55 // OQL'

FINAL REPORT

SYNTHESIS OF DATA ON <u>ACARTIA TONSA</u> IN TEXAS BAY SYSTEMS: CORRELATION BETWEEN ITS ABUNDANCE AND SELECTED ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Тө

Texas Water Development Board P.O. Box 13087 Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711



FEBRUARY 1987 THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN MARINE SCIENCE INSTITUTE PORT ARANSAS, TEXAS 78373-1267

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. TR/87-003

Synthesis of data on Acartia tonsa in Texas bay systems:

correlation between its abundance and selected

environmental factors

by

W. Y. Lee, Principal InvestigatorC. R. Arnold, Co-InvestigatorR. D. Kalke, Co-Investigator

The University of Texas at Austin Marine Science Institute Port Aransas, Texas 78373-1267

to

Texas Water Development Board P. O. Box 13087 Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711

Contract No. IAC (86-87) 1079 TWDB Contract #14-60011

Introduction

Acartia tonsa is widely distributed in the coastal waters of the world oceans (McAlice, 1981). Along the Atlantic coast of America, it occurs from the Miramichi River estuary, New Brunswick (Bousfield, 1955) down to Bahia Forforescente, Puerto Rico (Gonzalez and Bowman, 1965). In Texas estuaries, it is abundant year-round in places where salinity ranges from 10-30‰ as well as in hypersaline environment of Laguna Madre and Baffin Bay where salinity may vary from 30 to 80‰.

A. tonsa is considered to be an important food item for many larval fish such as Gulf menhaden (Brevoortia patronus), spot (Leiostomus xanthurus), Atlantic croaker (Micropogonias undulatus) and red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) (Bass and Avault, 1975; Govoni et al., 1983, 1986; Steen and Laroche, 1983). Acartia is also an important component of estuarine zooplankters, which feed mainly on phytoplankton and may sometimes control phytoplankton species composition and size distribution through grazing (Steele and Frost, 1977; Ryther and Sanders, 1980).

This study is being carried out to compare the adaptation of *A. tonsa* to the various temperatures and salinities encountered in six of the Texas estuarine systems. For this purpose, it is hypothesized that the copepod in each of the estuarine environments is actually different from one another in terms of physiology and reproduction. Indeed, they are probably subspecies adapted to local environmental conditions.

Some published data did indicate this tendency and listed below are some supporting evidences of this theory.

2

- 1. Salinity tolerance of *A. tonsa* varied with both time and location of samples, and thermal prehistory of the copepods affected their survival in diluted seawater (Lance, 1964).
- Interbreeding of Acartia clausi between populations from east and west coasts resulted in inviable offspring (Carrillo B. -G. et al., 1974).
- 3. A widespread copepod, *Scottolana canadensis*, showed significant genetic differentiation in its embryonic duration, egg size and newborn survival, when taken from a broad range of latitude and raised under the same experimental conditions (Lonsdale and Levinton, 1985).

A. tonsa in this study comes from six estuaries along the Texas coast; namely, Sabine, Trinity, Matagorda, San Antonio, Corpus Christi and Nueces Bays. Acartia abundance in each system is analyzed with emphasis on:

- Seasonal pattern of the copepod, and variation in temperature and salinity.
- 2. Relative importance of temperature and salinity to the variation in Acartia populations and
- 3. Contour maps representing *Acartia* abundance at various temperature-salinity regimes.

The contour maps may be used for predictions regarding copepod seasonality, optimal copepod survival and possible responses of *Acartia* to salinity changes caused by freshwater inflow to the system.

3

Materials and Methods

The analytical procedures described below are designed to produce information necessary for evaluation of the hypothesis made in the previous section.

<u>Data entry</u>. Data provided by TWDB are first transferred from external tape to permanent files of the CDC Dual Cyber computer system, and then loaded to mini-disk of the IBM system 370. These data are finally reorganized and statistically analyzed using SAS software package (version 4).

<u>Salinity and temperature effects</u>. Stepwise multiple regressions are employed using SAS/GLM procedure. The percent of variance in *Acartia* associated with each of the environmental factors or with their combined effects is also evaluated and tested by the F-ratio statistic. The relative importance of temperature and salinity to copepod abundance is compared by the method of principal component analysis contained in the same SAS software package.

