Formulation and Numerical Implementation of the 3D ADCIRC Finite Element Model Version 36.01

\

Rick Luettich
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Institute of Marine Sciences
3431 Arendell St.
Morehead City, NC 28557

Joannes Westerink
Department of Civil Engineering and Geological Sciences
University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, IN 46556

2001-483-402

Contractors Report

Prepared for the Texas Water Development Board
Austin Texas 78711

1.0 CONTINUITY EQUATION

Both the vertically-integrated (ADCIRC-2DDI) and the fully three-dimensional (ADCIRC-3D) versions of ADCIRC solve a vertically-integrated continuity equation for water surface elevation. To avoid the spurious oscillations that are associated with a primitive Galerkin finite element formulation of this equation, ADCIRC utilizes the Generalized Wave Continuity Equation (GWCE) formulation. Development of the weak weighted residual form of the GWCE used in ADCIRC is briefly summarized below.

The vertically-integrated continuity equation is

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(UH) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(VH) = 0 \qquad (0.1)$$

where

$$U,V \equiv \frac{1}{H}\int_{-h}^{\zeta} u,v \ dz = \text{depth-averaged velocities in the } x,y \text{ directions}$$

$u,v = $ *vertically-varying velocities in the x,y directions*

$H \equiv \zeta + h = $ *total water column thickness*

$h = $ *bathymetric depth*

$\zeta = $ *free surface departure from the geoid*

Take $\partial/\partial t$ of Eq. (0.1), add to this Eq. (0.1) multiplied by the parameter τ_o (which may be variable in space), assume a bathymetric depth that does not change in time, (i.e., $\partial H/\partial t = \partial \zeta/\partial t$) and rearrange using the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2} + \tau_o \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{A}_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{A}_y}{\partial y} - UH\frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial x} - VH\frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial y} = 0 \qquad (0.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_x \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(UH) + \tau_o UH \qquad (0.3)$$

$$= \frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial t} + \tau_o Q_x \qquad (0.4)$$

$$= H\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \tau_o UH \qquad (0.5)$$

$$\tilde{A}_y \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(VH) + \tau_o VH \qquad (0.6)$$

$$= \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial t} + \tau_o Q_y \qquad (0.7)$$

$$= H\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + V\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \tau_o VH \qquad (0.8)$$

2

$Q_x, Q_x \equiv UH, VH = x, y$ - *directed flux per unit width*

Note that Eqs. (0.3), (0.4) and (0.5) are equivalent as are Eqs. (0.6), (0.7) and (0.8).

The weighted residual method is applied to Eq. (0.2) by multiplying each term by a weighting function ϕ_j and integrating over the horizontal computational domain Ω.

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \tau_o \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y}, \phi_j \right\rangle - \left\langle UH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle - \left\langle VH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial y}, \phi_j \right\rangle = 0 \qquad (0.9)$$

where, the inner product notation $\langle \ \rangle$ is defined as

$$\left\langle \Upsilon, \phi_j \right\rangle \equiv \int_\Omega \Upsilon \phi_j \, d\Omega$$

Integrating the terms involving $\tilde{A}_x$ and $\tilde{A}_y$ by parts, using Eqs. (0.4) and (0.7), yields a weak form of this equation

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \tau_o \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \phi_j \right\rangle - \left\langle \tilde{A}_x, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right\rangle - \left\langle \tilde{A}_y, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right\rangle$$
$$- \left\langle UH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle - \left\langle VH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial y}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \int_\Gamma \left[\frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial t} + \tau_o Q_N \right] \phi_j d\Gamma = 0 \qquad (0.10)$$

Eq. (0.10) includes an integral along the boundary, Γ, of the computational domain involving the outward flux per unit width, Q_N, normal to this boundary.

The GWCE derivation is completed by substituting the vertically-integrated momentum equations in conservative form into Eqs. (0.3), (0.6) or in non-conservative form into Eqs. (0.5), (0.8). ADCIRC is formulated using the non-conservative momentum equations. Making this substitution and isolating the linear free surface gravity wave terms gives:

$$\tilde{A}_x = -UH \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - VH \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + fHV - gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} - \frac{g}{2} \frac{\partial \zeta^2}{\partial x} - gH \frac{\partial [P_s / g\rho_o - \alpha \eta]}{\partial x} + \frac{\tau_{sx}}{\rho_o} - \frac{\tau_{bx}}{\rho_o}$$

$$+ M_x - D_x - B_x + U \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \tau_o UH \qquad (0.11)$$

$$= A_x - gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}$$

$$\tilde{A}_y = -UH \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - VH \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - fHU - gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} - \frac{g}{2} \frac{\partial \zeta^2}{\partial y} - gH \frac{\partial [P_s / g\rho_o - \alpha \eta]}{\partial y} + \frac{\tau_{sy}}{\rho_o} - \frac{\tau_{by}}{\rho_o}$$

$$+ M_y - D_y - B_y + V \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \tau_o VH \qquad (0.12)$$

$$= A_y - gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}$$

Substituting Eqs. (0.11), (0.12) into Eq. (0.10) and rearranging yields the weighted residual form of the GWCE that is solved by ADCIRC:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \tau_o \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right\rangle + \left\langle gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle A_x, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right\rangle + \left\langle A_y, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right\rangle + \left\langle UH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle VH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial y}, \phi_j \right\rangle - \int_\Gamma \left[\frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial t} + \tau_o Q_N \right] \phi_j d\Gamma = 0 \qquad (0.13)$$

Term by term integration of Eq. (0.13) yields:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial t^2} \phi_j d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \zeta_i}{\partial t^2} \right)_n \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_i \phi_j d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \varphi_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \zeta_i}{\partial t^2} \right)_n$$

$$\left\langle \tau_o \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \int_{\Omega_n} \overline{\tau}_{on} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} \phi_j d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\overline{\tau}_{on} \frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial t} \right)_{n\Omega_n} \int \phi_i \phi_j d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n \overline{\tau}_{on}}{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \varphi_{i,j} \frac{\partial \zeta_i}{\partial t} \right)_n$$

$$\left\langle gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right\rangle \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \int_{\Omega_n} gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \right)_n \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \sum_{i=1}^{3} gh_i \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_i d\Omega$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n g\overline{h}_n \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \right)_n \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{g\overline{h}_n}{4A_n} b_j \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_i b_i$$

$$\left\langle gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right\rangle \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \int_{\Omega_n} gh \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \right)_n \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \sum_{i=1}^{3} gh_i \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_i d\Omega$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n g\overline{h}_n \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \right)_n \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{g\overline{h}_n}{4A_n} a_j \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_i a_i$$

$$\left\langle A_x, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \int_{\Omega_n} A_x \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (A_{xi})_n \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_i \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \overline{A}_{xn} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{\overline{A}_{xn}}{2} b_j$$

$$\left\langle A_y, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \int_{\Omega_n} A_y \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (A_{yi})_n \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_i \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} d\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \overline{A}_{yn} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} = \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{\overline{A}_{yn}}{2} a_j$$

$$\left\langle UH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle = \text{ignored for now, assume no spatial gradient of T0}$$

$$\left\langle VH \frac{\partial \tau_o}{\partial y}, \phi_j \right\rangle = \text{ignored for now, assume no spatial gradient of T0}$$

4

$$\int_{\Gamma}\left[\frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial t}+\tau_o Q_N\right]\phi_j d\Gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left[\frac{\partial Q_{Ni}}{\partial t}+\bar{\tau}_{on}Q_{Ni}\right]_n \int_{\Gamma_n}\phi_i\phi_j d\Gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{2}\frac{L_n}{6}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\varphi_{i,j}\left[\frac{\partial Q_{Ni}}{\partial t}+\bar{\tau}_{on}Q_{Ni}\right]\right)_n$$

where

A_n = area of element n

$A_{NE_j} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n$ = area of all elements containing node j

NE_j = number of elements containing node j

L_n = length of element leg n

$\bar{h}_n \equiv \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3}h_i$ = average bathymetric water depth over element n

$\bar{\tau}_{on} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\tau_{oi}$ = average τ_o over element n

$\bar{A}_{xn}, \bar{A}_{yn} \equiv \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3}A_{xi}, A_{yi}$ = average A_x, A_y over element n

$$\varphi_{i,j} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad i \neq j \\ 2 & if \quad i = j \end{cases}$$

ϕ_j = horizontal weighting function, =1 at node j, =0 at all other nodes, varies linearly between adjacent nodes

$$\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} = \frac{b_j}{2A_n}, \frac{a_j}{2A_n}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}\right)_n \equiv \frac{1}{2A_n}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i b_i; \quad \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}\right)_n \equiv \frac{1}{2A_n}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i a_i$$

$a_1 \equiv x_3 - x_2; \quad a_2 \equiv x_1 - x_3; \quad a_3 \equiv x_2 - x_1$

$b_1 \equiv y_2 - y_3; \quad b_2 \equiv y_3 - y_1; \quad b_3 \equiv y_1 - y_2$

x_i, y_i = horizontal coordinates of node i

The definition of the weighting function ϕ_j reduces integration over the horizontal domain Ω to integration over only the NE_j elements containing node j. Also, we assume a Galerkin finite element formulation in which the basis and weighting functions vary linearly within an element. Therefore, spatial derivatives are constant within an element and can be pulled out of elemental integrations.

