May 1, 2017

Mr. Jeff Walker, Executive Administrator  
Texas Water Development Board (TWDB)  
P.O. Box 13231  
1700 North Congress Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78711-3231

Re: Request for Consideration and Approval of Adopted Amendment to  
2016 Region K Water Plan for Creedmoor-Maha WSC project

Dear Mr. Walker:

During our April 26, 2017 meeting, Region K voted unanimously to adopt a minor amendment to the Region K 2016 Water Plan. The amendment is a water supply project for Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation. The adoption occurred following the required public comment period in which no comments were received. We are providing to you a copy of the adopted amendment, supporting materials, as well as Region K’s updated prioritization spreadsheet. We understand that the TWDB will review this amendment and consider approval of it to move through the public hearing process.

We appreciate your consideration of this amendment. If you have any questions about this request, please contact me as shown below.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Burke  
Region K Chairman  
512-914-3474  
JohnEBurke@RegionK.org

Enclosures: Amendment Package, Prioritization Spreadsheet

Cc: Lann Bookout, TWDB  
   Jaime Burke, AECOM  
   Charles Laws, CMWSC General Manager  
   James Kowis, James Kowis Consulting LLC  
   Ricky Anderson, RSAH2O  
   Wade Wheatley, GDS Associates, Inc
April 11, 2017

Chairman John E. Burke
Lower Colorado Regional Water Planning Group
496 Shiloh Road
Bastrop, TX  78602

Re:  Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation Request for Region K to Consider and Incorporate a Minor Amendment Into Its Approved 2016 Water Plan

Dear Chairman Burke,

GDS Associates, Inc. (GDS) has been retained by Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation (CMWSC) to assist them in pursuing a minor amendment to Region K’s 2016 Regional Water Plan. We have engaged James Kowis from James Kowis Consulting LLC to assist us in this matter. We hope that this letter will provide you with sufficient information to approve the minor amendment during your regularly scheduled meeting on April 26, 2017.

At its last meeting (January 11, 2017), Region K authorized a public comment meeting and written comments period to receive comments on CMWSC’s proposal to amend Region K’s 2016 Regional Water Plan. Region K issued a “Notice of Minor Amendment to 2016 Region K Water Plan” on January 27, 2017 to take comments on CMWSC’s proposed minor amendment via a February 13, 2017 public meeting. Region K also requested written comments by a February 28, 2017 deadline. It is our understanding that no person appeared on February 13th to provide comments, and no written comments were submitted by the February 28th deadline in relation to CMWSC’s proposed minor amendment.

Following the last Region K meeting and the February 28th comment period deadline, Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) staff provided Mr. James Kowis with some general comments on some of the language contained in the draft proposed minor amendment. These comments suggested that the proposed minor amendment language put more emphasis on this project being described as a water supply versus a water conservation project.

With Mr. Kowis’ assistance, we have slightly modified the language in the minor amendment proposal to better reflect the comments received from the TWDB. Mr. Kowis has shared these revisions with the TWDB and they did not recommend any modifications/changes.
Chairman, John E. Burke
April 11, 2017
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Attached for the consideration of Region K is the revised minor amendment package with the latest changes reviewed by the TWDB. CMWSC is requesting Region K approve its proposed minor amendment request at its upcoming April 26th meeting.

Following is a description of each of the Attachments:

- **Attachment A-** Aerial extent of CMWSC’s service area;
- **Attachment B-** Diagram of the State Water Plan Amendment Process Timeline;
- **Attachment C-** Brief description of CMWSC’s Proposed Project;
- **Attachment D-** A summary of proposed changes to Region K’s 2016 Water Plan identified as being needed to accomplish adding CMWSC’s project as a minor amendment; and
- **Attachment E-** A copy of the Region K January 27th notice soliciting public comments on the CMWSC’s proposed minor amendment.