<u>Contour plot</u>. A contour map of *Acartia* abundance over salinity and temperature possibly encountered in each of the environments is generated using SAS/Graphic programs. There are 7-8 zones defined for each estuarine system, with temperatures ranging from 0 to 36°C and salinity from 0 to 35‰.

Results

<u>Corpus Christi Bay</u>. Samples were collected during the period from 15 October 1975 to 22 June 1976. Data of 1975 covered October, November and December only, while samples of 1976 covered January (2 times), February (2 times), March, April, May (3 times) and June (2 times). A total of 96 samples were collected from the 5 stations: 53, 127, 142, 147 and 200. Variations in water temperature during the sampling period are shown in Figure 1; mean lowest temperature of 9.3°C was recorded during the winter and highest (28.8°C) was observed in the summer of 1976.

In contrast with changes of water temperature, mean salinities in Corpus Christi Bay were generally very consistent during the 1975-1976 period (Fig. 2), with overall mean around 30%. Lower salinity did occur in late spring of 1976, in which case salinity as low as 8.4‰ was recorded in part of the bay.

Seasonal variations of A. tonsa in Corpus Christi Bay are shown in Figure 3. On pooled data basis, sampling dates have significant effect on Acartia abundance (F = 3.59, P < 0.01), suggesting that seasonal variations of copepod abundance are significant. On the contrary, collecting sites within the bay are not found to be significantly different from each other in Acartia abundance (F = 2.22, P > 0.07), indicating possible homogeneous distribution of A. tonsa in Corpus Christi Bay.

The relationships among *Acartia* abundance, temperature and salinity can be best described by:

Acartia abundance = 1421.5 + 3542.2 x Temperature - 167.9 x(Temperature)² - 1777.3 x Salinity - 2.4 x (Salinity)² + 118.5 x

Temperature x Salinity (F = 3.36, P < 0.01).

The corresponding response curves are shown in Figure 4. Analysis on the relative importance of temperature and salinity to *Acartia* abundance (Fig. 5) further suggests that temperature is the component contributing more to *Acartia* variations in Corpus Christi Bay (Eigenvectors: temperature (0.9997) vs. salinity (0.0210)). <u>Nueces Bay</u>. Samples were collected between 20 December 1972 to 7 October 1974 from 20 stations. A total of 709 observations were made in the Nueces Bay during this sampling period.

Mean temperature variations in the bay were similar in both 1973 and 1974 (Fig. 6). Temperatures were low during winter and averaged about 7.5°C in 1972-73 and 12.5°C in 1973-74, and then gradually increased to maximum during the summer months; the mean summer temperature was 29.2°C.

Salinities within the bay varied greatly with both seasons and stations (Fig. 7). Annual changes in salinity were also significant. For example, salinities recorded during the winter of 1972-1973 were much higher than those of 1973-1974 and the differences might amount to + 10% on several occasions.

As those in Corpus Christi Bay, *Acartia* populations in Nueces Bay were rather evenly distributed among stations (Fig. 8). One-way ANOVA indicates that there is no strong relationship between *Acartia* abundance and sampling stations (F = 1.59, P > 0.05). However, seasonal variations of the copepod are found to be significant at 1% level. The optimal response equation of *Acartia* to temperature and salinity is depicted in Figure 9 and is defined by:

Acartia abundance = 4300.1 + 129.9 x Temperature - 5.6 x

 $(Temperature)^2 + 88.9 x Salinity - 15.6 x (Salinity)^2 + 17.1 x$

Temperature x Salinity (F = 14.45, P < 0.01).

Principal component analysis results in no surprise that salinity is contributing more to the variations of *Acartia* abundance in Nueces Bay. Eigenvectors are -0.1630 and 0.9866 for temperature and salinity respectively (Fig. 10). <u>San Antonio Bay</u>. Seasonal changes of temperature in San Antonio Bay is presented in Figure 11, and the patterns are very similar to that observed in Nueces Bay. Season highs of 33°C were recorded during summer while lows (about 6.5°C) were found during winter.

Seasonal variations in salinity are shown in Figure 12. Salinity near the river mouth was negligible, and it increased gradually outward in response to river flow. Salinities were low, often less than 10% during the sampling period. Salinities > 20% were only occasionally recorded during winter and early spring of 1973.