After integration, Eq. (0.13) becomes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\left\{\frac{A_n}{12}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varphi_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2\zeta_i}{\partial t^2}+\overline{\tau}_{on}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varphi_{i,j}\frac{\partial\zeta_i}{\partial t}\right]+\frac{g\overline{h}_n}{4A_n}\left[b_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i b_i+a_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i a_i\right]\right\}=$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\frac{1}{2}\left\{\overline{A}_{xn}b_j+\overline{A}_{yn}a_j\right\}-\sum_{n=1}^{2}\frac{L_n}{6}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2}\varphi_{i,j}\left[\frac{\partial Q_{Ni}}{\partial t}+\overline{\tau}_{on}Q_{Ni}\right]\right\}$$

(0.14)

Equation (0.14) presents the spatially discretized solution for elevation at horizontal node j used by ADCIRC. This equation is discretized in time using a three time level scheme at the past (s-1), present (s) and future (s+1) times as described below:

$$\frac{\partial^2\zeta_i}{\partial t^2}=\frac{\zeta_i^{s+1}-2\zeta_i^{s}+\zeta_i^{s-1}}{\Delta t^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial\zeta_i}{\partial t}=\frac{\zeta_i^{s+1}-\zeta_i^{s-1}}{2\Delta t}$$

$$\zeta_i=\alpha_1\zeta_i^{s+1}+\alpha_2\zeta_i^{s}+\alpha_3\zeta_i^{s-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q_{Ni}}{\partial t}=\frac{Q_{Ni}^{s+1}-Q_{Ni}^{s-1}}{2\Delta t}$$

$$Q_{Ni}=\alpha_1 Q_{Ni}^{s+1}+\alpha_2 Q_{Ni}^{s}+\alpha_3 Q_{Ni}^{s-1}$$

$$\overline{A}_{xn},\overline{A}_{yn}$$

Substituting these time discretizations into Eq. (0.14) and re-arranging yields:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\dfrac{A_n}{12\Delta t}\left(\dfrac{1}{\Delta t}+\dfrac{\overline{\tau}_{on}}{2}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varphi_{i,j}\zeta_i^{*,s+1}\\[2mm]+\dfrac{g\overline{h}_n\alpha_1}{4A_n}\left[b_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i^{*,s+1}b_i+a_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i^{*,s+1}a_i\right]\end{array}\right\}=$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\dfrac{A_n}{12\Delta t}\left(\dfrac{1}{\Delta t}-\dfrac{\overline{\tau}_{on}}{2}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{3}\varphi_{i,j}\zeta_i^{*,s}\\[2mm]-\dfrac{g\overline{h}_n}{4A_n}\left[(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\left(b_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i^{s}b_i+a_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i^{s}a_i\right)+\alpha_3\left(b_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i^{s-1}b_i+a_j\sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i^{s-1}a_i\right)\right]\\[2mm]+\dfrac{1}{2}\left[\overline{A}_{xn}b_j+\overline{A}_{yn}a_j\right]\end{array}\right\}$$

(0.15)

$$-\sum_{n=1}^{2}\left\{\frac{L_n}{6}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\varphi_{i,j}\left[\frac{Q_{Ni}^{s+1}-Q_{Ni}^{s-1}}{2\Delta t}+\overline{\tau}_{on}\left(\alpha_1 Q_{Ni}^{s+1}+\alpha_2 Q_{Ni}^{s}+\alpha_3 Q_{Ni}^{s-1}\right)\right]\right\}$$

where

$$\zeta_i^{*s+1} = \zeta_i^{s+1} - \zeta_i^{s}$$
$$\zeta_i^{*s} = \zeta_i^{s} - \zeta_i^{s-1}$$

(0.16)

The left side of Eq. (0.15) is a sparse symmetric matrix (number of nodes x number of nodes) and the right side is a vector. The normal flux terms are only present in the equations corresponding to boundary nodes.

2.0 2D MOMENTUM EQUATIONS

The vertically-integrated, non-conservative momentum equations are:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -U\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - V\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + fV - g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]}{\partial x} + \frac{\tau_{sx}}{H\rho_o} - \frac{\tau_{bx}}{H\rho_o} + \frac{M_x}{H} - \frac{D_x}{H} - \frac{B_x}{H}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -U\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - V\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - fU - g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]}{\partial y} + \frac{\tau_{sy}}{H\rho_o} - \frac{\tau_{by}}{H\rho_o} + \frac{M_y}{H} - \frac{D_y}{H} - \frac{B_y}{H}$$

(0.17)

where

$$M_x \equiv E_h\left[\frac{\partial^2 UH}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 UH}{\partial y^2}\right] = \textit{vertically-integrated horizontal stress gradient}$$

$$M_y \equiv E_h\left[\frac{\partial^2 VH}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 VH}{\partial y^2}\right] = \textit{vertically-integrated horizontal stress gradient}$$

$$D_x \equiv \frac{\partial D_{uu}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial D_{uv}}{\partial y} = \textit{momentum dispersion}$$

$$D_y \equiv \frac{\partial D_{uv}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial D_{vv}}{\partial y} = \textit{momentum dispersion}$$

$$D_{uu} \equiv \int_{-h}^{\zeta}(u-U)(u-U)dz$$

$$D_{uv} \equiv \int_{-h}^{\zeta}(u-U)(v-V)dz$$

$$D_{vv} \equiv \int_{-h}^{\zeta}(v-V)(v-V)dz$$

$$B_x \equiv \int_{-h}^{\zeta}b_x\,dz = \textit{vertically-integrated baroclinic pressure gradient}$$

$$B_y \equiv \int_{-h}^{\zeta}b_y\,dz = \textit{vertically-integrated baroclinic pressure gradient}$$

$$b_x \equiv g\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_z^{\zeta}\frac{(\rho-\rho_o)}{\rho_o}dz = \textit{baroclinic pressure gradient}$$

$$b_y \equiv g\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\int_z^{\zeta}\frac{(\rho-\rho_o)}{\rho_o}dz = \textit{baroclinic pressure gradient}$$

ρ = *time and spatially varying density of water due to salinity and temperature variations*

ρ_o = *reference density of water*

τ_{sx}, τ_{sy} = *imposed surface stresses*

τ_{bx}, τ_{by} = *bottom stress components, suitably defined, e.g., using a linear or quadratic drag law*

P_s = *atmospheric pressure at the sea surface*

η = *Newtonian equilibrium tide potential*

E_h = *horizontal stress coefficient (often called the horizontal eddy viscosity)*

3.0 3D MOMENTUM EQUATIONS

ADCIRC uses the shallow water form of the momentum equations (applying the Boussinesq and hydrostatic pressure approximations).

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - fv = -g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx}}{\rho_o}\right) - b_x + m_x$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + fu = -g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\tau_{zy}}{\rho_o}\right) - b_y + m_y$$

(0.18)

where,

u, v, w = *velocity components in the coordinate directions x, y, z*

$$\frac{\tau_{zx}}{\rho_o} = E_z\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = vertical\ stress$$

$$\frac{\tau_{zy}}{\rho_o} = E_z\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = vertical\ stress$$

E_z = *vertical eddy viscosity*

$$m_x \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(E_f\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(E_f\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) = lateral\ stress\ gradient$$

$$m_y \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(E_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(E_f\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) = lateral\ stress\ gradient$$

$E_f \equiv$ *lateral stress coefficient (often called the lateral eddy viscosity)*

$$b_x \equiv g\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_z^\zeta\frac{(\rho - \rho_o)}{\rho_o}dz = baroclinic\ pressure\ gradient$$

$$b_y \equiv g\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\int_z^\zeta\frac{(\rho - \rho_o)}{\rho_o}dz = baroclinic\ pressure\ gradient$$

All horizontal derivatives in Eq. (0.18) and the accompanying definitions are computed in a level or "z" coordinate system. ADCIRC utilizes a generalized stretched vertical coordinate system

Figure 1. Schematic of level and stretched coordinates

$$\sigma = a + \left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)(z-\zeta) \qquad\qquad (10a)$$

$$z = \left(\frac{\sigma-a}{a-b}\right)H + \zeta \qquad\qquad (10b)$$

(Figure 1) in which the vertical dimension is transformed from z, ranging from -h to ζ, to σ, ranging from b to a, where b and a are arbitrary constants. (Most models assume b=-1, a=0. ADCIRC assumes b=-1, a=1.) While ADCIRC uses the variable σ to represent the stretched vertical coordinate, a traditional "σ" coordinate system implies that the nodes are spaced uniformly over the vertical at any given horizontal location. ADCIRC does not carry this limitation, but rather nodes can be distributed over the vertical in any manner desired.

Using the chain rule we can relate derivatives along level (z) surfaces to derivatives along the stretched (σ) surfaces:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\sigma} - \left[\left(\frac{\sigma-b}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x_\sigma} + \left(\frac{\sigma-a}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial b}{\partial x_\sigma}\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\sigma} - \left[\left(\frac{\sigma-b}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial y_\sigma} + \left(\frac{\sigma-a}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial b}{\partial y_\sigma}\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \qquad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}$$

where for clarity, σ subscripts have been used on the horizontal derivatives computed along the stretched surfaces in Eqs. (11).

Considerable discussion exists in the literature regarding the generation of spurious circulation due to the use of stretched vertical coordinates. Most of this attention has focused on problems arising from the baroclinic pressure gradient terms and to a lesser extent the lateral stress terms. In ADCIRC we apply the stretched coordinate system to all but the baroclinic pressure gradient terms resulting in the following transformed momentum equations:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} + w_\sigma\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \sigma} - fv =$$

$$-g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx}}{\rho_o}\right) - b_x + m_{x\sigma}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\sigma} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma} + w_\sigma\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma} + fu =$$

$$-g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zy}}{\rho_o}\right) - b_y + m_{y\sigma}$$

(12)

Note that the first term on the right hand side of each equation is not a function of depth and therefore horizontal derivatives in level coordinates are identical to horizontal derivatives in stretched coordinates.

Introduction of the stretched coordinate system in the advective terms produces similar-looking advective terms in the stretched coordinate system, Eqs. (12), provided a stretched-coordinate, vertical velocity, w_σ, is introduced that is related to the true vertical velocity by:

$$w_\sigma \equiv w - \left(\frac{\sigma-b}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t} - u\left[\left(\frac{\sigma-b}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\sigma-a}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}\right] - v\left[\left(\frac{\sigma-b}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{\sigma-a}{a-b}\right)\frac{\partial b}{\partial y}\right]$$

(13)

ADCIRC does not formally transform the lateral stress terms (m_x, m_y) in Eqs. (11) to obtain equivalent terms in Eqs. (12). Rather, the original lateral stress terms (along horizontal surfaces) are approximated as lateral stresses "along stretched surfaces", i.e.,

$$m_{x\sigma} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\sigma}\left(E_t\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\sigma}\left(E_t\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\right) = \textit{lateral stress gradients along stretched surface}$$

$$m_{y\sigma} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\sigma}\left(E_t\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\sigma}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\sigma}\left(E_t\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma}\right) = \textit{lateral stress gradients along stretched surface}$$

(14)

The generation of spurious circulation because of this assumption has also been discussed in the literature. ADCIRC uses the lateral stress gradient terms purely to dampen numerical noise in the solution and therefore assumes a lateral stress coefficient that is as small as possible. This should minimize the generation of spurious circulation by these terms.

The weighted residual method is applied to Eqs. (12) by multiplying each term by a horizontal weighting function ϕ_j and integrating over the horizontal computational domain Ω and then multiplying the result by a vertical weighting function ψ_k and integrating over the vertical domain, Z. By constructing the grid so that the vertical nodes line up vertically beneath each horizontal node, the horizontal and vertical integrations can be performed independently.