If you have any questions about this request, please contact me as shown below.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Richard Varnell, P.G.
Project Manager
GDS Associates, Inc.
(512) 494-0369
richard.varnell@gdsassociates.com

Enclosures (5)

cc: Charles Laws, GM - CMWSC
    Jaime Burke, AECOM
    Lann Bookout, TWDB
    James Kowis, James Kowis Consulting LLC
    Ricky Anderson, RSAH20
ATTACHMENT A

Aerial Extent of CMWSC’s Service Area
ATTACHMENT B

Diagram of the
State Water Plan Amendment Process Timeline
Texas Water Development Board
State Water Plan Amendment Process Timeline

Regional Water Planning Group (RWPG) considers and makes decision on request for amendment

30 day hearing notice

Hearing

30 day comment period

RWPG considers comments and makes decision on adopting amendment

62+ days

Regional Water Planning Group (RWPG) considers and makes decision on request for amendment

Submit to TWDB

TWDB reviews RWPG adopted amendment

45 - 75 days

TWDB considers and approves of RWPG adopted amendment

30 day hearing notice

Hearing

30 day comment period

RWPG considers comments and makes decision on adopting amendment

14-day follow-up comment period

14-day notice period

TWDB to validate classification as "minor", may take up to 45 days

14 - 62 days

Please note: the Regional Process timeline outlined above is exclusive of any additional days needed to accommodate scheduling for public meetings, posting of public notice, or other variables. Also, the timeline does not reflect the additional days needed by the Regional Water Planning Group (RWPG) to prioritize the amended regional plan.

Example: If amendments to the 2012 State Water Plan are required to be adopted by the TWDB Board by May 1, 2015; then RWPGs would need to submit their final adopted 2011 Regional Water Plan amendments to TWDB no later than February 10, 2015.

*This example timeframe is provided as a guide for RWPGs to use as a resource when considering the submission of amendments and providing supporting documentation to TWDB. Please note the dates set forth are not intended to represent actual deadlines. Rather, the dates are being provided only to illustrate the minimum amount of time necessary to process an amendment in accordance with all statutory and regulatory requirements. The dates do not account for additional time that may be needed by TWDB staff to review amendments based on the number of amendments received or complexity of major amendments.
ATTACHMENT C

Description of Proposed Project
ATTACHMENT C

Brief Description of Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation’s
Proposed Water Project

Background

Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation (CMWSC) meets its service area’s water demands
from the following three existing sources of supply:

- Balcones Fault Zone (BFZ) Edwards- CMWSC’s six groundwater wells;
- Colorado River- water supply contract with City of Austin (Austin) with three water
  supply connections; and
- Carrizo-Wilcox- water supply contract with Aqua Water Supply Corporation (Aqua)
  with one water supply connection.

CMWSC does not intend to change these sources of supply nor does it intend to add any
additional sources of supply with this proposed project.

CMWSC has limited supplies available to it from its six groundwater wells, especially during
drought conditions. This project will allow CMWSC to better utilize its contracted water supplies
from Aqua during future drought events; and provide CMWSC with greater flexibility in the
source of supplies needed to meet growing needs in its service area, including allowing CMWSC
to regain some of the current supplies being lost as described in the next paragraph.

CMWSC’s service area is in a rural setting with clay soils that have moderate to high shrink and
swell characteristics. This, along with the under sizing of the lines, has presented some real
problems with its main lines which range in age from 20 to 40 plus years old. Using TWDB
methodology, CMWSC has documented it’s Percent Total Water Loss in the range of 18-26% for
the 2011-2015 timeframe (See table below showing CMWSC’s water use and losses for this
period).
## CMWSC Water Use and Losses (2011-2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average # of Meters</th>
<th>Average Customer Use (Gallons/Month)</th>
<th>Total Water Pumped (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total Water Sold (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total Used for Fire/Flush (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total Water Loss (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total % Water Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,279</td>
<td>8,817</td>
<td>327,966,100</td>
<td>241,217,600</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>86,198,500</td>
<td>26.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,282</td>
<td>7,657</td>
<td>262,620,600</td>
<td>209,721,400</td>
<td>400,050</td>
<td>52,499,150</td>
<td>19.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2,311</td>
<td>6,798</td>
<td>236,190,100</td>
<td>188,557,600</td>
<td>670,070</td>
<td>46,962,430</td>
<td>19.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2,328</td>
<td>6,442</td>
<td>221,704,400</td>
<td>180,036,200</td>
<td>767,070</td>
<td>40,901,130</td>
<td>18.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2,355</td>
<td>6,644</td>
<td>234,622,800</td>
<td>187,775,500</td>
<td>856,000</td>
<td>45,991,300</td>
<td>19.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMWSC has worked with an engineering consultant to develop an approach to strategically replace old, undersized & leak prone water main lines. The water lines that will be installed are all transmission, not distribution lines.