Copepods in the San Antonio Bay were obtained from 11 stations and on 63 different cruises during the 1972-1974 period. One-way ANOVA shows that dates of collection, but not sampling sites, have significant impact on the variations of *Acartia* population (F = 2.66, P < 0.01). In general, *A. tonsa* were higher in late spring and summer than in winter; the highest densities may sometimes reach over $50,000/m^3$, while a minimum of zero density could also occur (Fig. 13). The response equation of *Acartia* to temperature and salinity is shown below, and the corresponding contour map is presented in Figure 14.

Acartia abundance = -4183.1 + 154.1 x temperature + 3.3 x(Temperature)² + 1071.5 x Salinity - 36.9 x (Salinity)² + 0.4 x Temperature x Salinity (F = 11.97, P < 0.01).

As is the case in Nueces Bay, salinity is the major factor in determining the variation of A. tonsa in the system. Eigenvectors from principal component analysis are -0.4648 for temperature and 0.8853 for salinity (Fig. 15). 7

<u>Matagorda Bay</u>. Seasonal changes in temperature, salinity and *Acartia* abundance from 21 February 1973 to 5 June 1976 are presented in Figures 16, 17 and 18. A total of 426 samples were collected during this period covering 19 stations in the Matagorda Bay.

Seasonal temperatures ranged from 7°C recorded in the winter of 1975-1976 to 31.5°C in the summer of 1975. Salinity also varied greatly with both seasons and stations, and spanned from near freshwater to about 25‰.

Acartia abundance in Matagorda Bay was low compared to that of other estuarine systems described above. The mean densities were generally below $1000/m^3$ for most of the times during the year. Higher densities did occur sometimes during the summer, as the cases noted in the early summer of 1976.

One-way ANOVA indicates that differences in *Acartia* abundance between stations were not significant during the sampling period (F = 1.53, P > 0.07). A significant relationship, however, is observed between copepod abundance and seasons (F = 3.51, P < 0.01). The relationship among copepods, temperature, and salinity is shown in Figure 19 and is best defined by the following equation:

Acartia abundance = 136.8 + 3.6x Temperature + 0.2 x

 $(\text{Temperature})^2$ - 82.8 x Salinity + 3.5 x $(\text{Salinity})^2$ + 1.5 x

Temperature x Salinity (F = 11.31, P < 0.01).

Again, it is the salinity which plays a more important role in determining the variation of *Acartia* abundance in the Matagorda Bay. Eigenvectors from principal component analysis are -0.2867 for temperature and 0.9580 for salinity. The corresponding plot is presented in Figure 20. <u>Trinity Bay</u>. A total of 328 samples were obtained from 6 stations in the Trinity Bay during the 1975-1976 period. Mean temperatures in the bay ranged from about 11.0°C during winter to 30.4°C in August. These variations in water temperature in 1975-1976 are shown in Figure 21.

Mean salinities in Trinity Bay varied from 3.8‰ to 14.8‰; peak salinity occurred in February 1976 and then declined to the low of about 3.8‰ in July 1976. In 1975 mean salinities recorded during the fall were between 8.6 to 12.3‰ (Fig. 22).

Throughout the sampling period, *Acartia tonsa* were low in their densities (Fig. 23); mean densities were seldom over $400/m^3$. One-way ANOVA suggests that both collection sites and dates have significant effects on the variation in *Acartia* abundance; both factors are significant at 1% level.

The relationship among the *A. tonsa* abundance, temperature and salinity can be defined as follows:

Acartia abundance = $-302.2 + 10.8 \times \text{Temperature} + 0.1 \times (\text{Temperature})^2 + 36.3 \times \text{Salinity} - 0.8 \times (\text{Salinity})^2 - 0.9 \times \text{Temperature} \times \text{Salinity} (F = 14.00, P < 0.01).$

The contour map corresponding to the above equation is presented in Figure 24. PCA (Fig. 25) suggests that temperature is a more important factor than salinity in influencing the variation in *Acartia* abundance (Eigenvectors: temperature (0.9131) vs. salinity (-0.4076).

<u>Sabine System</u>. Temperatures in Sabine system follow a similar pattern observed in other Texas bay systems; highs were recorded in August and lows were in December and January. Mean temperatures during the year ranged from 11.5 to about 32°C (Fig. 26). Salinities were generally low and often below 10‰ (Fig. 27); salinities greater than 10‰ were occasionally recorded during October 1974, and July and August 1976. Salinities remained below 2‰ in winter and spring of 1974-1975, while in the winter of 1975-1976, mean salinities were well above 6‰.

