

Socioeconomic Impacts of Projected Water Shortages for the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area

Prepared in Support of the 2011 Coastal Bend Regional Water Plan

Stuart D. Norvell, Managing Economist Water Resources Planning Division Texas Water Development Board Austin, Texas

Doug Shaw, Agricultural Economist Water Resources Planning Division Texas Water Development Board Austin, Texas

February, 2010

Table of Contents

Section	Title	Page
	Introduction	3
1.0	Methodology	3
1.1	Economic Impacts of Water Shortages	3
1.1.1	General Approach	8
1.1.1	General Assumptions and Clarifications of the Methodology	9
1.1.2	Impacts to Agriculture	9
1.1.2	Irrigation	9
	Livestock	12
112		13
1.1.3	Impacts to Municipal Water User Groups	
	Disaggregation of Municipal Water Demands	13
	Domestic Water Uses	14
	Commercial Businesses	17
	Water Utility Revenues	18
	Horticulture and Landscaping	18
	Recreational Impacts	19
1.1.4	Impacts to Industrial Water User Groups	20
	Manufacturing	20
	Mining	20
	Steam-electric	21
1.2	Social Impacts of Water Shortages	21
2.0	Results	22
2.1	Overview of Regional Economy	22
2.2	Impacts to Agricultural Water User Groups	24
2.3	Impacts to Municipal Water User Groups	24
2.4	Impacts to Manufacturing Water User Groups	25
2.5	Impacts to Mining Water User Groups	26
2.6	Impacts to Steam-electric Water User Groups	27
2.7	Social Impacts	27
2.8	Distribution of Impacts by Major River Basin	28
Appendi	x 1: Economic Data for Individual IMPLAN Sectors for the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area	29
Appendi	x 2: Impacts by County for the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area	45
Tables		
1	Crop Classifications and Corresponding IMPLAN Crop Sectors	9
2	Summary of Irrigated Crop Acreage and Water Demand	9
3	Average Gross Sales Revenues per Acre for Irrigated Crops	10
4	Description of Livestock Sectors	13
5	Water Use and Costs Parameters Used to Estimated Domestic Water Demand Functions	15
6	Economic Losses Associated with Domestic Water Shortages	16
7	Impacts of Municipal Water Shortages at Different Magnitudes of Shortages	19
8	The Coastal Bend Regional Baseline Economy by Water User Group	23
9	Economic Impacts of Water Shortages for Irrigation Water User Groups	23 24
_		
10	Economic Impacts of Water Shortages for Municipal Water User Groups	25 26
11	Social Impacts of Water Shortages	26

Introduction

Water shortages during drought would likely curtail or eliminate economic activity in business and industries reliant on water. For example, without water farmers cannot irrigate; refineries cannot produce gasoline, and paper mills cannot make paper. Unreliable water supplies would not only have an immediate and real impact on existing businesses and industry, but they could also adversely affect economic development in Texas. From a social perspective, water supply reliability is critical as well. Shortages would disrupt activity in homes, schools and government and could adversely affect public health and safety. For all of the above reasons, it is important to analyze and understand how restricted water supplies during drought could affect communities throughout the state.

Administrative rules require that regional water planning groups evaluate the impacts of not meeting water needs as part of the regional water planning process, and rules direct TWDB staff to provide technical assistance: "The executive administrator shall provide available technical assistance to the regional water planning groups, upon request, on water supply and demand analysis, including methods to evaluate the social and economic impacts of not meeting needs" [(§357.7 (4)(A)]. Staff of the TWDB's Water Resources Planning Division designed and conducted this report in support of the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Group (Region N).

This document summarizes the results of our analysis and discusses the methodology used to generate the results. Section 1 outlines the overall methodology and discusses approaches and assumptions specific to each water use category (i.e., irrigation, livestock, mining, steam-electric, municipal and manufacturing). Section 2 presents the results for each category where shortages are reported at the regional planning area level and river basin level. Results for individual water user groups are not presented, but are available upon request.

1. Methodology

Section 1 provides a general overview of how economic and social impacts were measured. In addition, it summarizes important clarifications, assumptions and limitations of the study.

1.1 Economic Impacts of Water Shortages

1.1.1 General Approach

Economic analysis as it relates to water resources planning generally falls into two broad areas. Supply side analysis focuses on costs and alternatives of developing new water supplies or implementing programs that provide additional water from current supplies. Demand side analysis concentrates on impacts or benefits of providing water to people, businesses and the environment. Analysis in this report focuses strictly on demand side impacts. When analyzing the economic impacts of water shortages as defined in Texas water planning, three potential scenarios are possible:

Scenario 1 involves situations where there are physical shortages of raw surface or groundwater due to drought of record conditions. For example, City A relies on a reservoir with average conservation storage of 500 acre-feet per year and a firm yield of 100 acre feet. In 2010, the city uses about 50 acre-feet per year, but by 2030 their demands are expected to increase to 200 acre-feet. Thus, in 2030 the reservoir would not have enough water to meet the city's demands, and people would experience a shortage of 100 acre-feet assuming drought of record conditions. Under normal or average climatic conditions, the reservoir would likely be able to provide reliable water supplies well beyond 2030.

- 2) Scenario 2 is a situation where despite drought of record conditions, water supply sources can meet existing use requirements; however, limitations in water infrastructure would preclude future water user groups from accessing these water supplies. For example, City B relies on a river that can provide 500 acre-feet per year during drought of record conditions and other constraints as dictated by planning assumptions. In 2010, the city is expected to use an estimated 100 acre-feet per year and by 2060 it would require no more than 400 acre-feet. But the intake and pipeline that currently transfers water from the river to the city's treatment plant has a capacity of only 200 acre-feet of water per year. Thus, the city's water supplies are adequate even under the most restrictive planning assumptions, but their conveyance system is too small. This implies that at some point perhaps around 2030 infrastructure limitations would constrain future population growth and any associated economic activity or impacts.
- 3) Scenario 3 involves water user groups that rely primarily on aquifers that are being depleted. In this scenario, projected and in some cases existing demands may be unsustainable as groundwater levels decline. Areas that rely on the Ogallala aquifer are a good example. In some communities in the Panhandle region, irrigated agriculture forms a major base of the regional economy. With less irrigation water from the Ogallala, population and economic activity in the region could decline significantly assuming there are no offsetting developments.

Assessing the social and economic effects of each of the above scenarios requires various levels and methods of analysis and would generate substantially different results for a number of reasons; the most important of which has to do with the time frame of each scenario. Scenario 1 falls into the general category of static analysis. This means that models would measure impacts for a small interval of time such as a drought. Scenarios 2 and 3, on the other hand imply a dynamic analysis meaning that models are concerned with changes over a much longer time period.

Since administrative rules specify that planning analysis be evaluated under drought of record conditions (a static and random event), socioeconomic impact analysis developed by the TWDB for the state water plan is based on assumptions of Scenario 1. Estimated impacts under scenario 1 are point estimates for years in which needs are reported (2010, 2020, 2030, 2040, 2050 and 2060). They are independent and distinct "what if" scenarios for a particular year and shortages are assumed to be temporary events resulting from drought of record conditions. Estimated impacts measure what would happen if water user groups experience water shortages for a period of one year.

The TWDB recognize that dynamic models may be more appropriate for some water user groups; however, combining approaches on a statewide basis poses several problems. For one, it would require a complex array of analyses and models, and might require developing supply and demand forecasts under "normal" climatic conditions as opposed to drought of record conditions. Equally important is the notion that combining the approaches would produce inconsistent results across regions resulting in a so-called "apples to oranges" comparison.

A variety tools are available to estimate economic impacts, but by far, the most widely used today are input-output models (IO models) combined with social accounting matrices (SAMs). Referred to as IO/SAM models, these tools formed the basis for estimating economic impacts for agriculture (irrigation and livestock water uses) and industry (manufacturing, mining, steam-electric and commercial business activity for municipal water uses).

Since the planning horizon extends through 2060, economic variables in the baseline are adjusted in accordance with projected changes in demographic and economic activity. Growth rates for municipal water use sectors (i.e., commercial, residential and institutional) are based on TWDB population forecasts. Future values for manufacturing, agriculture, and mining and steam-electric activity are based on the same underlying economic forecasts used to estimate future water use for each category.

The following steps outline the overall process.

Step 1: Generate IO/SAM Models and Develop Economic Baseline

IO/SAM models were estimated using propriety software known as IMPLAN PROTM (Impact for Planning Analysis). IMPLAN is a modeling system originally developed by the U.S. Forestry Service in the late 1970s. Today, the Minnesota IMPLAN Group (MIG Inc.) owns the copyright and distributes data and software. It is probably the most widely used economic impact model in existence. IMPLAN comes with databases containing the most recently available economic data from a variety of sources. Using IMPLAN software and data, transaction tables conceptually similar to the one discussed previously were estimated for each county in the region and for the region as a whole. Each transaction table contains 528 economic sectors and allows one to estimate a variety of economic statistics including:

- total sales total production measured by sales revenues;
- intermediate sales sales to other businesses and industries within a given region;
- final sales sales to end users in a region and exports out of a region;
- employment number of full and part-time jobs (annual average) required by a given industry including self-employment;
- regional income total payroll costs (wages and salaries plus benefits) paid by industries, corporate income, rental income and interest payments; and
- business taxes sales, excise, fees, licenses and other taxes paid during normal operation of an industry (does not include income taxes).

TWDB analysts developed an economic baseline containing each of the above variables using year 2000 data. Since the planning horizon extends through 2060, economic variables in the baseline were allowed to change in accordance with projected changes in demographic and economic activity. Growth rates for municipal water use sectors (i.e., commercial, residential and institutional) are based on TWDB population forecasts. Projections for manufacturing, agriculture, and mining and steam-electric activity are based on the same underlying economic forecasts used to estimate future water use for each category. Monetary impacts in future years are reported in constant year 2006 dollars.

It is important to stress that employment, income and business taxes are the most useful variables when comparing the relative contribution of an economic sector to a regional economy. Total sales as reported in IO/SAM models are less desirable and can be misleading because they include sales to other industries in the region for use in the production of other goods. For example, if a mill buys grain from local farmers and uses it to produce feed, sales of both the processed feed and raw corn are counted as "output" in an IO model. Thus, total sales double-count or overstate the true economic value of goods

¹The IMPLAN database consists of national level technology matrices based on benchmark input-output accounts generated by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and estimates of final demand, final payments, industry output and employment for various economic sectors. IMPLAN regional data (i.e. states, a counties or groups of counties within a state) are divided into two basic categories: 1) data on an industry basis including value-added, output and employment, and 2) data on a commodity basis including final demands and institutional sales. State-level data are balanced to national totals using a matrix ratio allocation system and county data are balanced to state totals.

and services produced in an economy. They are not consistent with commonly used measures of output such as Gross National Product (GNP), which counts only final sales.

Another important distinction relates to terminology. Throughout this report, the term sector refers to economic subdivisions used in the IMPLAN database and resultant input-output models (528 individual sectors based on Standard Industrial Classification Codes). In contrast, the phrase water use category refers to water user groups employed in state and regional water planning including irrigation, livestock, mining, municipal, manufacturing and steam electric. Each IMPLAN sector was assigned to a specific water use category.

Step 2: Estimate Direct and Indirect Economic Impacts of Water Needs

Direct impacts are reductions in output by sectors experiencing water shortages. For example, without adequate cooling and process water a refinery would have to curtail or cease operation, car washes may close, or farmers may not be able to irrigate and sales revenues fall. Indirect impacts involve changes in inter-industry transactions as supplying industries respond to decreased demands for their services, and how seemingly non-related businesses are affected by decreased incomes and spending due to direct impacts. For example, if a farmer ceases operations due to a lack of irrigation water, they would likely reduce expenditures on supplies such as fertilizer, labor and equipment, and businesses that provide these goods would suffer as well.

Direct impacts accrue to immediate businesses and industries that rely on water and without water industrial processes could suffer. However, output responses may vary depending upon the severity of shortages. A small shortage relative to total water use would likely have a minimal impact, but large shortages could be critical. For example, farmers facing small shortages might fallow marginally productive acreage to save water for more valuable crops. Livestock producers might employ emergency culling strategies, or they may consider hauling water by truck to fill stock tanks. In the case of manufacturing, a good example occurred in the summer of 1999 when Toyota Motor Manufacturing experienced water shortages at a facility near Georgetown, Kentucky. As water levels in the Kentucky River fell to historic lows due to drought, plant managers sought ways to curtail water use such as reducing rinse operations to a bare minimum and recycling water by funneling it from paint shops to boilers. They even considered trucking in water at a cost of 10 times what they were paying. Fortunately, rains at the end of the summer restored river levels, and Toyota managed to implement cutbacks without affecting production, but it was a close call. If rains had not replenished the river, shortages could have severely reduced output.

