- 4.2.7. Summarize all unique pumpage totals by grid cell id.
 - 4.2.7.1. Summarize all the "pump_unyy" fields by grid cell id, by using the summarize button and adding "pmp_80" (sum) through "pmp_99" (sum) in the dialog box. Name this summary file area_irr_pumpbygrid_80_99. (i.e. sw_irr_pumpbygrid_80_99.dbf).
- 4.2.8. Vertical Distribution: Follow procedures outlined in sections 4.5.
- 4.2.9. Import irrigation pumpage table back into MS Access database as a table *area_irrigation_total*, e.g., sw_irrigation_total
 - 4.2.9.1.In MS Access, import the attribute table for the Arcview shape file **grid_irr_yy.dbf** as a dbase file. This table should include one record for each possible Grid_ID, and at least the fields "Grid_ID", "year", and "pumpyy_IRR."
- 4.2.10. The table *area_irrigation_total* now has only the grid_id of the upper model, i.e., the first digit is 1. The actual vertical distribution data is in the fields "per1" to "perx" where x is the number of vertical layers in the model. Copy the table x-1 times in an append query, incrementing the first digit of the grid id, to create a record for each model layer. There now should be L times the original number of records in the table. For example, for the northwestmost grid cells of a model with four layers, the following grid id's should now exist: 1001001, 2001001, 3001001, and 4001001; whereas only 1001001 was in the original table.
- 4.2.11. Calculate for each year the actual pumpage for each record as the product of the pumpage for a given year multiplied by the percent of pumpage from that model layer (from the fields "per1" "per4", for a model with 4 layers).
- 4.2.12. Create a new summary query Irrigation_annual_area to summarize the pumpage for each grid_id and year from the table area_irrigation_total.
- 4.3. Spatial allocation of livestock groundwater pumpage Livestock groundwater use within each county-basin is distributed evenly to all rangeland, Anderson Level II land use codes 31 (herbaceous rangeland), 32 (shrub and brush rangeland), and 33 (mixed rangeland) of the USGS 1:250,000 land use land cover data set (http://edcwww.cr.usgs.gov/glis/hyper/guide/1_250_lulc).
 - 4.3.1. Determine rangeland within each county-basin
 - 4.3.1.1.In Arcview, create a rangeland-only land use shapefile by loading the USGS land use shapefiles by quadrangle, merging them as required to cover the model domain, selecting the land use codes 31, 32, and 33 in a query, then saving the theme as a new shapefile **Rangeland.shp**.

- 4.3.1.2.Using the Geoprocessing Wizard, intersect the Rangeland shapefile with the County-basin shapefile (make sure to use entire county basin areas, and not the "clipped to domain" version) to make a new intersection shapefile range_countybasin.shp.
- 4.3.1.3.Calculate the unique area (in square miles) of the new intersected polygons "area_unl" using the field calculator (area_un1=shape.returnarea/27878400).
- 4.3.1.4.Summarize the unique area by county-basin (total area of rangeland within county-basin) using the summary button.
- 4.3.1.5.Link the summary table back to the range_countybasin shape file and migrate it into a new field "rg_cb_tot" using the field calculator.
- 4.3.1.6.Determine weighted area factor "w_area1" for each polygon using the field calculator (w_area1)=(area_un1 / rg_cb_tot). W_area1 is, for each rangeland polygon, the fraction of the total rangeland area within the county-basin.
- 4.3.2. Intersect the rangeland/countybasin polygons with the Model Grid and set up for unique pumpage calculations.
 - 4.3.2.1. Using the Geoprocessing Wizard, intersect the shapefiles range_countybasin and Model Grid to create a new shape file **rng_cb_mg.shp**.
 - 4.3.2.2. Calculate the unique area of "intersected" polygons (area_un_grid) using the field calculator (area_un_grid=shape.returnarea/27878400). Double check that no values are greater that 1.
 - 4.3.2.3. Determine the weighted area factor (w_area_grid) = (area_un_grid/area_un1).
- 4.3.3. Calculate unique pumpage "pump_un_yy" for the intersected polygons for every year (80-99).
 - 4.3.3.1. Add the fields "pump_un80" "pump_un99" to the **rng_cb_mg** attribute table.
 - 4.3.3.2.Using SQL Connect, query the Access table **PumpagebyMajorAquifer1980-1999** for all years.
 - 4.3.3.3. Query the records (by the year column) for each year, and specific aquifer (by aquifer code column) and export each query as a separate .dbf file. "Pump_by_cb_yyyy_aquifer.dbf." These tables will have a column for each use category, and can therefore be used in the irrigation calculations for the same aquifer of concern.
 - 4.3.3.4. Join the table "pump_by_cb_1980.dbf" to the attribute table "rng_cb_mg" by countybasin. (make certain that all countybasin names are spelled the same).

- 4.3.3.5. Calculate "pump_un80" using the field Calculator (pump_un80 = w_area_grid * (w_area_1 * livestock)). (livestock is the column of the joined table "pump_by_cb_1980" that contains the countybasin annual pumpage totals for livestock use).
- 4.3.3.6. Repeat 4.3.3.4 4.3.3.5 for all years.
- 4.3.4. Summarize all unique pumpage totals by grid cell id.
 - 4.3.4.1. Summarize all the "pump_unyy" fields by grid cell id, by using the summarize button and adding "pump_un_80" (sum) through "pump_un_99" (sum) in the dialog box. Name this summary file "area stk pumpbygrid 80 99." (i.e. sw stk pumpbygrid 80 90.dbf).
- 4.3.5. Vertical Distribution: Follow procedures outlined in sections 4.5.
- 4.3.6. Import livestock pumpage summary table back into MS Access database as a table area_livestock_total, e.g, sw_livestock_total.
- 4.3.7. The table *area_livestock_total* now has only the grid_id of the upper model, i.e., the first digit is 1. The actual vertical distribution data is in the fields "per1" to "perx" where x is the number of vertical layers in the model. Copy the table x-1 times in an append query, incrementing the first digit of the grid id, to create a record for each model layer. There now should be L times the original number of records in the table. For example, for the northwestmost grid cells of a model with four layers, the following grid id's should now exist: 1001001, 2001001, 3001001, and 4001001; whereas only 1001001 was in the original table.
- 4.3.8. Calculate for each year the actual pumpage for each record as the product of the pumpage for a given year multiplied by the percent of pumpage from that model layer (from the fields "per1" "per4", for a model with 4 layers).
- 4.3.9. Create a new summary query Livestock_annual_area to summarize the pumpage for each grid_id and year from the table area_irrigation_total.
- 4.4. Spatial allocation of rural domestic (C-O) groundwater pumpage.
 - 4.4.1. Calculate the Population in each 1 mile grid cell.
 - 4.4.1.1. In Arcview, load the 1990 block-level census population shapefile.
 - 4.4.1.2. Load Arcview polygon shapefiles for cities. Select census blocks that fall with in city boundaries and delete those records so that rural domestic pumpage does not get distributed to cities. (Note: we're assuming that city boundaries are good surrogates for the extent of the area served by public water supply systems, whose pumpage is reported under the category "MUN").

Repeat this process for the reservoir areas.

- 4.4.1.3.Calculate the area of census blocks in sq. miles in a new field "blk_area" using the Field Calculator function (blk_area=shape.returnarea / 27878400).
- 4.4.1.4. Load the model grid, model domain, and county-basins shapefile. Select all county-basins that are intersected by the model domain boundary. Union the selected county-basins with the model domain boundary. In the resulting shapefile, delete the polygons that are inside the model domain, leaving only areas of the county-basins that are outside of the model domain. Dissolve these polygons into one and merge with the model grid shapefile. Give this new record a grid_id of 9999999. (Adding this new area will insure that, when the county-basin total populations are calculated, the population outside of the model domain will be included).
- 4.4.1.5. In the Geoprocessing Wizard, intersect the census block shapefile with the model grid shapefile to create a new shape file **intrsct90.shp**. (Note: Because the model grid size is 1 square mile, no intersected polygon (inside the model domain) should be larger than 1 square mile. Make sure that this is the case before proceeding).
- 4.4.1.6. Calculate the unique area of all intersected polygons in square miles as a new field "area_un1" using the Field Calculator function (area_un1=shape.returnarea / 27878400). (so that one grid cell has an area of 1).
- 4.4.1.7. Add a new numeric field "pop_un1" the unique Population of the intersected polygons. Using the Field Calculator, calculate its value as (POP_un1 = pop90 * area_un1 / blk_area) where pop90 is the block Population from the census file.
- 4.4.1.8. Sum the field "pop_un1" by grid_id using the Field Summarize function to calculate the total population within each grid cell. Join this summary table to the original grid table by grid_id and copy value into new field "pop_90".
- 4.4.1.9. Repeat steps 4.5.1.1 4.5.1.8 (no need to repeat step 4.5.1.4, just use the grid file that was used for previous iteration).
- 4.4.2. Calculate the rural domestic pumpage for each 1 mile grid cell.
 - 4.4.2.1. Intersect the county-basins shapefile with the model grid (which now has census populations for 1990 and 2000) to create a new shapefile grid_cb_pop.
 - 4.4.2.2. Create new field "area_un2" and calculate unique area using field calculator ("area_un2" = [shape].returnarea/27878400)
 - 4.4.2.3. Create two new fields "pop_un90" and "pop_un00". Calculate using the field calculator ("pop_unyy" = "area_un2"/ "pop_yy")

- 4.4.2.4.Using SQL Connect, query the Access table **PumpagebyMajorAquifer1980-1999** for all years.
- 4.4.2.5. Query the records (by the year column) for each year (because Rural Domestic pumpage data is not aquifer specific, there is no need to query by aquifer) and export each query as a separate .dbf file. "Pump_by_cb_yyyy.dbf."
- 4.4.2.6. Join table "pump_by_cb_1980.dbf" to grid_cb_pop.dbf by county-basin.
- 4.4.2.7. Add field "pmp80." Using field calculator, calculate "pmp80" (pmp80=CO*pop_un90/cb_pop90).
- 4.4.2.8. Repeat steps 4.5.2.6 4.5.2.7 for each year. Use pop90 for years 1980-1989 and use pop00 for years 1990-1999.
- 4.4.2.9. As a quality control check, sum the values of "rdom_pump" for each countybasin and make sure it matches the total for the county-basin from the Access table.
- 4.4.2.10. Summarize pmp80 through pmp99 by grid id. Link summary back to model grid file and migrate pumpage values.
- 4.4.3. Vertical Distribution: Follow procedures outlined in section 4.5.
- 4.4.4. Import the rural domestic pumpage table into the MS Access database as a table *area_*rurdom_total, e.g., sw_rurdom_total.
- 4.4.5. The table *area_rurdom_total* now has only the grid_id of the upper model, i.e., the first digit is 1. The actual vertical distribution data is in the fields "per1" to "perx" where x is the number of vertical layers in the model. Copy the table x-1 times in an append query, incrementing the first digit of the grid id, to create a record for each model layer. There now should be L times the original number of records in the table. For example, for the northwestmost grid cells of a model with four layers, the following grid id's should now exist: 1001001, 2001001, 3001001, and 4001001; whereas only 1001001 was in the original table.
- 4.4.6. Calculate for each year the actual pumpage for each record as the product of the pumpage for a given year multiplied by the percent of pumpage from that model layer (from the fields "per1" "per4", for a model with 4 layers).
- 4.4.7. Create a new summary query **Rurdom_annual_***area* to summarize the pumpage for each grid_id and year from the table *area_***rurdom_total**.
- 4.5. Vertical Distribution of groundwater pumpage. *Note: These procedures are for all use categories, and this section is referenced multiple times. Take care, and perform only

the operations that apply to that particular use.

- 4.5.1. Assign default well depths to model grid cells Most, but not all, well-specific pumpage from the categories MUN, MFG, PWR, and MIN are associated with a reported well depth, screened interval, land surface elevation, which are used to attribute the pumpage to a specific vertical model layer. For those wells whose depth, screened interval, or land surface elevation is unknown, and for the non-well-specific pumpage in the categories C-O, STK, and IRR, it is necessary to interpolate these depths/elevations to assign the pumpage to a specific model layer. In this procedure, the approach is to interpolate on the basis of the depths of nearby (<10 miles) wells. On average, municipal, industrial, and irrigation water wells tend to be deeper than rural domestic or livestock wells. Thus, if there are nearby wells in the same water use category, the interpolation is based on these wells. In the absence of nearby wells of the same use category, the interpolation is based on nearby wells of any water use category. **The procedures outlined in section 4.5.1 cover all use categories, and therefore, only need to be done once per model area.*
 - 4.5.1.1.In Arcview, using SQL Connect, query the MS Access database table **All_wells** for all wells in the major aquifer of concern (based on the field "aqfr_id_1"). Save this query as a table *AQ_wells*, where *AQ* is a 2-character code representing the aquifer of interest.
 - 4.5.1.2.Load these wells in a View as an event theme, using the fields lat_dd as ycoordinate and long_dd as x-coordinate. Convert the event theme to GAM projection as per GAM Technical Memo 1-01, then save this theme as a shape file.
 - 4.5.1.3.Query the shape file's attribute table for all domestic water wells (water_use_l = "domestic").
 - 4.5.1.4.Using Arcview Spatial Analyst, under the Analyst, Properties menu, set analysis extent and grid size to be equal to the GAM model grid.
 - 4.5.1.5.Next, under the Surface menu, interpolate a grid with values of interpolated well depth, via the inverse distance weighting method, within a fixed radius of 10 miles, with a power of 2.
 - 4.5.1.6.Repeat steps 4.5.1.3 4.5.1.5 to create an interpolated well depth grid for each of the other water use categories MUN, MFG, PWR, MIN, STK, and IRR, as well as a well depth grid for all water use categories combined.
 - 4.5.1.7. When a depth was not reported for a well, these grid values can be used as an estimated well depth. A new text field "depth source" is added to the well table to indicate that the well depth was estimated by interpolation, not reported. This allows a hydrogeologist or modeler to review these wells to make sure they fall in the proper model layer. When a well depth is checked and corrected manually, a value of "manual" is entered in the field "depth source". Valid values of depth source include "reported", "interpolated", or

"manual".

- 4.5.2. Assign default screened intervals to wells For wells with no reported screened interval, calculate the well screened interval. The lower boundary is the well depth, while the upper boundary of the screened interval is calculated as the well depth minus an estimated screen length. The default screen lengths will be estimated from other wells in the same aquifer for which the screened interval is known.
 - 4.5.2.1.An Excel file *Screened_Interval.xls* is provided by the modelers. It contains the land surface elevation and depths to the top and bottom of the screen for each well. The screened interval is calculated as the difference between the top and bottom depths. This file is loaded in Arcview and joined to the *AQ_Wells* table by state well number. Next, under the Surface menu, interpolate a grid with values of interpolated screened interval, via the inverse distance weighting method, within a fixed radius of 10 miles, with a power of 2.
 - 4.5.2.2.When a screened interval is not reported for a well, these grid values can be used to estimate the upper depth of the screened interval, assuming that the well depth is the bottom of the interval. A new text field "screen_source" is added to the well table to indicate that the well depth was estimated by interpolation, not reported. Valid values of screen source include "reported" or "interpolated", or "manual".
- 4.5.3. Assign land surface elevations to wells For wells without a reported land surface elevation (in the field "elev of lsd") a land surface elevation must be estimated. For this purpose, a 30-meter digital elevation model (DEM) grid is added to an Arcview project with the well data table. The Arcview script "getgridvalue" in Appendix 2 is run to return the value of the land surface elevation for the well.
- 4.5.4. Estimate the screened interval for non-well-specific pumpage For the non-well-specific uses STK, IRR, and C-O, in order to distribute the pumpage vertically, each model grid cell may be treated as a well. Using the centroids of the model grid cells as if they were wells, copy the interpolated values of well depth, screened interval, and land surface elevation to each grid cell as described above.
- 4.5.5. Convert depths to elevations In order to compare to model layers, which are reported as elevation (feet above mean sea level), it is necessary to convert the depths of the top and bottom of screened intervals to elevations. To do this, subtract the depths from the land surface elevation, in feet above mean sea level.
- 4.5.6. Determine vertical distribution of pumpage totals by comparing the elevations of the top and bottom of the well screened interval to model layer elevations. (For point source water use categories, this will be done for each specific well. For non-point source this will be done for each 1 mile grid cell).
- 4.5.7. Spatially join the flow layer structure (model grid cells with tops of aquifer elevations) to the wells. (for non-point source join by grid id).

- 4.5.8. Run vertical distribution avenue script on points (see appendix for code). This script will place a "pumpage percentage" in the flow layer percentage columns (per1 per6). This value is actually the percentage of the total length of the screened interval that resides in each flow layer (possible 0 100).
- 4.5.9. Once script is successfully run, a series of QA checks must be run, and in certain cases percentage values must be altered manually. Field "calc_code" will be given a specific code for each case of manual alteration.
 - 4.5.9.1. Query records that have a value of "999999" for every layer elevation (i.e. layer doesn't exist at that location). Set calc_code to "N".
 - 4.5.9.2. Query records whose top of screen elevation is shallower than the top of the shallowest existing layer. (i.e. (top of layer 2 = 999999 and per2 > 0)). The script automatically puts a value in per2 if the top of screen is shallower than layer 3, but if layer 2 doesn't exist there then per2 should be zero and the value should be shifted down. In this case, calc_code should be set to "S3". This will tell someone that the screen is shallower than the shallowest layer which is layer 3.
 - 4.5.9.3. Query records whose depth is deeper than the bottom layer. (i.e. depth
bottom layer). Put the remainder of the pumpage that was lost below into the bottom layer and set calc_code to "D".
 - 4.5.9.4. Query records whose screened interval spans layer 1 or 2 and enters layer 3 (Carrizo). (i.e. per3>0 and per2>0). It is assumed that if the screened interval reaches the Carrizo then all of the water is being taken from that layer and not the above layers of inferior quality. Set per1 and per2 to zero and add their values to per3. Set calc_code to "C".
 - 4.5.9.5. Query records whose reported top of screen elevation is less than the bottom of screen elevation. Manually set the appropriate layer percentage to 100%. Set calc_code to "E".
 - 4.5.9.6. Query records whose top of screen elevation exactly equals one of the layer top elevations. This is very rare, but if it happens, the percentage value must be manually entered. Set calc_code to "=".
 - 4.5.9.7. Query records whose total percentage is less than 100% by less than .5%. Due to a program glitch values of 99.5% get rounded to 100% and the rest is left out. Manually set percentage value to 100%. Set calc_code to "R".
 - 4.5.9.8. Query all other records (records that don't have a calc_code value and whose tot_per = 100%). Set calc_code to "NP" for no problems.
- 5. Temporal Distribution of Rural Domestic, Livestock, and Irrigation Groundwater Use

- 5.1. Temporal distribution of livestock pumpage Because we have only annual total groundwater pumpage estimates for STK, we need to derive monthly pumpage estimates. According to TWDB GAM Technical Memo 01-06, annual total livestock pumpage may be distributed uniformly to months since the water needs of livestock are not likely to vary significantly over the course of a year.
 - 5.1.1. In the MS Access database, create a new table called Monthly Factors with the fields "countyname", "basinname", "countynumber", "basinnumber", "data_cat", "year", and "month". The table should include a record for every county-basin within the model domain, water use category "data_cat", year (1980-1999), and month (1-12), as well as an additional annual total record (month="0") for each county-basin, year, and water use category. Add 2 new fields "mfraction" and "Monthly distribution factor source" to the new table. The former is the numeric monthly distribution factor, while the latter is a text field indicating the source of the distribution factor. For all monthly livestock water use records (data_cat=STK, month in 1-12), enter an mfactor of "0.0833" (1/12) and a monthly distribution factor source of "Tech Memo 01-06". For all annual total water use records (data_cat=STK, month =0), enter an mfactor of "1" and a monthly distribution factor source of "NA".
- 5.2. Temporal distribution of irrigation (IRR) pumpage Because we have only annual total groundwater pumpage estimates for IRR, we need to derive monthly pumpage estimates. Monthly distribution factors will be derived separately for rice-farming counties and non-rice-farming counties.
 - 5.2.1. Temporal distribution of groundwater used for non-rice irrigation -
 - 5.2.1.1.Record monthly crop evapotranspiration (ET), or total water demand, for each of the Texas Crop Reporting Districts (TCRDs) that occur within the model domain, from the report "Mean Crop Consumptive Use and Free-Water Evaporation for Texas" by J. Borrelli, C.B. Fedler, and J.M. Gregory, Feb. 1, 1998 (TWDB Grant No. 95-483-137). Use these values for all years.
 - 5.2.1.2.Next, determine monthly precipitation (P) for the period 1980-1999 for the locale within each of the TCRDs that occur within the model domain.
 - 5.2.1.3.Determine the monthly water deficit for each month of the two periods 1980-1989 and 1990-1999 by subtracting the P values from the ET values for each TCRD. Replace negative values with zero. Sum all water deficit values by month for each of the two periods, and divide by the number of months in each period to obtain an average non-rice monthly distribution factor for each month for the two periods 1980-89 and 1990-99.
 - 5.2.2. Temporal distribution of groundwater used for rice irrigation -
 - 5.2.2.1.First, identify the counties within the model area where rice is irrigated, using the 1989 and 1994 irrigation reports. Include only those counties in this analysis.

- 5.2.2.2.Next, using monthly pump power usage records provided by rice farmers, calculate monthly distribution factors for total annual power usage. Average all distribution factors within a county to get an average rice irrigation distribution factor.
- 5.2.3. Develop composite irrigation monthly distribution factors for each county and year based on the monthly factors for rice and non-rice irrigation, and the fraction of irrigation for rice in that county.
 - 5.2.3.1. The TWDB irrigation survey data files Irr1989.xls and Irr1994.xls contain reported irrigation water use estimates for each crop and county. From these tables, calculate the fraction of irrigation water for rice in each county for the 1980s (based on 1989) and the 1990's (based on 1994).
 - 5.2.3.2.Calculate the composite monthly distribution factor (MF_{comp}) for irrigation for each county as:

 $MF_{comp} = MF_{rice} * X + MF_{non-rice} * (1 - X)$

where X is the fraction of water used for rice, and MF_{rice} and $MF_{non-rice}$ are the monthly distribution factors for rice and non-rice crops determined in steps 5.2.1 and 5.2.2, above.

- 5.2.4. For the county-basins where rice is not irrigated, enter the monthly distribution factors from step 5.2.3, above, in the table **Monthly Factors** for each year, county, basin, using "data_cat"="IRR", and "Monthly Distribution Factor Source"="ET/P Water Deficit Analysis."
- 5.2.5. For the county-basins where rice is irrigated, enter the monthly distribution factors from step 5.2.3, above, in the table **Monthly Factors** for each year, county, basin, using "data_cat"="IRR", and "Monthly Distribution Factor Source"="ET/P + Power Usage Analysis."
- 5.3. Temporal distribution of rural domestic (C-O) pumpage Because we have only annual total groundwater pumpage estimates for C-O, we need to derive monthly pumpage estimates. According to TWDB GAM Technical Memo 01-06, annual rural domestic pumpage may be distributed based on the average monthly distribution of all municipal water use within the same county-basin.
 - 5.3.1. In a MS Access query based on the table **RawDataMUN_linkedwithwellinfo**, calculate the sum of the fields "Annual total in gallons", "jan", "feb",....,"dec" for each county, basin, and year.
 - 5.3.2. Next, calculate "mfraction," the fraction of the annual total for each month, by dividing the columns "sum of jan", "sum of feb",....,"sum of dec" by the "sum of annual total in gallons.". Transpose this table via a query to make a table with the following fields: "countyname", "basinname", "year", "month", "mfraction", "data_cat," and "monthly distribution factor source." A value of "C-O" should be

entered in the field "data_cat", and the value of "monthly distribution factor source"="this county-basin mun."

- 5.3.3. The values of "mfraction" are statistically reviewed for outliers. Generally, monthly distribution factors fall within the range 0.035 to 0.15. Higher or lower values can be found when there is little municipal water use in a county-basin. In this case, substitute the values of "mfraction" from an adjacent county-basin, preferably from within the same county. Update the field "monthly distribution factor source" with the name of the county-basin used as a source.
- 5.3.4. For Louisiana and Arkansas parishes and counties, use the monthly distribution factors of the nearest Texas county-basin.
- 5.3.5. Add an annual total record for each county-basin-year, with "data_cat"="C-O", "month"="0", "mfraction"="1", and "monthly distribution factor source"="NA."
- 5.3.6. Using an append query, append these records to the table Monthly Factors.
- 6. Summarize Pumpage Information
 - 6.1. Summary Queries
 - 6.1.1. Queries for livestock Create a new select query **MMMYY_STK** to calculate pumpage for the month and year of interest by multiplying the monthly factor for that month, year, and water use category, in the table **Monthly Factors**, by each entry in the imported table **Livestock_annual_CGC**. For any specified month (MMM) and year(YY), the SQL for the query **MMMYY_STK** is:

SELECT Livestock_annual_CGC.GRID_ID, Livestock_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT, Livestock_annual_CGC.Year, Livestock_annual_CGC.MODEL, [MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH, [SumPumpageAF]*[mfraction] AS PumpageAF

FROM Livestock_annual_CGC LEFT JOIN [MONTHLY FACTORS] ON (Livestock_annual_CGC.Year = [MONTHLY FACTORS].YEAR) AND (Livestock_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT = [MONTHLY FACTORS].DATA_CAT) AND (Livestock_annual_CGC.basinnum = [MONTHLY FACTORS].basinnum) AND (Livestock_annual_CGC.CountyNumber = [MONTHLY FACTORS].countynum)

WHERE (((Livestock_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT)="STK") AND ((Livestock_annual_CGC.Year)=1980) AND ((Livestock_annual_CGC.MODEL)="CGC") AND (([MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH)=1))

ORDER BY [SumPumpageAF]*[mfraction];

6.1.2. Queries for irrigation – Create a new select query **MMMYY_IRR** to calculate pumpage for the month and year of interest by multiplying the monthly factor for

that month, year, and water use category, in the table **Monthly Factors**, by each entry in the imported table **Irrigation_annual_CGC.** For any specified month (MMM) and year(YY), the SQL for the query **MMMYY IRR** is:

SELECT Irrigation_annual_CGC.GRID_ID, Irrigation_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT, Irrigation_annual_CGC.Year, Irrigation_annual_CGC.MODEL, [MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH, [SumPumpageAF]*[mfraction] AS PumpageAF

FROM Irrigation_annual_CGC LEFT JOIN [MONTHLY FACTORS] ON (Irrigation_annual_CGC.basinnum = [MONTHLY FACTORS].basinnum) AND (Irrigation_annual_CGC.CountyNumber = [MONTHLY FACTORS].countynum) AND (Irrigation_annual_CGC.Year = [MONTHLY FACTORS].YEAR) AND (Irrigation_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT = [MONTHLY FACTORS].DATA_CAT)

WHERE (((Irrigation_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT)="IRR") AND ((Irrigation_annual_CGC.Year)=1980) AND ((Irrigation_annual_CGC.MODEL)="CGC") AND (([MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH)=1))

ORDER BY [SumPumpageAF]*[mfraction];

6.1.3. Queries to summarize rural domestic (county-other) - Create a new select query **MMMYY_C-O** to calculate pumpage for the month and year of interest by multiplying the monthly factor for that month, year, and water use category, in the table **Monthly Factors**, by each entry in the imported table **Rurdom_annual_CGC**. For any selected month (MMM) and year(YY), the SQL for the query **MMMYY_C-O** is:

SELECT Rurdom_annual_CGC.GRID_ID, Rurdom_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT, Rurdom_annual_CGC.Year, Rurdom_annual_CGC.MODEL, [MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH, [SumPumpageAF]*[mfraction] AS PumpageAF

FROM Rurdom_annual_CGC LEFT JOIN [MONTHLY FACTORS] ON (Rurdom_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT = [MONTHLY FACTORS].DATA_CAT) AND (Rurdom_annual_CGC.Year = [MONTHLY FACTORS].YEAR) AND (Rurdom_annual_CGC.CountyNumber = [MONTHLY FACTORS].countynum) AND (Rurdom_annual_CGC.basinnum = [MONTHLY FACTORS].basinnum)

WHERE (((Rurdom_annual_CGC.DATA_CAT)="C-O") AND ((Rurdom_annual_CGC.Year)=1980) AND ((Rurdom_annual_CGC.MODEL)="CGC") AND (([MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH)=1))

ORDER BY [SumPumpageAF]*[mfraction];

6.1.4. Query to summarize well-specific pumpage - Create a new select query in MS Access **MMMYYWell-SpecificSum** to summarize the well-specific pumpage from all wells within a grid cell for the desired month or year. For any specified month

and year, the SQL query for well-specific pumpage would be:

SELECT CGC_gridsum_well_specific.GRID_ID, "WS" AS DATA_CAT, CGC_gridsum_well_specific.year, CGC_gridsum_well_specific.Model, CGC_gridsum_well_specific.month, CGC_gridsum_well_specific.SumPumpage af AS PumpageAF

FROM CGC_gridsum_well_specific

WHERE (((CGC_gridsum_well_specific.year)=[Enter year]) AND ((CGC_gridsum_well_specific.Model)="CGC") AND ((CGC_gridsum_well_specific.month)=[Enter month]))

ORDER BY CGC_gridsum_well_specific.SumPumpage_af;

- 6.1.5. In order to ensure that each grid cell is included in the final summary queries, even if there is no pumpage from the cell, we must create a full grid with values of zero.
 - 6.1.5.1.Create a new table Zero_grid_annual in a make-table query based on the table grid_lkup_area with one record for each grid cell and year. For instance, a model with 212 rows, 180 columns, and 6 layers, for 20 years would be create a table with 212 x 180 x 6 x 20= 4,579,200 records. In the make-table query, add a field "SumPumpageAF" with a value of zero for each record.
 - 6.1.5.2.Create a new query **MMMYY_ZeroGrid** to provide zero values for each grid cell for each month. You can use any of the monthly factors, as all results will equal zero. As an example, the SQL query for January 1980 would be:

SELECT Zero_Grid_Annual.GRID_ID, Zero_Grid_Annual.DATA_CAT, Zero_Grid_Annual.Year, Zero_Grid_Annual.MODEL, [MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH, Zero_Grid_Annual.SumPumpageAF

FROM Zero_Grid_Annual LEFT JOIN [MONTHLY FACTORS] ON (Zero_Grid_Annual.basinnum = [MONTHLY FACTORS].basinnum) AND (Zero_Grid_Annual.CountyNumber = [MONTHLY FACTORS].countynum) AND (Zero_Grid_Annual.Year = [MONTHLY FACTORS].YEAR)

WHERE (((Zero_Grid_Annual.Year)=[Enter year]) AND (([MONTHLY FACTORS].MONTH)=[Enter month]) AND (([MONTHLY FACTORS].DATA_CAT)="IRR"))

ORDER BY Zero_Grid_Annual.GRID_ID;

6.1.6. In Access, create a new union query **MMMYYUnionofPumpage** to combine the domestic, livestock, rural domestic, and well-specific pumpage sums, as well as the

zero value, for each grid cell. As an example, the SQL for any given year and month is:

SELECT * FROM [MMMYY_C-O] UNION ALL SELECT * FROM [MMMYY_IRR] UNION ALL SELECT * FROM [MMMYY_STK] UNION ALL SELECT * FROM [MMMYY_ZeroGrid] UNION ALL SELECT * FROM [MMMYYWell-specificSum];

6.1.7. Create a new select query **SumPumpageGrid_MMMYY** to summarize all pumpage by grid cell, grouping by grid_id, month, and year the pumpage from the above union query. As an example, the SQL for January 1980 is:

SELECT MMMYYUnionofPumpage.GRID_ID, MMMYYUnionofPumpage.Year, MMMYYUnionofPumpage.MONTH, Sum(MMMYYUnionofPumpage.PumpageAF) AS SumOfPumpageAF, Sum([PumpageAF]*[MGDfromAF]) AS PumpageMGD

FROM MMMYYUnionofPumpage LEFT JOIN UnitConversion ON MMMYYUnionofPumpage.MONTH = UnitConversion.Month

GROUP BY MMMYYUnionofPumpage.GRID_ID, MMMYYUnionofPumpage.Year, MMMYYUnionofPumpage.MONTH

ORDER BY MMMYYUnionofPumpage.GRID_ID;

- 6.2. Join pumpage queries to Arcview shapefile if visual display of the results for a month or year is desired.
 - 6.2.1. In Arcview, import the MS Access query **SumPumpageGrid_MMMYY**, and join it to the model grid cells in the Arcview shapefile based on the field "Grid_ID."
 - 6.2.2. In Arcview, import the MS Access queries MMMYY_STK, MMMYY_IRR, MMMYY_C-O, and Well-specificpumpage. Link these tables to the model grid cells in the Arcview shapefile based on the field "Grid_ID" and, for well-specific pumpage, "year." Selection of a grid cell in Arcview will then also select the records in each of these tables that pump from the grid cell selected.

Appendix 1 - Vertical Distribution Avenue Script

```
theView = Av.GetActiveDoc
theTheme = theView.findTheme("wells")
theFtab = theTheme.GetFtab
'get elevation values for layers
theLay1Field = theFtab.findField("top younge")
theLay2Field = theFtab.findField("top reklaw")
theLay3Field = theFtab.findField("top carriz")
theLay4Field = theFtab.findField("top_uwilco")
theLay5Field = theFtab.findField("top mwilco")
theLay6Field = theFtab.findField("top_lwilco")
theBottomField = theFtab.findField("bas lwilco")
'get percentfield holders
thePer1Field = theFtab.findField("per1")
thePer2Field = theFtab.findField("per2")
thePer3Field = theFtab.findField("per3")
thePer4Field = theFtab.findField("per4")
thePer5Field = theFtab.findField("per5")
thePer6Field = theFtab.findField("per6")
theTotPerField = theFtab.findField("tot per")
'get well values
theScreenField = theFtab.findField("Screen")
theDepthField = theFtab.findField("depth")
theSel = theFtab.GetSelection
for each rec in theSel
 ct = 0
 totPerVal = 0
 cumPerVal = 0
  theDepthVal = theFtab.ReturnValue(theDepthfield,rec)
  theScreenVal = theFtab.ReturnValue(theScreenfield,rec)
  screenLengthVal = (theScreenVal - theDepthVal).abs
  theLay1Val = theFtab.ReturnValue(theLay1field,rec)
  theLay2Val = theFtab.ReturnValue(theLay2field,rec)
  theLay3Val = theFtab.ReturnValue(theLay3field,rec)
  theLav4Val = theFtab.ReturnValue(theLav4field.rec)
  theLay5Val = theFtab.ReturnValue(theLay5field,rec)
  theLay6Val = theFtab.ReturnValue(theLay6field,rec)
  theBotVal = theFtab.ReturnValue(theBottomField,rec)
  if ((theScreenVal < theLay1Val) And (theScreenVal > theLay2Val)) then
    if (theDepthVal < theLay2Val) then
      per1 = (((theLay2Val - theScreenVal) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
      theFtab.SetValue(thePer1field,rec,per1)
      cumPerVal = cumPerVal + perl
    else
      perl = (100 - cumPerVal)
      cumPerVal = cumPerVal + perI
```

```
theFtab.SetValue(thePer1field,rec,per1)
   end
  else
      perl = 0
      theFtab.SetValue(thePerlfield,rec,perl)
  end
'----
       -----layer 2
  if (cumperval.round = 100) then
    'continue
    ct=ct+1
    per2 = 0
    theFtab.SetValue(thePer2field,rec,per2)
  else
   if ((theScreenVal < theLay2Val ) And (theScreenVal > theLay3Val)) then
     if (theDepthVal < theLay3Val) then
       per2 = (((theScreenVal - theLay3Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per2
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer2field,rec,per2)
     else
       per2 = (100 - cumPerVal)
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per2
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer2field,rec,per2)
     end
   else
     if (cumPerVal > 0) then 'if continuing
      if (theDepthVal < theLay3Val) then
        per2 = (((theLay3Val - theLay2Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per2
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer2field,rec,per2)
      else
        per2 = (((theDepthVal - theLay2Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per2
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer2field,rec,per2)
      end
     else
      per2 = 0
      theFtab.SetValue(thePer2field,rec,per2)
     end
   end
  end
        -----layer 3
 if (cumperval.round = 100) then
    'continue
    ct=ct+1
    per3 = 0
    theFtab.SetValue(thePer3field,rec,per3)
  else
   if ((theScreenVal < theLay3Val) And (theScreenVal > theLay4Val)) then
     if (theDepthVal < theLay4Val) then
       per3 = (((theScreenVal - theLay4Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per3
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer3field,rec,per3)
     else
       per3 = (100 - cumPerVal)
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per3
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer3field,rec,per3)
```

```
end
   else
    if (cumPerVal > 0) then 'if continuing
      if (theDepthVal < theLay4Val) then
        per3 = (((theLay4Val - theLay3Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per3
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer3field,rec,per3)
      else
        per3 = (((theDepthVal - theLay3Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per3
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer3field,rec,per3)
      end
    else
      per3 = 0
      theFtab.SetValue(thePer3field,rec,per3)
    end
   end
  end
t
   -----layer 4
 if (cumperval.round = 100) then
    'continue
    ct=ct+1
    per4 = 0
    theFtab.SetValue(thePer4field,rec,per4)
  else
   if ((theScreenVal < theLay4Val) And (theScreenVal > theLay5Val)) then
    if (theDepthVal < theLay5Val) then
       per4 = (((theScreenVal - theLay5Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per4
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer4field,rec,per4)
    else
       per4 = (100 - cumPerVal)
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per4
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer4field,rec,per4)
    end
   else
    if (cumPerVal > 0) then 'if continuing
      if (theDepthVal < theLay5Val) then
        per4 = (((theLay5Val - theLay4Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per4
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer4field,rec,per4)
      else
        per4 = (((theDepthVal - theLay4Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per4
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer4field,rec,per4)
      end
    else
      per4 = 0
      theFtab.SetValue(thePer4field,rec,per4)
    end
   end
  end
'-----layer 5
  if (cumperval.round = 100) then
    'continue
    ct = ct+1
```

```
per5 = 0
    theFtab.SetValue(thePer5field,rec,per5)
 else
  if ((theScreenVal < theLay5Val ) And (theScreenVal > theLay6Val)) then
    if (theDepthVal < theLay6Val) then
       per5 = (((theScreenVal - theLay6Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per5
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer5field,rec,per5)
    else
       per5 = (100 - cumPerVal)
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per5
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer5field,rec,per5)
    end
  else
    if (cumPerVal > 0) then 'if continuing
     if (theDepthVal < theLay6Val) then
        per5 = (((theLay6Val - theLay5Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per5
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer5field,rec,per5)
     else
        per5 = (((theDepthVal - theLay5Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per5
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer5field,rec,per5)
     end
    else
     per5 = 0
     theFtab.SetValue(thePer5field,rec,per5)
    end
  end
 end
             -----laver 6
if (cumPerVal.round = 100) then
    'continue
    ct = ct+1
    per6 = 0
    theFtab.SetValue(thePer6field,rec,per6)
 else
  if ((theScreenVal < theLay6Val ) And (theScreenVal > theBotVal)) then
    if (theDepthVal < theBotVal) then
       per6 = (((theScreenVal - theBotVal) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per6
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer6field,rec,per6)
    else
       per6 = (100 - cumPerVal)
       cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per6
       theFtab.SetValue(thePer6field,rec,per6)
    end
  else
    if (cumPerVal > 0) then 'if continuing
     if (theDepthVal < theBotVal) then
        per6 = (((theBotVal - theLay6Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per6
        theFtab.SetValue(thePer6field,rec,per6)
     else
        per6 = (((theDepthVal - theLay6Val) / screenLengthVal) * 100).abs
        cumPerVal = cumPerVal + per6
```

theFtab.SetValue(thePer6field,rec,per6) end else per6 = 0 theFtab.SetValue(thePer6field,rec,per6) end end theFtab.SetValue(theTotPerField,rec,cumPerVal) end for loop Appendix 2 – Arcview script to return land surface elevation for a well from a DEM grid

```
'Name: getgridvalue.ave
' Date: 991004
'Description: Moves copies values from a grid to a
' feature theme. The values from the grid are placed
' in a user defined field. If the feature theme isn't
' a point theme, then the feature gets the grid value
' from the value under it's centroid point.
' Requires: Spatial Analyst
'Author: Originally written by Mikael Elmquist (mikael@swegis.com), but later
' modified by Jeremy Davies (jeremy.davies@noaa.gov)
!_____
theView = av.GetActiveDoc
theThemes={}
1
'Choose in theme
ı____
themeList = theView.GetThemes
rep = 0
stupid = 0
while (rep = 0)
 theTheme = MsgBox.ChoiceAsString(themeList,"Select theme that shall get values from the grid
theme.","GetGridValue")
 if (the Theme = NIL) then
  exit
 end
 if (theTheme.Is(Ftheme).Not) then
  stupid = stupid+1
  if (stupid = 4) then
   msgBox.Info("Dear ArcView GIS user. Try to select a valid theme","Problem?")
  end
  msgBox.Error("Not a valid theme","Error")
 else
  rep = 1
  theFtab = theTheme.GetFtab
 end
end
rep = 0
stupid = 0
theThemes={}
if (theFtab.CanEdit) then
 theFTab.SetEditable(true)
```

if ((theFTab.CanAddFields).Not) then MsgBox.Info("Can't add fields to the table."+NL+"Check write permission.","Can't add grid values") exit end else MsgBox.Info("Can't modify the feature table."+NL+ "Check write permission.","Can't add grid values") exit end ·_____ 'Choose grid theme ۱_____ for each TargetTheme in theView.GetThemes if (TargetTheme.Is(Gtheme)) then theThemes.Add(TargetTheme) end end theGtheme = MsgBox.ChoiceAsString(theThemes,"Select grid that shall assign values to the point theme.","GetGridValue") if (theGtheme = Nil) then exit end theGrid = theGtheme.Clone.GetGrid.Clone thePrj = Prj.MakeNull '_____ ' Add the new field !_____ 'enter name of new field name and parameters newField = MsgBox.Input("Enter new field name:", "Value", "") fieldsize = MsgBox.Input("Enter new field width:", "Value", "10") precision = MsgBox.Input("Enter number of decimals places in new field:", "Value", "4") gridvalueField = Field.Make (newField,#FIELD DECIMAL,fieldsize.asNumber,precision.asNumber) theShapeField = theFtab.FindField("shape") theFTab.AddFields({gridvalueField}) '_-----' Copy values ·_____ av.ShowMsg("Calculating values") av.SetStatus(0) sstatus = theFtab.GetNumRecords.Clone for each aRec in theFtab av.SetStatus(aRec/sstatus*100) theValue = theGrid.CellValue(theFtab.returnValue(theShapeField,aRec).ReturnCenter,thePrj) av.SetStatus(aRec/sstatus*100) if (theValue<>Nil) then theFtab.SetValue(gridvalueField,aRec,theValue)

end

end

'_____

'Reset arcview

theFtab.Flush theFtab.Refresh theFTab.SetEditable(False) av.purgeobjects av.ClearStatus av.ClearMsg