This strategy and its associated costs were developed utilizing data and information from a preliminary engineering report submitted by CMWSC. The cost estimates provided in the engineering report did not utilize the TWDB Costing Tool. However, the TWDB Costing Tool was used by Region K to determine the largest annual costs.

CMWSC’s proposed Water Project would be designed and implemented once funding has been obtained.

The proposed project would improve the water supply connection with Aqua and would replace existing transmission line from the Aqua connection point to CMWSC’s Alexander elevated storage tank. The following items are included in the project:

1. Upsize the meter at the water supply connection to a 12-inch meter-Estimated Costs for this have not been broken out of the overall total;
2. Replace 6.8 miles of existing 4 and 6-inch transmission line with 12-inch HDPE pipe-Estimated Construction Costs: $3,701,080;
3. Install a new booster pump on the 12-inch main line near the Aqua water supply connection to boost system pressure, ensure strong flow and complete timely filling of the Alexander storage tank- Estimated Construction Costs: $800,000; and
4. The project would be designed and constructed in 2018-2019 (assuming funding is obtained in late 2017).

For informational purposes, CMWSC has included a map with this attachment showing the location of main line replacement.
ATTACHMENT D

Summary of Proposed Changes to Region K’s 2016 Water Plan
Chapter 5

Addition of a new Section 5.2.5.5 to the 2016 Region K Water Plan (all new text)

5.2.5.5 Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation

Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation (CMWSC) meets its service area’s water demands from the following three existing sources of supply:

- Balcones Fault Zone (BFZ) Edwards- CMWSC’s six groundwater wells;
- Colorado River- water supply contract with City of Austin (Austin) with three water supply connections; and
- Carrizo-Wilcox- water supply contract with Aqua Water Supply Corporation (Aqua) with one water supply connection.

CMWSC does not intend to change these sources of supply nor does it intend to add any additional sources of supply with its proposed water project.

CMWSC has limited supplies available to it from its six groundwater wells, especially during drought conditions. This project will allow CMWSC to better utilize its contracted water supplies from Aqua during future drought events; and provide CMWSC with greater flexibility in the source of supplies needed to meet growing needs in its service area, including allowing CMWSC to regain some of the current supplies being lost as described in the next paragraph.

CMWSC’s service area is in a rural setting with clay soils that have moderate to high shrink and swell characteristics. This, along with the under sizing of the lines, has presented some real problems with its main lines which range in age from 20 to 40 plus years old. Using TWDB methodology, CMWSC has documented its Percent Total Water Loss in the range of 18-26% for the 2011-2015 timeframe (See Table 5-102A below showing CMWSC’s water use and losses for this period).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average # of Active Meters</th>
<th>Average Customer Use (Gallons/Month)</th>
<th>Total Water Pumped (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total Water Sold (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total Used for Fire/Flush (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total Water Loss (Gallons/Yr)</th>
<th>Total % Water Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,279</td>
<td>8,817</td>
<td>327,966,100</td>
<td>241,217,600</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>86,198,500</td>
<td>26.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,282</td>
<td>7,657</td>
<td>262,620,600</td>
<td>209,721,400</td>
<td>400,050</td>
<td>52,499,150</td>
<td>19.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2,311</td>
<td>6,798</td>
<td>236,190,100</td>
<td>188,557,600</td>
<td>670,070</td>
<td>46,962,430</td>
<td>19.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2,328</td>
<td>6,442</td>
<td>221,704,400</td>
<td>180,036,200</td>
<td>767,070</td>
<td>40,901,130</td>
<td>18.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2,355</td>
<td>6,644</td>
<td>234,622,800</td>
<td>187,775,500</td>
<td>856,000</td>
<td>45,991,300</td>
<td>19.60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMWSC has worked with an engineering consultant to develop an approach to strategically replace old, undersized & leak prone water main lines in its system. The water lines that will be installed are all transmission, not distribution lines.

CMWSC’s proposed Water Project would be designed and implemented once funding has been obtained.