To account for uncertainty regarding the relative magnitude of impacts to farm and business operations, the following analysis employs the concept of elasticity. Elasticity is a number that shows how a change in one variable will affect another. In this case, it measures the relationship between a percentage reduction in water availability and a percentage reduction in output. For example, an elasticity of 1.0 indicates that a 1.0 percent reduction in water availability would result in a 1.0 percent reduction in economic output. An elasticity of 0.50 would indicate that for every 1.0 percent of unavailable water, output is reduced by 0.50 percent and so on. Output elasticities used in this study are:⁴

³ The efforts described above are not planned programmatic or long-term operational changes. They are emergency measures that individuals might pursue to alleviate what they consider a temporary condition. Thus, they are not characteristic of long-term management strategies designed to ensure more dependable water supplies such as capital investments in conservation technology or development of new water supplies.

² Royal, W. "High And Dry - Industrial Centers Face Water Shortages." in <u>Industry Week</u>, Sept, 2000.

⁴ Elasticities are based on one of the few empirical studies that analyze potential relationships between economic output and water shortages in the United States. The study, conducted in California, showed that a significant number of industries would suffer reduced output during water shortages. Using a survey based approach researchers posed two scenarios to different industries. In

- if water needs are 0 to 5 percent of total water demand, no corresponding reduction in output is assumed;
- if water needs are 5 to 30 percent of total water demand, for each additional one percent of water need that is not met, there is a corresponding 0.50 percent reduction in output;
- if water needs are 30 to 50 percent of total water demand, for each additional one percent of water need that is not met, there is a corresponding 0.75 percent reduction in output; and
- if water needs are greater than 50 percent of total water demand, for each additional one percent of water need that is not met, there is a corresponding 1.0 percent (i.e., a proportional reduction).

In some cases, elasticities are adjusted depending upon conditions specific to a given water user group.

Once output responses to water shortages were estimated, direct impacts to total sales, employment, regional income and business taxes were derived using regional level economic multipliers estimating using IO/SAM models. The formula for a given IMPLAN sector is:

$$D_{i,t} = Q_{i,t} *_{,} S_{i,t} * E_{Q} * RFD_{i} * DM_{i(Q, L, I, T)}$$

where:

 $D_{i,t}$ = direct economic impact to sector i in period t

 $Q_{i,t}$ = total sales for sector *i* in period *t* in an affected county

RFD_{i.} = ratio of final demand to total sales for sector i for a given region

 $S_{i,t}$ = water shortage as percentage of total water use in period t

 E_0 = elasticity of output and water use

DM $_{i(L,I,T)}$ = direct output multiplier coefficients for labor (L), income (I) and taxes (T) for sector i.

Secondary impacts were derived using the same formula used to estimate direct impacts; however, indirect multiplier coefficients are used. Methods and assumptions specific to each water use sector are discussed in Sections 1.1.2 through 1.1.4.

the first scenario, they asked how a 15 percent cutback in water supply lasting one year would affect operations. In the second scenario, they asked how a 30 percent reduction lasting one year would affect plant operations. In the case of a 15 percent shortage, reported output elasticities ranged from 0.00 to 0.76 with an average value of 0.25. For a 30 percent shortage, elasticities ranged from 0.00 to 1.39 with average of 0.47. For further information, see, California Urban Water Agencies, "Cost of Industrial Water Shortages," Spectrum Economics, Inc. November, 1991.

General Assumptions and Clarification of the Methodology

As with any attempt to measure and quantify human activities at a societal level, assumptions are necessary and every model has limitations. Assumptions are needed to maintain a level of generality and simplicity such that models can be applied on several geographic levels and across different economic sectors. In terms of the general approach used here several clarifications and cautions are warranted:

- 1. Shortages as reported by regional planning groups are the starting point for socioeconomic analyses.
- 2. Estimated impacts are point estimates for years in which needs are reported (i.e., 2010, 2020, 2030, 2040, 2050 and 2060). They are independent and distinct "what if" scenarios for each particular year and water shortages are assumed to be temporary events resulting from severe drought conditions combined with infrastructure limitations. In other words, growth occurs and future shocks are imposed on an economy at 10-year intervals and resultant impacts are measured. Given, that reported figures are not cumulative in nature, it is inappropriate to sum impacts over the entire planning horizon. Doing so, would imply that the analysis predicts that drought of record conditions will occur every ten years in the future, which is not the case. Similarly, authors of this report recognize that in many communities needs are driven by population growth, and in the future total population will exceed the amount of water available due to infrastructure limitations, regardless of whether or not there is a drought. This implies that infrastructure limitations would constrain economic growth. However, since needs as defined by planning rules are based upon water supply and demand under the assumption of drought of record conditions, it improper to conduct economic analysis that focuses on growth related impacts over the planning horizon. Figures generated from such an analysis would presume a 50-year drought of record, which is unrealistic. Estimating lost economic activity related to constraints on population and commercial growth due to lack of water would require developing water supply and demand forecasts under "normal" or "most likely" future climatic conditions.
- 3. While useful for planning purposes, this study is not a benefit-cost analysis. Benefit cost analysis is a tool widely used to evaluate the economic feasibility of specific policies or projects as opposed to estimating economic impacts of unmet water needs. Nevertheless, one could include some impacts measured in this study as part of a benefit cost study if done so properly. Since this is not a benefit cost analysis, future impacts are not weighted differently. In other words, estimates are not discounted. If used as a measure of economic benefits, one should incorporate a measure of uncertainty into the analysis. In this type of analysis, a typical method of discounting future values is to assign probabilities of the drought of record recurring again in a given year, and weight monetary impacts accordingly. This analysis assumes a probability of one.
- 4. IO multipliers measure the strength of backward linkages to supporting industries (i.e., those who sell inputs to an affected sector). However, multipliers say nothing about forward linkages consisting of businesses that purchase goods from an affected sector for further processing. For example, ranchers in many areas sell most of their animals to local meat packers who process animals into a form that consumers ultimately see in grocery stores and restaurants. Multipliers do not capture forward linkages to meat packers, and since meat packers sell livestock purchased from ranchers as "final sales," multipliers for the ranching sector do fully account for all losses to a region's economy. Thus, as mentioned previously, in some cases closely linked sectors were moved from one water use category to another.
- 5. Cautions regarding interpretations of direct and secondary impacts are warranted. IO/SAM multipliers are based on "fixed-proportion production functions," which basically means that input use including labor moves in lockstep fashion with changes in levels of output. In a

scenario where output (i.e., sales) declines, losses in the immediate sector or supporting sectors could be much less than predicted by an IO/SAM model for several reasons. For one, businesses will likely expect to continue operating so they might maintain spending on inputs for future use; or they may be under contractual obligations to purchase inputs for an extended period regardless of external conditions. Also, employers may not lay-off workers given that experienced labor is sometimes scarce and skilled personnel may not be readily available when water shortages subside. Lastly people who lose jobs might find other employment in the region. As a result, direct losses for employment and secondary losses in sales and employment should be considered an upper bound. Similarly, since projected population losses are based on reduced employment in the region, they should be considered an upper bound as well.

- 6. IO models are static. Models and resultant multipliers are based upon the structure of the U.S. and regional economies in 2006. In contrast, water shortages are projected to occur well into the future. Thus, the analysis assumes that the general structure of the economy remains the same over the planning horizon, and the farther out into the future we go, this assumption becomes less reliable.
- 7. Impacts are annual estimates. If one were to assume that conditions persisted for more than one year, figures should be adjusted to reflect the extended duration. The drought of record in most regions of Texas lasted several years.
- 8. Monetary figures are reported in constant year 2006 dollars.

1.1.2 Impacts to Agriculture

Irrigated Crop Production

The first step in estimating impacts to irrigation required calculating gross sales for IMPLAN crop sectors. Default IMPLAN data do not distinguish irrigated production from dry-land production. Once gross sales were known other statistics such as employment and income were derived using IMPLAN direct multiplier coefficients. Gross sales for a given crop are based on two data sources:

- 1) county-level statistics collected and maintained by the TWDB and the USDA Farm Services Agency (FSA) including the number of irrigated acres by crop type and water application per acre, and
- 2) regional-level data published by the Texas Agricultural Statistics Service (TASS) including prices received for crops (marketing year averages), crop yields and crop acreages.

Crop categories used by the TWDB differ from those used in IMPLAN datasets. To maintain consistency, sales and other statistics are reported using IMPLAN crop classifications. Table 1 shows the TWDB crops included in corresponding IMPLAN sectors, and Table 2 summarizes acreage and estimated annual water use for each crop classification (five-year average from 2003-2007). Table 3 displays average (2003-2007) gross revenues per acre for IMPLAN crop categories.

Table 3: Average Gross Sales Revenues per Acre for Irrigated Crops for the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area (2003-2007)

IMPLAN Category	TWDB Category
Oilseed Farming	Soybeans and "other oil crops"
Grain Farming	Grain sorghum, corn, wheat and "other grain crops"
Vegetable and Melon Farming	"Vegetables" and potatoes
Tree Nut Farming	Pecans
Fruit Farming	Citrus, vineyard and other orchard
Cotton Farming	Cotton
Sugarcane and Sugar Beet Farming	Sugarcane and sugar beets
All "Other" Crop Farming	"Forage crops", peanuts, alfalfa, hay and pasture, rice and "all other crops"

Table 2: Summary of Irrigated Crop Acreage and Water Demand for the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area (average 2003-2007)						
Acres Distribution of Water Use Distribution of Sector (1000s) Acres (1000s of AF) Water Use						
Oilseed Farming	0.3	<1%	0.3	<1%		
Grain Farming	8.8	30%	4.9	22%		
Vegetable and Melon Farming	3.1	11%	3.4	15%		
Tree Nut Farming	0.1	<1%	0.1	<1%		
Fruit Farming	0.2	<1%	0.2	<1%		
Cotton Farming	11.5	40%	8.1	36%		
Sugarcane and Sugar Beet Farming	0.0	0%	0.0	0%		
All "Other" Crop Farming	4.9	17%	5.4	24%		
Total	28.9	100%	22.4	100%		

Source: Water demand figures are a 5- year average (2003-2007) of the TWDB's annual Irrigation Water Use Estimates. Statistics for irrigated crop acreage are based upon annual survey data collected by the TWDB and the Farm Service Agency. Values do not include acreage or water use for the TWDB categories classified by the Farm Services Agency as "failed acres," "golf course" or "waste water".

IMPLAN Sector	Gross Revenues per Acre	Crops Included in Estimates
Oilseed Farming	\$179	Based on five-year (2003-2007) average weighted by acreage for "irrigated soybeans" and "irrigated other oil crops."
Grain Farming	\$290	Based on five-year (2003-2007) average weighted by acreage for "irrigated grain sorghum," "irrigated corn", "irrigated wheat" and "irrigated 'other' grain crops."
Vegetable and Melon Farming	\$5,784	Based on five-year (2003-2007) average weighted by acreage for "irrigated shallow and deep root vegetables", "irrigated Irish potatoes" and "irrigated melons."
Tree Nut Farming	\$3,429	Based on five-year (2003-2007) average weighted by acreage for "irrigated pecans."
Fruit Farming	\$2,297	Based on five-year (2003-2007) average weighted by acreage for "irrigated citrus", "irrigated vineyards" and "irrigated 'other' orchard."
Cotton Farming	\$508	Based on five-year (2003-2007) average weighted by acreage for "irrigated cotton."
All Other Crops	\$265	Irrigated figure is based on five-year (2003-2007) average weighted by acreage for "irrigated 'forage' crops", "irrigated peanuts", "irrigated alfalfa", "irrigated 'hay' and pasture" and "irrigated 'all other' crops."

An important consideration when estimating impacts to irrigation was determining which crops are affected by water shortages. One approach is the so-called rationing model, which assumes that farmers respond to water supply cutbacks by fallowing the lowest value crops in the region first and the highest valued crops last until the amount of water saved equals the shortage. For example, if farmer A grows vegetables (higher value) and farmer B grows wheat (lower value) and they both face a proportionate cutback in irrigation water, then farmer B will sell water to farmer A. Farmer B will fallow her irrigated acreage before farmer A fallows anything. Of course, this assumes that farmers can and do transfer enough water to allow this to happen. A different approach involves constructing farm-level profit maximization models that conform to widely-accepted economic theory that farmers make decisions based on marginal net returns. Such models have good predictive capability, but data requirements and complexity are high. Given that a detailed analysis for each region would require a substantial amount of farm-level data and analysis, the following investigation assumes that projected shortages are distributed equally across predominant crops in the region. Predominant in this case are crops that comprise at least one percent of total acreage in the region.

-

⁵ The rationing model was initially proposed by researchers at the University of California at Berkeley, and was then modified for use in a study conducted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency that evaluated how proposed water supply cutbacks recommended to protect water quality in the Bay/Delta complex in California would affect farmers in the Central Valley. See, Zilberman, D., Howitt, R. and Sunding, D. "Economic Impacts of Water Quality Regulations in the San Francisco Bay and Delta." Western Consortium for Public Health. May 1993.