11

$$\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial u}{\partial t},\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z+\left\langle\left\langle\left(u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}+v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}+w_\sigma\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial u}{\partial\sigma}\right),\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z-\left\langle\left\langle fv,\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z=$$

$$-\left\langle\left\langle g\frac{\partial[\zeta+P_s/g\rho_o-\alpha\eta]}{\partial x},\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z+\left\langle\left\langle\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx}}{\rho_o}\right),\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z \qquad (15a)$$

$$-\left\langle\left\langle b_x,\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z+\left\langle\left\langle m_{x\sigma},\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z$$

$$\left\langle\left\langle\frac{\partial v}{\partial t},\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z+\left\langle\left\langle\left(u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\sigma}+v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma}+w_\sigma\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial\sigma}\right),\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z+\left\langle\left\langle fu,\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z=$$

$$-\left\langle\left\langle g\frac{\partial[\zeta+P_s/g\rho_o-\alpha\eta]}{\partial y},\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z+\left\langle\left\langle\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zy}}{\rho_o}\right),\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z \qquad (15b)$$

$$-\left\langle\left\langle b_y,\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z+\left\langle\left\langle m_{y\sigma},\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega,\psi_k\right\rangle_Z$$

Horizontal integrations of each term in Eq. (15a) are presented below (Eq. (15b) is fully analogous) and are carried out using one of two integration rules:

Rule 1: (nodal lumping, applied to terms that do not contain spatial gradients)

$$\left\langle\Upsilon,\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega\equiv\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\int_{\Omega_n}\Upsilon\,\phi_j\,d\Omega=\Upsilon_j\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\int_{\Omega_n}\phi_j\,d\Omega=\frac{A_{NE_j}}{3}\Upsilon_j$$

Rule 2: (fully consistent, applied only to spatial gradient terms)

$$\left\langle\frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial x,\partial y},\phi_j\right\rangle_\Omega\equiv\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\int_{\Omega_n}\frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial x,\partial y}\phi_j\,d\Omega=\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\left(\frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial x,\partial y}\right)_n\int_{\Omega_n}\phi_j\,d\Omega=\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\frac{A_n}{3}\left(\frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial x,\partial y}\right)_n$$

where,

A_n = *area of element n*

$A_{NE_j}\equiv\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n$ = *area of all elements containing node j*

NE_j = *number of elements containing node j*

ϕ_j = *horizontal weighting function, =1 at node j, =0 at all other nodes,*
  varies linearly between adjacent nodes

Note, that the definition of the weighting function ϕ_j reduces integration over the horizontal domain Ω to integration over only the NE_j elements containing node j. Also, **Rule 2** assumes a Galerkin finite element formulation in which the quantity being differentiated (Υ in the integration rules described above) varies linearly within an element. Therefore, the spatial derivative is constant within an element and can be pulled out of the elemental integrations.

Horizontal integration of the transient term in Eq. (15a) utilizes **Rule 1**:

$$\left\langle \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \phi_j \right)_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_z = \frac{A_{NE_j}}{3} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t}, \psi_k \right\rangle_z \right.$$

Horizontal integration of the horizontal advection terms in Eq. (15a) utilizes **Rule 2** and assumes the un-differentiated velocity terms are elementally averaged (i.e., $u_n \equiv \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_i$ and $v_n \equiv \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i$):

$$\left\langle \left\langle \left(\left(u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} \right), \phi_j \right)_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_z = \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{3}\left[u_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n + v_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_z \right.$$

Horizontal integration of the vertical advection term in Eq. (15a) utilizes **Rule 1**:

$$\left\langle \left\langle \left(\left(w_\sigma\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \sigma} \right), \phi_j \right)_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_z = \frac{A_{NE_j}}{3}\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left\langle w_{\sigma j}\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}, \psi_k \right\rangle_z \right.$$

Horizontal integration of the Coriolis term in Eq. (15a) utilizes **Rule 1**:

$$\left\langle \left\langle fv, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_z = \frac{A_{NE_j}}{3}\left\langle fv_j, \psi_k \right\rangle_z$$

Horizontal integration of the combined barotropic pressure (i.e., the free surface elevation, atmospheric pressure and tidal potential) gradient term in Eq. (15a) utilizes **Rule 2**:

$$\left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial[g\zeta + P_s/\rho_o - \alpha g\eta]}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_z = \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{3}\left(g\frac{\partial[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]}{\partial x} \right)_n, \psi_k \right\rangle_z$$

Horizontal integration of the vertical stress gradient term in Eq. (15a) utilizes **Rule 1**:

$$\left\langle \left\langle \left(\left(\frac{a-b}{H}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx}}{\rho_o}\right), \phi_j \right)_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_z = \frac{A_{NE_j}}{3}\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx_j}}{\rho_o}\right), \psi_k \right\rangle_z \right.$$

Horizontal integration of the baroclinic pressure gradient terms in Eq. (15a) utilizes **Rule 2**:

13

$$\left\langle \left\langle b_x, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{3} b_{xn}, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

Horizontal integration of the lateral stress gradient term in Eq. (15a) initially utilizes integration by parts

$$\left\langle \left\langle m_{x\sigma}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z \equiv \left\langle \left\langle \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\sigma}\left(E_\ell \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\sigma}\left(E_\ell \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} \right) \right], \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

$$= \left\langle \left[\int_{\Gamma_n} E_\ell\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)\phi_j \, d\Gamma - \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \int_{\Omega_n} E_\ell\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right) d\Omega \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

$$= \left\langle \left[\int_{\Gamma_n} E_\ell\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)\phi_j \, d\Gamma - \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \int_{\Omega_n} E_\ell \, d\Omega \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

where, Γ_n = *external boundary segment of element n*. The term is further reduced by assuming that the lateral stresses are zero along all external boundary segments and by lumping the lateral stress coefficient

$$\left\langle \left\langle m_{x\sigma}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = -\left\langle \left[E_{\ell j} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

Thus, following horizontal integration and multiplication by $3/A_{NE_j}$, Eqs. (15a,b) become:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t}, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z + \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left[u_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} \right)_n + v_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)_n \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_Z + \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right)\left\langle w_{\sigma j}\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

$$-\left\langle f v_j, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = -\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left(g\frac{\partial[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]}{\partial x} \right)_n, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z + \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right)\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx j}}{\rho_o} \right), \psi_k \right\rangle_Z \quad (16a)$$

$$-\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n b_{xn}, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z - \frac{3}{A_{NE_j}}\left\langle \left[E_{\ell j} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t}, \psi_k \right\rangle_z + \frac{1}{A_{NEj}} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NEj} A_n \left[u_n \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\sigma} \right)_n + v_n \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)_n \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_z + \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) \left\langle w_{\sigma j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial \sigma}, \psi_k \right\rangle_z$$

$$+ \left\langle f u_j, \psi_k \right\rangle_z = -\frac{1}{A_{NEj}} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NEj} A_n \left(g \frac{\partial [\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]}{\partial y} \right)_n, \psi_k \right\rangle_z + \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(\frac{\tau_{zy\,j}}{\rho_o} \right), \psi_k \right\rangle_z \quad (16b)$$

$$- \frac{1}{A_{NEj}} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NEj} A_n b_{y\,n}, \psi_k \right\rangle_z - \frac{3}{A_{NEj}} \left\langle \left[E_{t\,j} \sum_{n=1}^{NEj} A_n \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_z$$

A standard one-dimensional, Galerkin FEM discretization is used in the vertical, yielding the following integration rule,

$$\left\langle \Upsilon, \psi_k \right\rangle_z \equiv \begin{cases} \Upsilon_{k-1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_{k-1}\psi_k \, d\sigma + \Upsilon_k \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_k\psi_k \, d\sigma & k = NV \\[3em] \Upsilon_{k-1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_{k-1}\psi_k \, d\sigma + \Upsilon_k \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k\psi_k \, d\sigma + \Upsilon_{k+1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_{k+1}\psi_k \, d\sigma & 1 < k < NV \\[3em] \Upsilon_k \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k\psi_k \, d\sigma + \Upsilon_{k+1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_{k+1}\psi_k \, d\sigma & k = 1 \end{cases}$$

In shorthand notation this can be written as:

$$\left\langle \Upsilon, \psi_k \right\rangle_z \equiv \Upsilon_{k-1} Inm_{k,1} + \Upsilon_k Inm_{k,2} + \Upsilon_{k+1} Inm_{k,3} = \sum_{m=1}^{3} \Upsilon_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

where,

ψ_k = vertical weighting function, =1 at node k, =0 at all other nodes, varies linearly between adjacent nodes

k = 1 at the bottom

k = NV at the free surface

NV = number of nodes in the vertical

$$Inm_{k,1} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_{k-1}\psi_k \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_k\psi_k \, d\sigma = \frac{\sigma_k - \sigma_{k-1}}{6} & \text{for } k \neq 1 \\[3mm] 0 & \text{for } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Inm_{k,2} = 2\left(Inm_{k,1} + Inm_{k,3}\right) \tag{17}$$

$$Inm_{k,3} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_{k+1}\psi_k \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k\psi_k \, d\sigma = \frac{\sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_k}{6} & \text{for } k \neq NV \\[3mm] 0 & \text{for } k = NV \end{cases}$$

Note, that the definition of the weighting/basis function ψ_k reduces integration over the vertical domain Z to integration over only the two vertical elements containing node k, i.e., from node $k-1$ to node $k+1$. Also, because the basis functions are linear in space, their derivatives are constant within an element and can be pulled out of elemental integrations.