APPENDIX C

Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for Processing Predictive Pumpage Data TWDB Groundwater Availability Modeling (GAM) Projects

Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for Processing Predictive Pumpage Data TWDB Groundwater Availability Modeling (GAM) Projects

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. Background 1 |
|--|
| 2. Groundwater Use Source Data1 |
| 3. Initial Processing1 |
| 3.1. Create a sub-set of data for the modeled aquifer and geographic area |
| 3.2. Split water use between surface and ground water |
| 3.3. Interpolate pumpage estimates for all years 2000-2050 |
| 4. Spatially distribute well-specific pumpage |
| 4.1. Identify locations of new wells |
| 4.2. Matching Predictive to Historical Locations by Alphanum |
| 4.3. Create new tables for each well-specific water use category |
| 5. Spatially distribute non-well-specific pumpage |
| 5.1. Calculate the fraction of groundwater pumpage for "C-O" use from each grid cell within a county-basin from 1999 |
| 5.2. Calculate the fraction of groundwater pumpage for "IRR" use from each grid cell within a county-basin from 1999 |
| 5.3. Calculate the fraction of groundwater pumpage for "STK" use from each grid cell within a county-basin from 1999 |
| 5.4. Note |
| 6. Monthly Distribution of Annual Pumpage Totals 5 |
| 7. Summarize Pumpage Information to Create Model Input Files |
| 8. Handling Non-Texas Pumpage |

- 1. Background These procedures were developed to further implement the guidance provided by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in their Technical Memorandum 02-01 "Development of Predictive Pumpage Data Set for GAM." The information in that technical memorandum will not be repeated here, and readers should first consult that document.
- 2. Groundwater Use Source Data To the extent possible, procedures for predictive pumpage distribution among model grid cells mimiced the procedures for historical pumpage data. Predicted future groundwater use estimates are derived from one spreadsheet (GAMPredictivePumpage_2002SWP.xls) provided by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), as well as the previously developed historical pumpage datasets. This spreadsheet contains water use estimates from the state water plans for each water user group for the years 2000, 2010, 2020, 2030, 2040, and 2050. Water user groups are generally assigned for each water user category (IRR, STK, MIN, MFG, PWR, MUN, and C-O) in each county-basin. However, individual municipal water supplies within a county-basin are assigned identified as separate water user groups. The water use categories are listed below:
 - IRR irrigation
 - STK livestock
 - MIN mineral extraction
 - MFG manufacturing
 - PWR power generation
 - MUN municipal water supply, and
 - C-O county-other (rural domestic) use.

Historical groundwater use records from the categories MIN, MFG, PWR, and MUN are available for each specific water user, each assigned an alphanumeric water user code (aka "alphanum") in historical water use data tables. Specific locations and wells from which this groundwater was pumped were identified in historical pumpage records. These are known as "well-specific" water use categories. However, the particular locations of historical groundwater pumpage were generally not known for the use categories IRR, STK, and C-O. These categories are known as "non-well-specific" water use categories. This pumpage was distributed spatially based on population density, land use, and other factors.

The spreadsheet **GAMPredictivePumpage_2002SWP.xls** was downloaded from the TWDB web site. The spreadsheet file was then imported into a new Microsoft Access database file **Predictive Pumpage**.

- 3. Initial Processing
 - 3.1. Create a sub-set of data for the modeled aquifer and geographic area The table

Predictive Pumpage_2002SWP was queried for water use in the aquifer of interest based on the aquifer's major aquifer code, as well as the code "99." Other records were deleted. Next, the table was queried for those records within source county ID's found in the modeling domain. Records for water pumpage outside the model domain were deleted.

- 3.2. Split water use between surface and ground water Some records contain an aggregate of surface and ground water use, as indicated by a value of "04" in the field "SO_TYPE_ID_NEW." A new field "PERCENT GROUNDWATER" was added to the table and assigned a value from 0 to 1 based on information in the field "ADDTL COMMENTS."
- 3.3. Interpolate pumpage estimates for all years 2000-2050 The table **Predictive Pumpage_2002SWP** only contains water use estimates for the years 2000, 2010, 2020, 2030, 2040, and 2050. Water use estimates for the intervening years are calculated by linear interpolation. This can be calculated in a query as for example:

 $Pumpage_{2001} = Pumpage_{2000} + modulus(2001, 10)*[(Pumpage_{2010} - Pumpage_{2000})/10]$

- 4. Spatially distribute well-specific pumpage
 - 4.1. Identify locations of new wells If the field "Possible_New_Wells" contained a flag "NW", it was necessary to identify the location of the new wells. The Regional Water Plan was consulted to identify the location of the new wells (a map showing the projected locations of the new wells was available). Using Arcview, the latitude and longitude of the well(s) were estimated and copied into a new field "KD_comment." This latitude and longitude were used to identify the model grid_id(s) from which the well was expected to pump. These grid_id's were copied into a new field "grid_id" in the predictive pumpage table.
 - 4.2. Matching Predictive to Historical Locations by "Alphanum" We assumed that a water user would tend to pump water in the future from the same locations from which they had pumped groundwater historically. A specific water user can best be identified in the TWDB predictive pumpage data using the field "WUG_Prime_Alpha", or, if the water was purchased, the field "Seller Alpha."
 - 4.2.1. A new field "Source_Alpha" was created and populated with the value from the field "WUG_Prime_Alpha" or, if available, the value from the field "Seller Alpha."
 - 4.2.2. In many cases, no value of alpha_num was provided in the table for a well-specific WUG_ID, typically for MIN, MFG, and PWR. Therefore, the value(s) of "alphanum" associated with that WUG_ID in the historical pumpage table was copied to the predictive pumpage table.

In the case that multiple values of "alphanum" were identified for a given "WUG_ID" in the historical data, we first made replicate copies of the record in the predictive pumpage table for each value of alphanum, copied each alphanum into

the field "Source_Alpha", and entered in the field "percent groundwater" the fraction of pumpage for each alphanum for the period 1995-1999 from the historical table. An explanation was entered in the field "KD_comment."

4.2.3. The value of "Source_Alpha" was matched manually to the field "alphanum" in the historical pumpage datasets, and the model grid_id identified for this water user in historical pumpage distribution was manually copied to the field "Grid_ID" in the predictive pumpage table.

In many cases, more than one grid was associated with a given "alphanum". The predictive pumpage for each alphanum was distributed among multiple Grid ID's in an identical manner as the average for the period 1995-1999. Additional copies of predictive pumpage records were added to equal the number of grid_id's, and a field "grid_frac" was added to the predictive pumpage table, and assigned a value from 0 to 1, calculated as the average of the 1995-1999 fraction of pumpage from that grid_id for that alphanum in the historical pumpage dataset. The values of grid_frac summed to 1 for each "source_alpha."

- 4.3. Create new tables for each well-specific water use category -
 - 4.3.1. Create a new table or query for the water use category MUN containing a value of MUN pumpage for each grid_id for each year from 2000 to 2050. The pumpage for each record is calculated as the total pumpage for the year of interest multiplied by the fields "grid_frac" and "percent groundwater."
 - 4.3.2. Create a new table or query for the water use category MFG containing a value of MFG pumpage for each grid_id for each year from 2000 to 2050. The pumpage for each record is calculated as the total pumpage for the year of interest multiplied by the fields "grid_frac" and "percent groundwater."
 - 4.3.3. Create a new table or query for the water use category MIN containing a value of MIN pumpage for each grid_id for each year from 2000 to 2050. The pumpage for each record is calculated as the total pumpage for the year of interest multiplied by the fields "grid_frac" and "percent groundwater."
 - 4.3.4. Create a new table or query for the water use category PWR containing a value of PWR pumpage for each grid_id for each year from 2000 to 2050. The pumpage for each record is calculated as the total pumpage for the year of interest multiplied by the fields "grid_frac" and "percent groundwater."
- 5. Spatially distribute non-well-specific pumpage We assume that groundwater pumpage in the future would be distributed within each county-basin in a similar way that it has been done in the recent past. While we do not discount the impact of changes in population and land use due to urban growth, sprawl, and other factors, we cannot reliably predict the spatial locations of these changes.
 - 5.1. Calculate the fraction of groundwater pumpage for "C-O" use from each grid cell within

a county-basin from 1999.

