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Overview

• Background on regional and state water planning in Texas
• Overview of regional water planning groups
• Fundamentals of water planning
• Foundation of the State Water Plan
1950s – *Drought of Record*

- 1957: Creation of TWDB
- $200 million in Water Development Fund
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Why do we plan?
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Types of Drought

- Meteorological
- Agricultural
- Hydrological*
- Socioeconomic

*regional water planning focuses on drought impacting water supplies
Overview of Regional Water Planning Groups
16 Regional Water Planning Areas
Diverse interest groups represented
**Voting Member Categories**

**Statutory interests:**
- Public
- Counties
- Municipalities
- Industries
- Agriculture
- Environment
- Small businesses
- Water districts
- Water utilities
- Groundwater management areas (varies by region)
- Electric-generating utilities
- River authorities

There are approximately 370 voting members in the 16 groups.
Key Responsibilities of Planning Group Members

• Represent interest category and region
• Develop a plan that serves region and state
• Consider local water plans
• Ensure adoption of a regional water plan by the statutory deadline that meets all requirements
How do planning groups function?

- Select a host political subdivision
- Select technical consultants
- Self-govern (maintain own bylaws and membership)
- Hold regular public meetings and sub-group meetings as necessary
- Consider stakeholder input and make decisions in accordance with bylaws
Public Notice Requirements

• Subject to Texas Open Meetings Act

• Follow significant public notice requirements (requirements vary depending on activity)

• Must hold initial preplanning public meeting for input on the next plan

• Must present how the planning group will identify potentially feasible water management strategies at a public meeting
Funding the Planning Process

• Legislative appropriations
• RWPGs apply for funding (based on 5-year cycles)
• Funding through TWDB contract with political subdivision
• Political subdivisions subcontract with technical consultants
• RWPGs direct work of consultants
Relevant Documents

- Statute
- Administrative rules
- Contract Scope of Work/Task budget
- Contract Exhibit C – general guidelines for regional water plan development
- Contract Exhibit D – guidelines for data deliverables

Roles

Public

16 Regional Water Planning Groups

16 technical consultants

16 political subdivisions

Legislature

TWDB

CONTRACTS
Incentives to Participate

- TWDB funding
- TCEQ permitting
Fundamentals of Water Planning
Basic Planning Parameters

• Meet **drought of record** water needs

• 50-year planning horizon

• 5-year planning cycle

• 6 categories of water use: municipal, manufacturing, mining, irrigation, livestock, and steam-electric power

• Geographic breakdown of water user group information by county, river basin, and region
Planning Units & Key Terms

- **Drought of Record (DOR)** = period of time when historical records indicate that natural hydrological conditions would have provided the least amount of water supply

Data is **decadal** (over 50 year period)

Water volumes are in **acre-feet**

\(1 \text{ acre-foot} = 325,851 \text{ gallons}\)

- **Water User Group** = “WUG”
- **Wholesale Water Provider** = “WWP”
- **Major Water Provider** = “MWP”
Key Planning Terminology

**Availability*** = maximum amount of raw water that could be produced by a source during a repeat of the **DOR**

**Existing Supply*** = maximum amount of water that is physically and legally accessible for immediate use by a **WUG** under a repeat of **DOR** conditions

*See handout page 1: Section 6.1 from the 2017 State Water Plan
Key Planning Terminology

**Demand** = volume of water required to carry out the anticipated domestic, public, and/or economic activities of a WUG during drought conditions

**Need** = a potential water supply shortage, based on the difference between water demands and existing water supplies (can be met by implementing recommended water management strategies)

**Unmet Need** = the portion of an identified water need that is not met by recommended water management strategies
Key Planning Terminology

**Water Management Strategy (WMS)** = a plan to meet a need for additional water by a discrete **WUG**, through increasing total water supplies or maximizing existing supplies, including through reducing demands.