Vertical integration of the transient term in Eq. (16a) yields

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t}, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = \sum_{m=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{j,k+m-2}}{\partial t} Inm_{k,m}$$

Vertical integration of the horizontal advection terms in Eq. (16a) yields

$$\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left[u_n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n + v_n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n \right], \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

$$= \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left[u_n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n + v_n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n \right] \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

Vertical integration of the vertical advection term in Eq. (16a) yields

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left\langle w_{\sigma j}\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k}\left[w_{\sigma j,k-1}\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_k\psi_{k-1}\,d\sigma + w_{\sigma j,k}\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_k\psi_k\,d\sigma \right]$$

$$+ \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1}\left[w_{\sigma j,k}\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k\psi_k\,d\sigma + w_{\sigma j,k+1}\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k\psi_{k+1}\,d\sigma \right]$$

$$= \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k}\left(w_{\sigma j,k-1} + 2w_{\sigma j,k}\right)Inm_{k,1} + \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1}\left(2w_{\sigma j,k} + w_{\sigma j,k+1}\right)Inm_{k,3} \right]$$

16

where,

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} \equiv u_{j,k-1}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{k-1}}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} + u_{j,k}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} = \frac{u_{j,k} - u_{j,k-1}}{\sigma_k - \sigma_{k-1}}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} \equiv u_{j,k}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} + u_{j,k+1}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{k+1}}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} = \frac{u_{j,k+1} - u_{j,k}}{\sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_k}$$

Vertical integration of the Coriolis term in Eq. (16a) yields

$$\left\langle fv_j, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = \sum_{m=1}^{3} fv_{j,k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

Vertical integration of the barotropic pressure gradient term in Eq. (16a) yields

$$\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left(g\frac{\partial[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]}{\partial x}\right)_n, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left(g\frac{\partial[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]}{\partial x}\right)_n LVn_k$$

where

$$LVn_k \equiv \begin{cases} \int\limits_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_k \, d\sigma = \dfrac{\sigma_k - \sigma_{k-1}}{2} & k = NV \\[12pt] \int\limits_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k \, d\sigma = \dfrac{\sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_{k-1}}{2} & 1 < k < NV \\[12pt] \int\limits_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k \, d\sigma = \dfrac{\sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_k}{2} & k = 1 \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Vertical integration of the baroclinic pressure gradient term in Eq. (16a) yields

$$\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n b_{xn}, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z = \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n b_{xn}\right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

Vertical integration of the lateral stress terms in Eq. (16a) yields

$$\frac{3}{A_{NE_j}}\left\langle \left[E_{t_j}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y}\right)\right]_n, \psi_k \right\rangle_Z$$

$$= \frac{3}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left[E_{t_j}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y}\right)_n\right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

The vertical stress gradient term in Eq. (16a) is initially integrated by parts, yielding

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx\,j}}{\rho_o}\right), \psi_k\right\rangle_Z = \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\frac{\tau_{sx\,j}}{\rho_o}\bigg|_{k=NV} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\frac{\tau_{bx\,j}}{\rho_o}\bigg|_{k=1} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left\langle \frac{\tau_{zx\,j}}{\rho_o}, \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right\rangle_Z$$

where the free surface stress, $\tau_{sx\,j}$ (applied only for $k=NV$) and bottom stress $\tau_{bx\,j}$ (applied only for $k=1$) have been introduced. Expressing the vertical stress in terms of the vertical gradient of velocity in the remaining integral term, yields:

$$\left\langle \frac{\tau_{zx\,j}}{\rho_o}, \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right\rangle_Z = \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left\langle E_{z\,j}\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma}, \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right\rangle_Z =$$

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}\left(u_{j,k-1}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{k-1}}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} + u_{j,k}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k}\left(E_{z\,j,k-1}\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k}\psi_{k-1}\,d\sigma + E_{z\,j,k}\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k}\psi_k\,d\sigma\right) \\[4mm] + \left(u_{j,k}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} + u_{j,k+1}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{k+1}}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1}\left(E_{z\,j,k}\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}}\psi_k\,d\sigma + E_{z\,j,k+1}\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}}\psi_{k+1}\,d\sigma\right)\end{array}\right]$$

or in shorthand notation

$$\left\langle \frac{\tau_{zx\,j}}{\rho_o}, \frac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right\rangle_Z = \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{j,k+m-2}KVnm_{j,k,m}$$

where

18

$$KVnm_{j,k,1} = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_{k-1}}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} \left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} \left(E_{z\,j,k-1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_{k-1}\,d\sigma + E_{z\,j,k} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_k\,d\sigma\right) \\[2em] = -\left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} \left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k} \left(E_{z\,j,k-1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_{k-1}\,d\sigma + E_{z\,j,k} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_{k-1}}^{\sigma_k} \psi_k\,d\sigma\right) \\[2em] = -\dfrac{E_{z\,j,k} + E_{z\,j,k-1}}{2(\sigma_k - \sigma_{k-1})} \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for } k \neq 1 \\[1em] 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$KVnm_{j,k,2} = -\left(KVnm_{k,1} + KVnm_{k,3}\right)$$

$$KVnm_{j,k,3} = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_{k+1}}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} \left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} \left(E_{z\,j,k} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k\,d\sigma + E_{z\,j,k+1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_{k+1}\,d\sigma\right) \\[2em] = -\left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} \left(\dfrac{\partial \psi_k}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1} \left(E_{z\,j,k} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_k\,d\sigma + E_{z\,j,k+1} \displaystyle\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \psi_{k+1}\,d\sigma\right) \\[2em] = -\dfrac{E_{z\,j,k+1} + E_{z\,j,k}}{2(\sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_k)} \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for } k \neq NV \\[1em] 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for } k = NV \end{cases} \qquad (19)$$

ADCIRC utilizes a generalized slip formulation for the bottom stress term:

$$\frac{\tau_{bx\,j}}{\rho_o} = K_{slip\,j} u_j; \qquad \frac{\tau_{by\,j}}{\rho_o} = K_{slip\,j} v_j$$

where,

$K_{slip\,j} \to \infty$ = *no slip bottom boundary condition*

$K_{slip\,j} = constant,$ = *linear slip bottom boundary condition, ($K_{slip\,j}$= linear drag coefficent)*

$K_{slip\,j} = C_d\sqrt{u_j^2 + v_j^2},$ = *quadratic slip bottom boundary condition, (C_d = quadratic drag coefficent)*

In final form, the vertical stress gradient term is:

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(\frac{\tau_{zx\,j}}{\rho_o}\right), \psi_k \right\rangle_z = \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\frac{\tau_{sx\,j}}{\rho_o}\bigg|_{k=NV} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right) u_j\big|_{k=1} K_{slip\,j} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{j,k+m-2} KVnm_{j,k,m}$$

Thus, following vertical integration Eqs. (16a,b) become:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} \frac{\partial u_{j,k+m-2}}{\partial t} Inm_{k,m} + \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left[u_n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} \right)_n + v_n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)_n \right] \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k-1,k} \left(w_{\sigma\,j,k-1} + 2w_{\sigma\,j,k} \right) Inm_{k,1} + \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k,k+1} \left(2w_{\sigma\,j,k} + w_{\sigma\,j,k+1} \right) Inm_{k,3} \right]$$

$$- \sum_{m=1}^{3} f v_{j,k+m-2} Inm_{k,m} = - \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left(g \frac{\partial \left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta \right]}{\partial x} \right)_n LVn_k \qquad (20a)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) \frac{\tau_{xx\,j}}{\rho_o} \Big|_{k=NV} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) u_j \Big|_{k=1} K_{slip\,j} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{j,k+m-2} KVnm_{j,k,m}$$

$$- \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n b_{xn} \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m} - \frac{3}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[E_{\ell j} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} \frac{\partial v_{j,k+m-2}}{\partial t} Inm_{k,m} + \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left[u_n \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\sigma} \right)_n + v_n \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)_n \right] \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k-1,k} \left(w_{\sigma\,j,k-1} + 2w_{\sigma\,j,k} \right) Inm_{k,1} + \left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k,k+1} \left(2w_{\sigma\,j,k} + w_{\sigma\,j,k+1} \right) Inm_{k,3} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{3} f u_{j,k+m-2} Inm_{k,m} = - \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left(g \frac{\partial \left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta \right]}{\partial y} \right)_n LVn_k \qquad (20b)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) \frac{\tau_{sy\,j}}{\rho_o} \Big|_{k=NV} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right) v_j \Big|_{k=1} K_{slip\,j} - \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j} \right)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{3} v_{j,k+m-2} KVnm_{j,k,m}$$

$$- \frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n b_{yn} \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m} - \frac{3}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[E_{\ell j} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

Equations (20a,b) present the spatially discretized solution for velocity at horizontal node j and vertical node k used by ADCIRC 3D. These equations are discretized in time using a two time level scheme at the present (s) and future ($s+1$) time levels as described below:

Transient term:
$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} \frac{u_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} - u_{j,k+m-2}^{s}}{\Delta t} Inm_{k,m}$$

Horizontal advection:
$$\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left[u_n^s \left(\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial x_\sigma} \right)_n + v_n^s \left(\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)_n \right] \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

Vertical advection:

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^s}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_j^s}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k-1,k}\left(w_{\sigma\,j,k-1}^s + 2w_{\sigma\,j,k}^s\right)Inm_{k,1} + \left(\frac{\partial u_j^s}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{k,k+1}\left(2w_{\sigma\,j,k}^s + w_{\sigma\,j,k+1}^s\right)Inm_{k,3}\right]$$

Coriolis: $\displaystyle\sum_{m=1}^{3} f\left[\alpha_1 v_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} + \left(1-\alpha_1\right)v_{j,k+m-2}^s\right]Inm_{k,m}$

Free surface stress: $\displaystyle\frac{a-b}{2}\left[\frac{\tau_{sx_j}^{s+1}}{H_j^{s+1}\rho_o} + \frac{\tau_{sx_j}^s}{H_j^s\rho_o}\right]_{k=NV}$

Bottom stress: $\displaystyle\left(a-b\right)K_{slip_j}^s\left[\frac{\alpha_2 u_j^{s+1}}{H_j^{s+1}} + \frac{\left(1-\alpha_2\right)u_j^s}{H_j^s}\right]_{k=1}$

Barotropic pressure gradient:

$$\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\frac{A_n}{2}\left(g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]^s}{\partial x} + g\frac{\partial\left[\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta\right]^{s+1}}{\partial x}\right)_n LVn_k$$

Vertical stress: $\displaystyle\left(a-b\right)^2\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left[\alpha_3\frac{u_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1}}{\left(H_j^{s+1}\right)^2} + \left(1-\alpha_3\right)\frac{u_{j,k+m-2}^s}{\left(H_j^s\right)^2}\right]KVnm_{j,k,m}^s$

Baroclinic pressure gradient: $\displaystyle-\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n b_{x_n}^s\right]_{k+m-2}Inm_{k,m}$

Lateral stress: $\displaystyle-\frac{3}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left[E_{\ell_j}^s\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n\left(\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u^s}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial y}\right)_n\right]_{k+m-2}Inm_{k,m}$