- 5.1.1. Run a query to summarize "C-O" groundwater pumpage in 1999 for each countybasin within the model domain.
- 5.1.2. For each grid_id within each county-basin, divide the "C-O" pumpage value for the year 1999 by the total "C-O" pumpage for that county-basin. Save this as a new field "Fr pumpage" for each grid_id.
- 5.1.3. As a quality check, sum the values of "Fr_pumpage" for C-O by county-basin to ensure they sum to 1.
- 5.1.4. Create a new table or query for the water use category "C-O" containing a value of C-O pumpage for each grid_id for each year from 2000 to 2050. The pumpage for each record is calculated as the total pumpage for the year of interest (from the TWDB-provided table **GAMPredictivePumpage_2002SWP.xls**, with interpolated values for intervening years) multiplied by the fields "percent groundwater" (from the same table) and the field "Fr_pumpage" from the previous three steps.
- 5.2. Calculate the fraction of groundwater pumpage for "IRR" use from each grid cell within a county-basin from 1999.
 - 5.2.1. Run a query to summarize "IRR" groundwater pumpage in 1999 for each countybasin within the model domain.
 - 5.2.2. For each grid_id within each county-basin, divide the "IRR" pumpage value for the year 1999 by the total "IRR" pumpage for that county-basin. Save this as a new field "Fr_pumpage" for each grid_id.
 - 5.2.3. As a quality check, sum the values of "Fr_pumpage" for IRR by county-basin to ensure they sum to 1.
 - 5.2.4. Create a new table or query for the water use category "IRR" containing a value of IRR pumpage for each grid_id for each year from 2000 to 2050. The pumpage for each record is calculated as the total pumpage for the year of interest (from the TWDB-provided table **GAMPredictivePumpage_2002SWP.xls**, with interpolated values for intervening years) multiplied by the fields "percent groundwater" (from the same table) and the field "Fr_pumpage" from the previous three steps.
- 5.3. Calculate the fraction of groundwater pumpage for "STK" use from each grid cell within a county-basin from 1999.
 - 5.3.1. Run a query to summarize "STK" groundwater pumpage in 1999 for each countybasin within the model domain.
 - 5.3.2. For each grid_id within each county-basin, divide the "STK" pumpage value for the year 1999 by the total "STK" pumpage for that county-basin. Save this as a new field "Fr pumpage" for each grid_id.

- 5.3.3. As a quality check, sum the values of "Fr_pumpage" for STK by county-basin to ensure they sum to 1.
- 5.3.4. Create a new table or query for the water use category "STK" containing a value of STK pumpage for each grid_id for each year from 2000 to 2050. The pumpage for each record is calculated as the total pumpage for the year of interest (from the TWDB-provided table **GAMPredictivePumpage_2002SWP.xls**, with interpolated values for intervening years) multiplied by the fields "percent groundwater" (from the same table) and the field "Fr_pumpage" from the previous three steps.
- 5.4. Note: The result of this step should be three tables (or queries), one each for C-O, IRR, and STK. Each should contain, at a minimum, the fields "Grid_ID", "county_name", "basin_name", "year", "data_cat", and "pumpage."
- 6. Monthly Distribution of Annual Pumpage Totals We assume that the historical average of monthly water use distribution is a valid predictor of future monthly distribution.

Monthly factors are calculated for each county-basin and data_cat as the average of mfraction for the period 1995-1999 (in the historical pumpage table "MONTHLY FACTORS") in a new table **PredictiveMonthlyFactors**. There should be a monthly factor for each combination of the seven water use categories and county-basin. If no monthly factor can be calculated because there was no historical pumpage, then the monthly factor for that data_cat in the nearest other county-basin should be used.

- 7. Summarize Pumpage Information to Create Model Input Files Summary queries for a given year and/or month should be performed as described in the SOP for historical pumpage data.
- 8. Handling Non-Texas Pumpage Predictions of future pumpage for portions of the model domain outside of Texas are not available from the Texas Regional Water Plans. In this case, we will assume that the average pumpage for the period 1995-1999 is the best estimate of future pumpage for the water use categories MFG, MIN, PWR, STK, and IRR. Because population projections are available, however, we can project future water use for MUN and C-O based on the 1990 water use for each county or parish and the ratio of projected future county/parish population to its 1990 population.
 - 8.1. Download from the respective state census data center or the U.S. census bureau population estimates from each county or parish through 2050. Linearly interpolate values for intervening years if necessary.
 - 8.2. For each year from 2000 to 2050, calculate the ratio of projected population for each year to that in 2000 for each county or parish.
 - 8.3. Multiply the historical pumpage value from C-O or MUN out-of-Texas records in 1999 by the factor to obtain a projected pumpage estimate for that year.

APPENDIX D1

Tabulated Groundwater Withdrawal Estimates for the Carrizo-Wilcox for 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, 2020, 2030, 2040, and 2050

| Rate of groundwate | r withdrawal (ac | re-feet per y | /ear) from flo | w layer 1 of the | 9 |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|---|
| Carrizo-Wi | lcox aquifer for | counties wit | thin the stud | y area | |

| County | <u>1980</u> | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | 2040 | 2050 |
|------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Anderson | 1,198 | 1,876 | 2,663 | 2,723 | 2,753 | 2,789 | 2,781 | 2,842 |
| Angelina | 16,322 | 13,746 | 2,309 | 2,144 | 2,151 | 2,257 | 2,287 | 2,419 |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | 669 | 669 | 669 | 669 | 669 | 669 |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | 1,634 | 1,728 | 1,817 | 1,901 | 1,981 | 2,056 |
| Bowie | - | - | • | - | • | - | - | - |
| Caddo, LA | 9 | 545 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Camp | 31 | 42 | 60 | 70 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 72 |
| Cass | 1,124 | 1,305 | 291 | 302 | 309 | 317 | 355 | 361 |
| Cherokee | 1,255 | 1,732 | 2,484 | 908 | 973 | 1,027 | 1,077 | 1,121 |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - |
| Franklin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Freestone | 24 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Gregg | 382 | 356 | 173 | 238 | 237 | 249 | 25 9 | 267 |
| Grimes | 383 | 733 | 411 | 437 | 465 | 498 | 475 | 538 |
| Harrison | 242 | 370 | 304 | 304 | 344 | 354 | 351 | 350 |
| Henderson | 533 | 911 | 661 | 673 | 673 | 667 | 655 | 672 |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Houston | 1,581 | 1,726 | 703 | 767 | 765 | 766 | 770 | 772 |
| Leon | 451 | 683 | 1,070 | 1,094 | 1,121 | 1,150 | 1,181 | 1,217 |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison | 815 | 944 | 326 | 324 | 318 | 313 | 305 | 297 |
| Marion | 398 | 477 | 339 | 363 | 390 | 41 9 | 450 | 486 |
| Miller, AR | - | 363 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Morris | 315 | 325 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 34 |
| Nacogdoches | 1,658 | 1,620 | 785 | 793 | 805 | 516 | 813 | 818 |
| Natchitoches, LA | 613 | 458 | 929 | 948 | 975 | 1,008 | 1,050 | 1,098 |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Panola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red River, LA | - | - | 699 | 724 | 777 | 858 | 968 | 1,107 |
| Robertson | - | 2 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Rusk | 55 | 65 | 68 | 69 | 74 | 80 | 80 | 85 |
| Sabine, LA | 961 | 1,141 | 1,842 | 1,977 | 2,122 | 2,281 | 2,452 | 2,635 |
| Sabine, TX | 792 | 1,045 | 1,025 | 1,094 | 1,158 | 1,272 | 1,340 | 1,369 |
| San Augustine | 6,089 | 4,468 | 253 | 250 | 246 | 249 | 247 | 249 |
| Shelby | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smith | 4,502 | 6,605 | 8,431 | 8,643 | 9,470 | 121 | 122 | 122 |
| Titus | 23 | 23 | 19 | 25 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
| Trinity | 1,819 | 1,816 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Upshur | 1,144 | 1,547 | 1,315 | 1,401 | 1,402 | 1,413 | 1,378 | 1,381 |
| Van Zandt | 176 | 224 | 214 | 221 | 250 | 260 | 262 | 262 |
| Wood | 756 | 1,163 | 1,345 | 1,476 | 1,539 | 1,645 | 1,722 | 1,890 |
| Total | 43,651 | 46,332 | 31,124 | 30,465 | 31,995 | 23,274 | 24,226 | 25,278 |

| Rate of | f groundwater withdrawal | (acre-feet per year |) from flow layer 2 of the |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer | for counties within | the study area |

| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>2000</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Anderson | 317 | 431 | 611 | 623 | 629 | 636 | 634 | 646 |
| Angelina | 8 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bossier, LA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bowie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Caddo, LA | 11 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Camp | 23 | 28 | 51 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
| Cass | 375 | 488 | 407 | 111 | 113 | 116 | 127 | 129 |
| Cherokee | 658 | 955 | 1,364 | 550 | 584 | 611 | 637 | 599 |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Franklin | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Freestone | 35 | 37 | 44 | 43 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Gregg | 224 | 202 | 69 | 113 | 112 | 116 | 119 | 121 |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harrison | 442 | 605 | 424 | 425 | 474 | 484 | 487 | 485 |
| Henderson | 155 | 223 | 230 | 234 | 236 | 236 | 233 | 236 |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Houston | 34 | 37 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 |
| Leon | 167 | 259 | 520 | 526 | 534 | 542 | 550 | 560 |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison | 3 | 3 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 |
| Marion | 70 | 107 | 63 | 65 | 66 | 69 | 68 | 69 |
| Miller, AR | - | 23 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| Morris | 295 | 312 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 33 |
| Nacogdoches | 816 | 1,017 | 1,089 | 1,098 | 1,101 | 1,020 | 1,113 | 1,126 |
| Natchitoches, LA | 54 | 68 | 91 | 94 | 98 | 103 | 108 | 115 |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Panola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red River, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Robertson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rusk | 97 | 119 | 124 | 127 | 135 | 145 | 146 | 154 |
| Sabine, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Augustine | 78 | 68 | 40 | 40 | 3 9 | 39 | 39 | 39 |
| Shelby | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smith | 457 | 633 | 829 | 847 | 928 | 1,027 | 1,137 | 1,250 |
| Titus | 37 | 54 | 38 | 54 | 57 | 63 | 67 | 69 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Upshur | 690 | 687 | 365 | 393 | 394 | 403 | 406 | 408 |
| Van Zandt | 24 | 34 | 31 | 32 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| Wood | 244 | 310 | 320 | 350 | 365 | 389 | 407 | 445 |
| Total | 5,316 | 6,721 | 7,030 | 6,103 | 6,317 | 6,452 | 6,731 | 6,904 |

D1-2

| Rate of groundwater withdrawal (acre-feet per year) from flow lay | er 3 of the |
|---|-------------|
| Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer for counties within the study area | 3 |

| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>2000</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Anderson | 713 | 1,021 | 1,098 | 1,110 | 1,112 | 1,119 | 1,114 | 1,127 |
| Angelina | 5,592 | 5,786 | 3,257 | 2,185 | 2,224 | 2,553 | 2,711 | 3,047 |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bowie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Caddo, LA | 92 | 40 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 30 |
| Camp | 191 | 230 | 309 | 380 | 385 | 391 | 394 | 396 |
| Cass | 210 | 271 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 65 | 66 |
| Cherokee | 2,144 | 2,027 | 2,221 | 828 | 868 | 974 | 1,018 | 1,105 |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Franklin | 26 | 29 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 5 |
| Freestone | 43 | 31 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Gregg | 10 | 8 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
| Grimes | - | - | 331 | 340 | 351 | 366 | 394 | 429 |
| Harrison | 540 | 726 | 462 | 486 | 527 | 546 | 576 | 592 |
| Henderson | 332 | 520 | 460 | 469 | 472 | 370 | 362 | 472 |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Houston | 295 | 16 | 704 | 665 | 670 | 676 | 680 | 682 |
| Leon | 395 | 606 | 2,170 | 1,827 | 1,342 | 1,246 | 1,222 | 1,253 |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison | 68 | 154 | 1,202 | 1,158 | 1,125 | 1,091 | 1,041 | 998 |
| Marion | 115 | 117 | 71 | 73 | 74 | 76 | 77 | 77 |
| Miller, AR | - | 525 | 931 | 931 | 931 | 931 | 931 | 931 |
| Morris | 304 | 103 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Nacogdoches | 5,087 | 5,669 | 2,751 | 2,601 | 2,734 | 2,929 | 3,135 | 3,421 |
| Natchitoches, LA | 28 | 30 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 37 |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Panola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red River, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Robertson | 11 | 13 | 255 | 248 | 244 | 239 | 235 | 231 |
| Rusk | 495 | 591 | 473 | 441 | 472 | 511 | 515 | 544 |
| Sabine, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Augustine | 176 | 193 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 124 | 125 | 126 |
| Shelby | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smith | 1,178 | 1,267 | 1,533 | 1,578 | 1,716 | 1,882 | 2,064 | 2,257 |
| Titus | 71 | 88 | 69 | 91 | 94 | 104 | 109 | 111 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Upshur | 854 | 760 | 598 | 630 | 628 | 644 | 653 | 667 |
| Van Zandt | 82 | 144 | 130 | 136 | 168 | 166 | 168 | 168 |
| Wood | 1,267 | 1,049 | 718 | 764 | 810 | 867 | 909 | 988 |
| Total | 20,320 | 22,015 | 20,110 | 17,309 | 17,318 | 18,056 | 18,689 | 19,878 |

| Rate of g | groundwater withdr | awal (acre-fe | et per year) | from flow | layer 4 of the |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Carrizo-Wilcox aq | uifer for cour | ties within | the study a | area |

| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>2000</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Anderson | 992 | 1,269 | 2,173 | 2,127 | 2,065 | 2,052 | 2,021 | 2,048 |
| Angelina | 601 | 649 | 12,237 | 11,841 | 10,698 | 11,298 | 11,992 | 13,208 |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bossier, LA | 11 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Bowie | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Caddo, LA | 5 92 | 195 | 464 | 473 | 490 | 517 | 554 | 600 |
| Camp | 675 | 879 | 814 | 1,025 | 1,041 | 1,059 | 1,070 | 1,077 |
| Cass | 459 | 513 | 276 | 571 | 266 | 263 | 261 | 258 |
| Cherokee | 3,033 | 3,039 | 2,536 | 2,007 | 1,990 | 1,940 | 2,078 | 2,215 |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Franklin | 119 | 160 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 77 | 80 | 80 |
| Freestone | 410 | 448 | 510 | 495 | 474 | 467 | 470 | 469 |
| Gregg | 1,770 | 1,515 | 1,072 | 1,213 | 1,216 | 1,272 | 1,320 | 1,363 |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harrison | 586 | 744 | 559 | 593 | 640 | 655 | 674 | 683 |
| Henderson | 711 | 1,106 | 934 | 942 | 938 | 935 | 929 | 944 |
| Hopkins | 45 | 49 | 33 | 42 | 41 | 45 | 50 | 54 |
| Houston | 2 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Leon | 1,021 | 1,440 | 2,145 | 2,172 | 2,200 | 2,296 | 2,386 | 2,510 |
| Limestone | 18 | 33 | 117 | 118 | 120 | 125 | 130 | 136 |
| Madison | 4 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marion | 257 | 246 | 153 | 156 | 160 | 162 | 164 | 165 |
| Miller, AR | - | 1,122 | 1,945 | 1,945 | 1,945 | 1,945 | 1,945 | 1,945 |
| Morris | 452 | 6,681 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 |
| Nacogdoches | 779 | 958 | 2,122 | 2,020 | 2,097 | 2,229 | 2,367 | 2,563 |
| Natchitoches, LA | 289 | 350 | 574 | 592 | 619 | 652 | 692 | 739 |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Panola | 19 | 20 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Rains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red River, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Robertson | 198 | 200 | 7,777 | 7,615 | 7,550 | 7,372 | 7,206 | 7,047 |
| Rusk | 3,276 | 3,884 | 3,849 | 3,270 | 3,347 | 3,540 | 3,589 | 3,733 |
| Sabine, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Augustine | 266 | 267 | 141 | 142 | 142 | 145 | 145 | 146 |
| Shelby | 1,220 | 1,358 | 1,289 | 1,482 | 1,238 | 1,001 | 1,582 | 1,819 |
| Smith | 4,338 | 2,868 | 5,130 | 5,642 | 6,031 | 6,075 | 6,544 | 5,222 |
| Titus | 272 | 363 | 309 | 396 | 410 | 448 | 466 | 477 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Upshur | 807 | 939 | 843 | 890 | 894 | 913 | 605 | 965 |
| Van Zandt | 504 | 715 | 1,021 | 1,099 | 1,284 | 1,318 | 1,376 | 1,445 |
| Wood | 1,465 | 1,007 | 1,5 07 | 1,618 | 1,761 | 1,911 | 2,061 | 2,273 |
| Total | 25,192 | 33,037 | 50,66 1 | 50,618 | 49,788 | 50,766 | 52,810 | 54,236 |

Rate of groundwater withdrawal (acre-feet per year) from flow layer 5 of the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer for counties within the study area

| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | 2000 | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Anderson | 20 9 | 20 | 179 | 188 | 196 | 202 | 215 | 226 |
| Angelina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bossier, LA | 107 | 67 | 80 | 83 | 86 | 88 | 90 | 92 |
| Bowie | 1,082 | 1,274 | 643 | 681 | 681 | 681 | 681 | 681 |
| Caddo, LA | 3,389 | 2,405 | 2,858 | 2,932 | 3,084 | 3,313 | 3,619 | 4,003 |
| Camp | 477 | 527 | 301 | 299 | 302 | 307 | 314 | 322 |
| Cass | 1,090 | 1,064 | 57 | 56 | 55 | 53 | 52 | 52 |
| Cherokee | 3 | 37 | 108 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 37 |
| De Soto, LA | 1,290 | 1,053 | 226 | 226 | 226 | 226 | 226 | 226 |
| Franklin | 654 | 714 | 1,675 | 1,581 | 1,535 | 1,476 | 1,500 | 1,562 |
| Freestone | 382 | 1,143 | 509 | 523 | 507 | 497 | 499 | 499 |
| Gregg | 431 | 282 | 465 | 448 | 433 | 435 | 439 | 442 |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harrison | 1,433 | 1,729 | 1,341 | 1,358 | 1,481 | 1,518 | 1,559 | 1,584 |
| Henderson | 1,031 | 1,105 | 883 | 863 | 859 | 867 | 877 | 899 |
| Hopkins | 657 | 959 | 790 | 910 | 909 | 941 | 1,003 | 1,037 |
| Houston | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Leon | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - |
| Limestone | 107 | 805 | 6,919 | 7,611 | 7,622 | 7,643 | 7,666 | 7,694 |
| Madison | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marion | 80 | 96 | 151 | 125 | 113 | 108 | 105 | 119 |
| Miller, AR | 24 | 6,736 | 4,234 | 4,236 | 4,238 | 4,238 | 4,240 | 4,242 |
| Morris | 575 | 395 | 590 | 593 | 582 | 576 | 564 | 560 |
| Nacogdoches | 358 | 360 | 391 | 395 | 395 | 420 | 435 | 453 |
| Natchitoches, LA | 137 | 112 | 155 | 155 | 156 | 157 | 157 | 159 |
| Navarro | 10 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Panola | 3,067 | 4,172 | 2,005 | 1,960 | 1,890 | 1,962 | 1,963 | 1,960 |
| Rains | 297 | 465 | 368 | 389 | 408 | 276 | 293 | 311 |
| Red River, LA | 23 | 76 | 174 | 174 | 175 | 176 | 177 | 179 |
| Robertson | 173 | 50 | 6,450 | 6,295 | 6,212 | 6,055 | 5, 9 17 | 5,781 |
| Rusk | 3,241 | 3,249 | 3,464 | 3,123 | 2,798 | 2,601 | 2,512 | 2,464 |
| Sabine, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Augustine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shelby | 1,740 | 1,794 | 1,984 | 2,262 | 1,852 | 2,102 | 2,386 | 2,750 |
| Smith | 1,073 | 653 | 2,261 | 2,486 | 2,655 | 2,669 | 2,839 | 2,243 |
| Titus | 989 | 1,229 | 2,698 | 2,743 | 2,731 | 2,784 | 2,817 | 2,846 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Upshur | 85 | 110 | 106 | 110 | 109 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Van Zandt | 2,132 | 1,919 | 1,477 | 1,622 | 2,219 | 2,049 | 2,119 | 2,195 |
| Wood | 368 | 622 | 704 | 767 | 797 | 848 | 886 | 967 |
| Total | 26,714 | 35,238 | 44,246 | 45,222 | 45,336 | 45,410 | 46,294 | 46,695 |

