewater treatment plant requires rehabilitation, as erosion along Cibolo Creek has destabilized the racetrack aeration basin and contributed to operational challenges identified during the most recent inspection by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and documented in an enforcement violation. This project will replace aging infrastructure and upgrade the facility to improve effluent quality, reduce bacteria and nutrient loading to Cibolo Creek, and minimize risk to critical infrastructure by relocating key components farther away from the creek to protect them from erosion and potential flood damage. A portion of the treated effluent will also be reused to be sold as bulk non-potable water.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$81,900.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$244,484.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2026	07/01/2027	$8,984,116.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	102.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Santa Anna

PIF #:	17553	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027

Related PIFs:

Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements

Project Type: POTW

Project Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: This project includes collection system improvements, consisting of the replacement of deteriorated sewer mains and manholes to improve system reliability and reduce inflow and infiltration. The project also includes construction of a new lift station to enhance wastewater conveyance and operational efficiency. This project includes the development of an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$717,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2027	$100,000.00
Design:			$464,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	06/02/2028	$6,617,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	51.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Mabank					
PIF #:	17554	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater plant upgrades and improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Construction improvements for WWTP that include a Washer-Compactor, New Mechanical Bar Screen, Influent Lift Station Rehabilitation, force main upgrade from an 8" line to a 12" line, Equalization Basin, a tertiary lift station, and the installation of UV treatment units, Electrical Improvements, Generators, etc.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2027	12/30/2028	$13,997,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	110.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Tolar					
PIF #:	17555	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City is proposing improvements to its wastewater collection system, including the replacement of aging sewer lines and associated manholes within a designated section of the city to reduce infiltration and inflow (I/I).					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$466,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$181,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	01/01/2028	$2,289,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Trinidad					
PIF #:	17556	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The wastewater treatment plant is in need of miscellaneous repairs, upgrades, and improvements. The sewer collection system has old, deteriorated sewer mains, manholes, and lift stations which need to be replaced and/or upgraded.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$635,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$896,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2028	09/30/2029	$9,483,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	70.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Joaquin					
PIF #:	17558	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Joaquin WWTP Replacement					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace and expand the existing wastewater treatment plant to .3 MGD capacity.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$389,800.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$402,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2029	05/01/2030	$5,037,400.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $120,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	103.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Nueces River Authority				
PIF #:	17559	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Petronilla Creek WWTP				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction
Project Description:	Plan, design and build a greenfield wastewater treatment plant, pumping stations, conveyance, and decommissioning of four plants. Service area includes Robstown, Banquete, Driscoll, Bishop and surrounding areas.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		04/30/2026	$0.00
Design:			$13,922,500.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	12/01/2029	$46,408,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	164.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Redwater					
PIF #:	17560	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$667,000.00
Acquisition:		12/30/2029	$100,000.00
Design:			$615,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/15/2030	10/15/2031	$7,163,300.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	136.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Bastrop					
PIF #:	17588	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Plant Expansion Project No 4					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	

Project Description: The City of Bastrop proposes to expand the existing West Bastrop Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) from 2 MGD to 8 MGD by adding 6 MGD of conventional treatment capacity and implementing an Ultrafiltration (UF) membrane system to treat effluent for beneficial reuse. Rapid population growth projected to exceed 40% by 2030 driven by major industrial development near the city, including companies associated with Elon Musk, has created urgent wastewater capacity needs and increased demand from new developments and former septic system users seeking connection to the centralized system. With the City relying primarily on groundwater and facing recurring drought conditions, the proposed UF system will produce high-quality reclaimed water for industrial and commercial reuse, supporting long-term water supply resilience in accordance with the City's adopted Water Conservation and Drought Contingency Plans and existing Type I and II reuse approvals from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$75,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,600,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2028	12/30/2029	$60,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $30,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	61.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Crystal City					
PIF #:	17591	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: CW: Sewer System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of four new sanitary sewer lift stations.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$221,830.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$126,760.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2027	02/01/2030	$1,451,410.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Terrell Co WCID # 1				
PIF #:	17594	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The Terrell County Water Control Improvement District is proposing a project to replace approximately 100,000 LF of wastewater collection mains, lift station replacements, manhole replacements, system wide SCADA improvements, and overall rehabilitation of the existing Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). The existing collection system is in current disarray, does not meet system needs or governing expectations. An asset management plan will also be developed.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$3,392,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,919,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2028	02/01/2030	$48,803,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Thorndale					
PIF #:	17595	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs: PIF no. 16696					
Project Name: Wastewater System Renewal and Resiliency Project					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project will rehabilitate and replace critical components of the City's wastewater system—including collection lines, manholes, and the wastewater treatment plant—which discharges to a stream segment identified as a priority water body (Category 5c for bacteria), in order to protect public health and improve water quality. These improvements will incorporate innovative technologies and include development of an asset management plan to support long-term reliability and sustainability in this economically disadvantaged community.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$3,697,966.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2031	$550,000.00
Design:			$3,875,932.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2029	12/31/2031	$24,884,577.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	111.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Mineola					
PIF #:	17596	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater collection system improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Taylor lift station rehabilitation, force main and collection system upgrades and rehabilitation, collection system improvements, asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$662,900.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,024,400.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2029	12/31/2029	$7,453,700.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $1,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	61.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Yoakum					
PIF #:	17597	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Yoakum Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Multiple portions of the City's wastewater collection system is contributing I/I to an aging WWTP. The project proposes the functional replacement of portions of the collection system and replacement of the WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$420,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,047,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	05/01/2030	$9,270,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $1,750,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	76.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Diboll				
PIF #:	17599	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Lumberjack Drive Lift Station				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	This project will consist of the construction of a new Lift Station near the IH 69 relief route as well as the necessary force main and/or gravity sewer lines to transport the wastewater to the WWTP. Project will include the development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,420,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$770,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	01/01/2029	$11,195,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	82.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: La Grange					
PIF #:	17600	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of La Grange Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Multiple portions of the City's wastewater collection system is contributing I/I to the WWTP. The project primarily proposes the functional replacement of portions of the collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,306,700.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	05/01/2030	$11,693,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $8,045,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Texas Water Utilities, L.P.				
PIF #:	17601	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Aldine Village Wastewater System Compliance Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project includes replacement of collection system lines, an upgrade of the existing wastewater lift station, and upgrades to the existing WWTP to reduce I/I impacts during storm events, that creates sanitary sewer overflows throughout the system. The proposed project will also include development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$577,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$517,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$13,467,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$14,561,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	87.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Athens					
PIF #:	17636	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: West WWTP Influent Lift Station					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of existing dry pit lift station with new lift station featuring wet well with submersible pumps, and relocation of electrical and operations equipment to a new structure.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,520,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/27/2027	11/27/2029	$11,375,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	94.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Texas Water Utilities, L.P.				
PIF #:	17641	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Holiday Villages of Medina Lake Wastewater System Compliance Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project includes rehab of collection system lines, an upgrade of the existing wastewater lift station, and upgrades to the existing WWTP to reduce I/I impacts during storm events, that creates sanitary sewer overflows throughout the system. The proposed project will also include development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$525,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$413,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$10,682,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$11,620,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.		
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
	Rating:	

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Texas Water Utilities, L.P.				
PIF #:	17642	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Western Homes Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project includes replacement of collection system lines, an upgrade of the existing wastewater lift station, and upgrades to the existing WWTP to reduce I/I impacts during storm events, that creates sanitary sewer overflows throughout the system. The proposed project will also include development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$577,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$517,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$13,467,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $14,561,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	33.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Texas Water Utilities, L.P.				
PIF #:	17643	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Holiday Villages of Fork Wastewater System Compliance Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project includes replacement of collection system lines, an upgrade of the existing wastewater lift station, and upgrades to the existing WWTP to reduce I/I impacts during storm events, that creates sanitary sewer overflows throughout the system. The proposed project will also include development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$830,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,046,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$27,389,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $29,265,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	67.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Texas Water Utilities, L.P.				
PIF #:	17644	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Holiday Villages of Livingston Wastewater System Compliance Upgrade				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project includes replacement of collection system lines, an upgrade of the existing wastewater grinder pump stations, and upgrades to the existing WWTP to reduce I/I impacts during storm events, that creates sanitary sewer overflows throughout the system. The proposed project will also include development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$747,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$878,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$22,935,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$24,560,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.		
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
	Rating:	97.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Pflugerville					
PIF #:	17645	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 27-inch Kelly Lane Wastewater Interceptor					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater interceptor connecting the areas served by the Kelly Lane Lift Station to the existing interceptor along Weiss Lane.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		10/31/2026	$1,274,454.00
Design:		01/22/2027	$1,849,211.00
Est. Construction:	05/17/2027	01/05/2029	$48,083,920.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $2,563,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	7.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Pflugerville					
PIF #:	17646	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: New Sweden Wastewater Lift Station & Force Main					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes a new 1.0 MGD lift station and 12-inch force main.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		11/30/2026	$574,550.00
Design:		07/31/2026	$351,179.00
Est. Construction:	12/31/2026	02/29/2028	$11,048,830.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	27.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Chico					
PIF #:	17650	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Collection Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of replacing existing, deteriorated sewer line and manholes.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$105,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$320,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/31/2027	03/31/2028	$2,050,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Bowie					
PIF #:	17651	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Bowie Indirect Potable Reuse Pipeline					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: An indirect potable water pipeline is proposed from the City of Bowie Wastewater Treatment Facility to a location north of Lake Amon Carter, along with an expansion to the existing treatment facilities.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2029	$0.00
Design:			$1,950,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/31/2028	01/31/2030	$13,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $13,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	42.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Bartlett					
PIF #:	17653	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Bartlett Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Bartlett Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$34,500.00
Est. Construction:	08/15/2027	12/31/2028	$7,672,885.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	95.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Brookshire MWD				
PIF #:	17655	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Sanitary Sewer Overflow Initiatives Mitigation Measures and Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction

Project Description: The Brookshire Municipal Water District (BMWD) maintains 138,500 linear feet of sanitary sewage piping, 333 manholes and 13 lift stations that suffers from inflow and infiltration (I/I). The BMWD entered into the Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) Initiative after experiencing nine unauthorized discharges totaling an estimated 146,500 gallons that were attributed to I/I. Provisions of the SSO Agreement require the BMWD to study the sanitary sewer collection system and repair the compromised components.The BMWD also proposes to expand the existing wastewater plant and rehabilitate an aging clarifier to handle I/I while sanitary sewer improvements are made and to provide capacity for future growth.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,881,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	01/12/2029	$22,891,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$14,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	26.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Sheridan WSC				
PIF #:	17656	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Sheridan Collection & Wastewater Treatment System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction

Project Description: Sheridan WWTP Expansion to increase the wastewater treatment capacity from 76,000 gpd to 152,000 gpd as well as extending wastewater service into an area not currently served and utilizing on-site septic systems. Improvements to an existing lift station with larger capacity grinder pumps, improving Energy usage and Green Components and implementing a CCTV study of the entire gravity sewer system to identify sources of Inflow and Infiltration.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$493,750.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	12/01/2028	$4,331,250.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$869,800.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	13.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Centerville					
PIF #:	17657	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Centerville Wastewater Treatment Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Centerville Wastewater Treatment Plant					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$912,585.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$839,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	01/01/2030	$8,296,836.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	45.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Cibolo Creek MA

PIF #:	17659	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027

Related PIFs:

Project Name: OJR Interim Improvements and Town Creek Lift Station Expansion

Project Type: POTW | **Project Phase:** Construction

Project Description: The OJR Interim Improvements project will upgrade the existing 10 MGD plant to maintain reuse service and prepare for a future full expansion. Improvements include preliminary treatment, solids handling, disinfection, and beneficial reuse of treated effluent and biosolids. A separate project expands the Town Creek Lift Station to meet 2041 wet weather flows by increasing pumping and conveyance capacity to support system growth.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		02/01/2027	$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	12/31/2030	$80,162,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	53.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Denton					
PIF #:	17660	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Construction of 13 MGD Robson West Lift Station					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: The Robson West Lift Station project will replace the aging Robson Ranch Water Reclamation Plant with a new expandable regional lift station. The facility will convey wastewater from the southwest service area to the Pecan Creek Water Reclamation Plant for centralized treatment. This improves system reliability, addresses capacity limitations, and provides long-term operational flexibility.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	09/01/2028	$27,169,870.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	25.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Itasca					
PIF #:	17661	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Itasca Wastewater Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Itasca Wastewater Improvements					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$468,031.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$500,250.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	01/01/2029	$3,250,874.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	60.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: San Benito					
PIF #:	17663	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: San Benito Sewer System Modernization Phase I					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning & Design	
Project Description: The City of San Benito is modernizing its aging wastewater infrastructure to overcome legacy design limitations. By requesting TWDB funds to assess the system and design improvements that consolidate lift stations, expand the municipal footprint to replace on-site systems, and rehabilitate degraded lines and manholes, this project will drastically reduce inflow and infiltration (I&I) while enabling the integration of high-efficiency treatment technologies.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$260,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,500,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/05/2027	02/07/2028	$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $5,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	42.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Shallowater					
PIF #:	17665	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Shallowater New WWTP					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Project includes the construction of a new wastewater treatment facility to accommodate future growth and expansion of the water treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		06/01/2026	$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2027	02/01/2029	$27,817,080.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Bangs					
PIF #:	17667	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Rehabilitation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Asset Management Plan update, smoke testing sewer collection lines, WWTP equipment rehabilitation and replacement and rehabilitation of sewer manholes and sewerlines.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$190,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$155,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	10/01/2028	$1,425,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	38.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Palestine					
PIF #:	17668	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Decommissioning of 5 lift stations, replacement of collection lines, and construction of WWTP Clarifier					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,305,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$775,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	10/01/2028	$12,750,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $11,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	61.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: East Tawakoni					
PIF #:	17669	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace failing collection system lines and rehab lift stations. Upgrade improvements to the wastewater treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$605,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$850,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	11/30/2029	$7,600,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Anthony					
PIF #:	17670	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: The Town of Anthony Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion Planning					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning & Acquisition	
Project Description: This project entails the planning, preliminary design and land acquisition for the Town of Anthony Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Expansion.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$456,225.00
Acquisition:		02/29/2028	$477,750.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2029	06/17/2030	$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	55.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Grand Saline					
PIF #:	17672	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Grand Saline Wastewater Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: WWTP and Collection System Improvements.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$775,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$350,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	12/01/2028	$5,500,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $2,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	95.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Red River Authority					
PIF #:	17673	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: RRA Arrowhead Ranch Estates Wastewater Treatment Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will replace the existing 30,000 GPD package wastewater treatment plant, wet well, lift station, and building, along with piping and appurtenances.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$22,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$158,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2026	12/01/2028	$780,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	42.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	River Oaks				
PIF #:	17674	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	City of River Oaks Stormwater System Expansion - Detention Structure				
Project Type:	Nonpoint Source			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The City of River Oaks' proposed project consists of constructing a new underground stormwater detention facility to regulate flows through the stormwater system.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$540,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2027	$0.00
Design:			$525,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	08/28/2027	$3,895,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Bayview MUD				
PIF #:	17675	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Bayview MUD Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Acquisition Design & Construction
Project Description:	Bayview MUD's proposed project would rehabilitate sewer lines and manholes that are aged and in disrepair. In doing so, this would decrease the stress on the system's treatment plants and improve the efficiency of their wastewater system.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$8,000.00
Design:			$57,536.33
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	08/28/2027	$383,575.50

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Denton					
PIF #:	17676	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Clear Creek Water Reclamation Plant and Clear Creek Lift Station					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Clear Creek Lift Station and Water Reclamation Plant project will provide new wastewater infrastructure to support planned Master Plan developments in the Clear Creek Basin in the City of Denton. The project includes a new lift station, equalization basin, force main, potable water line, and a 10 MGD membrane bioreactor (MBR) water reclamation facility with headworks, biological treatment, membrane filtration, UV disinfection, and associated site and electrical improvements. The facility will be designed for future expansion to 20 MGD annual average flow and 80 MGD peak flow capacity.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$45,528,840.00
Est. Construction:	11/13/2028	02/28/2031	$449,553,500.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	70.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Mount Vernon				
PIF #:	17677	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	City Wide Collection System and Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Construction

Project Description: The project includes rehabilitation of old and deteriorating portions of the sanitary sewer collection system to reduce I&I and improve service to its customers. The WWTP improvements includes adding treatment capacity to maintain compliance with 30 TAC 305.126(a) 75/90 rule, construction of tertiary treatment units, and improvements to the solids treatment units to improve the effluent quality.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		03/31/2026	$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/14/2026	10/02/2028	$9,225,100.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $2,200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	85.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Merkel					
PIF #:	17678	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project includes lining of existing brick manholes and lining existing vitrified clay sewer lines.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$692,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,298,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2027	04/01/2030	$18,109,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	11.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Mercedes					
PIF #:	17680	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements Phase 2					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate and upgrade key wastewater treatment plant processes by replacing the headworks grit removal and bar screen equipment, replace No. 2 RAS pumps, discharge piping and electrical SCADA improvements. Add Type II non-potable water (reuse) facilities (storage tank, pump/fill station, and chlorination) with provisions for future Type I expansion, and construct a new aerated sludge holding tank (ASHT) with associated pumps, piping, blowers, controls, and supporting civil/site improvements. Overall plant SCADA upgrade to an open network configuration. Lift Station 18 reconstruction. Dawson Road gravity sanitary sewer trunk main rehabilitation.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$3,907,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2027	$0.00
Design:			$3,379,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2027	05/01/2029	$39,313,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	92.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Zapata County				
PIF #:	17681	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Zapata County Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction
Project Description:	This project consists of, but is not limited to, the supply and installation of lift stations, a back-up generator, pumps, variable frequency drives (VFDs), and control panels, including a SCADA system to improve the overall functionality of the Zapata County Wastewater System.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$260,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	06/01/2029	$1,200,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$240,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Quinlan					
PIF #:	17682	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Quinlan Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Quinlan proposes to construct a new wastewater treatment plant to effectively treat current system flows and loading while planning for future system growth to approx. 0.90 MGD from known planned development.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$4,933,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,530,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2028	10/31/2029	$43,600,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	60.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Plainview					
PIF #:	17683	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Plainview - WWTP Lift Station Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The main lift station which supports the City's WWTP has experienced significant deterioration in its concrete structure. The City intends to replace this lift station. In addition, the City will also add redundancy to the WWTP electrical system by adding a stand alone headworks Motor Control Center.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$197,800.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$309,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2029	05/01/2030	$3,893,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Marshall					
PIF #:	17685	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant & Collection System Upgrades					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate and upgrade the existing collection system and WWTP as required by the EPA Administrative order.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,092,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,384,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	04/04/2031	$19,290,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	101.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Alice					
PIF #:	17687	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Alice Southside WWTP Infrastructure Improvements and Reuse - Design/Construction					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	