The following steps outline the overall process used to estimate direct impacts to irrigated agriculture:

- Distribute shortages across predominant crop types in the region. Again, unmet water needs
 were distributed equally across crop sectors that constitute one percent or more of irrigated
 acreage.
- 2. Estimate associated reductions in output for affected crop sectors. Output reductions are based on elasticities discussed previously and on estimated values per acre for different crops. Values per acre stem from the same data used to estimate output for the year 2006 baseline. Using multipliers, we then generate estimates of forgone income, jobs, and tax revenues based on reductions in gross sales and final demand.

Livestock

The approach used for the livestock sector is basically the same as that used for crop production. As is the case with crops, livestock categorizations used by the TWDB differ from those used in IMPLAN datasets, and TWDB groupings were assigned to a given IMPLAN sector (Table 4). Then we:

- 1) Distribute projected water needs equally among predominant livestock sectors and estimate lost output: As is the case with irrigation, shortages are assumed to affect all livestock sectors equally; however, the category of "other" is not included given its small size. If water needs were small relative to total demands, we assume that producers would haul in water by truck to fill stock tanks. The cost per acre-foot (\$24,000) is based on 2008 rates charged by various water haulers in Texas, and assumes that the average truck load is 6,500 gallons at a hauling distance of 60 miles.
- 3) Estimate reduced output in forward processors for livestock sectors. Reductions in output for livestock sectors are assumed to have a proportional impact on forward processors in the region such as meat packers. In other words, if the cows were gone, meat-packing plants or fluid milk manufacturers) would likely have little to process. This is not an unreasonable premise. Since the 1950s, there has been a major trend towards specialized cattle feedlots, which in turn has decentralized cattle purchasing from livestock terminal markets to direct sales between producers and slaughterhouses. Today, the meat packing industry often operates large processing facilities near high concentrations of feedlots to increase capacity utilization. As a result, packers are heavily dependent upon nearby feedlots. For example, a recent study by the USDA shows that on average meat packers obtain 64 percent of cattle from within 75 miles of their plant, 82 percent from within 150 miles and 92 percent from within 250 miles.

⁶ Ferreira, W.N. *"Analysis of the Meat Processing Industry in the United States."* Clemson University Extension Economics Report ER211, January 2003.

⁷ Ward, C.E. "Summary of Results from USDA's Meatpacking Concentration Study." Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, OSU Extension Facts WF-562.

	Table 4: Description of Livestock Sectors
IMPLAN Category	TWDB Category
Cattle ranching and farming	Cattle, cow calf, feedlots and dairies
Poultry and egg production	Poultry production.
Other livestock	Livestock other than cattle and poultry (i.e., horses, goats, sheep, hogs)
Milk manufacturing	Fluid milk manufacturing, cheese manufacturing, ice cream manufacturing etc.
Meat packing	Meat processing present in the region from slaughter to final processing

1.1.3 Impacts to Municipal Water User Groups

Disaggregation of Municipal Water Demands

Estimating the economic impacts for the municipal water user groups is complicated for a number of reasons. For one, municipal use comprises a range of consumers including commercial businesses, institutions such as schools and government and households. However, reported water needs are not distributed among different municipal water users. In other words, how much of a municipal need is commercial and how much is residential (domestic)?

The amount of commercial water use as a percentage of total municipal demand was estimated based on "GED" coefficients (gallons per employee per day) published in secondary sources. For example, if year 2006 baseline data for a given economic sector (e.g., amusement and recreation services) shows employment at 30 jobs and the GED coefficient is 200, then average daily water use by that sector is (30 x 200 = 6,000 gallons) or 6.7 acre-feet per year. Water not attributed to commercial use is considered domestic, which includes single and multi-family residential consumption, institutional uses and all use designated as "county-other." Based on our analysis, commercial water use is about 5 to 35 percent of municipal demand. Less populated rural counties occupy the lower end of the spectrum, while larger metropolitan counties are at the higher end.

After determining the distribution of domestic versus commercial water use, we developed methods for estimating impacts to the two groups.

⁸ Sources for GED coefficients include: Gleick, P.H., Haasz, D., Henges-Jeck, C., Srinivasan, V., Wolff, G. Cushing, K.K., and Mann, A. "Waste Not, Want Not: The Potential for Urban Water Conservation in California." Pacific Institute. November 2003. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1982 Census of Manufacturers: Water Use in Manufacturing. USGPO, Washington D.C. See also: "U.S. Army Engineer Institute for Water Resources, IWR Report 88-R-6.," Fort Belvoir, VA. See also, Joseph, E. S., 1982, "Municipal and Industrial Water Demands of the Western United States." Journal of the Water Resources Planning and Management Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, v. 108, no. WR2, p. 204-216. See also, Baumann, D. D., Boland, J. J., and Sims, J. H., 1981, "Evaluation of Water Conservation for Municipal and Industrial Water Supply." U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Institute for Water Resources, Contract no. 82-C1.

Domestic Water Uses

Input output models are not well suited for measuring impacts of shortages for domestic water uses, which make up the majority of the municipal water use category. To estimate impacts associated with domestic water uses, municipal water demand and needs are subdivided into residential, and commercial and institutional use. Shortages associated with residential water uses are valued by estimating proxy demand functions for different water user groups allowing us to estimate the marginal value of water, which would vary depending upon the level of water shortages. The more severe the water shortage, the more costly it becomes. For instance, a 2 acre-foot shortage for a group of households that use 10 acre-feet per year would not be as severe as a shortage that amounted to 8 acre-feet. In the case of a 2 acre-foot shortage, households would probably have to eliminate some or all outdoor water use, which could have implicit and explicit economic costs including losses to the horticultural and landscaping industry. In the case of an 8 acre-foot shortage, people would have to forgo all outdoor water use and most indoor water consumption. Economic impacts would be much higher in the latter case because people, and would be forced to find emergency alternatives assuming alternatives were available.

To estimate the value of domestic water uses, TWDB staff developed marginal loss functions based on constant elasticity demand curves. This is a standard and well-established method used by economists to value resources such as water that have an explicit monetary cost.

A constant price elasticity of demand is estimated using a standard equation:

$$w = kc^{(-\epsilon)}$$

where:

- w is equal to average monthly residential water use for a given water user group measured in thousands of gallons;
- k is a constant intercept;
- c is the average cost of water per 1,000 gallons; and
- ϵ is the price elasticity of demand.

Price elasticities (-0.30 for indoor water use and -0.50 for outdoor use) are based on a study by Bell et al. ⁹ that surveyed 1,400 water utilities in Texas that serve at least 1,000 people to estimate demand elasticity for several variables including price, income, weather etc. Costs of water and average use per month per household are based on data from the Texas Municipal League's annual water and wastewater rate surveys - specifically average monthly household expenditures on water and wastewater in different communities across the state. After examining variance in costs and usage, three different categories of water user groups based on population (population less than 5,000, cities with populations ranging from 5,000 to 99,999 and cities with populations exceeding 100,000) were selected to serve as proxy values for municipal water groups that meet the criteria (Table 5). ¹⁰

⁹ Bell, D.R. and Griffin, R.C. "Community Water Demand in Texas as a Century is Turned." Research contract report prepared for the Texas Water Development Board. May 2006.

¹⁰ Ideally, one would want to estimate demand functions for each individual utility in the state. However, this would require an enormous amount of time and resources. For planning purposes, we believe the values generated from aggregate data are more than sufficient.

Table 5: Water Use and Costs Parameters Used to Estimated Water Demand Functions (average monthly costs per acre-foot for delivered water and average monthly use per household)

Community Population	Water	Wastewater	Total Monthly Cost	Avg. Monthly Use (gallons)
Less than or equal to 5,000	\$1,335	\$1,228	\$2,563	6,204
5,000 to 100,000	\$718	\$1,162	\$1,880	7,950
Great than or equal to 100,000	\$1,047	\$457	\$1,504	8,409

Source: Based on annual water and wastewater rate surveys published by the Texas Municipal League.

As an example, Table 6 shows the economic impact per acre-foot of domestic water needs for municipal water user groups with population exceeding 100,000 people. There are several important assumptions incorporated in the calculations:

- 1) Reported values are net of the variable costs of treatment and distribution such as expenses for chemicals and electricity since using less water involves some savings to consumers and utilities alike; and for outdoor uses we do not include any value for wastewater.
- 2) Outdoor and "non-essential" water uses would be eliminated before indoor water consumption was affected, which is logical because most water utilities in Texas have drought contingency plans that generally specify curtailment or elimination of outdoor water use during droughts. 11 Determining how much water is used for outdoor purposes is based on several secondary sources. The first is a major study sponsored by the American Water Works Association, which surveyed cities in states including Colorado, Oregon, Washington, California, Florida and Arizona. On average across all cities surveyed 58 percent of single family residential water use was for outdoor activities. In cities with climates comparable to large metropolitan areas of Texas, the average was 40 percent. 12 Earlier findings of the U.S. Water Resources Council showed a national average of 33 percent. Similarly, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) estimated that landscape watering accounts for 32 percent of total residential and commercial water use on annual basis. 13 A study conducted for the California Urban Water Agencies (CUWA) calculated average annual values ranging from 25 to 35 percent. 14 Unfortunately, there does not appear to be any comprehensive research that has estimated non-agricultural outdoor water use in Texas. As an approximation, an

¹¹ In Texas, state law requires retail and wholesale water providers to prepare and submit plans to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). Plans must specify demand management measures for use during drought including curtailment of "non-essential water uses." Non-essential uses include, but are not limited to, landscape irrigation and water for swimming pools or fountains. For further information see the Texas Environmental Quality Code §288.20.

¹² See, Mayer, P.W., DeOreo, W.B., Opitz, E.M., Kiefer, J.C., Davis, W., Dziegielewski, D., Nelson, J.O. "Residential End Uses of Water." Research sponsored by the American Water Works Association and completed by Aquacraft, Inc. and Planning and Management Consultants, Ltd. (PMCL@CDM).

¹³ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Cleaner Water through Conservation." USEPA Report no. 841-B-95-002. April, 1995.

¹⁴ Planning and Management Consultants, Ltd. "Evaluating Urban Water Conservation Programs: A Procedures Manual." Prepared for the California Urban Water Agencies. February 1992.

average annual value of 30 percent based on the above references was selected to serve as a rough estimate in this study.

Table 6: Economic Losses Associated with Domestic Water Shortages in Communities with Populations Exceeding 100,000 people Water shortages as No. of gallons No of gallons **Economic loss Economic loss** a percentage of remaining per remaining per total monthly (per acre-foot) (per gallon) household per day person per day household demands 278 93 \$748 \$0.00005 1% 5% 266 89 \$812 \$0.0002 10% 252 84 \$900 \$0.0005 15% 238 79 \$999 \$0.0008 20% 224 75 \$1,110 \$0.0012 210 70 \$1,235 \$0.0015 25% 30%^a 196 65 \$1,699 \$0.0020 35% 182 61 \$3,825 \$0.0085 56 \$0.0096 40% 168 \$4,181 45% 154 51 \$4,603 \$0.011 50% 140 47 \$5,109 \$0.012 55% 126 42 \$5,727 \$0.014 37 60% 112 \$6,500 \$0.017 33 65% 98 \$7,493 \$0.02 70% 84 28 \$8,818 \$0.02 75% 70 23 \$10,672 \$0.03 80% 56 19 \$13,454 \$0.04 \$0.05 (\$0.07)^b 42 14 (\$24,000)^b 85% \$18,091 90% 28 9 \$27,363 (\$24,000)\$0.08 (\$0.07) 5 95% 14 \$0.17 (\$0.07) \$55,182 (\$24,000) 3 99% 0.9 \$277,728 (\$24,000) \$0.85 (\$0.07) 99.9% 1 0.5 \$2,781,377 (\$24,000) \$8.53 (\$0.07) 100% 0 0 Infinite (\$24,000)Infinite (\$0.07)

^a The first 30 percent of needs are assumed to be restrictions of outdoor water use; when needs reach 30 percent of total demands all outdoor water uses would be restricted. Needs greater than 30 percent include indoor use

^b As shortages approach 100 percent the value approaches infinity assuming there are not alternatives available; however, we assume that communities would begin to have water delivered by tanker truck at an estimated cost of \$24,000 per acre-foot when shortages breached 85 percent.

3) As shortages approach 100 percent values become immense and theoretically infinite at 100 percent because at that point death would result, and willingness to pay for water is immeasurable. Thus, as shortages approach 80 percent of monthly consumption, we assume that households and non-water intensive commercial businesses (those that use water only for drinking and sanitation would have water delivered by tanker truck or commercial water delivery companies. Based on reports from water companies throughout the state, we estimate that the cost of trucking in water is around \$21,000 to \$27,000 per acre-feet assuming a hauling distance of between 20 to 60 miles. This is not an unreasonable assumption. The practice was widespread during the 1950s drought and recently during droughts in this decade. For example, in 2000 at the heels of three consecutive drought years Electra - a small town in North Texas - was down to its last 45 days worth of reservoir water when rain replenished the lake, and the city was able to refurbish old wells to provide supplemental groundwater. At the time, residents were forced to limit water use to 1,000 gallons per person per month - less than half of what most people use - and many were having water delivered to their homes by private contractors. ¹⁵ In 2003 citizens of Ballinger, Texas, were also faced with a dwindling water supply due to prolonged drought. After three years of drought, Lake Ballinger, which supplies water to more than 4,300 residents in Ballinger and to 600 residents in nearby Rowena, was almost dry. Each day, people lined up to get water from a well in nearby City Park. Trucks hauling trailers outfitted with large plastic and metal tanks hauled water to and from City Park to Ballinger. 16

Commercial Businesses

Effects of water shortages on commercial sectors were estimated in a fashion similar to other business sectors meaning that water shortages would affect the ability of these businesses to operate. This is particularly true for "water intensive" commercial sectors that are need large amounts of water (in addition to potable and sanitary water) to provide their services. These include:

- car-washes,
- laundry and cleaning facilities,
- sports and recreation clubs and facilities including race tracks,
- amusement and recreation services,
- hospitals and medical facilities,
- hotels and lodging places, and
- eating and drinking establishments.