The proposed project would improve the water supply connection with Aqua and would replace existing transmission line from the Aqua connection point to CMWSC’s Alexander elevated storage tank. The following items are included in the project:

1. Upsize the meter at the water supply connection to a 12-inch meter-Estimated Costs for this have not been broken out of the overall total;
2. Replace 6.8 miles of existing 4 and 6-inch transmission line with 12-inch HDPE pipe-Estimated Construction Costs: $3,701,080;
3. Install a new booster pump on the 12-inch main line near the Aqua water supply connection to boost system pressure, ensure strong flow and complete timely filling of the Alexander storage tank- Estimated Construction Costs: $800,000; and
4. This project would be designed and constructed in 2018-2019 (assuming funding is obtained in late 2017).

The level of demands that would be reduced by this proposed project are shown in the following table.
### Table 5-102B: Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation Reduction in Water Demands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WUG Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>River Basin</th>
<th>Water Management Strategies (ac-ft/yr)</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
<th>2050</th>
<th>2060</th>
<th>2070</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creedmoor-Maha WSC</td>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creedmoor-Maha WSC</td>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creedmoor-Maha WSC</td>
<td>Travis</td>
<td>Guadalupe</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cost Implication of Proposed Strategy**

The capital cost for CMWSC is primarily driven by the cost of the replacement of 6.8 miles of existing transmission line and the associated new booster pump.

This strategy and its associated costs were developed utilizing data and information from a preliminary engineering report submitted by CMWSC. The cost estimates provided in the engineering report did not utilize the TWDB Costing Tool. However, the TWDB Costing Tool was used by Region K to determine the largest annual costs.

The following table shows the estimated total costs associated with this strategy.

### Table 5-102C: Creedmoor-Maha Infrastructure Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Construction Cost</th>
<th>Total Capital Cost</th>
<th>Largest Annual Cost</th>
<th>Unit Cost ($/ac-ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>$4,501,080</td>
<td>$418,414</td>
<td>$20,921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Environmental Considerations**

Water for this strategy will come from existing sources of supply. This particular strategy should not have instream and bay and estuary inflow impacts.

Refer to Chapter 1, Appendix 1 A, for the complete list by County of threatened and endangered species in the Lower Colorado Regional Water Planning Area. These species may need to be considered during the construction/replacement of existing transmission line described above.

**Agricultural and Natural Resources Considerations**

No impacts to agriculture are expected, as a result of implementing this strategy.
Modification to Table 5A-1
What is shown below is just that portion of Table 5A-1 which has been modified; all other elements and values in the table were left unchanged.

Table 5A-1: Region K Water Management Strategies Considered and Evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water User Group Name</th>
<th>Maximum Need 2020-2070 (ac/yr)</th>
<th>Conservation</th>
<th>Reallocation/management of existing supplies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqua WSC</td>
<td>26,269</td>
<td>PF</td>
<td>nPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>6,390</td>
<td>PF</td>
<td>nPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastrop County WCID #2</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>nPF</td>
<td>nPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County-Other, Bastrop</td>
<td>1,490</td>
<td>PF</td>
<td>nPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creedmoor-Maha WSC</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>nPF</td>
<td>PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elgin</td>
<td>4,124</td>
<td>nPF</td>
<td>nPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smithville</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>PF</td>
<td>nPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing, Bastrop</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>nPF</td>
<td>nPF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The only change on this table was to change the “nPF” in the Reallocation/management of existing supplies column to “PF” for Creedmoor-Maha WSC.
Modification to Table 5A-2 (What is shown below is just the portion of Table 5A-1 which has been modified; all other elements and values in the table were left unchanged- since table is very wide, the table is broken into sections beginning at far left of table and moving to the right with each section)