Project Description: The City of Alice Southside Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Infrastructure Improvements and Reuse project consists of design and construction of upgrades to existing infrastructure for improving operations, treatment, and reliability at the facility, as well as the addition of infrastructure needed for local reuse of plant effluent. The Alice Southside WWTP, originally constructed in 1969 and last significantly upgraded in 1984, is operating with process units and mechanical equipment that have exceeded their expected service life and now require major rehabilitation or replacement. This project will ensure improved water quality in downstream receiving waters subject to a TCEQ-approved watershed protection plan and enhance local water conservation and resilience by producing type-1 effluent for nonpotable reuse in residential, commercial, and publicly-owned facilities in the City of Alice. An asset management plan will be prepared with this project.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$200,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$3,850,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	08/31/2029	$34,325,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	116.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Anna					
PIF #:	17688	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs: PIF 17690					
Project Name: Hurricane Creek Regional Water Reclamation Facility Expansion					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: HCRWRF 8 MGD Exp.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$5,500,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$17,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2029	07/01/2031	$207,500,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	35.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Bayview MUD				
PIF #:	17689	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Bayview MUD Miles Lift Station Replacement				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction
Project Description:	Bayview MUD's proposed project consists of replacing the current lift station and force mains that are in a state of disrepair.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		03/31/2026	$142,383.50
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	10/27/2027	$1,044,146.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Anna					
PIF #:	17690	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs: PIF 17688					
Project Name: Slayter Creek Regional Lift Station & Forcemain					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Slayter Creek Regional Lift Station & Forcemain					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$20,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2026	$500,000.00
Design:			$1,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2027	05/01/2028	$21,120,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Archer City					
PIF #:	17691	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: SFY2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Install needed upgrades to existing WWTP to assist with effluent quality compliance.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/17/2027	03/31/2028	$900,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	70.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Bandera				
PIF #:	17693	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Wastewater Treatment Plant Relocation and Reuse Implementation				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Construction
Project Description:	Relocation of the City of Bandera's wastewater treatment plant outside of the FEMA regulatory floodway. The proposed project would include construction of a new wastewater treatment facility and associated conveyance from the existing site to the proposed location of the new facility. Begin implementing solutions for future wastewater reuse and recycling.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2026	$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2028	09/30/2029	$14,514,060.00

Green Project:	Yes-CE
Green Amount:	$4,591,983.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	79.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Beckville					
PIF #:	17694	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Sewer System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Beckville experiences significant inflow and infiltration throughout the system. The system has a current violation for exceeding permitted flow. The project includes replacing approximately 7,600 LF of sewer trunk mains, a lift station that is at the end of its useful life, and WWTP improvements to replace the current flow measurement device and provide lagoon aeration for duckweed control.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$236,000.00
Acquisition:		05/01/2028	$0.00
Design:			$207,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	02/01/2029	$2,651,138.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $80,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	63.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Carthage					
PIF #:	17695	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Hoggs Bayou Trunk Line Replacement/Rehabilitation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The replacement/rehabilitation of approximately 2,000 linear feet of 18"/24"/27" gravity sewer trunk line by open cut and/or cured-in-place pipe (CIPP) liner.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$20,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$147,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$1,272,160.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	45.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Harlingen Water Works System					
PIF #:	17696	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Little Creek Upstream Trunk Lines					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project involves the elimination of two lift stations and the replacement of a reverse grade trunk line through the installation of three new trunk lines, in a total of about 12,775 linear feet, that range in size from 12-inch to 30-inch.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	10/01/2029	$36,065,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Colorado City					
PIF #:	17697	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Collection Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of failed VC gravity collection lines and brick and mortar manholes. Elimination of existing lift stations with installation of new gravity lines.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$585,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2027	$100,000.00
Design:			$960,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2028	06/01/2029	$12,555,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Crandall					
PIF #:	17698	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Crandall Wastewater Force Main Project					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: This project consists of approximately 12,200 linear feet (2.31 miles) of 16-inch sanitary sewer force main to convey a buildout wastewater flow of approximately 5.26 Million Gallons per Day.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2028	$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/15/2026	12/01/2028	$5,210,422.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Coleman					
PIF #:	17699	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements to various treatment units at the City's WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$348,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$248,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2027	04/01/2030	$5,481,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Crawford					
PIF #:	17700	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Crawford Wastewater Treatment Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Crawford Wastewater Treatment Plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$628,575.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$414,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2029	01/01/2030	$4,482,654.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Electra					
PIF #:	17701	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Sewer System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of the City's lift stations and WWTP Plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$97,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$50,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2027	12/31/2028	$529,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Denton					
PIF #:	17703	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Additional Funding for Reconstruction of Pecan Creek Water Reclamation Plant (PCWRP)					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of New 30 MGD Pecan Creek Water Reclamation Plant With MBR/BNR technology					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		04/30/2026	$17,326,130.00
Est. Construction:	04/06/2026	03/29/2030	$308,811,900.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $54,491,240.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Denton					
PIF #:	17704	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Construction of New Clear Creek Interceptor					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Clear Creek Interceptor flows west to east across the Clear Creek Basin to the proposed Clear Creek WRP, allowing for theabandonment of multiple lift stations. It is sized to accommodate the projected 25-year flow, primarily from proposed development along I-35 in the Milam Creek area.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		03/03/2027	$10,600,000.00
Design:		12/24/2026	$2,850,200.00
Est. Construction:	04/05/2027	12/31/2028	$101,342,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	24.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Dublin					
PIF #:	17705	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project includes making improvements to the City's main lift station, installing a grit chamber, constructing a liner in the existing overflow pond, adding diffused air to the lagoon system, replacing the grinder ahead of the facultative lagoon, and adding backup power at the wastewater treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$110,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		08/14/2026	$102,600.00
Est. Construction:	02/22/2027	02/01/2028	$1,247,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	100.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Seymour					
PIF #:	17706	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Sewer System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace City's dilapidated aerial sewer line crossing Seymour Creek.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$85,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$38,500.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2027	12/31/2027	$421,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Eagle Pass Water Works System				
PIF #:	17707	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	EPWWS WWTP and Collection System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	Wastewater treatment plant and collection system improvements to rehabilitate existing aging infrastructure, improve energy efficiency and meet capacity and operational needs				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$788,456.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$8,605,266.00
Est. Construction:	10/04/2027	10/01/2030	$90,355,290.00

Green Project:	Yes-CE
Green Amount:	$10,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	44.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Edinburg					
PIF #:	17708	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: WWTP 20-Year Improvement Phases II and III					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Construction	
Project Description: This project provides the funding required for the construction of Phases II and III of the Edinburg 20-Year Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement Project.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$2,920,000.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2027	$215,000.00
Design:		03/31/2027	$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/29/2028	02/28/2030	$58,865,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $1,365,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	60.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Farwell					
PIF #:	17709	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Farwell WWTF Grit Chamber Installation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Farwell's proposed project includes installing a Grit Chamber at the headworks of the wastewater treatment facility, to extend the life of the treatment units, and decreasing maintenance costs.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$110,800.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	10/27/2027	$609,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	20.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Graham					
PIF #:	17710	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Graham WWTP Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Graham's Wastewater Treatment Plant requires reinvestment due to aging infrastructure and operational limitations. Based on a facility planning study, this project will involve critical improvements to ensure compliance, reliability, maintainability, and safety, including replacing aging aerators, rehabilitating secondary clarifier mechanisms, installing UV disinfection, and improving solids handling.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,325,400.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,514,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/24/2027	04/30/2032	$20,160,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	13.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Harlingen Water Works System				
PIF #:	17711	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Little Creek Interceptor Construction				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Construction

Project Description: Little Creek Interceptor (LCI), an interceptor that conveys wastewater from 34 sewersheds in Harlingen to the WWTP, experiences severe overloading resulting in sewer overflows during heavy rainfall events. Significant portions of the existing LCI consist of failing clay pipe on irregular slope that create perpetually surcharged conditions, sediment accumulation and capacity reduction. A deeper, larger replacement of the LCI as proposed in the 20-year master plan will resolve current overloading and overflows, replace failing pipe, correct irregular grades, provide excess capacity for future growth and system extension, and eliminate three lift stations. The upgraded interceptor will facilitate other core trunk sewer and lift station capacity projects in the master plan that will tie directly into the LCI at greater depth, enabling the elimination of two additional major lift stations and achieving near-total elimination of model-predicted overflows.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2026	$0.00
Design:		07/01/2026	$0.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2026	11/01/2028	$21,570,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Johnson County SUD					
PIF #:	17712	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Joshua WWTP Expansion					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Johnson County SUD needs to expand its existing Joshua WWTP to 1.5 MGD to comply with the TCEQ's 75/90 rule for minimum permitted capacity. The proposed project will also include the development of an asset management plan for JCSUD's wastewater collection system and treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$2,971,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$6,567,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/16/2026	07/31/2029	$78,256,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $87,794,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	70.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Houston					
PIF #:	17713	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Force Main Renewal and Rehabilitation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation/replacement of existing wastewater force mains (FM) within the City's Combined Utility System. Aging facilities require renewal or replacement to restore designed function and performance. Rehabilitation of FM addresses direct and contributing factors to sanitary sewer overflows, and is a component of the Consent Decree entered into by the City, US Dept of Justice/EPA and State of Texas/TCEQ to address unpermitted SSOs.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2027	06/30/2030	$44,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	32.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Houston					
PIF #:	17715	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Northbelt Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements & Expansion					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: The Northbelt Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), built in 1988, has exceeded state flow thresholds and now requires expansion to meet current and future demand. The project will rehabilitate and expand the facility while consolidating flows from the WCID No. 76 and West Lake Houston WWTPs, which will be decommissioned as a result. This expanded regional plant will support continued growth in the area and reduce reliance on on-site septic systems in surrounding communities.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2028	$0.00
Design:		11/18/2025	$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/30/2028	11/28/2031	$158,671,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	138.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Idalou				
PIF #:	17716	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	City of Idalou - Clarifier Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The City of Idalou has a population of 2,151 with 882 sewer connections. The City seeks to rehabilitate the existing clarifier at its wastewater treatment facility by replacing deteriorated internal components and to construct an additional clarifier. These improvements are necessary to restore treatment efficiency, increase hydraulic capacity, and ensure continued compliance with TCEQ and state regulatory requirements.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$215,030.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$169,500.00
Est. Construction:	05/07/2029	05/07/2030	$2,240,470.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Johnson City				
PIF #:	17717	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Johnson City Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion Project				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction
Project Description:	The Johnson City Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Expansion Project is required due to growing population of the city and increased flow rate projections. In addition, Johnson City will be investigating infiltration and inflow (I&I) into the collection system to reduce the amount of groundwater that enters the WWTP.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$810,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2029	$5,630,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$130,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Keene					
PIF #:	17718	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project is intended to replace old sewer lines and repair lift stations to improve inflow & infiltration.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$105,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$275,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	11/19/2027	$2,078,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $1,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Kingsville					
PIF #:	17719	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Kingsville South Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Kingsville is seeking funding through the CWSRF to rehabilitate and expand the South Wastewater Treatment Plant (SWWTP) to maintain reliable service and accommodate projected growth. The facility is expected to reach the TCEQ's 90 percent capacity threshold by approximately 2027, making expansion and rehabilitation necessary to maintain regulatory compliance. The proposed project includes headworks improvements, aeration basin rehabilitation and expansion, solids handling upgrades, SCADA implementation, plant-wide electrical and structural rehabilitation, and development of an asset management plan.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$100,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,650,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	07/31/2029	$23,325,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	96.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Kirby					
PIF #:	17723	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Critical Sewer Line Replacement					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Most of the city still has old clay sewer pipes that are degrading and collapsing. The city is looking to replace as much of the damaged piping as possible.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$931,112.40
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$4,934,562.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $2,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	20.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Nacogdoches					
PIF #:	17724	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Nacogdoches Wastewater/Sewer System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of improvements to the City's wastewater collection and treatment system to address aging infrastructure and reduce inflow and infiltration (I&I).					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$887,200.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,360,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	10/01/2030	$13,452,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	40.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Graford					
PIF #:	17726	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Leak test old deteriorating lines and old brick manholes for replacement to minimize infiltration and inflow (I&I).					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$145,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$95,225.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2028	$1,380,075.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: La Feria					
PIF #:	17727	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of La Feria WWTP Rehabilitation of Existing Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will consist of rehabilitating the existing plant lift station pumps, headworks, aeration basins, electrical, and SCADA.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$386,519.20
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$404,527.20
Est. Construction:	09/08/2026	09/08/2027	$6,461,534.00

Green Project:	Yes-CE
Green Amount:	$3,697,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	93.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Lago Vista					
PIF #:	17728	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Lago Vista Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement Project					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrading the treatment process from Type 2 to Type 1 effluent, improving wastewater reuse flexibility and reducing potable water consumption. The project will also include much-needed operational improvements to improve efficiency and meet requirements.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		02/28/2028	$4,200,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/28/2029	02/08/2031	$24,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $28,200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	84.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Military Highway WSC				
PIF #:	17729	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	San Pedro Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	P A D & Construction
Project Description:	The Military Highway Water Supply Corporation (MHWSC) plans to build a new San Pedro Wastewater Treatment Facility (SPWWTF) to increase its treatment capacity from 0.16 MGD to 0.934 MGD and decommission the existing SPWWTF facultative lagoon treatment system.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$425,000.00
Acquisition:		04/30/2027	$1,000,000.00
Design:			$2,500,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2028	12/31/2029	$30,300,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$17,500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	71.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Laguna Madre WD					
PIF #:	17730	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: LMWD Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Laguna Madre Water District is seeking funding through the CWSRF to enhance and improve the overall performance, redundancy, and resilience of its wastewater system. Proposed project will address much needed upgrades and rehabilitation of the Isla Blanca WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2027	12/01/2028	$8,950,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	51.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Grandview					
PIF #:	17731	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: To replace 12,500 feet of old, cracked, and leaking clay ss lines, rehab or replace up to 20 compromised manholes to reduce infiltration and inflow into the WWTP. This project also includes replacing the antiquated WWTP which has reached the end of its useful life expectancy.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$255,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,076,200.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2028	01/31/2029	$25,668,800.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Liberty Hill					
PIF #:	17732	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Liberty Hill North Fork Wastewater Treatment Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes the construction of a greenfield WWTP capable of treating up to 1.4 mgd of wastewater from the growing northern area of Liberty Hill. Wastewater will be treated to Type 1 reuse standards, as defined by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), for residential irrigation use. Wastewater effluent from this WWTP will also be conveyed to the City's Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) in the future, which is being funded in part by the TWDB's DWSRF Program (TWDB DWSRF Project No. 62939).					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		07/01/2026	$7,642,727.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2026	04/30/2029	$90,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	47.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Austin					
PIF #:	17733	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Montopolis Reclaimed Elevated Storage Tank					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Expand the reclaimed water system to support growth with increased supply capability, as well as providing reclaimed water transmission for implementation of an Indirect Potable Reuse strategy.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$440,000.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2027	$0.00
Design:			$2,640,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	04/01/2029	$25,610,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	13.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: La Coste					
PIF #:	17734	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2026 Wastewater Improvements Study					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Engineering study to evaluate the expansion / re-rate of the WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	12/01/2027	$100,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	26.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: West Tawakoni					
PIF #:	17735	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF - Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes a new wastewater treatment plant to replace the antiquated current plant					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$150,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$908,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/29/2027	11/30/2028	$21,707,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	45.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Pineland					
PIF #:	17737	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: New wastewater treatment plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning & Design	
Project Description: Proposed project is for Planning and Design of replacement of the City of Pineland's existing WWTP. The existing WWTP has been in operation in its current configuration for approximately 25 years with numerous treatment units predating the current configuration, and it has reached the end of its useful life.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$788,350.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$477,250.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2030	01/01/2032	$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	61.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Lower Valley WD					
PIF #:	17739	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Lower Valley Water District Wastewater Collection and Treatment System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will address major wastewater collection deficiencies by extending centralized service to the Valle Bonito subdivisions and rehabilitating lift stations with upgraded pumps, SCADA improvements, and structural and safety enhancements. It will also address treatment of wastewater through the construction of new 1.0 MGD Mesa del Norte Wastewater Treatment Plant to provide sewage treatment for three existing subdivisions that are currently in the Lower Valley Water District's service area but are currently being serviced by El Paso Water Bustamante WWTP and El Paso County Water Improvement District No. 4 WWTP located in Fabens. Additionally, a new Septage Receiving Station will centralize septage management, enhance pretreatment and odor control, and improve operational efficiency for the district.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$904,684.40
Design:			$7,919,652.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	01/01/2029	$91,700,960.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	54.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Madisonville					
PIF #:	17741	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: East Wastewater Facility					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct 0.5 MGD wastewater plant on the East side of I-45.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$2,035,262.00
Acquisition:			$100,000.00
Design:			$1,231,440.00
Est. Construction:	03/06/2028	03/06/2030	$18,026,380.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Malakoff					
PIF #:	17742	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The existing collection system has experience excessive I&I due its age and significant portion being Vitrified Clay Tile Pipe. This project will include analysis and replacement of the system to reduce I&I and increase capacity.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$542,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,083,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2028	06/01/2030	$11,685,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	95.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Manor					
PIF #:	17745	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: East Travis Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant and Wastewater Collection System					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater treatment plant and trunk mains to serve eastern Travis County with regional, centralized sewer service.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$8,673,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2027	$1,881,000.00
Design:			$8,865,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2029	12/31/2030	$90,721,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	14.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: New Home					
PIF #:	17746	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of New Home Wastewater Treatment Pond Expansion & Reuse Project					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of New Home's Proposed project consists of installing a new lagoon cell to increase wastewater detention time, installing a new pump station, and installing a new reuse irrigation system to allow for water reuse.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:		05/01/2027	$235,000.00
Design:			$550,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	12/26/2027	$2,740,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $550,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Paradise					
PIF #:	17747	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 First Time Sanitary Sewer Collection System and Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of gravity sewer mains, lift stations, force mains, and a treatment plant to serve current residents in the most densely populated area who are all currently on septic tanks with gravity disposal field lines.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$469,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2027	$561,000.00
Design:			$1,467,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/15/2028	08/15/2029	$12,203,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	44.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Rio Hondo					
PIF #:	17749	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Replacement/Rehab of Failing WWTP & Sewer Collection System					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Our wastewater treatment plant and collection system has been issued multiple violations from the TCEQ. The WWTP has exceeded its permitted effluent limits for CBOD5, TSS, Enterococci (fecal indicator) and is nearing the 75% capacity rule to begin capacity planning; the sewer lift stations are past their useful life and in dire need of replacement/rehab along with the sewer clay lines (VCP) and manholes that allow a significant amount of Infiltration & Inflow (I&I) into the collection system during rain events causing sewer overflows at lift stations, manholes and at the WWTP; these issues pose serious public health hazards and environmental hazards as the WWTP is located adjacent to the Arroyo Colorado River which is an impaired water body and undergoing Water Protection Plan and Pollutant Reduction Plan initiatives.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,525,000.00
Acquisition:			$655,000.00
Design:			$2,570,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2027	12/31/2028	$19,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $9,500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	170.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Roby					
PIF #:	17750	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City desires to replace aging collection lines in the system to significantly reduce infiltration and inflow (I&I) to increase system efficiency.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$551,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$234,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2028	$3,770,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $4,555,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Round Rock					
PIF #:	17751	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Brushy Creek Regional Wastewater Treatment System East Plant - 40 MGD Expansion					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: This project is an expansion of the East Plant from 30 million gallons to 40 million gallons a day capacity.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	01/01/2030	$117,570,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $44,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	114.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: San Marcos					
PIF #:	17752	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Cottonwood Creek Interceptor					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This project consists of approximately 12,000 LF of 48-inch gravity sewer pipe, approximately 1,090 LF of 15-inch gravity sewer pipe, and approximately 12,000 LF of 16-inch reclaimed water main parallel to the 48-inch sewer pipeline.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$4,149,700.00
Acquisition:		07/31/2027	$2,040,000.00
Design:			$3,400,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/31/2028	12/31/2029	$34,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $39,230,730.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	58.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Santa Rosa					
PIF #:	17753	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Santa Rosa Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	