A total of 167 samples were collected from 13 stations during the 1974-1976 period. Seasonal variations in *A. tonsa* abundance are shown in Figure 28. As is the case in the Trinity Bay, *A. tonsa* in the Sabine system varies significantly both from season to season (F = 10.39, P < 0.01) and from station to station (F = 2.98, P < 0.01). The following equation best describes the relationship of *Acartia* abundance to the two factors of temperature and salinity.

Acartia abundance = -359.7 + 30.9 x Temperature - 0.6 x (Temperature)² + 26.7 x Salinity - 1.1 x (Salinity)² - 0.1 x Temperature x Salinity (F = 22.98, P < 0.01).

Figure 29 is the contour plot representing the possible response of *Acartia* to changes in temperature and salinity. Principal component analysis (Fig. 30) shows that temperature plays an important role in determining *Acartia* abundance in the Sabine estuarine system. Eigenvectors from PCA are 0.9871 for temperature and -0.1599 for salinity.

Discussion and Conclusion

The copepod Acartia tonsa is the most important estuarine zooplankter along the Atlantic coast (Ambler, 1985; Breuer, 1957, 1962; Conover, 1956; Cooper, 1967; Cronin, 1962; Deevey, 1952, 1960; Fish, 1925; Heinle, 1966; Holland et al., 1974; Jeffries, 1962; Lee and McAlice, 1979; Livingston, 1981; Martin, 1965; McIlwain, 1968; Sage and Herman, 1972; Woodmansee, 1958). Factors controlling seasonal succession and abundance of the copepod have been related to food resources, predators, prey density, temperature and salinity (Durbin *et al.*, 1983; Johnson, 1980; Knatz, 1978; Lance, 1964; Martin, 1965; Sullivan and McManus, 1986). In this study, we examine only the historical zooplankton data and endeavor to determine if there is strong association between the *Acartia* abundance and the two environmental factors; temperature and salinity.

The historical data are analyzed under the assumptions that 1) zooplankton were collected with the same gear type and in the same manner throughout the estuarine systems and the sampling periods, 2) sampling sites were randomly selected and were representative of conditions possibly encountered in the system and 3) sampling intervals of 2 to 8 weeks, as was the case in this study, would not greatly affect the fidelity of the response model built for *Acartia* populations.

Significant seasonal variations of both temperature and salinity were noted in each drainage system in this study, with the exception of salinity changes in Corpus Christi Bay (F = 1.32, P > 0.216). The high (> 25‰) and nearly constant salinity recorded between October 1975 and June 1976 may explain the case encountered in Corpus Christi Bay. ANOVA results also indicate significant seasonal variations in *Acartia* abundance among the 6 estuarine systems. If all data were pooled together, the association of *Acartia* population with temperature and salinity can be represented in the contour map (Fig. 31) and defined by the following equation: Acartia abundance = $-63.880 + 151.541 \times \text{Temperature} - 3.916 \times (\text{Temperature})^2 - 231.507 \times \text{Salinity} + 6.044 \times (\text{Salinity})^2 + 13.062 \times \text{Temperature} \times \text{Salinity}$

Principal component analysis over all data points reveals that salinity is more important than temperature in regulating variations in *Acartia* abundance. Eigenvectors are -0.196 for temperature and 0.981 for salinity (Fig. 32).

It appears that freshwater inflow will have great impact on the population dynamics of *A. tonsa* in Texas estuarine systems. However, on pooled data basis, the results often conceal important processes which might be characteristic to the individual system. For this reason, individual systems are treated separately and summarized as follows:

- 1. Systems in which Acartia tonsa shows significant seasonal variation include Corpus Christi, Nueces, San Antonio and Matagorda Bays
- 2. Systems in which the *Acartia* population varies significantly with both seasons and locations include Sabine and Trinity Bays
- Systems in which temperature is a more important factor in determining variations in *Acartia* abundance include Corpus Christi, Sabine and Trinity Bays
- Systems in which salinity plays a more important role than temperature in determining variations in *Acartia* abundance include Nueces, San Antonio and Matagorda Bays.

