

**State Fiscal Year 2019
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Mission			
PIF #:	12568	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: North Mission Sanitary Sewer Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construction of first time collection facilities for which EDAP funded planning and design. See EDAP Project 10439.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2018	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2018	02/01/2019	\$5,052,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	61.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Forsan			
PIF #:	12740	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Forsan First Time Sewer Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of First Time Sewer Collection lines in the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$305,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2020	\$60,000.00
Design:			\$260,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2020	04/01/2023	\$4,950,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Edgewood			
PIF #:	12741	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Edgewood Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Edgewood proposes to replace aging collection system lines, manholes, and lift stations to eliminate high I/I within the collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$130,430.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2020	\$21,941.00
Design:			\$132,635.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2019	10/01/2019	\$1,114,994.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Angelina & Neches RA			
PIF #:	12742	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Regionalization of Angelina County Fresh Water Supply District No. 1 WWTF (Angelina County FWSD #1)			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The Angelina & Neches River Authority (RA) proposes to decommission the existing Angelia County Fresh Water Supply District No. 1 Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF), and transfer it's flows to the Angelina & Neches River Authority's North Angelina County Regional Wastewater Facility (NACRWF). The RA also needs to install new lift stations, force mains, including upgrading and expanding the existing NACRWF.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$486,350.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2019	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$508,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2019	06/01/2020	\$5,685,350.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		120.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Harris Co WCID # 36			
PIF #:	12743	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Planning, design, and construction of a new WWTP owned and operated by WCID #36. Currently, the District's effluent is treated by Harris Co FWSD #51.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$405,000.00
Acquisition:		10/31/2020	\$0.00
Design:			\$2,225,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/30/2019	10/31/2020	\$16,635,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Brady			
PIF #:	12744	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Replacement Project			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Full replacement of existing WWTP placed into service circa 1963 with minimal beneficial improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/31/2019	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	11/08/2019	10/28/2021	\$14,705,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	82.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Sandbranch			
PIF #:	12745	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Wastewater Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install approx. 30,000 linear feet of PVC new wastewater lines, a lift station, and appurtenances. Effluent will be delivered to the Dallas Southside WWTP for treatment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2019	\$250,000.00
Design:			\$230,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2019	12/31/2020	\$2,490,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$750,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	63.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: DeLeon			
PIF #:	12746	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of replacing existing portions of the old and dilapidated wastewater collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$86,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2019	07/02/2018	\$914,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	25.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Upper Leon River MWD			
PIF #:	12747	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: ULRMWD 2019 WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project involves improving clarification, solids handling and solids dewatering at the existing WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$257,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$130,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$2,385,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$782,300.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	81.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Colorado City			
PIF #:	12748	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Headworks Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed replacement of headworks equipment with a new automatic bar screen, grit trap, grit classifier, sludge belt press, feed pump, associated sludge processing equipment, and piping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$185,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2020	01/01/2021	\$2,315,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Alto			
PIF #:	12749	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs: 11905, 12331			
Project Name: Waste Water Treatment Facility Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of Primary Aeration Basin, Yard Piping and Influent Lift Station. Installation of new Secondary Clarifier. Develop Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,663.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$194,933.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2020	01/31/2021	\$1,959,404.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	61.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: lola			
PIF #:	12750	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: First-Time Wastewater Service			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the construction of a first-time sanitary sewer system for the Town of lola, Texas. The system will include a gravity collection system, lift stations, and a wastewater treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$110,000.00
Acquisition:		01/02/2021	\$285,000.00
Design:			\$1,350,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2021	09/01/2022	\$9,250,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	84.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Ennis			
PIF #:	12751	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs: 12753, 12754			
Project Name: Downtown Sewer Line Improvements 1			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged and deteriorated sewerlines and appurtenances within the City of Ennis collection system. Preparation and implementation of Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$68,750.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$474,628.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2019	10/01/2021	\$3,936,480.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	6.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	12752	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Citywide Sanitary Sewer Collection System Rehab			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The project provides for the rehabilitation/replacement of the existing wastewater collection systems citywide.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/01/2022	\$44,000,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		91.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Ennis			