Added an Item 308 at end of Table to include the Creedmoor-Maha WSC and adding the following highlighted (yellow) information into the screening matrix table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Water Management Strategy</th>
<th>WUG</th>
<th>Strategy Description</th>
<th>Addressing A Need</th>
<th>Total Strategy Costs $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>Urgent Water Loss Reduction Project</td>
<td>Creedmoor-Maha WSC</td>
<td>Water Main Line Replacement and Installation of Booster Pump</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Strategy Costs ($)</th>
<th>Cost of Water ($)</th>
<th>Max Yield AFY</th>
<th>Starting Decade</th>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Interbasin Transfer (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$418,414</td>
<td>$20,921.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Colorado &amp; Guadalupe</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Quality</th>
<th>Environmental And Natural Resources</th>
<th>Local Preference</th>
<th>Institutional Constraints</th>
<th>Impacts on Water Resources</th>
<th>Impacts on Agricultural Resources</th>
<th>Impacts on Recreation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts on Other Management Strategies</th>
<th>Total of Screening Factors</th>
<th>Quantified Environmental Impacts</th>
<th>Quantified Agriculture Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Negligible impacts to streamflow and bay</td>
<td>Negligible impacts to agriculture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

End.

Note: The description of this water management strategy, Urgent Water Loss Reduction Project, was chosen to remain consistent with Project Information Form (PIF) #12280. PIF #12280 was submitted for this project to the TWDB on March 3, 2017. It was accepted by the TWDB on March 7, 2017.

Modification of Appendix 5B-1 (What is shown on the next page is just the portion of Table 5B-1 which has been modified; all other elements and values in the table were left unchanged)

Adding the Creedmoor-Maha WSC’s project information (highlighted in yellow) on pages 2 of 22 (Bastrop County) and 16 of 22 (Travis County) of the Excel spreadsheet and the adding of this information into the table changed the “Remaining Surplus/Shortage” totals which is also highlighted in yellow:
Note: The description of this water management strategy, *Urgent Water Loss Reduction Project*, was chosen to remain consistent with Project Information Form (PIF) #12280. PIF #12280 was submitted for this project to the TWDB on March 3, 2017. It was accepted by the TWDB on March 7, 2017.
Chapter 9

Additions to Table 9.1 (Inserting/adding the following highlighted (yellow) information into Table 9.1 on Page 9-3; No other changes are proposed for this table)

Table 9.1 Region K Recommended Water Management Strategies with Capital Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WMS Project Sponsor Region</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Sponsor Entity</th>
<th>Capital Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>City of Austin – Rainwater Harvesting</td>
<td>AUSTIN</td>
<td>$690,167,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>City of Austin Conservation</td>
<td>AUSTIN</td>
<td>$41,434,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Creedmoor-Maha WSC – Urgent Water Loss Reduction Project</td>
<td>CREEDMOOR-MAHA WSC</td>
<td>$4,501,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Development of New Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer Supplies – Bastrop</td>
<td>BASTROP</td>
<td>$2,976,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Development of New Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer Supplies – Bastrop County Mining</td>
<td>MINING, BASTROP</td>
<td>$3,391,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Potential Changes:

NOTE: There will need to be some additions/changes made to the TWDB database. CMWSC’s consultants will work with AECOM, Region K’s consultant, or TWDB staff to provide any needed information to accomplish that task.
ATTACHMENT E

Region K’s January 27, 2017 Notice Soliciting Public Comments on the Proposed Minor Amendment
January 27, 2017

TO: All Interested Parties

FROM: Lower Colorado Regional Water Planning Group (Region K)

SUBJECT: Notice of Minor Amendment to 2016 Region K Water Plan

A public meeting will be held on February 13, 2017, at 3:30 p.m., at LCRA's Redbud Center Building, 3601 Lake Austin Blvd, Austin, TX 78703.

The purpose of this meeting will be to take comments on adding a minor amendment to the approved 2016 Region K Water Plan.

Creedmoor-Maha Water Supply Corporation (CMWSC) has requested Region K to consider an amendment which will seek CMWSC to add a water conservation project to reduce system water loss as a recommended water management strategy in the 2016 Region K Water Plan. The project includes installing a new water meter, replacing about 6.8 miles of existing water transmission pipeline and constructing a new booster pump station to improve the water supply connection with Aqua Water Supply Corporation.

Copies of the amendment application materials are available from LCRA or online, on the 2016 Region K water plan page at www.regionk.org.

You can submit written comments regarding the amendment to LCRA:

David Wheelock  
Administrative Agent for Region K  
Lower Colorado River Authority  
P.O. Box 220, Mail Stop R316  
Austin, TX 78767-0220

The deadline for all written public comments is Tuesday, February 28, 2017.

For questions or additional information, please contact Stacy Pandey at spandey@lcra.org or 512-578-7471.