Using Duncan's multiple range test, the 6 draining systems can be further classified into 4 groups: A) Corpus Christi Bay B) Nueces C) San Antonio D) Matagorda, Sabine and Trinity bays. The detailed results are given as follows:

Group	Mean Acartia Density	Number of Observations	Location
A	15,916	96	Corpus Christi
В	6,296	708	Nueces
С	5,113	330	San Antonio
D	423	419	Matagorda
D	75	167	Sabine
D	42	328	Trinity

The social implications of this project are its most important aspect; the end product suggests that freshwater inflow is important to the estuarine zooplankter *Acartia tonsa* in Texas estuaries. The results also indicate that *A. tonsa* in each drainage system will respond differently to salinity variation accompanied by temperature changes as shown in the contour maps. These results should provide various government agencies with critical data needed in evaluating the possible environmental impacts of man's activities on the ecosystem of estuaries. The implications to society are also significant; alteration of the estuarine habitats may lead to changes not only in zooplankton population but also in larvae or juveniles of economicallyimportant fish which feed on *Acartia tonsa* or other estuarine zooplankters.

References

Ambler, J.W. 1985. Seasonal factors affecting egg production and viability of eggs of Acartia tonsa Dana from East Lagoon, Galveston, Texas. Estu. Coast Shelf Sci. 20:743-760.

- Bass, R.J. and J.W. Avault, Jr. 1975. Food habits, length-weight relationship, condition factor, and growth of juvenile red drum, Sciaenops ocellatus, in Louisiana. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 104:35-45.
- Bousfield, E.L. 1955. Ecological control of the occurrence of barnacles in the Miramichi estuary. Bull. natn. Mus. Can. 137:1-69.
- Breuer, J.P. 1957. An ecological survey of Baffin and Alazan Bays, Texas. Contr. Mar. Sci. 4:134-155.
- Breuer, J.P. 1962. An ecological survey of the lower Laguna Madre of Texas, 1953-1959. Contr. Mar. Sci. 8:153-183.
- Carrillo, B.-G., C.B. Miller and P.H. Wiebe. 1974. Failure of interbreeding between Atlantic and Pacific populations of the marine calanoid copepod Acartia clausi Giesbrecht. Limnol. Oceanogr. 19:452-458.
- Conover, R.J. 1956. Oceanography of Long Island Sound, 1952-1954. VI. Biology of Acartia clausi and A. tonsa. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Coll. 15:156-233.
- Cooper, D.C. 1967. Ecological parameters concerning the zooplankton community of the San Antonio estuarine system. M.A. thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 124 pp.
- Cronin, L.E., J.D. Daiber and E.M. Hulburt. 1962. Quantitative seasonal aspects of zooplankton in the Delaware River estuary. Ches. Sci. 3:63-93.
- Dagg, M.J. 1977. Some effects of patchy food environments on copepods. Limnol. Oceanogr. 22:99-107.
- Deevey, G.B.A. 1952. A survey of the zooplankton of Block Island Sound, 1943-1946. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Coll. 13:65-119.
- Deevey, G.B.A. 1960. The zooplankton of the surface waters of the Delaware Bay region. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Coll. 17:5-53.

- Durbin, E.G., A.G. Durbin, T.J. Smayda and P.G. Verity. 1983. Food limitation of production by adult *Acartia tonsa* in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island. Limnol. Oceanogr. 28:1199-1213.
- Fish, C.J. 1925. Seasonal distribution of the plankton of the Woods Hole region. Bull. Bur. Fish. Wash. 41:91-179.
- Gonzalez, J.G. and T.E. Bowman. 1965. Planktonic copepods from Bahia Fosforescente, Puerto Rico, and adjacent waters. Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus. 117:241-303.
- Govoni, J.J., D.E. Hoss and A.J. Chester. 1983. Comparative feeding of three species of larval fishes in the northern Gulf of Mexico: Brevoortia patronus, Leiostomus xanthurus, and Micropogonias undulatus. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 13:189-199.
- Govoni, J.J., P.B. Ortner, F. Al-Yamani and L.C. Hill. 1986. Selective feeding of spot, *Leiostomus xanthurus*, and Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias* undulatus, larvae in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 28:175-183.
- Heinle, D.R. 1966. Production of a calanoid copepod, Acartia tonsa, in the Patuxent River estuary. Ches. Sci. 7:59-74.
- Holland, J.S., N.J. Maciolek, R.D. Kalke and C.H. Oppenheimer. 1974.
 Zooplankton. <u>In</u>: A benthos and plankton study of the Corpus Christi,
 Copano and Aransas Bay systems, pp. 15-43. University of Texas Marine
 Science Institute, Second Annual Report, Texas Water Development Board.
- Jeffries, H.P. 1962. Succession of two Acartia species in estuaries. Limnol. Oceanogr. 7:354-364.