A key assumption is that commercial operations would not be affected until water shortages were at least 50 percent of total municipal demand. In other words, we assume that residential water consumers would reduce water use including all non-essential uses before businesses were affected.

An example will illustrate the breakdown of municipal water needs and the overall approach to estimating impacts of municipal needs. Assume City A experiences an unexpected shortage of 50 acrefeet per year when their demands are 200 acrefeet per year. Thus, shortages are only 25 percent of total municipal use and residents of City A could eliminate needs by restricting landscape irrigation. City B, on the other hand, has a deficit of 150 acrefeet in 2020 and a projected demand of 200 acrefeet. Thus, total

¹⁵ Zewe, C. "Tap Threatens to Run Dry in Texas Town." July 11, 2000. CNN Cable News Network.

¹⁶ Associated Press, "Ballinger Scrambles to Finish Pipeline before Lake Dries Up." May 19, 2003.

shortages are 75 percent of total demand. Emergency outdoor and some indoor conservation measures could eliminate 50 acre-feet of projected needs, yet 50 acre-feet would still remain. To eliminate" the remaining 50 acre-feet water intensive commercial businesses would have to curtail operations or shut down completely.

Three other areas were considered when analyzing municipal water shortages: 1) lost revenues to water utilities, 2) losses to the horticultural and landscaping industries stemming for reduction in water available for landscape irrigation, and 3) lost revenues and related economic impacts associated with reduced water related recreation.

Water Utility Revenues

Estimating lost water utility revenues was straightforward. We relied on annual data from the "Water and Wastewater Rate Survey" published annually by the Texas Municipal League to calculate an average value per acre-foot for water and sewer. For water revenues, average retail water and sewer rates multiplied by total water needs served as a proxy. For lost wastewater, total unmet needs were adjusted for return flow factor of 0.60 and multiplied by average sewer rates for the region. Needs reported as "county-other" were excluded under the presumption that these consist primarily of self-supplied water uses. In addition, 15 percent of water demand and needs are considered non-billed or "unaccountable" water that comprises things such as leakages and water for municipal government functions (e.g., fire departments). Lost tax receipts are based on current rates for the "miscellaneous gross receipts tax, "which the state collects from utilities located in most incorporated cities or towns in Texas. We do not include lost water utility revenues when aggregating impacts of municipal water shortages to regional and state levels to prevent double counting.

Horticultural and Landscaping Industry

The horticultural and landscaping industry, also referred to as the "green Industry," consists of businesses that produce, distribute and provide services associated with ornamental plants, landscape and garden supplies and equipment. Horticultural industries often face big losses during drought. For example, the recent drought in the Southeast affecting the Carolinas and Georgia horticultural and landscaping businesses had a harsh year. Plant sales were down, plant mortality increased, and watering costs increased. Many businesses were forced to close locations, lay off employees, and even file for bankruptcy. University of Georgia economists put statewide losses for the industry at around \$3.2 billion during the 3-year drought that ended in 2008. Municipal restrictions on outdoor watering play a significant role. During drought, water restrictions coupled with persistent heat has a psychological effect on homeowners that reduces demands for landscaping products and services. Simply put, people were afraid to spend any money on new plants and landscaping.

In Texas, there do not appear to be readily available studies that analyze the economic effects of water shortages on the industry. However, authors of this report believe negative impacts do and would result in restricting landscape irrigation to municipal water consumers. The difficulty in measuring them is two-fold. First, as noted above, data and research for these types of impacts that focus on Texas are limited; and second, economic data provided by IMPLAN do not disaggregate different sectors of the green industry to a level that would allow for meaningful and defensible analysis. ¹⁸

¹⁷ Williams, D. "Georgia landscapers eye rebound from Southeast drought." Atlanta Business Chronicle, Friday, June 19, 2009

¹⁸ Economic impact analyses prepared by the TWDB for 2006 regional water plans did include estimates for the horticultural industry. However, year 2000 and prior IMPLAN data were disaggregated to a finer level. In the current

Recreational Impacts

Recreational businesses often suffer when water levels and flows in rivers, springs and reservoirs fall significantly during drought. During droughts, many boat docks and lake beaches are forced to close, leading to big losses for lakeside business owners and local communities. Communities adjacent to popular river and stream destinations such as Comal Springs and the Guadalupe River also see their business plummet when springs and rivers dry up. Although there are many examples of businesses that have suffered due to drought, dollar figures for drought-related losses to the recreation and tourism industry are not readily available, and very difficult to measure without extensive local surveys. Thus, while they are important, economic impacts are not measured in this study.

Table 7 summarizes impacts of municipal water shortages at differing levels of magnitude, and shows the ranges of economic costs or losses per acre-foot of shortage for each level.

Table 7: Impacts of Municipal Water Shortages at Different Magnitudes of Shortages					
Water shortages as percent of total municipal demands	Impacts	Economic costs per acrefoot*			
0-30%	✓ Lost water utility revenues ✓ Restricted landscape irrigation and non-essential water uses	\$730 - \$2,040			
30-50%	 ✓ Lost water utility revenues ✓ Elimination of landscape irrigation and non-essential water uses ✓ Rationing of indoor use 	\$730 - \$10,970			
>50%	 ✓ Lost water utility revenues ✓ Elimination of landscape irrigation and non-essential water uses ✓ Rationing of indoor use ✓ Restriction or elimination of commercial water use ✓ Importing water by tanker truck 	\$730 - varies			
*Figures are rounded					

dataset (2006), the sector previously listed as "Landscaping and Horticultural Services" (IMPLAN Sector 27) is aggregated into "Services to Buildings and Dwellings" (IMPLAN Sector 458).

1.1.4 Industrial Water User Groups

Manufacturing

Impacts to manufacturing were estimated by distributing water shortages among industrial sectors at the county level. For example, if a planning group estimates that during a drought of record water supplies in County A would only meet 50 percent of total annual demands for manufactures in the county, we reduced output for each sector by 50 percent. Since projected manufacturing demands are based on TWDB Water Uses Survey data for each county, we only include IMPLAN sectors represented in the TWBD survey database. Some sectors in IMPLAN databases are not part of the TWDB database given that they use relatively small amounts of water - primarily for on-site sanitation and potable purposes. To maintain consistency between IMPLAN and TWDB databases, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes both databases were cross referenced in county with shortages. Non-matches were excluded when calculating direct impacts.

Mining

The process of mining is very similar to that of manufacturing. We assume that within a given county, shortages would apply equally to relevant mining sectors, and IMPLAN sectors are cross referenced with TWDB data to ensure consistency.

In Texas, oil and gas extraction and sand and gravel (aggregates) operations are the primary mining industries that rely on large volumes of water. For sand and gravel, estimated output reductions are straightforward; however, oil and gas is more complicated for a number of reasons. IMPLAN does not necessarily report the physical extraction of minerals by geographic local, but rather the sales revenues reported by a particular corporation.

For example, at the state level revenues for IMPLAN sector 19 (oil and gas extraction) and sector 27 (drilling oil and gas wells) totals \$257 billion. Of this, nearly \$85 billion is attributed to Harris County. However, only a very small fraction (less than one percent) of actual production takes place in the county. To measure actual potential losses in well head capacity due to water shortages, we relied on county level production data from the Texas Railroad Commission (TRC) and average well-head market prices for crude and gas to estimate lost revenues in a given county. After which, we used to IMPLAN ratios to estimate resultant losses in income and employment.

Other considerations with respect to mining include:

- 1) Petroleum and gas extraction industry only uses water in significant amounts for secondary recovery. Known in the industry as enhanced or water flood extraction, secondary recovery involves pumping water down injection wells to increase underground pressure thereby pushing oil or gas into other wells. IMPLAN output numbers do not distinguish between secondary and non-secondary recovery. To account for the discrepancy, county-level TRC data that show the proportion of barrels produced using secondary methods were used to adjust IMPLAN data to reflect only the portion of sales attributed to secondary recovery.
- 2) A substantial portion of output from mining operations goes directly to businesses that are classified as manufacturing in our schema. Thus, multipliers measuring backward linkages for a given manufacturer might include impacts to a supplying mining operation. Care was taken not to double count in such situations if both a mining operation and a manufacturer were reported as having water shortages.

Steam-electric

At minimum without adequate cooling water, power plants cannot safely operate. As water availability falls below projected demands, water levels in lakes and rivers that provide cooling water would also decline. Low water levels could affect raw water intakes and outfalls at electrical generating units in several ways. For one, power plants are regulated by thermal emission guidelines that specify the maximum amount of heat that can go back into a river or lake via discharged cooling water. Low water levels could result in permit compliance issues due to reduced dilution and dispersion of heat and subsequent impacts on aquatic biota near outfalls. ¹⁹ However, the primary concern would be a loss of head (i.e., pressure) over intake structures that would decrease flows through intake tunnels. This would affect safety related pumps, increase operating costs and/or result in sustained shut-downs. Assuming plants did shutdown, they would not be able to generate electricity.

Among all water use categories steam-electric is unique and cautions are needed when applying methods used in this study. Measured changes to an economy using input-output models stem directly from changes in sales revenues. In the case of water shortages, one assumes that businesses will suffer lost output if process water is in short supply. For power generation facilities this is true as well. However, the electric services sector in IMPLAN represents a corporate entity that may own and operate several electrical generating units in a given region. If one unit became inoperable due to water shortages, plants in other areas or generation facilities that do not rely heavily on water such as gas powered turbines might be able to compensate for lost generating capacity. Utilities could also offset lost production via purchases on the spot market.²⁰ Thus, depending upon the severity of the shortages and conditions at a given electrical generating unit, energy supplies for local and regional communities could be maintained. But in general, without enough cooling water, utilities would have to throttle back plant operations, forcing them to buy or generate more costly power to meet customer demands.

Measuring impacts end users of electricity is not part of this study as it would require extensive local and regional level analysis of energy production and demand. To maintain consistency with other water user groups, impacts of steam-electric water shortages are measured in terms of lost revenues (and hence income) and jobs associated with shutting down electrical generating units.

1.2 Social Impacts of Water Shortages

As the name implies, the effects of water shortages can be social or economic. Distinctions between the two are both semantic and analytical in nature – more so analytic in the sense that social impacts are harder to quantify. Nevertheless, social effects associated with drought and water shortages are closely tied to economic impacts. For example, they might include:

- demographic effects such as changes in population,
- disruptions in institutional settings including activity in schools and government,

¹⁹ Section 316 (b) of the Clean Water Act requires that thermal wastewater discharges do not harm fish and other wildlife.

²⁰ Today, most utilities participate in large interstate "power pools" and can buy or sell electricity "on the grid" from other utilities or power marketers. Thus, assuming power was available to buy, and assuming that no contractual or physical limitations were in place such as transmission constraints; utilities could offset lost power that resulted from waters shortages with purchases via the power grid.

- conflicts between water users such as farmers and urban consumers,
- health-related low-flow problems (e.g., cross-connection contamination, diminished sewage flows, increased pollutant concentrations),
- mental and physical stress (e.g., anxiety, depression, domestic violence),
- public safety issues from forest and range fires and reduced fire fighting capability,
- increased disease caused by wildlife concentrations,
- loss of aesthetic and property values, and
- reduced recreational opportunities.²¹

Social impacts measured in this study focus strictly on demographic effects including changes in population and school enrollment. Methods are based on demographic projection models developed by the Texas State Data Center and used by the TWDB for state and regional water planning. Basically, the social impact model uses results from the economic component of the study and assesses how changes in labor demand would affect migration patterns in a region. Declines in labor demand as measured using adjusted IMPLAN data are assumed to affect net economic migration in a given regional water planning area. Employment losses are adjusted to reflect the notion that some people would not relocate but would seek employment in the region and/or public assistance and wait for conditions to improve. Changes in school enrollment are simply the proportion of lost population between the ages of 5 and 17.

2. Results

Section 2 presents the results of the analysis at the regional level. Included are baseline economic data for each water use category, and estimated economics impacts of water shortages for water user groups with reported deficits. According to the 2011 Coastal Bend Regional Water Plan, during severe drought irrigation, municipal, manufacturing, mining and steam-electric water user groups would experience water shortages in the absence of new water management strategies.

2.1 Overview of Regional Economy

The Coastal Bend regional economy generates nearly \$18.9 billion in gross state product for the state (\$17.7 worth of income and \$1.3. billion in business taxes) and provides almost 280,000 jobs (Table 8). Manufacturing and mining are the primary base economic sectors in the region generating nearly \$5.3 billion in income for residents in the region and throughout the state and provide nearly 49,500 jobs in the region.²² Oil and gas mining, petrochemical and petroleum refining, and construction are the largest base sectors. Municipal sectors also generate substantial amounts of income – roughly 12 billion per year. Many businesses that make up the municipal category such as restaurants and retail stores are non-basic

²¹ Based on information from the website of the National Drought Mitigation Center at the University of Nebraska Lincoln. Available online at: http://www.drought.unl.edu/risk/impacts.htm. See also, Vanclay, F. "Social Impact Assessment." in Petts, J. (ed) International Handbook of Environmental Impact Assessment. 1999.

²² Base industries are those that supply markets outside of the region. These industries are crucial to the local economy and are called the economic base of a region. Appendix A shows how IMPLAN's 529 sectors were allocated to water use category, and shows economic data for each sector.

industries meaning they exist to provide services to people who work would in base industries such as manufacturing, agriculture and mining.

Water Use Category	Total Sales	Intermediate Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Business Taxes
Irrigation						
Vegetable and melon farming	\$17.82	\$1.09	\$16.73	281	\$7.84	\$2.09
Cotton farming	\$5.85	\$0.12	\$5.73	52	\$0.74	\$0.56
Grain farming	\$2.55	\$0.49	\$2.06	70	\$0.72	\$0.05
All other irrigated crops	\$2.11	\$1.40	\$0.71	\$32.15	\$0.57	\$0.34
Total irrigation	\$28.33	\$3.09	\$25.24	435	\$9.87	\$3.04
Livestock						
Animal-slaughtering	\$429.14	\$114.74	\$314.40	1,102	\$51.80	\$2.88
Cattle ranching and farming	\$221.70	\$153.72	\$67.97	3,707	\$17.52	\$4.66
Other livestock production	\$6.64	\$5.52	\$1.12	210	\$1.05	\$0.08
Total livestock	\$657.48	\$273.98	\$383.49	5,019	\$70.36	\$7.62
Manufacturing						
Petroleum refineries	\$21,668.44	\$8,054.17	\$13,614.27	2,559	\$1,215.18	\$51.00
New residential construction	\$1,057.67	\$0.00	\$1,057.67	6,773	\$377.55	\$5.94
Petrochemical manufacturing	\$664.37	\$304.39	\$359.97	86	\$49.33	\$2.81
Commercial and institutional buildings	\$613.21	\$0.00	\$613.21	5,905	\$323.73	\$3.99
Pharmaceutical manufacturing	\$269.44	\$49.24	\$220.20	262	\$90.32	\$2.03
Other manufacturing	\$3,479.97	\$568.18	\$2,911.79	16,895	\$1,203.46	\$20.86
Total manufacturing	\$27,753.09	\$8,975.98	\$18,777.11	32,480	\$3,259.56	\$86.62
Mining						
Drilling oil and gas wells	\$1,279.44	\$6.39	\$1,273.06	2,011	\$378.81	\$49.93
Oil and gas extraction	\$1,159.28	\$1,076.61	\$82.67	1,898	\$667.11	\$70.02
Support activities for oil and gas	\$1,092.16	\$151.70	\$940.46	5,417	\$990.52	\$44.55
Other mining	\$69.32	\$10.84	\$58.47	316	\$36.45	\$2.06
Total Mining	\$3,600.20	\$1,245.54	\$2,354.66	9,642	\$2,072.89	\$166.57
Steam-electric						
Power generation and supply	\$349.35	\$98.28	\$251.07	706	\$242.66	\$41.32
Municipal						
Wholesale trade	\$1,278.01	\$611.87	\$666.15	8,022	\$672.57	\$189.30
State & local education	\$1,120.84	\$0.00	\$1,120.84	28,859	\$1,120.84	\$0.00
Food services and drinking places	\$976.23	\$124.66	\$851.57	19,928	\$407.56	\$47.60
Hospitals	\$941.73	\$0.00	\$941.73	8,203	\$503.06	\$6.41
Federal military	\$740.61	\$0.00	\$740.61	7,075	\$740.61	\$0.00
Other municipal	\$15,137.35	\$4,657.89	\$10,479.45	158,982	\$8,588.16	\$777.96
Total municipal	\$20,194.78	\$5,394.42	\$14,800.36	231,069	\$12,032.80	\$1,021.27
Regional totals	\$52,583.22	\$15,991.30	\$36,591.92	279,351	\$17,688.15	\$1,315.77

2.2 Impacts of Agricultural Water Shortages

According to the 2011 Coastal Bend Regional Water Plan, during severe drought the counties of Bee, Live Oak and San Patricio would experiences shortages of irrigation water. In 2010, shortages range from about 10 to 95 percent of annual irrigation demands. In total, farmers would be short nearly 12,000 acre-feet in 2010, and about 20,000 acre-feet in 2060. Shortages of this magnitude would result in estimated incomes losses of \$3.5 million dollars in 2010 and \$8.8 million 2060 (Table 9). Estimated jobs losses total 65 in 2010 and 150 in 2060.

Table 9: Economic Impacts of Water Shortages for Irrigation Water User Groups (monetary figures are in \$millions)

Decade	Lost Income from Reduced Economic Output ^a	Lost Business Taxes from Reduced Economic Output*	Lost Jobs from Reduced Economic Output ^b
2010	\$3.52	\$0.13	65
2020	\$3.88	\$0.14	70
2030	\$4.32	\$0.16	80
2040	\$4.76	\$0.17	90
2050	\$5.27	\$0.19	100
2060	\$8.80	\$0.30	150
2060	\$8.80	\$0.30	150

^a Changes to Income and business taxes are collectively equivalent to a decrease in Gross State Product, which is analogous to Gross Domestic Product measured at the state rather than national level.

2.3 Impacts of Municipal Water Shortages

Water shortages are projected to occur in seven municipal water user groups in the planning area. Deficits range from eight to 84 percent of total annual water use. Costs of domestic water shortages total roughly one million in 2010 and \$44 million in 2060 (Table 10). Since water shortages are projected to occur in rural areas, impacts to the commercial businesses are assumed to be negligible. Lost water utility revenues are less than one million for each decade.

^b Figure are rounded.

Table 10: Economic I	mpacts of Water Shor Monetary Value of Domestic Water Shortages	Lost Income from Reduced Economic Output for Water Intensive Commercial Businesses	Lost Business Taxes from Reduced Economic Output	Lost Jobs from Reduced Economic Output	Lost water utility revenues
2010	\$1.35	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.04
2020	\$2.84	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.08
2030	\$4.81	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.11
2040	\$6.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.14
2050	\$45.33	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.17
2060	\$44.39	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	\$0.19

2.4 Impacts of Manufacturing Water Shortages

Manufacturing water shortages in Region N are projected to occur in Aransas, Live Oak, Nueces, and San Patricio counties. The majority are reported for Nueces County, which is major base for water intensive petrochemical refining.²³ In 2010, the Region N planning group estimates that manufacturers in Nueces County would be short about 7,400 acre-feet (15 percent of annual water requirements), and by 2060 this figure increases to almost 40,000 acre-feet (62 percent of annual water requirements). In the other counties, shortages range from about 70 acre-feet in 2060 to 6,500 acre-feet in 2060. Combined shortages for each county would result in estimated incomes losses of \$31 million dollars in 2010, and \$7.5 billion 2060 (Table 11). Estimated jobs losses total 225 in 2010 and 54,020 in 2060.

²³ Annual revenues for petrochemical refining in Nueces County total nearly \$20 billion per annum. The industry provides an estimated 2,350 jobs for the county, and indirectly supports approximately 47,000 jobs throughout Texas.

Table 11: Economic Impacts of Water Shortages for Manufacturing Water User Groups				
(monetary figures are in \$millions)				

Decade	Lost Income from Reduced Economic Output ^a	Lost Business Taxes from Reduced Economic Output*	Lost Jobs from Reduced Economic Output ^b
2010	\$31.51	\$1.22	225
2020	\$371.67	\$15.41	2,780
2030	\$1,440.56	\$60.03	10,790
2040	\$2,109.19	\$87.89	15,700
2050	\$5,554.68	\$231.77	41,600
2060	\$7,276.50	\$303.42	54,020

^a Changes to Income and business taxes are collectively equivalent to a decrease in Gross State Product, which is analogous to Gross Domestic Product measured at the state rather than national level.

2.5 Impacts of Mining Water Shortages

Ming water shortages in Region N are projected to occur in Duval, Live Oak, and Nueces counties, and would primarily affect the oil and gas extraction sector. Combined shortages for each county would result in estimated incomes losses of \$21 million dollars in 2010, and \$239 million 2060 (Table 12). Jobs losses total 140 in 2010 and 440 in 2060.

Table 12: Economic Impacts of Water Shortages for Mining Water User Groups
(monetary figures are in Smillions)

Decade	Lost Income from Reduced Economic Output ^a	Lost Business Taxes from Reduced Economic Output*	Lost Jobs from Reduced Economic Output ^b
2010	\$20.88	\$1.49	140
2020	\$31.24	\$2.22	210
2030	\$69.46	\$4.14	255
2040	\$215.83	\$19.10	350
2050	\$228.84	\$20.06	400
2060	\$239.11	\$20.87	440

^a Changes to Income and business taxes are collectively equivalent to a decrease in Gross State Product, which is analogous to Gross Domestic Product measured at the state rather than national level.

^b Figure are rounded.

^b Figure are rounded.

2.6 Impacts of Steam-electric Water Shortages

Water shortages for steam-electric water user groups are projected to occur in Nueces County, and would result in estimated incomes losses of \$20 million dollars in 2020, and \$271 million 2060 (Table 13). Jobs losses total 65 in 2010 and 415 in 2060.

	•	etary figures are in \$millions)	
Decade	Lost Income from Reduced Economic Output ^a	Lost Business Taxes from Reduced Economic Output*	Lost Jobs from Reduced Economic Output ^b
2010	\$0.00	\$0.00	0
2020	\$20.43	\$4.19	65
2030	\$98.02	\$10.06	150

235

320

Table 13: Economic Impacts of Water Shortages for Steam-electric Water User Groups

\$15.78

\$21.55

\$153.76

\$210.00

2040

2050

2.7 Social Impacts of Water Shortages

As discussed previously, estimated social impacts focus changes including population loss and subsequent related in school enrollment. In Region N, water shortages in 2010 would result in estimated population losses of 520 people with a corresponding reduction in school enrollment of 130 students (Table 14). Models indicate that shortages in 2060 would cause population in the region to decline by 66,280 people and school enrollment by 10,180 students.

Table 14: Social Impacts of Water Shortages (2010-2060)							
Year	Population Losses	Declines in School Enrollment					
2010	520	130					
2020	3,770	890					
2030	13,590	2,990					
2040	19,730	3,030					
2050	51,100	7,840					
2060	66,280	10,180					

^{2060 \$271.76 \$27.89 415}a Changes to Income and business taxes are collectively equivalent to a decrease in Gross State Product, which is analogous to Gross Domestic Product measured at the state rather than national level.

^b Figure are rounded.

2.8 Distribution of Impacts by Major River Basin

Table 15 displays economic and social impacts by major river basin. Impacts were allocated based on distribution of water shortages by river basin. For instance, if 50 percent of water shortages in River Basin A and 50 percent occur in River Basin B then impacts were split equally among the two basins.

Table 15: Distribution of Economic and Social Impacts by Major River Basin (2010-2060, monetary figures reported in \$millions)

Major River Basin	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
		Nueces				
Income*	\$57.26	\$263.52	\$838.11	\$1,250.58	\$2,916.31	\$3,773.54
Business Taxes	\$2.84	\$13.46	\$38.55	\$61.74	\$132.00	\$169.64
Jobs	430	1,915	5,843	8,224	20,468	26,483
Population	520	2,310	7,043	9,909	24,656	31,900
Declines in School Enrollment	130	545	1,550	1,522	3,783	4,899
		Nueces Rio Gra	ande			
Income	\$0.00	\$166.54	\$779.06	\$1,239.46	\$3,127.81	\$4,067.02
Business Taxes	\$0.00	\$8.50	\$35.84	\$61.20	\$141.57	\$182.84
Jobs	0	1,210	5,432	8,151	21,952	28,542
Population	0	1,460	6,547	9,821	26,444	34,380
Declines in School Enrollment	0	345	1,440	1,508	4,057	5,281
		San Antonio Nu	ieces			
Income	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Business Taxes	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Jobs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Population	0	0	0	0	0	0
Declines in School Enrollment	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total				
Income	\$57.26	\$430.06	\$1,617.17	\$2,490.04	\$6,044.12	\$7,840.56
Business Taxes	\$2.84	\$21.96	\$74.39	\$122.94	\$273.57	\$352.48
Jobs	430	3,125	11,275	16,375	42,420	55,025
Population	520	3,770	13,590	19,730	51,100	66,280
Declines in School Enrollment	130	890	2,990	3,030	7,840	10,180

^{*} Includes the estimated value of domestic water shortages, which is treated as an income effect when aggregating results across different water user groups.

Appendix: Economic Data for Individual IMPLAN Sectors for the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area

Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	IMPLAN Code	Total Sales	Intermediate Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Business Taxes
Irrigation	Vegetable and melon farming	3	\$17.82	\$1.09	\$16.73	281	\$7.84	\$2.09
Irrigation	Cotton farming	8	\$5.85	\$0.12	\$5.73	52	0.74	\$0.56
Irrigation	Grain farming	2	\$2.55	\$0.49	\$2.06	70	\$0.72	\$0.05
Irrigation	All other crop farming	10	\$1.30	\$1.20	\$0.10	14	0.34	\$0.11
Irrigation	Fruit farming	5	\$0.69	\$0.16	\$0.53	16	\$0.18	\$0.22
Irrigation	Tree nut farming	4	\$0.07	\$0.03	\$0.04	1	\$0.03	\$0.01
Irrigation	Oilseed farming	1	\$0.05	\$0.01	\$0.04	1	\$0.02	\$0.00
Irrigation	Sugarcane and sugar beet farming	9	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0.00	\$0.00
Livestock	Animal slaughtering	67	\$429.14	\$114.74	\$314.40	1,102	\$51.80	\$2.88
Livestock	Cattle ranching and farming	11	\$221.70	\$153.72	\$67.97	3,707	\$17.52	\$4.66
Livestock	Animal production- except cattle and poultry	13	\$4.93	\$4.18	\$0.75	200	\$0.48	\$0.08
Livestock	Poultry and egg production	12	\$1.70	\$1.34	\$0.37	10	\$0.57	\$0.01
	Total Agriculture	NA	\$667.99	\$275.99	\$391.99	5,173	\$72.39	\$8.57

Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	IMPLAN Code	Total Sales	Intermediate Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Business Taxes
Mining	Drilling oil and gas wells	27	\$1,279.44	\$6.39	\$1,273.06	2,011	\$378.81	\$49.93
Mining	Oil and gas extraction	19	\$1,159.28	\$1,076.61	\$82.67	1,898	\$667.11	\$70.02
Mining	Support activities for oil and gas operations	28	\$1,092.16	\$151.70	\$940.46	5,417	\$990.52	\$44.55
Mining	Sand- gravel- clay- and refractory mining	25	\$36.38	\$3.84	\$32.54	179	\$21.52	\$1.13
Mining	Other nonmetallic mineral mining	26	\$23.87	\$2.39	\$21.48	91	\$11.95	\$0.71
Mining	Gold- silver- and other metal ore mining	23	\$8.08	\$4.51	\$3.57	40	\$2.45	\$0.21
Mining	Stone mining and quarrying	24	\$0.99	\$0.10	\$0.88	6	\$0.53	\$0.02
Total Mining	NA	NA	\$3,600.20	\$1,245.54	\$2,354.66	9,642	\$2,072.89	\$166.57
Steam-electric	Power generation and supply	30	\$349.35	\$98.28	\$251.07	706	\$242.66	\$41.32

		IMPLAN		Intermediate				Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Manufacturing	Petroleum refineries	142	\$21,668.44	\$8,054.17	\$13,614.27	2,559	\$1,215.18	\$51.00
Manufacturing	New residential structures	33	\$1,057.67	\$0.00	\$1,057.67	6,773	\$377.55	\$5.94
Manufacturing	Petrochemical manufacturing	147	\$664.37	\$304.39	\$359.97	86	\$49.33	\$2.81
Manufacturing	Commercial and institutional buildings	38	\$613.21	\$0.00	\$613.21	5,905	\$323.73	\$3.99
Manufacturing	Animal- except poultry- slaughtering	67	\$429.14	\$114.74	\$314.40	1,102	\$51.80	\$2.88
Manufacturing	Pharmaceutical and medicine manufacturing	160	\$269.44	\$49.24	\$220.20	262	\$90.32	\$2.03
Manufacturing	Other new construction	41	\$268.89	\$0.00	\$268.89	2,708	\$150.09	\$1.18
Manufacturing	Oil and gas field machinery and equipment	261	\$262.65	\$9.78	\$252.87	694	\$72.66	\$1.47
Manufacturing	Other basic inorganic chemical manufacturing	150	\$186.17	\$41.02	\$145.15	333	\$61.26	\$0.70
Manufacturing	Ship building and repairing	357	\$183.50	\$1.06	\$182.44	969	\$73.23	\$0.81
Manufacturing	New residential additions and alterations-all	35	\$152.07	\$0.00	\$152.07	805	\$59.87	\$0.84
Manufacturing	Other basic organic chemical manufacturing	151	\$141.03	\$26.29	\$114.73	119	\$24.97	\$0.98
Manufacturing	Highway- street- bridge- and tunnel construct	39	\$132.05	\$0.00	\$132.05	1,143	\$69.32	\$0.88
Manufacturing	Soft drink and ice manufacturing	85	\$131.91	\$7.37	\$124.54	212	\$18.34	\$0.81
Manufacturing	Other miscellaneous chemical products	171	\$127.38	\$66.64	\$60.74	232	\$38.91	\$0.95
Manufacturing	Dry- condensed- and evaporated dairy products	65	\$123.73	\$28.97	\$94.75	156	\$26.01	\$0.76
Manufacturing	New multifamily housing structures- all	34	\$118.31	\$0.00	\$118.30	984	\$58.23	\$0.34
Manufacturing	All other electronic component manufacturing	312	\$107.74	\$61.74	\$46.00	491	\$31.48	\$0.54
Manufacturing	Water- sewer- and pipeline construction	40	\$95.06	\$0.00	\$95.06	750	\$44.47	\$0.64
Manufacturing	Synthetic dye and pigment manufacturing	149	\$93.16	\$49.61	\$43.55	152	\$23.79	\$0.54
Manufacturing	Alumina refining	208	\$88.37	\$4.03	\$84.35	116	\$10.18	\$0.92
Manufacturing	Totalizing fluid meters and counting devices	317	\$88.24	\$24.64	\$63.60	228	\$17.91	\$0.43
Manufacturing	Machine shops	243	\$82.78	\$19.98	\$62.80	612	\$38.02	\$0.61
Manufacturing	Bread and bakery product- except frozen	73	\$82.46	\$18.41	\$64.05	515	\$36.39	\$0.57
Manufacturing	Metal window and door manufacturing	235	\$70.23	\$5.21	\$65.02	395	\$25.95	\$0.40
Manufacturing	Asphalt paving mixture and blocks	143	\$66.63	\$59.76	\$6.87	112	\$7.98	\$0.07
Manufacturing	Ready-mix concrete manufacturing	192	\$61.81	\$0.30	\$61.51	263	\$14.94	\$0.37
Manufacturing	Manufacturing and industrial buildings	37	\$48.59	\$0.00	\$48.59	511	\$27.00	\$0.29

		IMPLAN		Intermediate				Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Manufacturing	Air and gas compressor manufacturing	289	\$42.72	\$0.44	\$42.28	91	\$15.76	\$0.29
Manufacturing	Watch- clock- and other measuring and control	321	\$38.03	\$3.66	\$34.37	145	\$9.58	\$0.16
Manufacturing	Metal tank- heavy gauge- manufacturing	239	\$37.62	\$1.55	\$36.07	205	\$13.48	\$0.19
Manufacturing	Plate work manufacturing	234	\$34.20	\$2.15	\$32.04	139	\$13.64	\$0.18
Manufacturing	Agriculture and forestry support activities	18	\$31.25	\$17.77	\$13.49	1,070	\$21.86	\$0.26
Manufacturing	Motor vehicle parts manufacturing	350	\$27.57	\$2.22	\$25.36	85	\$4.09	\$0.07
Manufacturing	Toilet preparation manufacturing	166	\$25.76	\$2.78	\$22.98	39	\$8.70	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Coffee and tea manufacturing	80	\$25.35	\$0.44	\$24.91	45	\$3.73	\$0.21
Manufacturing	Plastics material and resin manufacturing	152	\$24.12	\$0.96	\$23.16	15	\$6.07	\$0.20
Manufacturing	Commercial printing	139	\$24.09	\$11.97	\$12.12	326	\$16.68	\$0.20
Manufacturing	Hunting and trapping	17	\$23.88	\$1.95	\$21.93	113	\$8.82	\$1.63
Manufacturing	Aircraft manufacturing	351	\$22.58	\$1.15	\$21.43	44	\$4.13	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Fabricated structural metal manufacturing	233	\$20.49	\$1.06	\$19.43	69	\$8.44	\$0.14
Manufacturing	Gasket- packing- and sealing device	385	\$20.37	\$1.17	\$19.20	132	\$8.93	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Metal heat treating	245	\$17.71	\$4.19	\$13.52	83	\$7.55	\$0.13
Manufacturing	Power boiler and heat exchanger manufacturing	238	\$17.40	\$0.30	\$17.10	82	\$6.36	\$0.08
Manufacturing	Industrial gas manufacturing	148	\$16.31	\$8.58	\$7.74	21	\$5.14	\$0.08
Manufacturing	Surgical appliance and supplies manufacturing	376	\$15.71	\$3.92	\$11.79	82	\$6.78	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Other ordnance and accessories manufacturing	251	\$15.58	\$0.00	\$15.58	83	\$8.80	\$0.08
Manufacturing	Other millwork- including flooring	119	\$13.48	\$10.47	\$3.01	85	\$3.29	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Plastics pipe- fittings- and profile shapes	173	\$13.41	\$8.25	\$5.16	35	\$4.14	\$0.09
Manufacturing	All other transportation equipment	361	\$12.73	\$0.17	\$12.56	24	\$3.20	\$0.07
Manufacturing	Manifold business forms printing	136	\$12.17	\$1.60	\$10.57	73	\$7.13	\$0.11
Manufacturing	Soap and other detergent manufacturing	163	\$11.71	\$3.13	\$8.58	14	\$1.97	\$0.05
Manufacturing	Iron and steel forging	224	\$10.82	\$0.68	\$10.15	42	\$4.24	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Sporting and athletic goods manufacturing	381	\$10.09	\$0.05	\$10.05	52	\$2.77	\$0.09
Manufacturing	Relay and industrial control manufacturing	336	\$9.62	\$1.40	\$8.22	41	\$2.14	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Plastics plumbing fixtures	177	\$9.59	\$6.95	\$2.64	48	\$3.71	\$0.07

		IMPLAN		Intermediate				Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Manufacturing	All other industrial machinery manufacturing	269	\$9.50	\$2.41	\$7.09	45	\$2.60	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Concrete pipe manufacturing	194	\$9.30	\$0.05	\$9.25	31	\$4.23	\$0.10
Manufacturing	Metal coating and non-precious engraving	246	\$9.25	\$2.28	\$6.97	63	\$2.99	\$0.04
Manufacturing	Non-chocolate confectionery manufacturing	59	\$7.17	\$0.64	\$6.53	26	\$1.33	\$0.03
Manufacturing	Metal valve manufacturing	248	\$6.68	\$0.72	\$5.96	23	\$3.07	\$0.04
Manufacturing	Other concrete product manufacturing	195	\$6.24	\$0.08	\$6.16	31	\$2.96	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Cut and sew apparel manufacturing	107	\$6.20	\$0.17	\$6.03	47	\$2.00	\$0.03
Manufacturing	Overhead cranes- hoists- and monorail systems	293	\$6.08	\$1.29	\$4.79	17	\$2.18	\$0.04
Manufacturing	Logging	14	\$6.05	\$4.52	\$1.53	24	\$1.62	\$0.06
Manufacturing	Sign manufacturing	384	\$5.33	\$1.73	\$3.60	64	\$2.44	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Aircraft engine and engine parts	352	\$5.33	\$1.46	\$3.87	14	\$1.09	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Sheet metal work manufacturing	236	\$4.90	\$0.27	\$4.63	25	\$2.04	\$0.03
Manufacturing	Cut stone and stone product manufacturing	199	\$4.67	\$3.86	\$0.81	53	\$1.39	\$0.03
Manufacturing	Textile bag and canvas mills	101	\$4.62	\$0.05	\$4.57	34	\$1.42	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Custom architectural woodwork and millwork	369	\$4.52	\$3.98	\$0.54	42	\$2.63	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Mattress manufacturing	372	\$4.44	\$0.01	\$4.43	20	\$1.49	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Non-upholstered wood household furniture	364	\$4.41	\$0.13	\$4.29	37	\$1.88	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Meat processed from carcasses	68	\$4.33	\$1.28	\$3.05	10	\$0.51	\$0.03
Manufacturing	Switchgear and switchboard apparatus	335	\$4.29	\$1.07	\$3.22	16	\$2.01	\$0.03
Manufacturing	Curtain and linen mills	100	\$4.18	\$0.32	\$3.86	23	\$1.03	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Other miscellaneous textile product mills	103	\$4.10	\$0.06	\$4.04	29	\$1.08	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Fabricated pipe and pipe fitting	252	\$3.74	\$0.42	\$3.32	17	\$1.54	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Institutional furniture manufacturing	366	\$3.67	\$0.18	\$3.49	23	\$1.92	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Poultry processing	70	\$3.60	\$1.15	\$2.45	16	\$0.53	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Dental laboratories	379	\$3.60	\$3.55	\$0.05	72	\$2.34	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Wood kitchen cabinet and countertop	362	\$3.23	\$2.51	\$0.71	28	\$1.31	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Photographic film and chemical manufacturing	170	\$3.22	\$0.76	\$2.46	6	\$1.17	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Fishing	16	\$3.20	\$1.46	\$1.74	94	\$1.05	\$0.03

		IMPLAN		Intermediate				Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Manufacturing	Speed changers and mechanical power transmissions	287	\$2.79	\$1.45	\$1.34	16	\$0.79	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Iron and steel mills	203	\$2.70	\$0.19	\$2.50	3	\$0.58	\$0.02
Manufacturing	All other food manufacturing	84	\$2.45	\$0.21	\$2.24	9	\$0.53	\$0.02
Manufacturing	Tortilla manufacturing	77	\$2.36	\$0.25	\$2.11	19	\$0.40	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Spring and wire product manufacturing	242	\$2.05	\$0.22	\$1.83	12	\$0.69	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Electronic computer manufacturing	302	\$1.78	\$0.42	\$1.37	1	\$0.01	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Adhesive manufacturing	162	\$1.73	\$1.33	\$0.40	4	\$0.34	\$0.01
Manufacturing	AC- refrigeration- and forced air heating	278	\$1.56	\$0.00	\$1.56	6	\$0.24	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Electroplating- anodizing- and coloring metal	247	\$1.53	\$0.54	\$0.99	11	\$0.63	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Glass and glass products	190	\$1.45	\$0.91	\$0.54	9	\$0.44	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Sawmill and woodworking machinery	262	\$1.44	\$0.45	\$1.00	10	\$0.29	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Plastics packaging materials- film and sheet	172	\$1.17	\$0.63	\$0.54	3	\$0.38	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Jewelry and silverware manufacturing	380	\$1.14	\$0.02	\$1.12	5	\$0.28	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Nonferrous foundries- except aluminum	223	\$1.11	\$0.03	\$1.08	7	\$0.36	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Motor and generator manufacturing	334	\$1.09	\$0.10	\$0.98	4	\$0.30	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Prefabricated metal buildings and components	232	\$1.06	\$0.05	\$1.01	4	\$0.22	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Manufactured home- mobile home- manufacturing	121	\$0.99	\$0.00	\$0.99	7	\$0.34	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Miscellaneous fabricated metal product	255	\$0.85	\$0.00	\$0.84	4	\$0.33	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Scales- balances- and miscellaneous general	301	\$0.84	\$0.18	\$0.66	3	\$0.26	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Concrete block and brick manufacturing	193	\$0.80	\$0.00	\$0.80	3	\$0.34	\$0.01
Manufacturing	Other leather product manufacturing	111	\$0.74	\$0.12	\$0.62	7	\$0.21	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Other household and institutional furniture	367	\$0.59	\$0.14	\$0.45	4	\$0.19	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Ophthalmic goods manufacturing	378	\$0.54	\$0.04	\$0.51	6	\$0.24	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Boat building	358	\$0.50	\$0.00	\$0.50	2	\$0.10	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Cookie and cracker manufacturing	74	\$0.44	\$0.05	\$0.39	2	\$0.06	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Cement manufacturing	191	\$0.39	\$0.00	\$0.39	1	\$0.16	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Fluid milk manufacturing	62	\$0.37	\$0.09	\$0.28	1	\$0.02	\$0.00
Manufacturing	Ornamental and architectural metal work	237	\$0.33	\$0.02	\$0.31	2	\$0.12	\$0.00

Economic Data for Manufacturing Water User Groups in the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area (2006 cont.) **IMPLAN** Intermediate Business **Water Use Category IMPLAN Sector** Code **Total Sales** Sales **Final Sales** Jobs Income Taxes \$0.32 \$0.03 \$0.29 \$0.00 Manufacturing Vitreous china and earthenware articles 183 6 \$0.14 \$0.04 2 \$0.12 \$0.00 Manufacturing Hand and edge tool manufacturing 229 \$0.31 \$0.27 Manufacturing Fruit and vegetable canning and drying 61 \$0.30 \$0.01 \$0.28 1 \$0.05 \$0.00 Manufacturing Tire manufacturing 179 \$0.27 \$0.00 \$0.27 1 \$0.07 \$0.00 Manufacturing Wood windows and door manufacturing 117 \$0.21 \$0.19 \$0.02 1 \$0.07 \$0.00 Manufacturing Office supplies- except paper- manufacturing 383 \$0.20 \$0.01 \$0.19 2 \$0.08 \$0.00 Manufacturing Pump and pumping equipment manufacturing 288 \$0.18 \$0.00 \$0.18 \$0.04 \$0.00 1 Manufacturing 108 \$0.14 1 \$0.03 \$0.00 Accessories and other apparel manufacturing \$0.01 \$0.13 **Total Manufacturing** NA \$3,749.41 \$617.42 \$3,131.99 17,157 \$1,293.78 \$22.89 Based on year 2006 data from the Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc.

		IMPLAN		Intermediate				Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Municipal	Wholesale trade	390	\$1,278.01	\$611.87	\$666.15	8,022	\$672.57	\$189.30
Municipal	State & Local Education	503	\$1,120.84	\$0.00	\$1,120.84	28,859	\$1,120.84	\$0.00
Municipal	Food services and drinking places	481	\$976.23	\$124.66	\$851.57	19,928	\$407.56	\$47.60
Municipal	Hospitals	467	\$941.73	\$0.00	\$941.73	8,203	\$503.06	\$6.41
Municipal	Federal Military	505	\$740.61	\$0.00	\$740.61	7,075	\$740.61	\$0.00
Municipal	Offices of physicians & dentists	465	\$709.60	\$0.00	\$709.60	6,279	\$501.58	\$4.39
Municipal	Real estate	431	\$555.79	\$220.01	\$335.78	3,440	\$321.77	\$68.28
Municipal	Monetary authorities and depository institutions	430	\$510.75	\$168.22	\$342.53	2,730	\$358.65	\$6.53
Municipal	Motor vehicle and parts dealers	401	\$487.37	\$53.00	\$434.37	4,613	\$251.04	\$71.13
Municipal	State & Local Non-Education	504	\$470.77	\$0.00	\$470.77	8,663	\$470.78	\$0.00
Municipal	Architectural and engineering services	439	\$470.70	\$296.71	\$173.99	3,791	\$254.27	\$2.12
Municipal	Lessors of nonfinancial intangible assets	436	\$451.15	\$246.03	\$205.12	28	\$211.62	\$20.77
Municipal	Legal services	437	\$369.89	\$234.75	\$135.14	3,261	\$228.97	\$7.20
Municipal	Telecommunications	422	\$366.98	\$126.05	\$240.93	988	\$153.58	\$25.56
Municipal	Truck transportation	394	\$359.69	\$194.76	\$164.93	2,786	\$163.16	\$3.70
Municipal	Other State and local government enterprises	499	\$352.50	\$114.78	\$237.71	1,679	\$129.64	\$0.05
Municipal	Machinery and equipment rental and leasing	434	\$330.80	\$179.91	\$150.90	1,061	\$134.61	\$4.70
Municipal	Owner-occupied dwellings	509	\$1,602.91	\$0.00	\$1,602.91	0	\$1,241.72	\$189.54
Municipal	Insurance carriers	427	\$321.05	\$93.62	\$227.43	1,493	\$94.82	\$11.70
Municipal	All other miscellaneous professional and tech	450	\$307.87	\$274.88	\$33.00	536	\$124.66	\$2.50
Municipal	Food and beverage stores	405	\$299.16	\$40.00	\$259.16	5,245	\$152.24	\$33.37
Municipal	Home health care services	464	\$298.64	\$0.00	\$298.64	9,524	\$172.85	\$1.02
Municipal	General merchandise stores	410	\$288.94	\$30.45	\$258.49	5,344	\$129.65	\$41.28
Municipal	Federal Non-Military	506	\$284.85	\$0.00	\$284.85	1,711	\$284.85	\$0.00
Municipal	Business support services	455	\$216.28	\$101.22	\$115.06	4,384	\$109.83	\$4.14
Municipal	Nursing and residential care facilities	468	\$212.16	\$0.00	\$212.16	4,641	\$130.61	\$3.08
Municipal	Gasoline stations	407	\$194.28	\$29.51	\$164.77	2,736	\$104.98	\$27.96

		IMPLAN		Intermediate				Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Municipal	Commercial machinery repair and maintenance	485	\$193.14	\$101.69	\$91.46	1,476	\$92.22	\$6.72
Municipal	Maintenance and repair of nonresidential buildings	43	\$191.90	\$127.15	\$64.75	1,515	\$79.67	\$1.48
Municipal	Hotels and motels- including casino hotels	479	\$191.06	\$98.43	\$92.63	2,943	\$103.10	\$17.68
Municipal	Building material and garden supply stores	404	\$190.64	\$29.56	\$161.07	2,288	\$89.42	\$27.19
Municipal	Pipeline transportation	396	\$189.47	\$82.86	\$106.61	173	\$73.07	\$15.70
Municipal	Scenic and sightseeing transportation	397	\$186.97	\$70.14	\$116.83	1,775	\$127.37	\$21.12
Municipal	Other ambulatory health care services	466	\$174.02	\$11.32	\$162.70	1,345	\$79.80	\$1.19
Municipal	Insurance agencies- brokerages- and related	428	\$149.35	\$87.64	\$61.71	1,660	\$126.65	\$0.82
Municipal	Automotive repair and maintenance- except car	483	\$148.91	\$35.37	\$113.54	1,941	\$56.69	\$11.12
Municipal	Health and personal care stores	406	\$148.78	\$23.75	\$125.04	2,183	\$73.99	\$21.53
Municipal	Services to buildings and dwellings	458	\$146.87	\$108.37	\$38.50	2,837	\$69.91	\$2.56
Municipal	Accounting and bookkeeping services	438	\$144.53	\$117.37	\$27.16	1,899	\$63.71	\$0.52
Municipal	Civic- social- professional and similar organ	493	\$143.46	\$50.41	\$93.05	4,261	\$69.81	\$0.44
Municipal	Waste management and remediation services	460	\$135.37	\$76.09	\$59.28	769	\$65.92	\$5.32
Municipal	Clothing and clothing accessories stores	408	\$128.99	\$16.15	\$112.84	2,391	\$66.09	\$18.76
Municipal	Management consulting services	444	\$126.47	\$97.36	\$29.12	1,040	\$60.44	\$0.47
Municipal	Natural gas distribution	31	\$119.80	\$48.02	\$71.79	202	\$31.10	\$10.56
Municipal	Other maintenance and repair construction	45	\$112.80	\$39.32	\$73.49	1,576	\$73.37	\$0.70
Municipal	Employment services	454	\$105.72	\$87.49	\$18.22	5,152	\$86.89	\$0.50
Municipal	Non-depository credit intermediation institutions	425	\$104.06	\$63.70	\$40.35	832	\$57.79	\$4.42
Municipal	Radio and television broadcasting	420	\$98.82	\$78.45	\$20.37	555	\$30.62	\$0.39
Municipal	Water transportation	393	\$95.54	\$28.83	\$66.71	204	\$18.04	\$1.35
Municipal	Other Federal Government enterprises	496	\$94.26	\$39.95	\$54.31	3,743	\$65.11	\$0.00
Municipal	Securities- commodity contracts- investments	426	\$91.49	\$60.76	\$30.73	568	\$45.45	\$1.32
Municipal	Grant-making and giving and social advocacy	492	\$91.29	\$0.00	\$91.29	2,058	\$35.28	\$0.18
Municipal	Other amusement- gambling- and recreation	478	\$82.17	\$4.47	\$77.70	1,438	\$39.69	\$5.94
Municipal	Postal service	398	\$79.46	\$54.10	\$25.36	1,161	\$63.62	\$0.00
Municipal	Social assistance- except child day care	470	\$79.44	\$0.02	\$79.43	2,360	\$44.71	\$0.31