Project Description: The City of Santa Rosa owns and operates a 0.39-MGD wastewater treatment plant that was constructed in the 1970s and has not undergone significant upgrades since its original construction. The facility has exceeded its permitted treatment capacity according to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), creating an urgent need for system improvements and additional treatment capacity. This project will rehabilitate and upgrade the existing wastewater treatment system and expand the plant's capacity to 1.0 MGD to improve reliability, restore compliance, and support current and future wastewater treatment demands for the community.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$450,000.00
Acquisition:			$150,000.00
Design:			$2,700,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	08/30/2029	$28,150,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	122.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Waskom					
PIF #:	17754	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Sewer System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Waskom is proposing to replace approximately 11,150 LF of various size sewer lines that have been known to contribute a significant amount of inflow and infiltration to the sewer system. The City also intends on replacing two lift stations, adding SCADA monitoring at all sewer pump and treatment facilities, and rehabbing several of the treatment units at the wastewater treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$325,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$335,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2028	07/01/2029	$4,310,900.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	48.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Wolfe City					
PIF #:	17755	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade Wastewater Treatment Plant and Collection System					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$804,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,208,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2028	12/31/2029	$10,771,100.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	72.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Wilmer					
PIF #:	17756	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Trinity River Crossing Force Main Replacement					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of a section of wastewater force main that crosses the Trinity River from the Goat Island Preserve to the City of Dallas Southside Wastewater Treatment Plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$626,650.00
Acquisition:		04/02/2027	$36,000.00
Design:			$1,038,860.00
Est. Construction:	11/08/2027	07/18/2029	$6,039,490.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Alto					
PIF #:	17757	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing sanitary sewer lines including manholes and rehabilitate lift stations including pump replacement and other upgrades.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$393,750.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$215,250.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	10/01/2030	$2,911,650.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Alba					
PIF #:	17758	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Waste Water System Improvements to Improve I & I					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Conduct smoke testing and target critical deteriorated sewerlines that are contributing to the City's high inflow and infiltration problems.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$275,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$138,050.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	10/01/2030	$1,534,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Del Rio					
PIF #:	17760	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs: 11098; 12343					
Project Name: City of Del Rio Wastewater Master Plan					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Del Rio is making significant capacity improvements to the wastewater treatment plants (TWDB Project No. 11098, EFR attached) and replacing 37000 linear feet of aging sewer lines. The sewer line replacement project is the continued effort from PIF#73786 and is being included in this package along with other improvements the City wants to make to the wastewater system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$890,032.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$9,709,440.00
Est. Construction:	10/30/2026	10/30/2030	$101,949,100.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $7,500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	23.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Lone Oak					
PIF #:	17762	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning & Construction	
Project Description: The project includes the purchase of the equipment for a new wastewater treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$65,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		07/31/2026	$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/26/2026	10/28/2027	$2,400,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Hardin Co WCID # 1				
PIF #:	17763	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Hardin County WCID No. 1 - Sanitary Sewer Improvements Project				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The district will construct a larger diameter force main to the WWTP to reducelow-pressure sanitary sewer (LPSS) collection system pressures to operate during rain events.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$113,500.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2026	$0.00
Design:			$55,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	10/01/2027	$846,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.		
	Rating:	20.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: McLennan Co WCID # 2					
PIF #:	17764	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment and Collection System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of a wastewater treatment plant, replacement of several collection mains, and an inflow/infiltration investigation.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,286,700.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2027	04/01/2028	$12,327,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	20.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Kingsville					
PIF #:	17765	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Kingsville North Wastewater treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Kingsville is seeking funding through the CWSRF to rehabilitate and upgrade key components of the North Wastewater Treatment Plant to improve reliability, operational efficiency, and regulatory compliance. The proposed project includes aeration system upgrades, construction of a new headworks and grit removal system, plant-wide electrical improvements, SCADA implementation, and structural rehabilitation of critical treatment facilities. These improvements will address aging infrastructure and ensure the continued reliable operation of the City's wastewater treatment system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$100,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,900,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	10/30/2029	$23,300,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	81.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Strawn					
PIF #:	17767	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: SFY 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Strawn is proposing manhole replacements, lift station improvements, and wastewater treatment plant improvements.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$122,500.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$73,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	12/31/2027	$1,039,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $765,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Dayton					
PIF #:	17768	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Sawmill Street Lift Station Relocation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This project will consist of the relocation/replacement of the Sawmill Street lift station to a new site.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$600,000.00
Acquisition:		05/07/2027	$100,000.00
Design:			$400,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/11/2027	10/06/2028	$9,480,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $750,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Dilley					
PIF #:	17769	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Dilley Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Dilley proposes targeted wastewater system improvements including replacement of approximately 1,600 LF of sewer main (including ~700 LF of deteriorated clay pipe and ~900 LF of undersized 6-inch pipe) to reduce backups and improve service reliability. The project also includes WWTP sludge handling improvements (new belt press) and lift station upgrades (new pumps, control panels, and VFDs) with SCADA/controls as needed to improve operational efficiency and reduce energy consumption.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$160,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$495,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	06/01/2029	$2,580,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Austin					
PIF #:	17771	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Hornsby Bend BMP Process Ammonia Removal Facility					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Hornsby Bend BMP Process Ammonia Removal Facility					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$529,400.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$3,176,400.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	04/01/2030	$30,661,100.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	17.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Leonard					
PIF #:	17772	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Leonard Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements to the existing wastewater treatment plant. Not intended to modify existing discharge permit, but increase the efficiency of the current treatment processes.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,100,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,650,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2027	03/01/2029	$16,493,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Lufkin				
PIF #:	17782	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	36-Inch and 42-Inch Sanitary Sewer Line Rehabilitation				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The City of Lufkin is experiencing excessive inflow and infiltration (I&I) into its wastewater collection system, leading to overflows at the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and exceeding permitted discharge contaminants/parameters. The significant I&I also cause overflows throughout the collection system. In order to address this issue, approximately 20,000 linear feet of deteriorated 36-inch and 42-inch clay sewer lines leading to the WWTP require rehabilitation and/or replacement.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$670,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$620,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/03/2027	10/29/2027	$12,710,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$14,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	73.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Sweetwater					
PIF #:	17783	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Sweetwater (City) desires to install a water reuse system to be used for irrigation at Newman Park. This install would include improvements at the WWTP, Newman Park, and a new transmission line.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,305,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$987,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2030	$13,132,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $11,105,280.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	66.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Zavala Co WCID # 1				
PIF #:	17784	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Lift Station Pump Replacements and WWTP Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning & Construction
Project Description:	Replace aging pumps at three lift stations (LS-1, LS-2, LS-3) and improve the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) to address system deficiencies and ensure reliable wastewater collection and treatment for Zavala County WCID No. 1.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$65,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	06/30/2028	$1,420,750.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: River Oaks					
PIF #:	17785	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of River Oaks Stormwater System Expansion - Downstream Channel Expansion					
Project Type: Nonpoint Source				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of River Oaks' proposed project consists of expanding a stormwater channel to help address flooding problems throughout the system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$645,000.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2027	$125,000.00
Design:			$625,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	02/14/2028	$4,560,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Kenedy					
PIF #:	17787	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: WWTP Headworks Rehabilitation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of the WWTP headworks which has reached its end of life					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$55,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$134,500.00
Est. Construction:	09/15/2027	09/15/2028	$1,271,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Military Highway WSC				
PIF #:	17788	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Military Highway Lift Station Rehabilitation Project				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The corporation (MHWSC) has experienceda significant increase in sewer spills, back-ups, and related issues due to aged and dilapidated lift stations. In compliance with state requirements the spills are reported to TCEQ continually. While MHWS continues to mitigate the failing infrastructure using operating funds the issues with the lift stations continue to increase. The proposed project includes rehabilitation of 30 identified lift stations in which the rehabilitation will include replacement of electrical controls and replacement of pumps & piping, along with other miscellaneous improvements such as replacement of well access hatches.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$381,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$726,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/07/2027	08/31/2028	$7,393,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Tatum					
PIF #:	17789	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Generators, Pumps, Collections System Lines and Manholes, SCADA System					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$575,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$225,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	12/01/2028	$3,150,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $2,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Austin					
PIF #:	17792	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Upper Harris Branch Interceptor – Phase 2					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Upper Harris Branch Interceptor – Phase 2					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$500,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2026	$0.00
Design:			$3,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2027	09/30/2029	$29,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	22.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Austin					
PIF #:	17793	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: SAR WWTP to Dalton Lane Reclaimed Water Transmission Main					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Expand the reclaimed water system to support growth with increased supply capability, as well as providing reclaimed water transmission for implementation of an Indirect Potable Reuse strategy.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,300,000.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2028	$0.00
Design:			$7,800,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	10/01/2029	$74,200,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	28.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Austin					
PIF #:	17794	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Dalton Lane to Montopolis Pump Station Reclaimed Water Transmission Main					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Expand the reclaimed water system to support growth with increased supply capability, as well as providing reclaimed water transmission for implementation of an Indirect Potable Reuse strategy.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$600,000.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2028	$0.00
Design:			$3,600,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	10/01/2029	$34,650,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	13.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Austin					
PIF #:	17795	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Dalton Lane to Lady Bird Lake Indirect Potable Reuse Transmission Main					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Expand the reclaimed water system to support growth with increased supply capability, as well as providing reclaimed water transmission for implementation of an Indirect Potable Reuse strategy.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$800,000.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2028	$0.00
Design:			$4,800,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2027	10/01/2029	$45,950,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	13.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Cameron					
PIF #:	17803	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Cameron Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	

Project Description: The City of Cameron proposes a planning, design, and construction project to rehabilitate aging wastewater collection system infrastructure and install effluent reuse transmission facilities. Portions of the existing collection system consist of deteriorated clay pipe, asbestos cement pipe, and lines of unknown age that are highly susceptible to inflow and infiltration (I&I), contributing to excessive peak wet weather flows and reduced system reliability. The project will reduce I&I, mitigate peak hydraulic loading at the wastewater treatment plant, improve collection system performance, and extend the useful life of critical infrastructure. Installation of effluent reuse transmission facilities will reduce potable water demand and enhance overall system sustainability. These improvements will protect the State's prior investment in wastewater treatment plant upgrades and improve long-term regulatory compliance and operational resiliency.The project includes development of an Asset Management Plan to inventory assets, assess condition and criticality, and prioritize rehabilitation and replacement.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$755,310.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$303,190.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	12/31/2029	$4,704,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	74.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Beasley					
PIF #:	17813	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation and Expansion					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City has a history of noncompliance with permitted effluent limitations due to infiltration and inflow and aging infrastructure. After analysis, rehabilitation and expansion is recommended.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$365,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$240,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	01/01/2028	$3,395,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	86.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Coolidge					
PIF #:	17814	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Coolidge Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: City of Coolidge WWTP Improvements					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$537,251.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$373,750.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2029	01/01/2030	$3,711,786.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	71.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: San Marcos					
PIF #:	17815	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: San Marcos - FM 1978 Water Reclamation Facility: Phase II					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City plans to expand the FM 1978 Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) from an initial wastewater treatment capacity of 2.0 MGD to a treatment capacity up to 4.0 MGD to accommodate growing wastewater treatment needs while expanding service to new developments beyond its current ETJ. This proposed project will be known as Phase II of the FM 1978 WRF. These project phases are intended to replace smaller decentralized systems with a regional solution. This Phase II proposed project also seeks to incorporate water reuse strategies including additional treatment to Type 1 reclaimed water standards and other reclaimed water infrastructure to supplement the City's potable water supply.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		12/01/2028	$15,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2029	12/02/2030	$102,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $60,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	119.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Westwood Shores MUD

PIF #:	17816	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027

Related PIFs:

Project Name: Westwood Shores MUD Sanitary Sewer System Urgent Repairs

Project Type: POTW

Project Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: This project focuses on mitigating a severely deteriorated sanitary sewer system that currently suffers from sever inflow and infiltration that frequently causes Sanitary Sewer Overflows. Urgent repairs are needed to the system to stop SSOs. It includes assessing and rehabilitating sewer manholes/lift stations, and replacing deteriorated sewer pipes, ensuring improved system integrity and performance. Additional improvements to the wastewater treatment plant are also included.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$125,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2027	$37,500.00
Design:			$175,780.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	10/01/2027	$2,228,110.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $2,566,390.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	61.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: San Leanna					
PIF #:	17817	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: San Leanna Project 1 - AMR/AMI Installation CWSRF					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: To ensure accurate measurement of water usage and reduce water loss, a project to develop a plan for the replacement of meters, meter boxes and other relevant appurtenances in the system with AMR and/or AMI is proposed.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$111,150.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$109,200.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2027	03/31/2028	$949,650.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $1,170,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	8.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Houston					
PIF #:	17819	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs: PIF 17860					
Project Name: Lift Station Renewal and Rehabilitation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of existing wastewater lift stations (LS) within the City's Combined Utility System. Aging facilities require renewal or replacement of core components (electrical, mechanical, structural, flow control and monitoring) to restore designed function and performance. Rehabilitation of LS addresses direct and contributing factors to sanitary sewer overflows, and is a component of the Consent Decree entered into by the City, US Dept of Justice/EPA and State of Texas/TCEQ to address unpermitted SSOs.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		06/30/2027	$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2027	06/30/2030	$44,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	32.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Blanco					
PIF #:	17820	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Blanco Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	

Project Description: The City of Blanco proposes a wastewater system improvement project to reduce infiltration and inflow, expand treated effluent storage, and establish the infrastructure necessary to achieve and sustain Type I reclaimed water production for irrigation of public spaces that are currently unable to be irrigated due to drought-related potable water restrictions. The project includes replacement of deteriorated wastewater mains, rehabilitation of structurally deficient manholes, construction of additional treated effluent storage with berm improvements, and implementation of Asset Management Plan priorities. These improvements will sustain non-discharge operations, offset potable water demand, improve drought resiliency, and protect water quality in the Blanco River.See projects overview map in the additional attachments.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,029,460.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$617,680.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	12/31/2029	$9,583,360.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	28.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Willow Park					
PIF #:	17821	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of installing a new wastewater treatment plant to serve new customers.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$215,000.00
Acquisition:		11/20/2026	$25,000.00
Design:			$351,800.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/31/2028	$5,183,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	11.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Ames					
PIF #:	17822	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Ames Wastewater Treatment Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Ames proposes to construct a new Wastewater Treatment Plant and equalization basins. This project is intended to allow the City to become independent from the City of Liberty as they are currently sending all wastewater from the City of Ames to the City of Liberty.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,750,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2026	$250,000.00
Design:			$3,050,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	12/31/2030	$18,940,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	51.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Freeport					
PIF #:	17829	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Freeport Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Multiple portions of the City's wastewater collection system is contributing I/I to the WWTP. The project primarily proposes the functional replacement of portions of the collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,307,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	05/01/2030	$11,463,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $5,950,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Huntsville					
PIF #:	17833	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Huntsville Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: Multiple portions of the City's wastewater collection system is contributing I/I to the WWTP. The project primarily proposes the functional replacement of portions of the collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,075,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	05/01/2030	$12,320,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $8,519,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	46.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Annona					
PIF #:	17834	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Annona Wastewater Conveyance and Treatment					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City will continue efforts from their SFY 2026 project					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$5,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$105,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	12/31/2029	$1,705,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	72.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Canyon					
PIF #:	17835	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	

Project Description: The City of Canyon is in need of Wastewater System improvements including The City of Canyon's Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) is currently noncompliant with its TLAP permit limitation of 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) concentration of 100 mg/L at any time. To resolve these issues, the City proposes to install a flow-splitting structure, dredge the lagoons, and add a new lagoon for future growth. Since excessive algae growth would not be directly controlled with these improvements, the City also proposes installing ultrasound-based algae monitoring and control units within each lagoon and building a new lagoon for compliance and growth. These improvements also include the replacement and relocation of Lift Station No.1 and associated force main that have met their service life.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$2,340,430.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$4,021,290.00
Est. Construction:	06/02/2030	01/30/2032	$34,516,073.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $3,224,900.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Olton					
PIF #:	17836	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Olton Wastewater System Asset Management Plan					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Olton has an outdated Imhoff tank wastewater treatment plant that is in failing conditions. The WWTP also includes seven ponds that have a clay liner that is assumed to be in poor condition. The City of Olton is seeking state funds for a new package WWTP, collection system improvements, and pond updates.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,080,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,550,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	12/29/2028	$14,400,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	70.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Granite Shoals

PIF #:	17837	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027

Related PIFs:

Project Name: Phase 1 of First Time Wastewater Service including treatment plant, collection system.

Project Type: POTW

Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The city residents are currently served by on-site systems that are on small lots and there is a need for a centralized regional system.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$145,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$2,770,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/17/2027	01/17/2029	$29,628,400.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $2,500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	32.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Gladewater					
PIF #:	17838	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Gladewater Phase 3 Wastewater Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements to the City's wastewater collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$251,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$160,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/15/2030	12/31/2030	$1,346,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	92.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Hondo					
PIF #:	17839	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Hondo needs to free up capacity at their existing WWTP and is proposing to put the prison on it's own packge WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$228,585.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,828,679.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	07/01/2027	$16,762,890.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	26.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Corsicana					
PIF #:	17840	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements - Phase 1					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Conduct Wastewater Collection System Improvements that will include Master Planning (including Flow Monitoring, system hydraulic modeling, and CIP plan development), Replacement of old infrastructure (gravity pipes, force mains, manholes and lift stations) that is failing, undersized and experience heavy I&I.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$753,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,506,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2029	12/31/2031	$16,253,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	95.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Bay City				
PIF #:	17841	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Bay City Wastewater Collection System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The City of Bay City is seeking funding through the CWSRF to implement wastewater system improvements that will enhance the reliability, capacity, and operational efficiency of the City's collection system. The project includes construction of a 12-inch sewer line extension along SH 35, rehabilitation of aging gravity sewer mains to reduce infiltration and inflow, upgrades to multiple lift stations, and modernization of the system's SCADA monitoring and control infrastructure. Together, these improvements will reduce the risk of sanitary sewer overflows, improve system performance, and support continued wastewater service for existing customers and future growth.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$50,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$450,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2028	05/01/2031	$6,100,000.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	94.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Mount Calm					
PIF #:	17842	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace collection system piping and replace WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$601,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$722,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2028	09/30/2029	$6,433,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	75.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Itasca					
PIF #:	17843	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrades and Improvements to wastewater treatment plant. Replace collection system pipe and manholes.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$779,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$910,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2028	10/31/2029	$8,110,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Morgan					
PIF #:	17844	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace collection system lines and rehab/replace manholes. Make improvements/upgrades to wastewater treatment plant.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$577,500.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$618,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2028	06/30/2029	$5,510,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	36.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Campbell					
PIF #:	17845	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace failing collection system lines. Make upgrades/improvements to WWTP.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$644,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$704,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/03/2028	09/28/2029	$6,273,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	45.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Alma					
PIF #:	17846	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new wastewater treatment plant and collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$633,000.00
Acquisition:		10/31/2027	$90,000.00
Design:			$665,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/31/2028	08/31/2029	$5,927,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	26.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Cumby					
PIF #:	17847	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade Wastewater Treatment Plant and Collection System					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$768,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2027	$0.00
Design:			$931,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2028	12/31/2029	$8,294,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Lott					
PIF #:	17848	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade and/or Improve wastewater plant. Replace, upsize collection system lines. Rehab lift stations.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$712,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$869,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2028	09/30/2029	$7,746,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	50.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Malakoff					
PIF #:	17849	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: WWTP Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: At the WWTP construct a new equalization basin, new lift station, repair the drying beds, replace the valves for clarifier control, replacement of racetrack rotating aerators and install replacement turbo blowers with VFDs, generator, and motors.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$807,000.00
Acquisition:			$550,000.00
Design:			$1,138,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	12/31/2029	$12,043,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	100.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Thornton					
PIF #:	17850	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old, failing collection system lines and rehabilitate lift stations.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$357,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$376,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2028	10/31/2029	$3,349,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	51.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Covington					
PIF #:	17851	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade six lift stations including upsizing wet well pumps, and electricals, and add backup power. I&I rehabilitation for sewer lines and Manholes. WWTP rehabilitation and add a backup generator.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$739,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$934,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2028	10/31/2029	$8,324,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	45.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Farwell					
PIF #:	17852	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Farwell I&I Rehabilitation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Farwell's proposed project includes rehabilitation of the City's gravity sewer system and manholes.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$75,300.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	08/28/2027	$414,150.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	20.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Port Arthur					
PIF #:	17853	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: The City of Port Arthur Sanitary Sewer Collection System Upgrades					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Port Arthur proposes to rehabilitate and reconstruct twelve sanitary sewer lift stations and rehabilitate selected deteriorated sanitary sewer lines throughout the collection system. The project will address aging infrastructure, insufficient pumping capacity, and structural deficiencies to reduce sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs), improve system reliability, and enhance long-term wastewater service for the community.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$250,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$650,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/15/2026	11/01/2028	$16,100,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Kenedy					
PIF #:	17856	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Prison Lift Station Rehabilitation					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of an existing lift station serving the prison facility has reached its end of life and replacement is necessary					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$25,500.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$75,300.00
Est. Construction:	07/15/2027	12/15/2027	$800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Kenedy					
PIF #:	17857	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: WWTP Emergency Power					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project would provide emergency power to keep the WWTP running and avoid contamination of raw sewage being spilled onto the ground.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$40,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$61,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2027	07/01/2027	$578,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Texas Water Utilities, L.P.				
PIF #:	17858	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Country West Wastewater System Compliance Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project includes replacement of collection system lines, an upgrade of the existing wastewater lift station, and upgrades to the existing WWTP to reduce I/I impacts during storm events, that creates sanitary sewer overflows throughout the system. The proposed project will also include development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$577,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$517,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$13,467,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$14,561,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	72.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Eldorado					
PIF #:	17859	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Replace existing deteriorated collection lines and acquire a portable generator,asset management					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace collapsed and deteriorated collection lines and a portable generator for power during power outages. The city will perform an asset management plan					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$90,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$125,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2026	04/13/2027	$1,150,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Houston					
PIF #:	17860	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs: N/A					
Project Name: Houston Capacity Remedial Measures Plan (CRMP), Areas 5/6/12, 8 and 11					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: Implement infrastructure renewal to address known capacity related constraints within the wastewater collection system to prevent sewer asset surcharge including during specified rain events.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	06/30/2030	$63,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	32.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Linden					
PIF #:	17861	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Plant and Sanitary Sewer Collection System Upgrades					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Linden owns and operates Two (2) WWTPs in need of regionalization and the collection system is experiencing severe Inflow and Infiltration (I&I). The project will eliminate the need for an existing WWTP and associated discharge of effluent to an impacted waterbody. The project includes upgrades to existing WWTP components, lift station upgrades, and replacement of existing force mains and sewer mains in the collection system.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,100,000.00
Acquisition:			$150,000.00
Design:			$900,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2028	08/01/2030	$12,600,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	101.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Crockett Co WCID # 1				
PIF #:	17862	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Crockett County WCID #1 Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The Crockett County Water Control Improvement District is proposing a wastewater system improvement project to address deficiencies within the existing collection system. The proposed project includes replacement and rehabilitation of aging wastewater collection mains, replacement of an existing lift station, replacement of deteriorated manholes, and implementation of system-wide supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) improvements.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$914,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$506,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2028	02/01/2030	$7,544,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Mexia					
PIF #:	17863	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: CW: Replace Severely Deteriorated Wastewater Treatment Equipment Project					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Design & Construction	
Project Description: The wastewater treatment plant currently has multiple critical equipment failures impacting treatment operations. The UV disinfection system is failing, the racetrack basin is nonfunctional due to inoperable paddlewheels, and the bar screen's headworks have failed. These issues significantly reduce the plant's ability to properly process and treat incoming wastewater.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$260,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/15/2026	12/01/2028	$1,840,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Smithville				
PIF #:	17864	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Smithville Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade Project - Phase 2				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction

Project Description: The City of Smithville seeks financial assistance from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) to upgrade critical wastewater lift station infrastructure, necessitated by aging conveyance systems and operational risks identified in a 2024 engineering study. While funding has been secured for Phase 1 to construct a new 0.05 MGD Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) treatment facility, this request (Phase 2) focuses on rehabilitating the Machuca and Faulkner lift stations upgrades that will include VFD-controlled pumps and SCADA systems to reduce environmental risks, improve energy efficiency, and strengthen system reliability for the community. These upgrades will reduce the risk of sanitary sewer overflows, improve energy efficiency, strengthen system reliability, and protect downstream water quality for the long term.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$164,418.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	07/01/2027	$2,164,539.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$2,328,957.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Hughes Springs					
PIF #:	17865	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater Plant and Collection System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Hughes Springs WWTP is in need of a complete rehabilitation and the collection system is experiencing severe inflow and infiltration (I&I). The proposed project consist of upgrades to the existing WWTP including rehabilitation of targeted lift stations, force mains, and sewer mains in the collection system. An Asset Management Plan will be developed as part of this project.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,110,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$575,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2028	08/01/2029	$10,430,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	63.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Wortham					
PIF #:	17866	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City's wastewater collection system is aged and deteriorating resulting in high infiltration and inflow (I&I). The City's chlorine treatment building at the wastewater plant needs to be replaced.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$784,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$920,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2028	05/31/2029	$8,203,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	85.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	De Berry WSC				
PIF #:	17867	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	DeBerry Project 5 - AMR/AMI Installation CWRSF				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	This project is to improve water measurement and reduce loss by replacing meters, meter boxes, and related components with AMR/AMI technology. The number of replacements will depend on available funding, and an asset management plan will be developed.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$112,100.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$109,200.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2027	06/01/2028	$1,033,700.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $1,255,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	39.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Millsap					
PIF #:	17868	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: SFY 2027 CWSRF New Wastewater System					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project consists of installing a new wastewater system for the City of Millsap. The City currently does not have a wastewater collection or treatment system. The existing residents have private septic systems.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$807,500.00
Acquisition:		10/30/2026	$0.00
Design:		10/31/2026	$71,500.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/30/2029	$21,671,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $22,550,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	66.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Oak Ridge					
PIF #:	17872	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements for Town of Oak Ridge					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate the existing wastewater package plant, sludge drying bed, install an emergency generator, chlorine room with an alarm system, a flow equalization basin, and a blower for aerators.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$495,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$697,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	12/01/2029	$7,375,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	60.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Dalhart					
PIF #:	17873	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater Treatment Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: They City of Dalhart is in need of a new Wastewater Treatment Plant. They City also wants to incorporate wastewater reuse system for city owned properties.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,533,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2027	$0.00
Design:			$1,309,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	06/02/2029	$19,569,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	11.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Hamlin					
PIF #:	17874	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Hamlin's existing wastewater treatment plant is no longer capable of efficient use. It has reached the end of its useful life and is in need of replacement. In addition, the City's collection system is in need of replacement because the lines are aged and have reached the end of their useful life.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$3,240,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2027	$250,000.00
Design:			$3,159,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2027	03/01/2030	$44,106,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $15,993,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	18.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Quanah					
PIF #:	17875	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Quanah is proposing the construction of a new wastewater treatment plant facility and the rehabilitation of existing lift stations to improve reliability, efficiency, and regulatory compliance.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$2,043,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,822,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	06/01/2029	$27,171,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	41.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Albany					
PIF #:	17876	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Albany needs to replace or rehabilitate multiple components of its collection system and WWTP and prepare an asset management plan for the WW System.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$930,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$562,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2026	03/01/2027	$9,219,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $10,711,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	28.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Ballinger				
PIF #:	17877	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The proposed project includes upgrade of multiple lift stations within the collection system and emergency power generators at each lift station and the WWTP and an asset management plan. The planned projects will improve the system capability of mitigating peak wet weather events and help to reduce the potential for collection system surcharging and corresponding sanitary sewer overflows.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$809,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$563,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	06/01/2029	$8,286,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Big Lake				
PIF #:	17878	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The proposed project will improve the wastewater collection system serving the City of Big Lake through replacement of existing lift stations, installation of a new 12-inch force main, and integration with the City's SCADA system to enhance operational control and reliability. Collection system improvements include new 6-inch sanitary sewer mains, service connections, cleanouts, and standard manholes constructed to current design standards. These improvements will reduce the risk of system failures and sanitary sewer overflows, improve regulatory compliance, and support long-term system resilience and future growth. An asset management plan will also be prepared as part of the project.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$961,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$607,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2028	08/01/2030	$9,301,000.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$6,648,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Breckenridge					
PIF #:	17879	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City desires to rehabilitate an existing lift station and replace collection lines to improve the collection system. Additionally, the City would like to improve treatment facilities to increase efficiency and operational reliability. An Asset Management Plan is also needed to enhance operations.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$597,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$344,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2027	05/01/2028	$4,828,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Cisco					
PIF #:	17880	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Cisco seeks to replace the entire network of gravity sewer lines. Existing sewer lines in Cisco's wastewater collection system are deteriorating and need to be replaced. The main lift station needs to be rehabilitated, and generators are needed at each of the lift stations. The development of an Asset Management Plan will also be included as part of the proposed project.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$3,181,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$3,033,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	08/01/2028	$44,954,000.00

Green Project: Yes

Green Amount: $28,282,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Bryan				
PIF #:	17881	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	City of Bryan Brushy Creek WWTP and State Highway 6 Lift Station				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Construction

Project Description: The City of Bryan, Texas (City) is undertaking a project to address current and anticipated population growth on the east side of the city. The project also aims to mitigate sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) that occur during heavy rain events. It will include the construction of a lift station along State Highway 6 and the development of the Brushy Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant, which will have an initial capacity of 4 million gallons per day (MGD).

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:		03/31/2027	$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/30/2027	06/30/2030	$150,000,000.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	118.50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Brownwood					
PIF #:	17883	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: 2027 CWSRF Bowie Lift Station Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Brownwood (City) aims to improve its wastewater system by replacing the existing Camp Bowie Lift Station (LS) at the existing Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). The proposed improvements will include the installation of a new LS, coarse screens, Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system, electrical system, and the rehabilitation of the WWTP's Clarifiers and Sand Filters. In addition to the Lift Station, the wastewater system improvements will include the rehabilitation of storm drains and a new service building for trucks.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,389,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,053,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2027	07/01/2028	$15,442,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: East Aldine MD					
PIF #:	17884	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Lyncrest Neighborhood Wastewater Collection System					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project is to disable the current home septic systems, construct and connect the Lyncrest neighborhood wastewater collection system with the extension of a City of Houston trunk sanitary sewer.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$265,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2028	$54,000.00
Design:			$557,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2029	07/01/2030	$3,426,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	20.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	32.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Hereford					
PIF #:	17886	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: New 2.0 MGD WWTP					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: New 2.0 MGD WWTP					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$85,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$5,875,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	01/01/2029	$53,403,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Redwood Vista WSC				
PIF #:	17887	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Redwood Vista WSC - Guadalupe County WW Treatment System Planning, Design, Acquisition				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: The Rancho Vista and Redwood subdivisions in unincorporated Redwood, Guadalupe County, are experiencing a public health emergency due to widespread failure of decentralized wastewater systems (septic), resulting in untreated sewage build up. This project will involve planning, acquisition, and design of new wastewater infrastructure to replace the failed systems to provide safe sewage disposal for up to 1,400 connections. While regionalization with a neighboring utility is the preferred long term solution, the construction of an independent wastewater treatment plant is the currently recommended alternative, with costs evaluated for both scenarios in the PEFR. Initially, approximately 330 connections are expected to participate in this infrastructure for a total estimated population served of 990.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$585,300.00
Acquisition:			$2,112,000.00
Design:			$1,022,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/31/2027	06/01/2028	$0.00

Green Project:	Yes-CE
Green Amount:	$3,719,300.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00

Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	42.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Woodloch					
PIF #:	17890	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Town of Woodloch Sanitary Sewer System Replacement					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace approximately 15,000 linear feet of deteriorated sanitary sewer lines that are experiencing inflow and infiltration and are in poor structural condition.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$90,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$70,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/02/2026	06/01/2028	$825,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $30,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	20.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Texas Water Utilities, L.P.				
PIF #:	17893	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Stoney Point Wastewater System Upgrade				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction
Project Description:	The project includes replacement of collection system lines, an upgrade of the existing wastewater lift station, and upgrades to the existing WWTP to reduce I/I impacts during storm events, that creates sanitary sewer overflows throughout the system. The proposed project will also include development of an asset management plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$486,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$327,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2027	$8,454,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $9,267,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.		
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
	Rating:	

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Huntsville					
PIF #:	17894	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Huntsville Non-Point Source Improvements					
Project Type: Nonpoint Source				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will determine causes and solutions to numerous drainage issues and FEMA claims in the City.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$1,800,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$1,276,750.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	05/01/2030	$11,864,950.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Lower Valley WD					
PIF #:	17895	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Mesa Del Norte Wastewater Treatment Plant					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Construction	
Project Description: LVWD will finalize design and construction of a 0.9 MGD Aeromod Wastewater Treatment Plant					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$0.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2028	12/31/2031	$25,215,060.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	43.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Raymondville					
PIF #:	17896	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of Raymondville Expansion and Rehabilitation of WWTP					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will consist of 3 parts which will consist of constructing a new treatment facility to handle the current treatment design flow of 1.5 MGD to allow the old facility to be shut down for major rehabilitation. The old facility currently has one clarifier of the two available for the treatment. The aeration basin needs repairs at the headworks which require the complete shutdown. The final portion of the project is to construct a reclaiming and reuse of wastewater treatment and distribution.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$696,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$938,140.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2028	06/30/2029	$11,096,930.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	112.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Brock				
PIF #:	17897	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Wastewater Collection and Treatment Plant Design				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Design & Construction

Project Description: The Town of Brock was incorporated in 2016. The Town Commission has identified the need to create a new publicly owned and operated wastewater collection and treatment system for the Town to more professionally manage wastewater discharge of the growing community. The Town currently consists of approximately 1,764 residents, all of which are on septic systems.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$250,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2026	$50,000.00
Design:			$561,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	01/01/2030	$5,086,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: La Grulla					
PIF #:	17898	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: City of La Grulla Wastewater System Rehabilitation & Upgrades					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Project will consist of rehabilitating and replacing existing lift stations.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$350,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$4,545,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/28/2027	12/31/2028	$975,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: $3,600,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	30.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	Commodore Cove ID				
PIF #:	17899	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Sewer Plant Rehab				
Project Type:	POTW			**Project Phase:**	Planning & Construction
Project Description:	The forty-year-old sewer plant needs to be refurbished due to equipment age and deterioration due to ground subsidence and saltwater atmosphere corrosion problems. The security fence which is required has deteriorated dues to the saltwater environment. Note: This sewer system empties into Oyster Creekwhich which falls under TCEQ watershed protection plan.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$121,700.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/25/2027	12/02/2027	$278,000.00

Green Project:	No
Green Amount:	$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: Josephine					
PIF #:	17901	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upsize the existing wastewater collection system lines, and connect the septic system to the City WWTP system					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$383,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$540,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	01/12/2028	$5,715,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: $0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority:	DF1 Metals, LLC				
PIF #:	17902	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs:					
Project Name:	Mine-Impacted Drainage (AMD) NPS Pollution Control: Interception, Treatment, and Monitoring				
Project Type:	Nonpoint Source			**Project Phase:**	Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: This project is proposed as a nonpoint source (NPS) pollution control project addressing diffuse mine seepage/runoff that impacts waters of the state. The project is structured as a capital water-quality improvement effort (planning, design, procurement, installation, and performance monitoring of a treatment remedy), rather than basic research. DF1 will coordinate with relevant watershed stakeholders and applicable Texas nonpoint source program priorities to ensure the project aligns with recognized best management practices (BMPs) for nonpoint source water-quality improvement.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$150,000.00
Acquisition:			$0.00
Design:			$100,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2026	09/30/2027	$250,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: $5,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity has a Cybersecutity Awareness Plan that has been adopted by it's governing body in last 5 years.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project addresses a deficiency found in a Cybersecurity Assessment.	No	0.00
	Rating:	16.00

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority: BCS Services Corporation					
PIF #:	17904	**Program:**	CWSRF	**PPL Year:**	2027
Related PIFs: INELIGIBLE - not a WSC (NON-PROFIT)					
Project Name: Paradise Way Rural Wastewater Treatment & Infrastructure Project					
Project Type: POTW				**Project Phase:** Planning	
Project Description: BCS Services Corp proposes to construct a decentralized wastewater treatment facility and supporting infrastructure to serve the growing rural population near Paradise Way in Navasota, Grimes County. This project will provide reliable wastewater collection and treatment capacity for residential and workforce housing, replacing failing or inadequate on-site systems and supporting regional growth.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			$430,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2026	$2,200,000.00
Design:			$1,300,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2027	12/31/2028	$11,350,000.00

Green Project:	Yes-BC
Green Amount:	$450,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
	Rating:	