**Water Management Strategy Project (WMSP)** = a water project that has a capital cost and when implemented, would develop, deliver, or treat additional water supplies or conserve water for **WUGs** or **WWPs**.
WUGs in the 2016 Regional Water Plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand Category</th>
<th>Number of WUGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Municipal WUGs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities &amp; Utilities</td>
<td>1,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County-Other</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-municipal WUGs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam-Electric Power</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of WUGs</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,609</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Municipal Water User Group Categories
Water Planning Basics

Project population

Project water demands

Water availability (by source)

Existing water supplies (by entity)

Compare to identify surpluses or needs

Identify, evaluate, and recommend water management strategies and projects
Path to Recommending Strategies and Associated Projects

- **identify** “potentially feasible” strategies and projects
- **evaluate** potentially feasible strategies and projects
- **compare** evaluated strategies and projects
- **recommend** strategies and projects that are “cost-effective and environmentally sensitive” 31 TAC 357.35(b)
Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies*

• WMS’s that must be considered:
  – Expanded use of existing supplies
  – New supply development
  – Conservation and drought management measures
  – Reuse of wastewater
  – Interbasin transfers of surface water
  – Emergency transfers of surface water

• Water conservation and drought management measures must be considered for every water user group with an identified water need

*See handout page 2: List of potentially feasible WMSs required to be considered
Evaluation of Strategies and Associated Projects

• Evaluations are based on:
  – water quantity and reliability
  – financial costs
  – impacts to environment and agriculture
  – impacts to water quality
  – other factors such as regulatory requirements, time required to implement, etc.
Water Management Strategy vs. Project

Source
(aquifer)

WMS – Brackish Groundwater Development

- treatment plant - $
- pipeline - $
- wells - $

“WMSPs”

pipeline -$
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“WMSs”

WUG 2
Prioritization of Projects

• Regional and state level prioritization of WMSPs are required by SWIFT Legislation

• Each recommended WMSP must be prioritized

• Regional prioritization based on uniform standards developed by stakeholder committee (RWPG Chairs)

• State prioritization system based on statute and TWDB administrative rules
Regional Planning Deliverables

• Standard contract tasks associated with 11 Chapters
• Populate Online State Water Plan database (DB22)
• Report documents: Technical Memorandum, Initially Prepared Plan, and Final Plan
• List of prioritized projects
Standard RWP Chapters*

1. Planning area description
2. Population and water demand projections
3. Water supply analysis
4. Identification of water needs
5. Water management strategies and projects
6. Impacts of plan and consistency with protection of the State’s water, agricultural, and natural resources

*See handout page 3: General Document Cross-Reference Table
Standard RWP Chapters (cont.)

7. Drought response information, activities, and recommendations

8. Unique stream segments, unique reservoir sites, and policy recommendations

9. Infrastructure financing analysis

10. Adoption of plan

11. Implementation and comparison to previous regional water plan
Foundation of the State Water Plan
Bottom Up Approach

2022 State Water Plan

Online state water plan database (DB22)

16 adopted regional water plans
Regional & State Planning Cycles

- RWPGs develop 16 plans
- 5 Years
- RWPGs adopt 16 plans
- State water plan
Audience

• The State Water Plan is delivered to the Governor, the Legislature, and the public

• Key aspects for their consideration:
  – Long-term projections of water supplies, demands, and needs
  – Project costs and funding needs
  – Policy recommendations
Capital cost of $63 billion

5,500 strategies

2,500 projects
The State Water Plan is Online and Interactive

http://texasstatewaterplan.org
Additional TWDB Presentations

• Update on revised 31 TAC Chapter 357 rules
• What's new in the 5th cycle of planning
• Detailed plan requirements
• Others based on planning group requests
January 23-25, 2017
AT&T Conference Center, Austin, Texas
Hosted by the TWDB

WaterForTexas.twdb.texas.gov
Questions?

Sarah Backhouse
Team Lead
Water Use, Projections, & Planning
Texas Water Development Board
sarah.backhouse@twdb.texas.gov
Supplemental Handout to the Regional *Water Planning in Texas, Introduction to the 5th Cycle* Presentation

1) Excerpt from the 2017 State Water Plan that explains “availability” vs. “existing supply”

“6.1 Evaluating water resources for planning"¹

Estimating how much water Texans will have to meet their water demands is a two-step process that examines both water *availability* and *existing supply*. Those two terms have very specific, and not necessarily intuitive, meanings in the water planning process.

Water availability refers to the maximum volume of raw water that could be withdrawn annually from each source (such as a reservoir or aquifer) during a repeat of the drought of record. Availability does not account for whether the supply is connected to or legally authorized for use by a specific water user group. Water availability is analyzed from the perspective of the source and answers the question: *How much water from this source could be delivered to water users as either an existing water supply or, in the future, as part of a water management strategy?* Determining water availability is the first step in assessing potential water supply volumes for a planning group.

Second, planning groups evaluate the subset of the water availability volume that *is already connected* to water user groups. This subset is defined as existing supply. Existing water supplies are based on legal access to the water as well as the infrastructure (such as pipelines and treatment plant capacity) already in place to treat and deliver the water to the “doorstep” of water user groups. Existing supply is analyzed from the perspective of water users and answers the question: *How much water supply could each water user group already rely on should there be a repeat of the drought of record?*

For example, the firm yield of a surface water reservoir may be 100,000 acre-feet per year. Of that 100,000 acre-feet per year in supplies available at the source, the current pipeline to that source could only convey 60,000 acre-feet per year to users as an existing supply. There remains, therefore, an additional 40,000 acre-feet per year in available water that could serve as the basis for a future water management strategy. Within a county, for another example, there may be a modeled available groundwater volume of 50,000 acre-feet per year, but because water users’ current permits and pumping facilities are only able to pump 20,000 acre-feet per year for existing supplies, there remains 30,000 acre-feet per year in available groundwater that could support water management strategies.

Because existing supplies are a subset of the availability of water sources, existing supplies cannot exceed a source’s availability without the risk of a water user running short of water in a drought of record. If existing supplies exceed availability it is called an over-allocation. To ensure that planning groups did not assign more water supply to a water source than the source could provide in a drought, the TWDB performed a detailed, statewide accounting of all assigned existing water supply volumes and notified planning groups of over-allocations. Planning groups then made adjustments to their draft plans so that supplies did not exceed the availability of any source in the final plans.”

¹ Page 61 of the 2017 State Water Plan.
2) Potentially feasible WMSs required to be considered by planning groups, per Texas Water Code §16.053(e)(3) and 31 Texas Administrative Code §357.34(c) include

- conservation\(^2\) [perennial demand management];
- drought management\(^3\) [temporary demand management];
- reuse;
- management of existing water supplies;
- conjunctive use;
- acquisition of available existing water supplies;
- development of new water supplies;
- developing regional water supply facilities or providing regional management of water supply facilities;
- developing large-scale desalination facilities for seawater or brackish groundwater that serve local or regional brackish groundwater production zones identified and designated under TWC §16.060(b)(5);
- developing large-scale desalination facilities for marine seawater that serve local or regional entities;
- voluntary transfer of water within the region using, but not limited to, contracts, water marketing, regional water banks, sales, leases, options, subordination agreements, and financing agreements;
- emergency transfer of water under TWC §11.139;
- interbasin transfers of surface water;
- system optimization;
- reallocation of reservoir storage to new uses;
- enhancements of yields;
- improvements to water quality;
- new surface water supply;
- new groundwater supply
- brush control;
- precipitation enhancement;
- aquifer storage and recovery;
- cancellation of water rights; and
- rainwater harvesting.

\(^2\) RWPGs must consider water conservation practices, including potential applicable best management practices, for each identified water need (31 TAC §357.34(g)(2)). If RWPGs do not adopt a water conservation strategy to meet an identified need, they shall document the reason in the RWP (31 TAC §357.34(g)(2)(B)).

\(^3\) RWPGs shall consider drought management measures for each identified need. If a RWPG does not adopt a drought management strategy for a need it must document the reason in the RWP (31 TAC §357.34(g)(1)).
### 3) General Document Cross-Reference Table from *Draft First Amended General Guidelines for Fifth Cycle of Regional Water Plan Development*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Water Planning Contract Document References</th>
<th>2021 Regional Water Plan Chapter, Associated TAC Sections, and Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TWD Contract Reimbursement Accounting Number ('CAS')</td>
<td>Exhibit A - Contract SOW/Task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exhibit C - General Guidelines for Regional Water Plan Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Water Plan Chapter Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$357.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of the Regional Water Planning Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>4A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>4C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>5A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWD</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$357.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Projected Non-Municipal Water Demands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Projected Population and Municipal Water Demands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water Supply Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of Water Needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Memorandum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identification of Potentially Feasible Water Management Strategies (WMSs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.34; §357.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluations of Potentially Feasible WMSs, Recommended WMSs/WMSPs, and Alternative WMSs/WMSPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation Recommendations [as an individual subchapter]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impacts of Regional Water Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consistency with Protection of Water Resources, Agricultural Resources, and Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought Response Information, Activities, and Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy Recommendations &amp; Unique Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure Financing Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.21; §357.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Participation and Plan Adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation and Comparison to the Previous Regional Water Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>§357.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RWPG Prioritization of Recommended Water Management Strategy Projects (WMSP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>