Substituting these into Eqs. (20a,b), multiplying by Δt and grouping velocities at time levels $s+1$ and s yields:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} Inm_{k,m} - \Delta t \sum_{m=1}^{3} f\alpha_1 v_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} Inm_{k,m} + \left(\frac{(a-b)\Delta t \alpha_2 K_{slip_j}^{s}}{H_j^{s+1}} \right) u_j^{s+1}\bigg|_{k=1}$$

$$+ \alpha_3 \Delta t \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}} \right)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} KVnm_{j,k,m}^{s} = \sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{j,k+m-2}^{s} Inm_{k,m} + \Delta t \sum_{m=1}^{3} f\left(1-\alpha_1\right) v_{j,k+m-2}^{s} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$- \left(\frac{(a-b)\Delta t \left(1-\alpha_2\right) K_{slip_j}^{s}}{H_j^{s}} \right) u_j^{s}\bigg|_{k=1} - \left(1-\alpha_3\right) \Delta t \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^{s}} \right)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{3} u_{j,k+m-2}^{s} KVnm_{j,k,m}^{s}$$

$$- \frac{\Delta t}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left[u_n^{s} \left(\frac{\partial u^{s}}{\partial x_\sigma} \right)_n + v_n^{s} \left(\frac{\partial u^{s}}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)_n \right] \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$- \Delta t \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^{s}} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_j^{s}}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k-1,k} \left(w_{\sigma\,j,k-1}^{s} + 2w_{\sigma\,j,k}^{s} \right) Inm_{k,1} + \left(\frac{\partial u_j^{s}}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k,k+1} \left(2w_{\sigma\,j,k}^{s} + w_{\sigma\,j,k+1}^{s} \right) Inm_{k,3} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta t (a-b)}{2} \left[\frac{\tau_{xx_j}^{s+1}}{H_j^{s+1} \rho_o} + \frac{\tau_{xx_j}^{s}}{H_j^{s} \rho_o} \right]_{k=NV}$$

$$- \frac{\Delta t}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{2} \left(g \frac{\partial \left[\zeta + P_s / g\rho_o - \alpha\eta \right]^{s}}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial \left[\zeta + P_s / g\rho_o - \alpha\eta \right]^{s+1}}{\partial x} \right)_n LVn_k \qquad\qquad (21a)$$

$$- \frac{\Delta t}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n b_{x_n}^{s} \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m} - \frac{3\Delta t}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[E_{\ell_j}^{s} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left(\frac{\partial u^{s}}{\partial x_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u^{s}}{\partial y_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} q_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} Inm_{k,m} + i\Delta t \, f \alpha_1 \sum_{m=1}^{3} q_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} Inm_{k,m} + \left(\frac{(a-b)\Delta t \alpha_2 K_{slip\,j}^{s}}{H_j^{s+1}} \right) q_j^{s+1} \Big|_{k=1}$$

$$+ \alpha_3 \Delta t \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}} \right)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{3} q_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1} KVnm_{j,k,m}^{s} = \sum_{m=1}^{3} q_{j,k+m-2}^{s} Inm_{k,m} - i\Delta t \, f (1-\alpha_1) \sum_{m=1}^{3} q_{j,k+m-2}^{s} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$- \left(\frac{(a-b)\Delta t (1-\alpha_2) K_{slip\,j}^{s}}{H_j^{s}} \right) q_j^{s} \Big|_{k=1} - (1-\alpha_3) \Delta t \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^{s}} \right)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{3} q_{j,k+m-2}^{s} KVnm_{j,k,m}^{s}$$

$$- \frac{\Delta t}{A_{NE\,j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE\,j} A_n \left[u_n^s \left(\frac{\partial q^s}{\partial x_\sigma} \right)_n + v_n^s \left(\frac{\partial q^s}{\partial y_\sigma} \right)_n \right] \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$- \Delta t \left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^s} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial q_j^s}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k-1,k} \left(w_{\sigma\,j,k-1}^s + 2 w_{\sigma\,j,k}^s \right) Inm_{k,1} + \left(\frac{\partial q_j^s}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{k,k+1} \left(2 w_{\sigma\,j,k}^s + w_{\sigma\,j,k+1}^s \right) Inm_{k,3} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta t (a-b)}{2} \left[\frac{\tau_{sx\,j}^{s+1}}{H_j^{s+1} \rho_o} + \frac{\tau_{sx\,j}^{s}}{H_j^{s} \rho_o} + i \left(\frac{\tau_{sy\,j}^{s+1}}{H_j^{s+1} \rho_o} + \frac{\tau_{sy\,j}^{s}}{H_j^{s} \rho_o} \right) \right]_{k=NV}$$

$$- \frac{\Delta t}{A_{NE\,j}} \sum_{n=1}^{NE\,j} \frac{A_n}{2} \left(g \frac{\partial [\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]^s}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial [\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]^{s+1}}{\partial x} \right)_n LVn_k$$

$$- \frac{i\Delta t}{A_{NE\,j}} \sum_{n=1}^{NE\,j} \frac{A_n}{2} \left(g \frac{\partial [\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]^s}{\partial y} + g \frac{\partial [\zeta + P_s/g\rho_o - \alpha\eta]^{s+1}}{\partial y} \right)_n LVn_k \qquad (22)$$

$$- \frac{\Delta t}{A_{NE\,j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{NE\,j} A_n \left(b_{x_n}^s + i b_{y_n}^s \right) \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

$$- \frac{3\Delta t}{A_{NE\,j}} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \left[E_{\ell\,j}^s \sum_{n=1}^{NE\,j} A_n \left(\frac{\partial q^s}{\partial x_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q^s}{\partial y_\sigma} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)_n \right]_{k+m-2} Inm_{k,m}$$

Re-arranging and consolidating terms yields the form of the 3D momentum equations solved in ADCIRC V36.01:

$$\left(1+i\Delta t\,f\alpha_1\right)\sum_{m=1}^{3}q_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1}Inm_{k,m}+\alpha_3\Delta t\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}}\right)^2\sum_{m=1}^{3}q_{j,k+m-2}^{s+1}KVnm_{j,k,m}^s$$

$$+\left(\frac{(a-b)\Delta t\alpha_2 K_{slip_j}^s}{H_j^{s+1}}\right)q_j^{s+1}\Big|_{k=1}$$

$$=\sum_{m=1}^{3}\left\{\left(1-i\Delta t\,f\left(1-\alpha_1\right)\right)q_{j,k+m-2}^s-\Delta t\left[ladvec+lstress+bcpg\right]_{j,k+m-2}\right\}Inm_{k,m} \tag{23}$$

$$-\Delta t\,vadvec_{j,k}-\Delta t\,btpg_j\,LVn_k-\left(1-\alpha_3\right)\Delta t\,vstress_{j,k}^s$$

$$-\left(\frac{(a-b)\Delta t\left(1-\alpha_2\right)K_{slip_j}^s}{H_j^s}\right)q_j^s\Big|_{k=1}+\frac{\Delta t(a-b)}{2}\left[\frac{\tau_{sx_j}^{s+1}}{H_j^{s+1}\rho_o}+\frac{\tau_{sx_j}^s}{H_j^s\rho_o}+i\left(\frac{\tau_{sy_j}^{s+1}}{H_j^{s+1}\rho_o}+\frac{\tau_{sy_j}^s}{H_j^s\rho_o}\right)\right]_{k=NV}$$

where,

$$ladvec_{j,k}\equiv\left[\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n\left[u_n^s\left(\frac{\partial q^s}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n+v_n^s\left(\frac{\partial q^s}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n\right]\right]_k$$

$$vadvec_{j,k}\equiv\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^s}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\partial q_j^s}{\partial\sigma}\right)_{k-1,k}\left(w_{\sigma\,j,k-1}^s+2w_{\sigma\,j,k}^s\right)Inm_{k,1}+\left(\frac{\partial q_j^s}{\partial\sigma}\right)_{k,k+1}\left(2w_{\sigma\,j,k}^s+w_{\sigma\,j,k+1}^s\right)Inm_{k,3}\right]$$

$$bcpg_{j,k}\equiv\left[\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n\left(b_{x_n}^s+ib_{y_n}^s\right)\right]_k$$

$$btpg_j\equiv\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}\frac{gA_n}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial[\zeta+P_s/g\rho_o-\alpha\eta]^s}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial[\zeta+P_s/g\rho_o-\alpha\eta]^{s+1}}{\partial x}\right)+i\left(\frac{\partial[\zeta+P_s/g\rho_o-\alpha\eta]^s}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial[\zeta+P_s/g\rho_o-\alpha\eta]^{s+1}}{\partial y}\right)\right]_n$$

$$lstress_{j,k}\equiv\left[\frac{3E_{t_j}^s}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n\left(\frac{\partial q^s}{\partial x_\sigma}\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial q^s}{\partial y_\sigma}\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial y}\right)_n\right]_k$$

$$vstress_{j,k}^s\equiv\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j^s}\right)^2\sum_{m=1}^{3}q_{j,k+m-2}^s KVnm_{j,k,m}^s$$

Eq. (23) has a matrix structure, although due to the specific formulation that was used to obtain this equation, the matrix is uncoupled in the horizontal direction and is tri-diagonal in the vertical direction. Thus, Eq. (23) is solved separately for each horizontal node j. Symbolically, Eq. (23) can be written as:

$$Mq = F_r$$

where,

$M = complex\ tridiagonal\ matrix$

$q = complex\ solution\ vector\ for\ velocity$

$F_r = complex\ forcing\ vector$

and M consists of:

$$M(k,k-1) = \begin{cases} \left(1+i\Delta t\alpha_1 f\right)Inm_{k,1} + \alpha_3\,\Delta t\left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}}\right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,1}^s & for\ k \neq 1 \\[4mm] 0 & for\ k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$M(k,k) = \begin{cases} \left(1+i\Delta t\alpha_1 f\right)Inm_{k,2} + \alpha_3\,\Delta t\left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}}\right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,2}^s & for\ k \neq 1 \\[6mm] \left(1+i\Delta t\alpha_1 f\right)Inm_{k,2} + \alpha_3\,\Delta t\left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}}\right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,2}^s + \left(\dfrac{(a-b)\Delta t\alpha_2 K_{slip_j}^s}{H_j^{s+1}}\right) & for\ k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$M(k,k+1) = \begin{cases} \left(1+i\Delta t\alpha_1 f\right)Inm_{k,3} + \alpha_3\,\Delta t\left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}}\right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,3}^s & for\ k \neq NV \\[4mm] 0 & for\ k = NV \end{cases}$$

4.0 VERTICAL VELOCITY

The vertical component of velocity is obtained in ADCIRC by solving the 3D continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_z}\right) \tag{24}$$

for w after u and v have been determined from the solution of the 3D momentum equations. The subscript "z" has been added to the horizontal derivatives to emphasize that these derivatives are computed in a level coordinate system. Eq. (24) is solved subject to the free-surface and bottom kinematic boundary conditions:

$$w_s = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + u_s \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x_z} + v_s \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y_z} \qquad at \quad z = \zeta \tag{25a}$$

$$w_b = -u_b \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_z} - v_b \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_z} \qquad at \quad z = -h \tag{25b}$$

where u_s, v_s, w_s are the velocity components at the free surface ($z=\zeta$) and u_b, v_b, w_b are the velocity components at the bottom ($z=-h$) assuming a slip condition is applied there.

Eq. (24) is discretized in horizontal space as:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega = -\left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_z}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega - \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_z}, \phi_j \right\rangle_\Omega \tag{26}$$

The horizontal integration utilizes **Rule 1** for the left side and **Rule 2** for the right side. After multiplication by $3/A_{NE_j}$, Eq. (26) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial w_j}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_z}\right)_n + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_z}\right)_n\right] \tag{27}$$

Eq. (27) is discretized over the vertical using a simple finite-difference for the left side and centering the right side:

$$\frac{w_{j,k} - w_{j,k-1}}{z_k - z_{k-1}} = -\frac{1}{2A_{NE_j}} \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} A_n \left\{\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_z}\right)_n + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_z}\right)_n\right]_k + \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_z}\right)_n + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_z}\right)_n\right]_{k-1}\right\} \tag{28}$$

Eq. (28) can be written in terms of the stretched coordinate system as:

$$\frac{w_{j,k}-w_{j,k-1}}{\sigma_k-\sigma_{k-1}}\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right) = \frac{1}{2A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n\right]_k\\[2mm]+\left[\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\sigma_k-a}{a-b}\right)\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\right)_n\right]\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial\sigma}\right)_k\\[2mm]+\left[\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial y}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\sigma_k-a}{a-b}\right)\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}\right)_n\right]\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial\sigma}\right)_k\\[2mm]-\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n\right]_{k-1}\\[2mm]+\left[\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\sigma_{k-1}-a}{a-b}\right)\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\right)_n\right]\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial\sigma}\right)_{k-1}\\[2mm]+\left[\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial y}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\sigma_{k-1}-a}{a-b}\right)\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}\right)_n\right]\left(\frac{a-b}{H_j}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial\sigma}\right)_{k-1}\end{array}\right\} \tag{29}$$

Discretizing the vertical derivative of horizontal velocity as:

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial\sigma}\right)_k = \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial\sigma}\right)_{k-1} = \left(\frac{u_{j,k}-u_{j,k-1}}{\sigma_k-\sigma_{k-1}}\right)$$

and re-arranging yields:

$$w_{j,k}=w_{j,k-1}+\frac{1}{A_{NE_j}}\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j}A_n\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\frac{(\sigma_k-\sigma_{k-1})H_j}{2(a-b)}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n\right]_k+\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\sigma}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\sigma}\right)_n\right]_{k-1}\right\}\\[4mm]+\left[\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial x}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\frac{\sigma_k+\sigma_{k-1}}{2}-a}{a-b}\right)\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\right)_n\right](u_{j,k}-u_{j,k-1})\\[4mm]+\left[\left(\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial y}\right)_n+\left(\frac{\frac{\sigma_k+\sigma_{k-1}}{2}-a}{a-b}\right)\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}\right)_n\right](v_{j,k}-v_{j,k-1})\end{array}\right\} \tag{30}$$

28

Eq. (25b) is used to determine $w_{j,1}$; Eq. (30) can then be solved recursively for $k=2, 3, \ldots$ up to the surface. (Notice that the vertical differences of horizontal velocity in Eq. (30) are evaluated at node j only.)

As discussed by Luettich et al. (in review) and Muccino et al. (1997), the result obtained for the vertical velocity at the free surface from Eq. (30), $w_{j,k=surface}$, may not match the free surface boundary condition, $w_{j,s}$, as specified in Eq. (25a). This discrepancy is due to error in local fluid mass conservation, (Luettich et al., in review). ADCIRC attempts to optimally correct the vertical velocity obtained from Eq. (30) using an adjoint approach. This results in a correction to Eq. (30) that is linear over the depth:

$$
w_{j,k}^{ajoint\ corrected} = w_{j,k} + \left(w_{j,s} - w_{j,k=surface} \right) \left[\frac{\dfrac{W_f}{H^2} + \dfrac{\sigma - b}{a - b}}{\dfrac{2W_f}{H^2} + 1} \right] \tag{31}
$$

In Eq. (31), W_f weights the relative importance of satisfying continuity in the interior of the fluid vs satisfying the free surface boundary condition in the adjoint equation. Setting $W_f=0$ forces the corrected vertical velocity to exactly satisfy the free surface and bottom boundary conditions. Setting W_f to be large (e.g., $W_f \sim 100$) adds a uniform correction to the vertical velocity solution that is equal to half the surface boundary error. ADCIRC uses a default value of $W_f=0$.

5.0 LATERAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Elevation specified boundary condition –ADCIRC 2DDI and 3D

An elevation specified boundary condition is implemented by zeroing out all off diagonal terms in the row corresponding to each elevation boundary node in the GWCE, Eq. (0.15), and setting the on diagonal term in that row equal to the root mean square value of all of the other diagonal terms in the GWCE matrix (to maintain matrix conditioning). The right side vector entry corresponding to each elevation specified boundary node is set equal to the specified elevation multiplied by the root mean square value mentioned previously. Symmetry is maintained in the left side matrix by zeroing out the off diagonal terms in the column corresponding to each elevation boundary node. To allow this, each off diagonal term in the column corresponding to an elevation boundary node is multiplied by the elevation boundary value and then subtracted from the right side vector of the corresponding equation.

Specified flux boundary condition –ADCIRC 2DDI

ADCIRC allows the specification of boundary conditions consisting of normal flux per unit width (e.g., zero flux across land boundary segments and nonzero flux across river boundary segments). These normal fluxes can be applied as either natural or essential boundary conditions and the user may specify whether the tangential velocity along these boundaries is set to zero or computed assuming free slip along the boundary. The specified normal flux per unit width is inserted into the boundary integral term that appears in the right side of the GWCE, Eq. (0.15), at each normal flux boundary node. (The convention used in ADCIRC for inputting normal flux per unit width is that flux into the domain is positive and flux out of the domain is negative. Therefore, the sign must be changed on the normal flux prior to using it in the GWCE since the derivation of this equation assumes that a positive flux is in the direction of the outward pointing normal.) If the normal flux is applied as a natural boundary condition, no modifications are made to the momentum equations. If the normal flux is applied as an essential boundary condition, the depth-average normal velocity, U_N, is forced to be equal to the normal flux per unit width divided by the local depth and multiplied by -1 (to maintain the convention that U_N is positive in the direction of the outward pointing normal). Further details of the implementation of the essential normal flux boundary condition in ADCIRC are presented below.

At any node in the horizontal, the momentum equations solved in ADCIRC 2DDI have the structure:

$$\begin{bmatrix} AUV_1 & -AUV_2 \\ AUV_2 & AUV_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} QU \\ QV \end{bmatrix} \tag{0.19}$$

where AUV_1, AUV_2 are the matrix entries computed from the finite element assembly process, and QU, QV comprise the right side forcing vector. At flux specified boundary nodes, the equations are rotated into a normal - tangential coordinate system. The normal and tangential

velocities, U_N and U_T, are defined as the dot product of the velocity vector and the normal and tangential unit vectors, $\hat{N} = (N_x, N_y)$ and $\hat{T} = (T_x, T_y)$:

$$UN_x + VN_y = U_N$$
$$UT_x + VT_y = U_T$$

(0.20)

($\hat{N}$ and $\hat{T}$ are defined in the APPENDIX.) At specified normal flux boundary nodes the y-momentum equation in (0.19) is replaced by the expression for the normal velocity in (0.20) and the x-momentum equation is replaced by the tangential momentum equation formed by multiplying the x-momentum equation (0.19) by T_x and adding the y-momentum equation (0.19) multiplied by T_y. Since $T_x = N_y$ and $T_y = -N_x$ (see APPENDIX), the resulting system is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} AUV_1N_y - AUV_2N_x & -AUV_2N_y - AUV_1N_x \\ N_x & N_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} QU\,N_y - QV\,N_x \\ U_N \end{bmatrix}$$

(0.21)

The left side matrix in (0.21) does not have the symmetry of the original equations, (0.19). This can be recovered by adding the tangential momentum equation and to the normal equation multiplied by AUV_2 and dividing the result by AUV_1:

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_y & -N_x \\ N_x & N_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{QU\,N_y - QV\,N_x + AUV_2U_N}{AUV_1} \\ U_N \end{bmatrix}$$

(0.22)

For the case that the tangential flux is also specified (e.g., equal to zero), the right side of the first equation in (0.22) is replaced by U_T.

Zero normal velocity gradient boundary condition – ADCIRC 2DDI

A zero normal velocity gradient boundary condition is implemented by imposing the condition

$$\frac{\partial U_N}{\partial N} = 0$$

(0.23)

at the boundary nodes. This condition can be expressed in terms of U, V and $\hat{N}$ as:

$$\frac{\partial U_N}{\partial N} = N_x \frac{\partial U_N}{\partial x} + N_y \frac{\partial U_N}{\partial y} = N_x \frac{\partial (UN_x + VN_y)}{\partial x} + N_y \frac{\partial (UN_x + VN_y)}{\partial y}$$
$$= N_xN_x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + N_xN_y \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right) + N_yN_y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = 0$$

(0.24)

Applying a Galerkin weighted residual formulation with linear basis functions to Eq. (0.24) yields:

31

$$\frac{\partial U_N}{\partial N} = \left\langle N_x N_x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle N_x N_y \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right), \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle N_y N_y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}, \phi_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left[\int_{\Omega_n} N_x N_x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \phi_j \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_n} N_x N_y \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right) \phi_j \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_n} N_y N_y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \phi_j \, d\Omega \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left[N_x N_x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_j \, d\Omega + N_x N_y \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right) \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_j \, d\Omega + N_y N_y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_j \, d\Omega \right] \qquad (0.25)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{3} \left[N_x N_x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + N_x N_y \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right) + N_y N_y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{3} \left[N_x N_x \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_i \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} + N_x N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(V_i \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} + U_i \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \right) + N_y N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} V_i \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{1}{6} \left[N_x N_x \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_i b_i + N_x N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} (V_i b_i + U_i a_i) + N_y N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} V_i a_i \right] = 0$$

where a_i and b_i are defined in the Appendix. Multiplying Eq. (0.25) by the constant 6 gives one of the momentum equations solved at each zero normal velocity gradient boundary node, j.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left[N_x N_x \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_i b_i + N_x N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} (V_i b_i + U_i a_i) + N_y N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} V_i a_i \right] = 0 \qquad (0.26)$$

In matrix form, (0.26) has the form:

$$BUV_1 U_{j-1} + BUV_2 V_{j-1} + BUV_3 U_j + BUV_4 V_j + BUV_5 U_{j+1} + BUV_6 V_{j+1} = BF_j \qquad (0.27)$$

where subscripts $j-1$, j, $j+1$ designate the consecutive node ordering along the boundary and BUV_1, BUV_2, BUV_3, BUV_4, BUV_5 and BUV_6 are coefficients whose values are determined by evaluating Eq. (0.26). BF_j is the forcing generated by the contribution of non-boundary neighbor nodes to node j in Eq. (0.26).