PIF #:	12753	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs: 12751, 12754			
Project Name: Downtown Sewer Line Improvements 2			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged and deteriorated sewerlines and appurtenances within the City of Ennis collection system. Preparation and implementation of Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$137,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,159,444.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2019	10/01/2021	\$9,625,429.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
	Rating:	6.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Ennis			
PIF #:	12754	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs: 12751, 12753			
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new influent lift station, sludge handling upgrades, process upgrades, and disinfection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$210,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$590,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2019	05/01/2021	\$6,010,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Jacksonville			
PIF #:	12755	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: East Side Sanitary Sewer Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Remove & Replace approximately 17,000 LF deteriorated 10", 8" and 6" Sanitary Sewer & related appurtenances on east side of Jacksonville.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2019	06/30/2020	\$3,637,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,993,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	25.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Canadian			
PIF #:	12756	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sewer Collection System Rehabilitation and Automated Water Meter Installation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace aged, clay - tile sewer collection lines and aged mechanical water meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$33,200.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$215,400.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2020	12/31/2020	\$830,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$418,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		9.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Covington			
PIF #:	12757	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Upgrade Wastewater Treatment Plant			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City's current lagoon type treatment system is difficult to maintain for current TCEQ permit thresholds. The plant needs to be upgraded to a more conventional type treatment to maintain permit status and to accommodate growth.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$125,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$140,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2019	08/31/2020	\$1,220,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Grapeland			
PIF #:	12758	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Upgrade Wastewater Treatment Plant			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The existing plant needs maintenance and upgrades. Proposed upgrades include a parallel treatment process that would then allow for repairs of the existing plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$375,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2020	08/31/2021	\$5,305,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Childress			
PIF #:	12759	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Childress - Airport Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrades to Treatment Facility			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$99,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/11/2019	03/11/2020	\$1,076,075.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	70.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Daingerfield			
PIF #:	12760	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer System Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing sewer collection piping and install WWTP upgrades			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$165,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$335,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2019	05/01/2020	\$2,925,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	16.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Buckholts			
PIF #:	12761	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs: 12335			
Project Name: Wastewater System and Drainage Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project includes the replacement of the existing wastewater treatment plant with a new, energy efficient, package plant, as well as improvements to the wastewater collection system to reduce I/I.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$312,950.00
Acquisition:		04/30/2019	\$43,000.00
Design:			\$207,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	12/31/2019	\$2,022,850.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	55.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Pinehurst			
PIF #:	12762	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace equipment at treatment plant. Rework ditches to promote drainage through stormwater channels.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$553,980.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$239,640.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2020	12/31/2021	\$6,220,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	120.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Cleburne			
PIF #:	12763	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Cleburne WWTF Expansion and Reuse Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Project 1: Improvements at the City of Cleburne WWTF to increase capacity and provide 3.5 MGD of high quality reclaimed effluent for indirect potable reuse and direct nonpotable reuse applications (Type I and II). Project 2: Construction of pump station and pipeline to transfer high quality reclaimed effluent to permitted outfall on the City's primary water supply reservoir (IPR) as well as industrial and non-consumptive users on the City's west side.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$237,825.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2021	\$664,078.00
Design:			\$3,475,869.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	04/30/2023	\$35,757,840.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$19,250,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	79.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Lefors			
PIF #:	12764	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Lefors Wastewater Improvements Project			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: WWTP improvements such as screw pump replacement, repair of existing clarifiers, and the addition of an aeration unit.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,375.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/31/2019	\$153,769.00
Est. Construction:	12/15/2019	12/15/2020	\$548,693.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	55.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Gladewater			
PIF #:	12765	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Phase 2 Wastewater Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrades to the WWTP and WW collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$180,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$586,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2019	03/01/2021	\$4,827,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	12766	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements at the wastewater treatment plant and lift station rehabilitation.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$579,938.74
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$322,744.90