Water Hee Cotegory	IMPLAN Sector	IMPLAN Code	Total Sales	Intermediate Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	lacomo	Business
Water Use Category Municipal	Child day care services	469	\$75.75	\$0.00	\$75.75	2,055	\$46.50	Taxes \$0.55
•	•			•		•	•	•
Municipal	Furniture and home furnishings stores	402	\$75.50	\$11.54	\$63.96	958	\$36.53	\$10.78
Municipal	Miscellaneous store retailers	411	\$69.71	\$8.65	\$61.06	2,788	\$42.14	\$10.19
Municipal	Environmental and other technical consulting	445	\$64.92	\$59.78	\$5.14	399	\$31.80	\$0.22
Municipal	Investigation and security services	457	\$62.24	\$39.80	\$22.44	1,687	\$43.29	\$1.03
Municipal	Non-store retailers	412	\$61.18	\$9.45	\$51.73	1,854	\$38.46	\$6.98
Municipal	Household goods repair and maintenance	486	\$59.00	\$28.63	\$30.37	354	\$23.65	\$2.05
Municipal	Newspaper publishers	413	\$57.40	\$38.09	\$19.31	543	\$30.92	\$0.41
Municipal	General and consumer goods rental except vide	435	\$56.35	\$19.12	\$37.23	706	\$37.16	\$0.69
Municipal	State and local government electric utilities	498	\$54.00	\$14.59	\$39.41	155	\$26.70	\$0.14
Municipal	Other educational services	463	\$52.49	\$4.43	\$48.06	1,194	\$25.63	\$1.46
Municipal	Maintenance and repair of farm and nonfarm residences	42	\$51.81	\$17.36	\$34.46	360	\$18.20	\$0.26
Municipal	Personal care services	487	\$48.92	\$1.38	\$47.54	1,056	\$22.93	\$1.72
Municipal	Veterinary services	449	\$48.64	\$6.46	\$42.18	711	\$18.04	\$1.09
Municipal	Elementary and secondary schools	461	\$48.63	\$0.00	\$48.63	1,337	\$30.29	\$0.00
Municipal	Rail transportation	392	\$46.17	\$22.32	\$23.84	137	\$28.06	\$0.89
Municipal	Sporting goods- hobby- book and music stores	409	\$45.66	\$6.44	\$39.22	1,005	\$21.64	\$6.67
Municipal	Other support services	459	\$44.74	\$41.93	\$2.81	358	\$23.90	\$0.57
Municipal	Dry cleaning and laundry services	489	\$43.52	\$11.08	\$32.44	1,138	\$22.27	\$2.59
Municipal	Automotive equipment rental and leasing	432	\$42.56	\$17.41	\$25.16	293	\$14.42	\$0.78
Municipal	Management of companies and enterprises	451	\$41.54	\$39.06	\$2.48	271	\$20.96	\$0.33
Municipal	Electronic equipment repair and maintenance	484	\$40.97	\$7.67	\$33.30	305	\$18.33	\$1.38
Municipal	Motion picture and video industries	418	\$40.63	\$29.07	\$11.56	298	\$4.79	\$0.17
Municipal	Private households	494	\$39.66	\$0.00	\$39.66	4,109	\$39.67	\$0.00
Municipal	Electronics and appliance stores	403	\$38.38	\$5.08	\$33.30	875	\$25.89	\$5.60
Municipal	Office administrative services	452	\$34.22	\$15.22	\$18.99	242	\$17.16	\$0.30
Municipal	Death care services	488	\$33.64	\$0.00	\$33.64	510	\$16.75	\$2.51

		IMPLAN		Intermediate	•			Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Municipal	Couriers and messengers	399	\$32.92	\$29.93	\$2.99	416	\$21.38	\$0.50
Municipal	Video tape and disc rental	433	\$30.39	\$0.16	\$30.23	511	\$13.47	\$1.29
Municipal	Maintenance and repair of highways- streets-	44	\$30.25	\$0.00	\$30.25	336	\$17.11	\$0.23
Municipal	Fitness and recreational sports centers	476	\$28.51	\$7.95	\$20.56	1,032	\$12.94	\$1.51
Municipal	Air transportation	391	\$27.37	\$3.05	\$24.32	154	\$4.50	\$0.58
Municipal	Information services	423	\$26.80	\$6.52	\$20.28	104	\$7.97	\$0.19
Municipal	Custom computer programming services	441	\$25.87	\$2.16	\$23.71	428	\$21.89	\$0.13
Municipal	Funds- trusts- and other financial vehicles	429	\$23.60	\$0.45	\$23.16	86	\$3.92	\$0.16
Municipal	Advertising and related services	447	\$23.32	\$21.74	\$1.58	218	\$7.95	\$0.13
Municipal	Computer systems design services	442	\$22.15	\$13.48	\$8.67	286	\$18.79	\$0.46
Municipal	Colleges- universities- and junior colleges	462	\$21.50	\$1.14	\$20.36	448	\$9.96	\$0.00
Municipal	Other personal services	490	\$21.25	\$1.80	\$19.45	136	\$7.68	\$0.88
Municipal	Promoters of performing arts and sports and a	474	\$21.12	\$6.92	\$14.20	413	\$13.70	\$0.87
Municipal	State and local government passenger transit	497	\$20.71	\$5.40	\$15.31	330	\$7.18	\$0.00
Municipal	Other computer related services- including fa	443	\$19.56	\$11.76	\$7.80	102	\$14.40	\$0.18
Municipal	Photographic services	448	\$19.50	\$6.44	\$13.07	283	\$6.95	\$0.52
Municipal	Car washes	482	\$17.14	\$3.38	\$13.76	460	\$8.49	\$0.97
Municipal	Facilities support services	453	\$16.77	\$3.95	\$12.82	361	\$10.46	\$0.05
Municipal	Software publishers	417	\$14.02	\$1.61	\$12.41	38	\$7.92	\$0.12
Municipal	Independent artists- writers- and performers	473	\$12.57	\$12.21	\$0.36	195	\$3.55	\$0.08
Municipal	Warehousing and storage	400	\$11.95	\$10.99	\$0.96	133	\$9.00	\$0.06
Municipal	Other accommodations	480	\$11.87	\$0.17	\$11.70	145	\$3.81	\$0.34
Municipal	Transit and ground passenger transportation	395	\$11.80	\$3.08	\$8.73	168	\$7.29	\$0.29
Municipal	Data processing services	424	\$10.59	\$2.17	\$8.42	67	\$4.25	\$0.05
Municipal	Specialized design services	440	\$10.58	\$9.95	\$0.63	98	\$3.33	\$0.09
Municipal	Travel arrangement and reservation services	456	\$10.30	\$7.30	\$3.00	98	\$3.56	\$0.14

		IMPLAN		Intermediate				Business
Water Use Category	IMPLAN Sector	Code	Total Sales	Sales	Final Sales	Jobs	Income	Taxes
Municipal	Cable networks and program distribution	421	\$7.98	\$1.89	\$6.08	14	\$1.15	\$0.06
Municipal	Database- directory- and other publishers	416	\$7.74	\$3.73	\$4.01	26	\$3.99	\$0.06
Municipal	Museums- historical sites- zoos- and parks	475	\$7.53	\$0.00	\$7.53	201	\$1.71	\$0.04
Municipal	Water- sewage and other systems	32	\$6.84	\$2.06	\$4.78	75	\$5.22	\$0.25
Municipal	Periodical publishers	414	\$6.46	\$3.17	\$3.29	36	\$1.91	\$0.03
Municipal	Bowling centers	477	\$6.07	\$0.37	\$5.69	154	\$2.48	\$0.49
Municipal	Spectator sports	472	\$5.01	\$2.85	\$2.15	243	\$3.36	\$0.42
Municipal	Religious organizations	491	\$4.52	\$0.00	\$4.52	38	\$2.30	\$0.00
Municipal	Performing arts companies	471	\$3.48	\$1.71	\$1.78	125	\$1.67	\$0.13
Municipal	Sound recording industries	419	\$2.71	\$0.63	\$2.07	12	\$2.03	\$0.01
Municipal	Scientific research and development services	446	\$2.71	\$2.08	\$0.63	35	\$0.85	\$0.01
Municipal	Book publishers	415	\$0.30	\$0.03	\$0.27	1	\$0.10	\$0.00
	Total Municipal	NA	\$20,194.78	\$5,394.42	\$14,800.36	231,069	\$12,032.80	\$1,021.27

Appendix 2: Impacts by County for the Coastal Bend Regional Water Planning Area

Aransas County (\$n	nillions)					
	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Municipal	<u>.</u>			•		
County-other County-other						
Monetary value of domestic water shortages	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$36.67	\$34.66
Reduced income from reduced output for water intensive commercial businesses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced business taxes from reduced economic output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced jobs due to reduced economic output for water intensive commercial businesses	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced utility revenues	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Manufacturin	ng					
Reduced income from reduced manufacturing output	\$3.63	\$4.34	\$4.89	\$5.40	\$5.85	\$6.86
Reduced business taxes from reduced manufacturing output	\$0.07	\$0.09	\$0.10	\$0.11	\$0.12	\$0.14
Reduced jobs from reduced manufacturing output	40	50	60	60	70	80

	Bee County (\$millions)						
		2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
	Irrigation						
Reduced income from reduced irrigation output	!	\$1.70	\$1.88	\$2.07	\$2.29	\$2.55	\$2.84
Reduced business taxes from reduced irrigation output	:	\$0.08	\$0.08	\$0.09	\$0.10	\$0.11	\$0.13
Reduced jobs from reduced irrigation output		40	45	50	55	60	70

Duval County (\$millions)											
	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060					
	Mining										
Reduced income from reduced mining output	\$20.8	\$30.24	\$35.71	\$40.67	\$45.75	\$50.51					
Reduced business taxes from reduced mining output	\$1.49	\$2.16	\$2.55	\$2.91	\$3.27	\$3.61					
Reduced jobs from reduced mining output	140	205	240	275	310	340					

Jim Wells County (\$millions))					
	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Municipal						
County-other						
Monetary value of domestic water shortages	\$0.20	\$0.29	\$0.32	\$0.29	\$0.26	\$0.21
Reduced income from reduced output for water intensive commercial businesses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced business taxes from reduced economic output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced jobs due to reduced economic output for water intensive commercial businesses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced utility revenues	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
	Irrigation	1 2020	1 2000		1 2000	1 2000
Reduced income from reduced irrigation output	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$0.07	\$0.07	\$0.06	\$0.05
Reduced business taxes from reduced irrigation output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced jobs from reduced irrigation output	0	0	1	1	1	1
	Manufacturing					
Reduced income from reduced manufacturing output	\$27.88	\$39.96	\$92.48	\$101.75	\$108.70	\$126.40
Reduced business taxes from reduced manufacturing output	\$1.15	\$1.65	\$3.82	\$4.20	\$4.49	\$5.22
Reduced jobs from reduced manufacturing output	180	260	605	665	710	830
	Mining					
Reduced income from reduced mining output	\$0.00	\$0.99	\$1.93	\$2.56	\$6.25	\$7.29
Reduced business taxes from reduced mining output	\$0.00	\$0.05	\$0.11	\$0.14	\$0.35	\$0.40
Reduced jobs from reduced mining output	0	5	10	15	30	40

Nueces County (\$milli	ons)					
	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Municipal	·					
River Acres						
Monetary value of domestic water shortages	\$0.39	\$2.46	\$4.25	\$6.03	\$8.14	\$9.20
Reduced income from reduced output for water intensive commercial businesses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced business taxes from reduced economic output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced jobs due to reduced economic output for water intensive commercial businesses	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced utility revenues	\$0.04	\$0.08	\$0.11	\$0.14	\$0.16	\$0.18
County-other						
Monetary value of domestic water shortages	\$0.76	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced income from reduced economic output for water intensive commercial businesses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced business taxes from reduced economic output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced jobs due to reduced economic output for water intensive commercial businesses	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced utility revenues	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Manufacturing						
Reduced income from reduced manufacturing output	\$0.00	\$327.38	\$1,343.18	\$1,977.09	\$5,399.92	\$6,988.45
Reduced business taxes from reduced manufacturing output	\$0.00	\$13.68	\$56.11	\$82.59	\$225.58	\$291.94
Reduced jobs from reduced manufacturing output	0	2,470	10,130	14,910	40,720	52,695
Mining						
Reduced income from reduced mining output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$31.82	\$172.60	\$176.84	\$181.31
Reduced business taxes from reduced mining output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1.48	\$16.05	\$16.44	\$16.86
Reduced jobs from reduced mining output	0	0	5	60	65	65

San Patricio County (\$r	millions)					
	2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Municipal	·					
Lake City						
Monetary value of domestic water shortages	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.01	\$0.03	\$0.05	\$0.11
Reduced income from reduced output for water intensive commercial businesses	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced business taxes from reduced economic output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Reduced jobs due to reduced economic output for water intensive commercial businesses	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced utility revenues	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.003	\$0.006	\$0.009	\$0.012
Irrigation						
Reduced income from reduced irrigation output	\$1.77	\$1.96	\$2.17	\$2.41	\$2.67	\$5.91
Reduced business taxes from reduced irrigation output	\$0.05	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.07	\$0.08	\$0.17
Reduced jobs from reduced irrigation output	24	27	30	33	36	81
Manufacturing						
Reduced income from reduced manufacturing output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$24.95	\$40.20	\$154.79
Reduced business taxes from reduced manufacturing output	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.99	\$1.59	\$6.12
Reduced jobs from reduced manufacturing output	0	0	0	70	110	420