The other equation consists of the tangential momentum equation formed by multiplying the x-momentum equation (0.19) by T_x and adding the y-momentum equation (0.19) multiplied by T_y. Since $T_x = N_y$ and $T_y = -N_x$ (see the Appendix), the result is:

$$(AUV_1 N_y - AUV_2 N_x) U_j - (AUV_2 N_y + AUV_1 N_x) V_j = QU_j N_y - QV_j N_x \qquad (0.28)$$

Together Eqs. (0.27) and (0.28) form a banded matrix with bandwidth of 6 and length equal to the number of nodes in the zero normal velocity gradient boundary. Once this system of equations is solved for the velocities along the boundary, these velocities are used to compute the normal flux across the boundary and the time derivative of normal flux across the boundary required to solve the GWCE at the next time step.

ADCIRC allows the specification of boundary conditions consisting of normal flux per unit width (e.g., zero flux across land boundary segments and nonzero flux across river boundary segments). These normal fluxes can either be applied as natural or essential boundary conditions and the user may specify whether the tangential velocity along these boundaries is set to zero or computed assuming free slip along the boundary. The specified normal flux per unit width is inserted into the boundary integral term that appears in the right side of the GWCE, Eq. (0.15), at each normal flux boundary node. (The convention used in ADCIRC for inputting normal flux per unit width is that flux into the domain is positive and flux out of the domain is negative. Therefore, the sign must be changed on the normal flux prior to using it in the GWCE since the derivation of this equation assumes that a positive flux is in the direction of the outward pointing normal.) If the normal flux is applied as a natural boundary condition, no modifications are made to the momentum equations. In this case the momentum equations will try to generate an appropriate vertical distribution of velocity over the depth, although vertical integration of this velocity may not exactly match the specified normal boundary flux. If the normal flux is applied as an essential boundary condition, the depth-average normal velocity, U_N, is forced to be equal to the normal flux per unit width divided by the local depth and multipled by -1 (to maintain the convention that U_N is positive in the direction of the outward pointing normal). In this case ADCIRC assumes the normal velocity is distributed uniformly over the depth. This is probably not a good assumption if the normal velocity is nonzero! If a free slip tangential boundary condition is used, ADCIRC will attempt to compute a tangential velocity that is consistent with the specified normal velocity. Implementation of the essential normal flux boundary condition in ADCIRC is described below.

At any node in the horizontal, the momentum equations solved in the 3D version of ADCIRC have the structure:

$$Mq = F_r \tag{0.29}$$

where, M is a complex tridiagonal matrix, q ($= u + iv$) is the complex solution vector for velocity, F_r is the complex forcing vector and recall that the real and imaginary parts of (0.29) correspond to the x and y momentum equations, respectively. Row k in matrix M consists of:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(k, k-1\right) &= Auv_{1,k-1} + i\, Auv_{2,k-1} \\
M\left(k, k\right) &= Auv_{1,k} + i\, Auv_{2,k} \\
M\left(k, k+1\right) &= Auv_{1,k+1} + i\, Auv_{2,k+1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{0.30}
$$

where:

$$Auv_{1,k-1} = \begin{cases} Inm_{k,1} + \alpha_3 \Delta t \left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}} \right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,1}^s & \text{for } k \neq 1 \\[2em] 0 & \text{for } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Auv_{2,k-1} = \begin{cases} \Delta t \alpha_1 f \, Inm_{k,1} & \text{for } k \neq 1 \\[1em] 0 & \text{for } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Auv_{1,k} = \begin{cases} Inm_{k,2} + \alpha_3 \Delta t \left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}} \right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,2}^s & \text{for } k \neq 1 \\[2em] Inm_{k,2} + \alpha_3 \Delta t \left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}} \right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,2}^s + \left(\dfrac{(a-b)\Delta t \alpha_2 K_{slip_j}^s}{H_j^{s+1}} \right) & \text{for } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Auv_{2,k} = \Delta t \alpha_1 f \, Inm_{k,2} \qquad \text{for all } k$$

$$Auv_{1,k+1} = \begin{cases} Inm_{k,3} + \alpha_3 \Delta t \left(\dfrac{a-b}{H_j^{s+1}} \right)^2 KVnm_{j,k,3}^s & \text{for } k \neq NV \\[2em] 0 & \text{for } k = NV \end{cases}$$

$$Auv_{2,k+1} = \begin{cases} \Delta t \alpha_1 f \, Inm_{k,3} & \text{for } k \neq NV \\[1em] 0 & \text{for } k = NV \end{cases}$$

At boundary nodes where normal flux is specified, the y-momentum equation is replaced by the equation for the normal velocity:

$$u_k N_x + v_k N_y = U_N \qquad (0.31)$$

Because the vertical distribution of normal velocity is uniform, this applies locally at each node in the vertical. The x-momentum equation is replaced by the tangential momentum equation formed by multiplying the original x-momentum equation by T_x and adding the original y-momentum equation multiplied by T_y. Since $T_x = N_y$ and $T_y = -N_x$ (see APPENDIX), the resulting system is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(Auv_{1,k-1} N_y - Auv_{2,k-1} N_x \right) u_{k-1} - \left(Auv_{2,k-1} N_y + Auv_{1,k-1} N_x \right) v_{k-1} \\ &+ \left(Auv_{1,k} N_y - Auv_{2,k} N_x \right) u_k - \left(Auv_{2,k} N_y + Auv_{1,k} N_x \right) v_k \\ &+ \left(Auv_{1,k+1} N_y - Auv_{2,k+1} N_x \right) u_{k+1} - \left(Auv_{2,k+1} N_y + Auv_{1,k+1} N_x \right) v_{k+1} \\ &= \text{Re}\{F_r\} N_y - \text{Im}\{F_r\} N_x \end{aligned} \qquad (0.32)$$

The left sides of (0.31) and (0.32) do not have the symmetry of the original momentum equations. This can be recovered by multiplying (0.31) by Auv_2 at levels k-1, k, and k+1 and adding these to (0.32):

$$Auv_{1,k-1}N_y u_{k-1} - Auv_{1,k-1}N_x v_{k-1} + Auv_{1,k}N_y u_k - Auv_{1,k}N_x v_k$$
$$+ Auv_{1,k+1}N_y u_{k+1} - Auv_{1,k+1}N_x v_{k+1}$$

$$= \text{Re}\{F_r\}N_y - \text{Im}\{F_r\}N_x + (Auv_{2,k-1} + Auv_{2,k} + Auv_{2,k+1})U_N \tag{0.33}$$

Multiplying (0.31) by Auv_1 at levels $k-1$, k, and $k+1$ and adding these together gives:

$$Auv_{1,k-1}N_x u_{k-1} + Auv_{1,k-1}N_y v_{k-1} + Auv_{1,k}N_x u_k + Auv_{1,k}N_y v_{k-1}$$
$$+ Auv_{1,k+1}N_x u_{k+1} + Auv_{1,k+1}N_y v_{k+1} = (Auv_{1,k-1} + Auv_{1,k} + Auv_{1,k+1})U_N \tag{0.34}$$

Equations (0.33) and (0.34) can now be written in the form of (0.29):

$$M^* q = F_r^* \tag{0.35}$$

where

$$M^*(k, k-1) = Auv_{1,k-1}^* + i\, Auv_{2,k-1}^*$$

$$M^*(k, k) = Auv_{1,k}^* + i\, Auv_{2,k}^*$$

$$M^*(k, k+1) = Auv_{1,k+1}^* + i\, Auv_{2,k+1}^*$$

$$Auv_{1,k-1}^* = Auv_{1,k-1}N_y$$

$$Auv_{2,k-1}^* = Auv_{1,k-1}N_x$$

$$Auv_{1,k}^* = Auv_{1,k}N_y$$

$$Auv_{2,k}^* = Auv_{1,k}N_x$$

$$Auv_{1,k+1}^* = Auv_{1,k+1}N_y$$

$$Auv_{2,k+1}^* = Auv_{1,k+1}N_x$$

$$F_r^* = \left[\text{Re}\{F_r\}N_y - \text{Im}\{F_r\}N_x + (Auv_{2,k-1} + Auv_{2,k} + Auv_{2,k+1})U_N \right]$$
$$+ i\left[(Auv_{1,k-1} + Auv_{1,k} + Auv_{1,k+1})U_N \right]$$

For the case that the tangential flux is also specified (e.g., equal to zero), the x-momentum equation is replaced by.

$$u_k N_y - v_k N_x = U_T \tag{0.36}$$

and the y-momentum equation is replaced by (0.31). This also generates a system of equations of the form of (0.35) where:

$$M^*(k, k-1) = 0$$

$$M^*(k, k) = Auv_{1,k}^* + i\, Auv_{2,k}^*$$

$$M^*(k, k+1) = 0$$

$$Auv^*_{1,k} = N_y$$

$$Auv^*_{2,k} = N_x$$

$$F^*_r = U_T + iU_N$$

Zero normal elevation gradient boundary condition – ADCIRC 2DDI and 3D

A zero normal elevation gradient boundary condition is implemented by replacing the GWCE equation corresponding to each zero normal elevation gradient boundary node with the equation:

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n} \equiv N_x \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + N_y \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{0.37}$$

where the normal unit vector, $\hat{N} = (N_x, N_y)$ is defined in the APPENDIX. Applying the Galerkin spatial discretization to Eq. (0.37) gives:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial n}, \phi_j \right\rangle \equiv \left\langle N_x \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}, \phi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle N_y \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}, \phi_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left[\int_{\Omega_n} N_x \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \phi_j \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_n} N_y \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \phi_j \, d\Omega \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left[N_x \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \right)_n \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_j \, d\Omega + N_y \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \right)_n \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_j \, d\Omega \right] \tag{0.38}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{A_n}{3} \left[N_x \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \right)_n + N_y \left(\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \right)_n \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \frac{1}{6} \left[N_x \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_i b_i + N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_i a_i \right] = 0$$

Eq. (0.38) is evaluated implicitly in time using Eq. (0.16). Multiplying through by the constant 6, yields the final set of discrete equations for the zero normal elevation gradient boundary condition.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{NE_j} \left[N_x \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_i^{*s+1} b_i + N_y \sum_{i=1}^{3} \zeta_i^{*s+1} a_i \right] = 0 \tag{0.39}$$

One problem with applying this boundary condition is that it renders the GWCE left side matrix nonsymmetric. In contrast to the case of an elevation specified boundary condition where a straightforward manipulation restores matrix symmetry, there is no exact method for restoring the symmetry of this system. Consequently, this boundary condition has not been implemented in ADCIRC at the present.