Johnson, J.K. 1980. Effects of temperature and salinity on production and

15

hatching of dormant eggs of *Acartia californiensis* (Copepoda) in an Oregon estuary. Fish. Bull. 77:567-584.

- Knatz, G. 1978. Succession of copepod species in a middle Atlantic estuary. Estuaries 1:68-71.
- Lance, J. 1964. The salinity tolerance of some estuarine planktonic copepods. Limnol. Oceanogr. 8:440-449.
- Lee, W.Y. and B.J. McAlice. 1979. Seasonal succession and breeding cycles of three species of *Acartia* (Copepoda: Calanoida) in a Maine estuary. Estuaries 2:228-235.
- Livingston, G.P. 1981. Distribution of the crustacean zooplankton in a subtropical estuarine system: implications for the predator-prey interaction between the primary and secondary consumers. Ph.D. dissertation, Texas A&M University, 144 pp.
- Lonsdale, D.J. and J.S. Levinton. 1985. Latitudinal differentiation in embryonic duration, egg size, and newborn survival in a harpacticoid copepod. Biol. Bull. 168:419-431.
- Martin, J.H. 1965. Phytoplankton-zooplankton relationships in Narragansett Bay. Limnol. Oceanogr. 10:185-191.
- McAlice, B.J. 1981. On the post-glacial history of *Acartia tonsa* (Copepoda: Calanoida) in the Gulf of Maine and the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Mar. Biol. 64:267-272.
- McIlwain, T.D. 1968. Seasonal occurrence of the pelagic Copepoda in Mississippi Sound. Gulf Res. Rept. 2:257-270.
- Ryther, J.H. and J.G. Sanders. 1980. Experimental evidence of zooplankton control of the species composition and size distribution of marine phytoplankton. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 3:279-283.

- Sage, L.E. and S.S. Herman. 1972. Zooplankton of the Sandy Hook area, N.J. Ches. Sci. 13:29-39.
- Steele, J.H. and B.W. Frost. 1977. The structure of plankton communities. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London 280(B):485-534.
- Steen, J.P., Jr. and J.L. Laroche. 1983. The food of red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus larvae and early juveniles taken from Mississippi Sound and the northern Gulf of Mexico. Proceedings, Northern Gulf of Mexico Estuaries & Barrier Islands Research Conference, pp. 35-38.
- Sullivan, B.K. and L.T. McManus. 1986. Factors controlling seasonal succession of the copepods Acartia hudsonica and A. tonsa in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island: temperature and resting egg production. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 28:121-128.
- Woodmansee, R.A. 1958. The seasonal distribution of the zooplankton off Chicken Key in Biscayne Bay, Florida. Ecology 39:247-262.







Figure 2.



Figure 3.

RESPONSE OF ACARTIA TO TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY (CORPUS)



Figure 4.





SEASONAL CHANGES OF TEMPERATURE IN NUECES



Figure 6.





Figure 7.

SEASONAL VARIATION OF ACARTIA IN NUECES BAY



Figure 8.

RESPONSE OF ACARTIA TO TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY (NUECES BAY)









SEASONAL CHANGES OF TEMPERATURE IN ANTONIO



Figure 11.







Figure 13.

RESPONSE OF ACARTIA TO TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY (SAN ANTONIO BAY)



يە ئەل ھى 1 يە









SEASONAL CHANGES OF SALINITY IN MATAGODA



Figure 17.

SEASONAL VARIATION OF ACARTIA IN MATAGORDA BAY







Figure 19.



Figure 23.

SEASONAL CHANGES OF TEMPERATURE IN SABINE

Figure 27.

SEASONAL VARIATION OF ACARTIA IN SABINE BAY

Figure 28.

RESPONSE OF ACARTIA ABUNDANCE TO TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY