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	09/01/2020	\$7,950,441.24

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	51.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Comanche			
PIF #:	12767	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing sewer line to mitigate inflow and infiltration.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$22,400.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2019	07/02/2019	\$337,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$425,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	87.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Graford			
PIF #:	12768	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs: 11105			
Project Name: Manhole Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing manholes to reduce inflow and infiltration into the sewer collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$27,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2019	04/02/2019	\$143,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$215,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	12769	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Pottsboro Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed project includes rehabilitation and new construction at the WWTP and lift station facilities, associated pipelines, and increasing the capacity of the WWTP to comply with TCEQ permitting requirements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$452,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2019	\$3,000.00
Design:			\$680,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2020	08/01/2021	\$9,390,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	20.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Lago Vista			
PIF #:	12770	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lago Vista Upgrade to Type I Reclaimed Water			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City is constructing a large public park that will require irrigation of baseball and soccer fields. At present the only water available for irrigation of these facilities is potable water. This project will upgrade the City's reclaimed water to Type I standards so it can be used to irrigate these new park facilities.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$155,750.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$200,750.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2019	10/01/2020	\$2,643,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	25.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Madisonville			
PIF #:	12771	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of Treatment Plant Units and Aged Concrete Lines			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$489,400.00
Acquisition:		04/30/2018	\$0.00
Design:			\$197,400.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2020	10/01/2021	\$4,137,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Orange Co WCID # 2			
PIF #:	12772	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sewer System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Elevate sensitive components of treatment plant above the high water mark of recent catastrophic flooding. Rehabilitate controls, electrical conduit, and conductors.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$211,987.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$127,180.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2020	10/01/2021	\$2,102,485.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	12773	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Rehabilitate Existing Wastewater Treatment Plant			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City's existing wastewater treatment plant's equipment is in need of equipment rehabilitation, repair and replacement. Additionally, other improvements are being proposed to bring plant up to newer standards. Some of the equipment will be replaced with more energy efficient systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$765,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2019	07/01/2020	\$7,750,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$450,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 4a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		90.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Quitman			
PIF #:	12774	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Collection system improvements include the replacement of existing deteriorated gravity collection system piping consisting of 5,800 Lf of 12-in sewer main and 500 LF of 8-in sewer collection lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$213,000.00
Acquisition:		03/09/2019	\$125,500.00
Design:			\$167,300.00
Est. Construction:	03/09/2019	09/09/2019	\$807,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	16.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Alma			
PIF #:	12775	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Construct New Wastewater Treatment Plant and Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The new WWTP and collection systems will serve residences and business that are currently on local septic facilities. The new system will be sized to collect and treat wastewater from future developments as well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$250,000.00
Acquisition:			\$115,000.00
Design:			\$375,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2020	05/31/2021	\$4,300,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	12776	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Elimination and Regionalization of System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Decommission City's existing WWTP and connect the City's wastewater collection system to a local treatment provider by installing approximately 5.5 miles of 12-inch effluent transmission line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$185,000.00
Acquisition:			\$460,000.00
Design:			\$320,600.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	04/01/2020	\$4,634,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$5,600,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	60.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Fayetteville			
PIF #:	12777	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sludge Drying Bed and Clay Pipe Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City has difficulty treating wastewater to permit standards based on rainfall intrusion into the crumbling clay pipes and the open top sludge drying beds. With the completion of the new lines and the new enclosed sludge drying bed, effluent discharged into the stream segment will demonstrate more consistent compliance with permit limits. Increased sludge handling capacity will also provide for an increased wastewater treatment capacity in the existing wastewater treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$47,200.00
Est. Construction:	10/30/2018	02/28/2019	\$252,800.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Granger			
PIF #:	12778	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Granger Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Granger proposes to rehabilitate the City's wastewater treatment facility, lift stations, and collection system components.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$180,525.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$80,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/31/2019	12/31/2019	\$739,575.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	36.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	12779	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Dos Rios and Leon Creek WRCs Electrical System Improvements – Phase 2			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This construction project will replace various electrical switchgear, motor control centers, and transformers that are aging, in poor condition, and/or do not meet Federal, State, and Local electrical codes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/22/2018	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/21/2019	09/11/2020	\$28,964,710.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Dublin			
PIF #:	12780	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Dublin (City) proposes to replace existing, deteriorated clay tile sewer lines causing excessive infiltration and inflow. The City also proposes to extend sewer services to approximately 15 households eliminating their on-site sewage facilities.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$110,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$184,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2019	09/15/2019	\$3,205,400.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		71.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Olmito WSC			
PIF #:	12781	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Improvements and Expansion to Existing Wastewater Treatment Plant			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Existing WWTP will be renovated and expanded from 0.75 mgd to 1.25 mgd to correct TCEQ violations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/01/2018	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2019	12/01/2020	\$8,530,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	65.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Groves			
PIF #:	12782	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate existing lift stations and gravity lines, install new force main.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$373,920.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$160,490.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2020	08/01/2021	\$3,690,470.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Palo Pinto County			
PIF #:	12783	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Palo Pinto County Wastewater Treatment Plant			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of a new Wastewater Treatment Plant to replace the old plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$110,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$100,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2019	05/01/2021	\$2,000,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Gustine			
PIF #:	12784	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Station Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of making improvements to four existing lift stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$41,800.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2019	05/02/2019	\$193,200.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$280,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		45.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Millsap			
PIF #:	12785	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Wastewater System			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Project consists of installing a new wastewater system for the City of Millsap. The City currently does not have a wastewater collection or treatment system. The existing residents have private septic systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$105,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2019	\$80,000.00
Design:			\$279,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2019	10/01/2020	\$2,936,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,400,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	31.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Horseshoe Bay			
PIF #:	12786	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Horseshoe Bay Wastewater Reclamation Facilities Expansion Phase II			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Expansion and improvements to existing treatment plant. 100% of effluent is irrigated to area golf courses. Emphasis on improved energy management as well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	12/31/2019	\$5,244,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	41.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Olmito WSC			
PIF #:	12787	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project will add two master lift stations, gravity collection lines, and new force mains to the existing wastewater collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2018	\$0.00
Design:		12/01/2018	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/03/2019	06/01/2020	\$3,445,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	31.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	12788	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Helotes Creek Gravity Main & Lift Station #246 Elimination			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The Helotes Creek Lift Station #246 Elimination project consists of constructing approximately 14,800 linear feet of 15-inch gravity wastewater mains.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/19/2019	\$0.00
Design:		06/21/2019	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/14/2020	06/22/2021	\$13,219,930.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Grand Saline			
PIF #:	12789	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of replacing and installing new equipment at the existing wastewater treatment plant			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$58,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/04/2019	08/02/2019	\$722,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$850,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: White Settlement			
PIF #:	12790	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System I/I Reduction			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City is currently undertaking the effort to develop a preliminary asset management plan for their wastewater system infrastructure. The scoring system for the condition of facilities was based on several criteria such as pipeline diameter, material, age, capacity, history of repairs and criticality. For above ground facilities some of the criteria included electrical, mechanical, site, structural, etc. For each asset an overall risk score was assigned. The City is seeking this funding to expand on their preliminary asset management efforts to include a full master plan with hydraulic modeling. Through the effort those assets that were identified at high risk of failure and are highly critical have been mapped and preliminary cost estimates have been developed. This project will fund the additional asset management and master planning efforts and the rehabilitation of infrastructure identified as high risk.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$415,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2019	12/31/2022	\$1,623,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,070,020.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	7.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Falfurrias			
PIF #:	12791	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Falfurrias (City) is proposing to make improvements to its wastewater collection system and wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). Collection system improvements include replacement of lift stations and gravity and force main pipelines. Also proposed are improvements to the WWTP that includes repairs to the clarifiers, addition of drying beds, and the installation of head-works equipment , electrical and other site miscellaneous improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2019	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/03/2018	02/01/2019	\$5,100,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Diego MUD # 1			
PIF #:	12792	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of improvements to the District's Wastewater Treatment Plant, Lift Stations, Sanitary Sewer collection lines, trunk lines, and manholes throughout the District's system. The project also smoke testing and the preparation and development of an Asset Management Plan for the District, which would include a sanitary sewer model of the existing system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$195,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$145,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2019	02/28/2020	\$1,600,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		16.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Wolfe City			
PIF #:	12793	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wolfe City Sanitary Sewer Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes sewer line replacements, lift station improvements and manhole rehabilitation in the City of Wolfe City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$335,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$320,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2019	01/01/2020	\$3,990,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Jacksonville			
PIF #:	12794	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Woodlawn Lift Station Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replace 50+ year old lift station with new lift station that has proper capacity, modern pumps and electrical controls. Because of the age of the lift station and its electrical and mechanical components, finding replacement parts is difficult, and requires long lead times. Therefore, the lift station is often out of service for days at a time, until new parts can be located.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$94,200.00
Acquisition:			\$65,000.00
Design:			\$165,800.00
Est. Construction:	11/04/2019	08/28/2020	\$1,674,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	12795	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: GTUA/City of Sherman WWTP Improvements Project			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater Treatment Plant improvements to include rehabilitation of primary clarifier, and appurtenances as necessary for the project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$66,673.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$110,500.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2019	06/01/2020	\$936,087.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Lubbock			
PIF #:	12796	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: In the last 10 years, the technology to collect and evaluate meter data remotely has become more reliable and cost effective. Advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) consists of automated meters that communicate meter data to an integrated communication network which transmits the data to a central data management point. Some of the benefits of water AMI include improved billing accuracy, early identification of meter malfunctions, timely detection of leaks, reduction in water bill disputes, enhanced water system management, and reduction in meter reading costs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		04/01/2020	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	10/01/2020	\$20,638,070.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$20,638,070.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	6.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Joaquin			
PIF #:	12797	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Demolish the existing WWTP package treatment units and replace with new WWTP package treatment units.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$85,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$275,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	04/01/2020	\$3,555,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	55.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Haskell			
PIF #:	12798	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Haskell (City) is proposing to replace the old WWTP, which currently violates the effluent discharge permit limitations, with a new lagoon and pond system followed by irrigation for a no discharge system. The City is also proposing to replace approximately 4 blocks of dilapidated section of wastewater line along Avenue H from North 8th street to North 4th street.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$230,000.00
Acquisition:		07/31/2019	\$750,000.00
Design:			\$450,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2020	07/31/2021	\$4,870,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		45.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Pineland			
PIF #:	12799	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed project is for Planning, Design, and replacement of the City of Pineland's existing WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2019	\$0.00
Design:			\$113,500.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2020	03/01/2021	\$1,516,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	60.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Alpine			
PIF #:	12800	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Alpine Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to perform a needs assessment for an asset management program, upgrade existing system to replace outdated or inefficient equipment, and increase capacity of the Ciy's reclaimed water storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2019	06/30/2020	\$821,200.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$80,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Los Fresnos			
PIF #:	12801	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements to WWTP Headworks, including new bar screen and grit removal system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		04/30/2018	\$121,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2018	09/01/2019	\$1,095,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	25.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	12802	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Mitchell Lake Wetlands Water Quality Treatment Initiatives Phase 1			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: SAWS is exploring the concept of constructing approximately 115 acres of treatment wetlands downstream of the dam to improve the quality of water discharged from the lake.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	07/30/2019	\$1,228,209.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		90.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Vernon			
PIF #:	12803	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements and Reuse			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City is proposing to rehabilitate both the primary and secondary clarifier, add a second primary clarifier, replace headworks units including, grit removal and bar screen, rehabilitate the main lift station, rehabilitate the existing sand filers, replace the belt press and rehabilitate and add control and automation processes throughout the plant. The City is also proposing to install 8 miles of treated effluent line from the WWTP for beneficial reuse.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$250,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$440,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2020	06/01/2021	\$6,010,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Corrigan			
PIF #:	12804	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP & Collection Sys Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Expand Wastewater Treatment Plant to replace existing deteriorating treatment units and accommodate residential and industrial growth. Improvements to existing lift station, adding manholes to improve accessibility, and Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$205,000.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2019	\$26,000.00
Design:			\$250,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2019	08/31/2019	\$4,035,446.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Kerr County			
PIF #:	12805	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Phase III- East Kerr County/Center Point Wastewater Collection Project			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Eastern Kerr County and Center Point customers are currently on septic systems that often malfunction and are on lots that are too small. This project will extend conventional sewer collection system to the community.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2019	12/31/2020	\$12,000,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		114.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Eagle Pass			
PIF #:	12806	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: EPWWS WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater treatment plant improvements to improve energy efficiency and meet capacity and operational needs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$73,216.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,913,600.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2020	07/01/2022	\$20,013,180.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$9,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Athens			
PIF #:	12807	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2019 Athens Wastewater Infrastructure Improvement Program			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will focus on two separate infrastructure improvements to enhance and improve the effectiveness of the Athens North Wastewater Treatment plant. A treatment plant trickling filter will undergo significant rehabilitation and an 8-inch sewer main along Pinkerton Street that feeds the plant will be replaced with a larger line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$253,440.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	12/31/2020	\$2,077,023.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Terrell			
PIF #:	12808	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Terrell has several improvements proposed to upgrade their sewer system. These improvements include two phases of upgrades to the Terrell WWTP, as well as replacements of lift stations and sections of force mains and sewer lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2019	\$0.00
Design:		06/01/2018	\$2,127,888.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2018	12/31/2022	\$22,422,112.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	25.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: New Waverly			
PIF #:	12809	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer Improvements on US 75			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace approximately 2500 linear feet of sanitary sewer line along U.S. 75 in the City Limits of New Waverly.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$59,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	11/01/2019	\$456,300.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Throckmorton			
PIF #:	12810	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Throckmorton cannot meet their discharge permit standards with their current pond system. The City proposes to install irrigation facilities and transition to a no-discharge facility.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2019	\$0.00
Design:			\$48,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2019	12/31/2019	\$622,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	85.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Rockdale			
PIF #:	12811	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements and rehabilitation of the City's sewer infrastructure, including improvements, repairs, and upgrades to the WWTP, lift stations, manholes, and sewer lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$780,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,500,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/15/2019	10/15/2024	\$11,775,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	60.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Kennard			
PIF #:	12812	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Kennard WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed project will rehabilitate existing wastewater including removal of sludge from existing ponds to restore original treatment capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2019	10/01/2020	\$610,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Alice				
PIF #:	12813	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2019
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Wastewater Collection Infrastructure Improvements				
Project Type: POTW – Collection			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Remove and replace aging concrete wastewater collection system lines and install manholes, sewer taps.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$389,334.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$349,334.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2020	10/01/2022	\$3,319,096.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$4,057,764.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	11.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Port Arthur			
PIF #:	12814	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Port Arthur Main WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construction funding for the improvements to the Main WWTP. Planning and designed were funded under TWDB Project No. 73688/Loan No. L1000298.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$226,000.00
Acquisition:			\$600,000.00
Design:			\$904,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/24/2019	06/08/2022	\$67,611,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$16,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		100.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Beeville			
PIF #:	12815	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Beeville Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to complete improvements to the pretreatment system, terminal lift station and motor control center at its Moore St. WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$254,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$248,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/31/2020	\$4,851,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,684,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	11.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Arlington			
PIF #:	12816	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2019 Wastewater Collection Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Arlington's project includes the replacement of approximately 6,400 L.F. of existing 8" to 66" wastewater pipelines identified as deteriorated with high failure potential, and excessive I/I.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$6,878,144.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$6,878,114.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		90.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Troup			
PIF #:	12817	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Plant Rehabilitation 2019			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project includes the replacement of deteriorated wastewater treatment facility components.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$2,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$965,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Harris Co FWSD # 47			
PIF #:	12818	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: WWTP Improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$814,200.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$117,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$146,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Slaton			
PIF #:	12819	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Slaton proposes to replace the existing force main from an existing main lift station to the Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP), and install a permanent onsite generator to provide back-up power at the main lift station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$113,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$112,500.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2019	12/31/2019	\$2,344,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	12.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Royalwood MUD			
PIF #:	12820	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate/upgrade WWTP and plant site.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$49,850.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$94,500.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$614,250.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	70.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Balch Springs			
PIF #:	12821	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2019 Wastewater Collection Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Balch Springs replacement segments within the City's collection system are intended to replace approximately 17,697 linear feet of existing 6-inch to 10-inch VCP wastewater mains with 6-inch to 10-inch HDPE pipe in various locations within the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2019	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	12/31/2019	\$1,281,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,281,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Grand Prairie			
PIF #:	12822	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WW Collection System Replacement - Various Locations			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Grand Prairie proposes to replace approximately 10,477 linear feet of existing 6-inch to 18-inch wastewater mains with 8-inch to 18-inch pipe identified in various locations within the collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/01/2019	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$3,672,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,672,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	27.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Roby			
PIF #:	12823	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Roby WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City needs to upgrade its existing WWTP to restore treatment capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$102,667.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$57,333.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	09/27/2019	\$758,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$918,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	54.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Coahoma			
PIF #:	12824	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Coahoma 2019 Wastewater Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes improvements to the City's WWTP and collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$127,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$303,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$3,510,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,980,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	49.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Horizon Regional MUD			
PIF #:	12825	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Horizon View Estates Wastewater Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of a wastewater collection system within Horizon View Community for routing to the existing Horizon Regional MUD wastewater treatment plant. This would include approximately 36,000 feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer and approximately 1800 feet of 12-inch sanitary sewer within the Horizon View Community.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$250,000.00
Acquisition:			\$1,940,000.00
Design:			\$900,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$7,910,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Eden			
PIF #:	12826	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Eden 2019 Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct an additional lift station between the prison and the lift station pumps that is equipped with a mechanical fine screen to filter out any debris that might make their way into the sewer system; extend collection system, with lift station, force main, and gravity collector, to tie in approximately 40 connections currently on OSSFs; and installing new filter screens at main entry to WWTP to filter out large debris. The applicant will also develop an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$175,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$119,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$2,192,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	22.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Evant			
PIF #:	12827	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Evant 2019 Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Evant (City) proposes to improve the aging wastewater treatment plant's (WWTP) ability to meet its permit limits. The project includes rehabilitation and upgrades to the existing treatment processes, and propose to replace deteriorated collection pipe lines to reduce inflow and infiltration.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$211,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$152,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$1,707,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$966,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		43.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Miles			
PIF #:	12828	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Evaluation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: The WWTP is in need of upgrade and/or replacement and the City wants to evaluate improvements needed to the WWTP and its collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$200,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	27.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Roma			
PIF #:	12829	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Roma 2019 Wastewater Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of improvements to the City's WWTP and one of its lift stations within its collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$198,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$152,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$2,594,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,432,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	37.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Stamford			
PIF #:	12830	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Stamford 2019 Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes replacement of an existing lift station and replacement of aging sewer lines in the collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$214,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$303,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$3,354,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	66.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Breckenridge			
PIF #:	12831	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include the rehabilitation of lift stations throughout the collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$166,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$130,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2019	12/31/2019	\$2,136,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,432,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Winters			
PIF #:	12832	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Winters 2019 Wastewater Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Repair/Replace aged collections lines (clay) and replace collapsed manholes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$212,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$163,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$2,520,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,575,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	21.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Garland			
PIF #:	12833	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Pipeline Replacement - Various Locations			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Garland (the City) project for which funding is requested is for the correction of major sources of infiltration/inflow into the sanitary sewer collection system. The systematic correction of these sources is an ongoing task. The City's sewer collection system is relatively old and continually in need of revitalization to reduce sources of infiltration/inflow, and replacement of substandard mains is essential. The City is utilizing Cityworks to collect information in regards to the City's assets. In future periods, the City will use this information to assess the condition of the assets and prioritize their replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$2,250,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,250,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	27.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: North Alamo WSC			
PIF #:	12834	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: North Weslaco Colonias WWTP & Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construction funding to provide first-time sewer service to a cluster of 10 colonias within North Alamo's Sewer system area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2018	12/14/2019	\$15,854,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	76.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Lower Valley WD			
PIF #:	12835	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Full AMR/AMI Meter and Metering System Replacement Project			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project's focus is water conservation, addressing the District's water loss issues through technological upgrades to the metering system. The project will entail the replacement of current metering infrastructure with AMI meters with cellular capabilities. Currently, the majority of LVWD's meters are over 10 years old and the antennas supporting the system are over radio, making the system antiquated and inefficient.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	03/31/2020	\$5,720,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$5,200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	15.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Acton MUD			
PIF #:	12836	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMUD 2019 Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Acton MUD is proposing to expand their sewer collection system to include several neighborhoods near Lake Granbury which are currently served by old, dilapidated, leaking septic tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$321,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$743,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$11,530,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	60.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Acton MUD			
PIF #:	12837	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP #2 (Pecan Plantation) Expansion			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Existing facilities at the Pecan Plantation WWTP will need to be expanded in order to effectively and efficiently process additional flows due to growth in the system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$325,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$499,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	12/25/2020	\$6,216,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$7,040,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	49.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Granbury			
PIF #:	12838	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Granbury 2019 WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Granbury is proposing to replace its existing WWTP that has reached the end of its useful life.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$946,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$3,083,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/26/2021	\$40,718,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$44,747,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	29.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Granbury			
PIF #:	12839	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Granbury 2019 Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Granbury is proposing to replace several of its lift stations that have reached the end of their useful life. The project will also include development of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$215,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2019	\$210,000.00
Design:			\$280,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/27/2020	\$4,947,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Missouri City			
PIF #:	12840	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Missouri City 2019 WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to expand its Steep Bank / Flat Bank WWTP facility to allow taking a nearby WWTP offline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$320,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$2,019,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2019	03/26/2021	\$25,411,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$27,750,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	93.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Marlin			
PIF #:	12841	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Quality Protection/Stormwater Management			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Improve street drainage, relocate utilities as necessary to install improvements. The storm sewer collection system will drain through two water quality ponds in the City of Mun Park and then to Perry Creek which drains into the Brazos River.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$462,701.00
Est. Construction:	06/15/2019	06/15/2021	\$6,457,299.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		45.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Seadrift			
PIF #:	12842	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a 42' diameter clarifier, a 3,000 cf chlorine contact chamber, a RAS lift station, and place blowers, air headers, and diffusers to increase the treatment capacity from 0.3MGD to 0.4MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$159,500.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2019	05/31/2020	\$1,397,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	40.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	12901	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2019		
Related PIFs: 12266, 9399			
Project Name: Lift Station Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The project will rehabilitate/replace/enlarge 6 lift stations and the construction of associated force mains to address capacity issues within the current wastewater collection system. Due to the inability of these lift stations to pump during rain events, there has been raw water spills, overcharging manholes and back ups into residences. This project application will fund construction only. Planning and design were previously funded with Project 73637, SFY 2012 IUP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2019	06/01/2020	\$3,945,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 4a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		90.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Dripping Springs			
PIF #:	12904	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2019
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: South Regional Wastewater Facilities Expansion			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Expansion of Dripping Springs South Regional Wastewater System. Including the construction of a new WWTP and increasing capacity at the current WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,115,184.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2020	\$307,108.00
Design:			\$5,016,904.00
Est. Construction:	03/14/2020	03/23/2022	\$37,191,000.00

Green Project: Yes
Green Amount: \$18,275,460.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		89.00