Radiation boundary condition on elevation – ADCIRC 2DDI/3D

A radiation boundary condition on elevation is implemented by specifying a relationship between the normal flux and the elevation field along the boundary. The most common of this type of boundary condition is a Sommerfield radiation condition. A difficulty with applying any type of boundary condition imposed on the GWCE, is that it renders the left side matrix nonsymmetric and therefore is not supported in the present version of ADCIRC.

BAROCLINIC PRESSURE GRADIENT CALCULATION NOTES

As presented previously, the baroclinic pressure gradient is defined by

$$b_x \equiv g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_z^\zeta \frac{(\rho - \rho_o)}{\rho_o} dz, \qquad b_y \equiv g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_z^\zeta \frac{(\rho - \rho_o)}{\rho_o} dz$$

These terms are evaluated in ADCIRC in two steps. In the initial step, the 3D baroclinic pressure field is computed as:

$$BPress(z) \equiv \int_z^\zeta \frac{g(\rho - \rho_o)}{\rho_o} dz = \frac{gH}{\rho_o(a-b)} \int_\sigma^a (\rho - \rho_o) d\sigma = \frac{gH}{\rho_o(a-b)} \int_\sigma^a (\sigma_T - \sigma_{To}) d\sigma$$

where, density has been replace by the standard oceanographic "sigma t" variable

$$\sigma_T \equiv \rho - 1000, \qquad \sigma_{To} \equiv \rho_o - 1000$$

This should not be confused with the variable, , representing the dimensionless vertical coordinate system. In the second step, the horizontal baroclinic pressure gradients are computed as horizontal derivatives (in level or z coordinates) of the baroclinic pressure field.

$$b_x \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} BPress, \qquad b_y \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y} BPress$$

For any horizontal node j and vertical node k, ADCIRC computes these gradients at the vertical position of node k. This is accomplished for each element containing node j by vertically interpolating the baroclinic pressure field at the element vertices to the vertical position of node k and then computing the horizontal gradients directly.

APPENDIX - BASIC CALCULATIONS ON LINEAR TRIANGLES

Consider the triangular finite element with vertices numbered 1-3, counter-clockwise around the element. Any variable, Υ, can be expanded linearly within the element based on nodal values as:

$$\Upsilon = \Upsilon_1\phi_1 + \Upsilon_2\phi_2 + \Upsilon_3\phi_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Upsilon_i\phi_i$$

where,

$\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2, \Upsilon_3 = $ *nodal values of Υ at elemental verticies 1, 2, 3*

$\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 = $ *linear basis functions defined as:*

$$\phi_1 = \frac{x_2y_3 - x_3y_2 + b_1x + a_1y}{2A}; \quad \phi_2 = \frac{x_3y_1 - x_1y_3 + b_2x + a_2y}{2A}; \quad \phi_3 = \frac{x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + b_3x + a_3y}{2A}$$

$a_1 = x_3 - x_2; \quad a_2 = x_1 - x_3; \quad a_3 = x_2 - x_1$

$b_1 = y_2 - y_3; \quad b_2 = y_3 - y_1; \quad b_3 = y_1 - y_2$

$A = \dfrac{b_1a_2 - b_2a_1}{2} = $ *elemental area*

Spatial derivatives are computed as:

$$\frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Upsilon_i\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial x}; \quad \frac{\partial\Upsilon}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Upsilon_i\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial y}$$

where,

$$\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial x} = \frac{b_i}{2A}; \quad \frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial y} = \frac{a_i}{2A}$$

Spatial integrations are computed using:

$$\int_A \phi_i^{e_i}\phi_j^{e_j}dA = 2A\frac{(e_i)!(e_j)!}{(e_i+e_j+2)!}$$

If $i \neq j$ and $e_i = e_j = 1$, $\displaystyle\int_A \phi_i\phi_j dA = \frac{A}{12}$. If $i = j$ and $e_i = e_j = 1$, $\displaystyle\int_A \phi_i\phi_i dA = \int_A \phi_i^2 dA = \frac{A}{6}$.

Because linear basis and expansion functions are used, their derivatives are constant across an element and spatial integrations involving derivatives become:

$$\int_A \phi_i\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x, \partial y}dA = \frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x, \partial y}\int_A \phi_i dA = \frac{A}{3}\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x, \partial y}$$

The component of a vector quantity, $\vec{\Upsilon}$, $\left(\vec{\Upsilon} \equiv \Upsilon_x, \Upsilon_y\right)$, in any direction can be computed as the dot product of the vector quantity and the unit vector in the specified direction. In ADCIRC this is done at boundary nodes, where the horizontal velocity field may be rotated into components that are normal and tangential to each boundary node or where the elevation gradient normal to the boundary may be specified.

If a node is on the interior of a boundary (i.e., it is not the end node where two *different types* of boundaries meet), unique normal and tangential directions are defined as shown in the figure below.

Definition figure for normal and tangential directions at boundary node 2, provided that this node does not mark the end of one boundary type and the beginning of another. In this situation the normal direction is defined to be perpendicular to the line connecting nodes 1 and 3. The ADCIRC grid file requires boundary nodes to be specified with the domain interior on the left as one progresses along the boundary.

The normal and tangential components $\left(\Upsilon_N, \Upsilon_T\right)$ of vector $\vec{\Upsilon}$ are:

$$\Upsilon_N = \vec{\Upsilon} \cdot \hat{N} \equiv \Upsilon_x N_x + \Upsilon_y N_y$$

$$\Upsilon_T = \vec{\Upsilon} \cdot \hat{T} \equiv \Upsilon_x T_x + \Upsilon_y T_y$$

and the normal and tangential spatial derivatives of a scalar function, Υ, are:

$$\frac{\partial \Upsilon}{\partial N} = \frac{\partial \Upsilon}{\partial x} N_x + \frac{\partial \Upsilon}{\partial y} N_y$$

$$\frac{\partial \Upsilon}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial \Upsilon}{\partial x} T_x + \frac{\partial \Upsilon}{\partial y} T_y$$

The unit vectors, $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{T}$, are:

$$N_x = \cos\alpha \qquad\qquad N_y = \sin\alpha$$
$$T_x = \cos(\alpha - 90) \qquad T_y = \sin(\alpha - 90)$$

Since $\beta = \alpha + 90$, the unit vectors can be written more conveniently as:

$$N_x = \cos(\beta - 90) = \sin\beta = \frac{y_3 - y_1}{L_{31}} \qquad\qquad N_y = \sin(\beta - 90) = -\cos\beta = \frac{x_1 - x_3}{L_{31}}$$
$$T_x = \cos(\beta - 180) = -\cos\beta = N_y \qquad\qquad T_y = \sin(\beta - 180) = -\sin\beta = -N_x$$

where (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) and (x_3, y_3) are the horizontal coordinates of nodes 1, 2 and 3 and $L_{31} \equiv \sqrt{(x_3 - x_1)^2 + (y_3 - y_1)^2}$ is the horizontal distance between nodes 1 and 3.

If a node is located where two *different types* of boundaries meet, two normal and tangential directions are defined for the node, one for each boundary, as shown in the figure below.

Definition figure for normal and tangential directions at boundary node 2, when this node marks the end of one boundary type and the beginning of another. In this situation two normal and two tangential directions are defined for node 2, one for computations pertaining to the boundary type to the right of node 2 (i.e., for boundary segment 1,2) and one for computations pertaining to the boundary type to the left of node 2 (i.e., for boundary segment 2,3). The tangential direction for each segment is 90 degrees to the right of the normal direction.

In this case

$$\Upsilon_{N1,2} = \vec{\Upsilon} \cdot \hat{N}_{1,2} \equiv \Upsilon_x N_{1,2_x} + \Upsilon_y N_{1,2_y}$$

$$\Upsilon_{T1,2} = \vec{\Upsilon} \cdot \hat{T}_{1,2} \equiv \Upsilon_x T_{1,2_x} + \Upsilon_y T_{1,2_y}$$

$$\Upsilon_{N2,3} = \vec{\Upsilon} \cdot \hat{N}_{2,3} \equiv \Upsilon_x N_{2,3_x} + \Upsilon_y N_{2,3_y}$$

$$\Upsilon_{T2,3} = \vec{\Upsilon} \cdot \hat{T}_{2,3} \equiv \Upsilon_x T_{2,3_x} + \Upsilon_y T_{2,3_y}$$

and spatial derivatives are handled in an analogous way.

The unit vectors are:

$$N_{1,2_x} = \sin \beta_{1,2} = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{L_{21}} \qquad\qquad N_{1,2_y} = -\cos \beta_{1,2} = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{L_{21}}$$

$$T_{1,2_x} = N_{1,2_y} \qquad\qquad T_{1,2_y} = -N_{1,2_x}$$

$$N_{2,3_x} = \sin \beta_{2,3} = \frac{y_3 - y_2}{L_{32}} \qquad\qquad N_{2,3_y} = -\cos \beta_{2,3} = \frac{x_2 - x_3}{L_{32}}$$

$$T_{2,3_x} = N_{2,3_y} \qquad\qquad T_{2,3_y} = -N_{2,3_x}$$

where $L_{21} \equiv \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}$ and $L_{32} \equiv \sqrt{(x_3 - x_2)^2 + (y_3 - y_2)^2}$ are the distances between nodes 1 and 2 and nodes 2 and 3, respectively.

Max ebb u-vel

Max ebb v-vel

Max ebb w-vel

Max ebb secondary circulation