Rate of groundwater withdrawal (acre-feet per year) from flow layer 6 of the Carrizo-Wilcox aquifer for counties within the study area

| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>2000</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Anderson | 64 | 84 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| Angelina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bossier, LA | 9 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Bowie | 841 | 914 | 224 | 1,264 | 1,265 | 1,267 | 1,271 | 1,276 |
| Caddo, LA | 930 | 614 | 619 | 634 | 664 | 711 | 772 | 849 |
| Camp | - | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Cass | 645 | 656 | 202 | 340 | 335 | 330 | 325 | 309 |
| Cherokee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| De Soto, LA | 615 | 327 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Franklin | 307 | 428 | 275 | 276 | 276 | 276 | 278 | 278 |
| Freestone | 1,514 | 1,657 | 1,881 | 1,903 | 1,931 | 1,975 | 2.001 | 2.025 |
| Gregg | · - | - | 356 | 372 | 387 | 409 | 432 | 459 |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harrison | 406 | 318 | 398 | 506 | 557 | 591 | 599 | 620 |
| Henderson | 1.373 | 1,797 | 2.002 | 1.741 | 1.740 | 1.747 | 1.751 | 1.768 |
| Hopkins | 1,430 | 1.970 | 989 | 1.092 | 1.092 | 1,106 | 1.140 | 1.155 |
| Houston | _ | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Leon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Limestone | 243 | 339 | 1.441 | 1.448 | 1.472 | 1.516 | 1.564 | 1.623 |
| Madison | | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Marion | 2 | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miller AR | 2 | 11 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 |
| Morris | 4 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Nacoodoches | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Natchitoches I A | - | - | _ | - | _ | _ | - | - |
| Navarro | 57 | 99 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Panola | 401 | 446 | 1.861 | 1.608 | 1.360 | 2.180 | 2.205 | 2.178 |
| Rains | 90 | 153 | - | | - | -, | _, | _, |
| Red River, I A | 1 | 23 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 |
| Robertson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rusk | 74 | 4 | 995 | 895 | 794 | 760 | 756 | 760 |
| Sabine, LA | - | _ | - | | - | - | | - |
| Sabine TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Augustine | - | - | _ | - | - | - | _ | - |
| Shelby | 21 | 29 | 156 | 152 | 149 | 149 | 150 | 154 |
| Smith | | _ | - | - | - | _ | - | _ |
| Titus | 108 | 138 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 61 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - |
| Upshur | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Van Zandt | 1.638 | 2.017 | 1.731 | 1.758 | 2.073 | 2.091 | 2.299 | 2.428 |
| Wood | 1 | 2 | 1,129 | 1,129 | 1,129 | 1,129 | 1,129 | 1,129 |
| | • | - | ., | ., | ., | ., | ., | ., |
| Total | 10,776 | 12,038 | 14,461 | 15,322 | 15,428 | 16,443 | 16,879 | 17,219 |

| Rate of | f groundwater withdrawal (| (acre-feet per | year |) from flov | v layer | 1 of the |
|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------|---------|----------|
| | Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer | for counties v | vithin | the study | / area | |

| Municipal and Industrial* | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>2000</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> | |
| Anderson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Angelina | 13,317 | 9,705 | 524 | 344 | 350 | 404 | 429 | 484 | |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | 669 | 669 | 669 | 669 | 669 | 669 | |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | 1,634 | 1,728 | 1,817 | 1,901 | 1,981 | 2,056 | |
| Bowie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Caddo, LA | - | 540 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Camp | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cass | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cherokee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Franklin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Freestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Gregg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Harrison | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Henderson | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Houston | - | _ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | |
| Leon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Madison | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Marion | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Miller, AR | - | 360 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Morris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Nacogdoches | 1,145 | 815 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Natchitoches, LA | - | - | 285 | 301 | 324 | 352 | 387 | 428 | |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Panola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rains | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Red River, LA | - | - | 699 | 724 | 777 | 858 | 968 | 1,107 | |
| Robertson | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rusk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Sabine, LA | - | 569 | 979 | 1,055 | 1,137 | 1,227 | 1,324 | 1,428 | |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | 786 | 843 | 895 | 946 | 996 | 1,045 | |
| San Augustine | 5,723 | 4,075 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Shelby | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Smith | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Titus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Trinity | 1,145 | 815 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Upshur | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Van Zandt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Wood | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Total | 21,335 | 16,886 | 5,578 | 5,667 | 5,972 | 6,361 | 6,759 | 7,222 | |

*industrial includes manufacturing, mining, and power generation

Table D1.7 (Continued...)Rate of groundwater withdrawal (acre-feet per year) from flow layer 1 of the
Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer for counties within the study area

| County – Other (Non-reported Domestic) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|--|
| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | 2000 | 2010 | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | 2050 | |
| Anderson | 1,179 | 1,752 | 2,623 | 2,683 | 2,713 | 2,749 | 2,741 | 2,802 | |
| Angelina | 3,005 | 4,041 | 1,785 | 1,800 | 1,801 | 1,853 | 1,858 | 1,935 | |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Bowie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Caddo, LA | 4 | 3 | 3 | - 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | |
| Camp | 24 | 26 | 29 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 41 | |
| Cass | 1,114 | 1,281 | 287 | 298 | 305 | 313 | 351 | 357 | |
| Cherokee | 1,255 | 1,624 | 2,331 | 755 | 820 | 874 | 924 | 968 | |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Franklin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Freestone | 23 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | |
| Gregg | 382 | 356 | 173 | 238 | 237 | 249 | 259 | 267 | |
| Grimes | 383 | 733 | 411 | 437 | 465 | 498 | 475 | 538 | |
| Harrison | 242 | 370 | 303 | 303 | 343 | 353 | 350 | 349 | |
| Henderson | 490 | 843 | 628 | 640 | 640 | 634 | 622 | 639 | |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | |
| Houston | 1,539 | 1.661 | 627 | 688 | 687 | 686 | 688 | 692 | |
| Leon | 315 | 474 | 339 | 363 | 390 | 419 | 450 | 486 | |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | ~ | - | _ | |
| Madison | 810 | 939 | 170 | 168 | 162 | 157 | 149 | 141 | |
| Marion | 398 | 477 | 339 | 363 | 390 | 419 | 450 | 486 | |
| Miller, AR | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - | |
| Morris | 308 | 314 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 34 | |
| Nacogdoches | 495 | 790 | 763 | 771 | 784 | 495 | 793 | 796 | |
| Natchitoches, LA | 17 | 38 | 50 | 53 | 57 | 62 | 69 | 76 | |
| Navarro | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Panola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rains | - | | - | - | - | _ | - | - | |
| Red River, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Robertson | - | 1 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | |
| Rusk | 55 | 65 | 68 | 69 | 74 | 80 | 80 | 85 | |
| Sabine, LA | 844 | 2 | 749 | 808 | 871 | 940 | 1,014 | 1,093 | |
| Sabine, TX | 725 | 960 | 149 | 155 | 162 | 171 | 177 | 143 | |
| San Augustine | 343 | 368 | 213 | 210 | 206 | 208 | 206 | 208 | |
| Shelby | - | - | · - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Smith | 4,463 | 6,560 | 8.332 | 8.544 | 9,371 | 22 | 23 | 23 | |
| Titus | 20 | 19 | 12 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 23 | |
| Trinity | 674 | 1.001 | - | - | - | - | _ | - | |
| Upshur | 1.137 | 1,535 | 1,289 | 1,375 | 1,376 | 1,387 | 1,352 | 1,355 | |
| Van Zandt | 175 | 222 | 214 | 221 | 250 | 260 | 262 | 262 | |
| Wood | 739 | 1,139 | 1,326 | 1,457 | 1,520 | 1,626 | 1,703 | 1,871 | |
| Total | 21,158 | 27,614 | 23,296 | 22,540 | 23,762 | 14,596 | 15,138 | 15,713 | |

Table D1.7 (Continued...)Rate of groundwater withdrawal (acre-feet per year) from flow layer 1 of the
Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer for counties within the study area

| Livestock | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| County | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 2020 | 2030 | 2040 | 2050 |
| Anderson | 19 | 124 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Angelina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | _ | - | - | _ | _ | _ |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - |
| Bowie | - | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - |
| Caddo, LA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Camp | 7 | 7 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Cass | 10 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Cherokee | - | 108 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Franklin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Freestone | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Greaa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | _ |
| Harrison | - | - | - | _ | - | _ | | - |
| Henderson | 38 | 62 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | _ | - | - | | - |
| Houston | 42 | 65 | 64 | 66 | 65 | 66 | 66 | 64 |
| Leon | 136 | 209 | 731 | 731 | 731 | 731 | 731 | 731 |
| Limestone | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison | 2 | 2 | 156 | 156 | 156 | 156 | 156 | 156 |
| Marion | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miller, AR | - | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Morris | 7 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nacoodoches | 18 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 20 |
| Natchitoches, LA | 298 | - | 109 | 109 | 109 | 109 | 109 | 109 |
| Navarro | | - | - | - | - | | _ | - |
| Panola | - | _ | _ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rains | - | _ | - | - | _ | - | - | - |
| Red River, LA | _ | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Robertson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rusk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, LA | 117 | 569 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 |
| Sabine, TX | 67 | 85 | 90 | 96 | 101 | 155 | 167 | 181 |
| San Augustine | 23 | 25 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Shelby | - | | _ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smith | 39 | 45 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Titus | 3 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | _ | _ | - | - | - |
| Upshur | 7 | 12 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Van Zandt | 1 | 2 | | | | - | | - |
| Wood | 17 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Total | 854 | 1,396 | 1,724 | 1,732 | 1,735 | 1,791 | 1,802 | 1,816 |

Table D1.7 (Continued...)Rate of groundwater withdrawal (acre-feet per year) from flow layer 1 of the
Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer for counties within the study area

| Irrigation | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|
| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>2000</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | 2040 | <u>2050</u> |
| Anderson | | - | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Angelina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bowie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Caddo, LA | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Camp | - | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Cass | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cherokee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Franklin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Freestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gregg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harrison | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Henderson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Houston | - | - | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Leon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marion | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miller, AR | - | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Morris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nacogdoches | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Natchitoches, LA | 298 | 420 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 | 485 |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Panola | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Rains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red River, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Robertson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rusk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, LA | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Augustine | - | - | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Shelby | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smith | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Titus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Upshur | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Van Zandt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wood | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 304 | 436 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 527 | 527 |

| Rate of groundwater withdr | awal (acre-feet per | r year) from flow laye | er 2 of the |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Carrizo-Wilcox Aq | uifer for counties | within the study area | 3 |

Municipal and Industrial*

| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | <u>2000</u> | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Anderson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Angelina | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bowie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Caddo, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Camp | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | · - |
| Cass | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cherokee | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - | - |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - |
| Franklin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Freestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Greaa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Harrison | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Henderson | 49 | 55 | 104 | 106 | 108 | 109 | 108 | 108 |
| Hopkins | _ | - | - | _ | - | - | - | - |
| Houston | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Leon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madison | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marion | . – | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miller, AR | _ | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Morris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nacogdoches | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Natchitoches, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Panola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Red River, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Robertson | _ | · - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rusk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Augustine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shelby | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smith | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Titus | - | _ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Upshur | 312 | 166 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Van Zandt | | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wood | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 361 | 221 | 104 | 106 | 108 | 109 | 108 | . 108 |
| | | | | | | | | |

*industrial includes manufacturing, mining, and power generation

Table D1.8 (Continued...)

Rate of groundwater withdrawal (acre-feet per year) from flow layer 2 of the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer for counties within the study area

| County – Other (Non-reported Domestic) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| County | <u>1980</u> | <u>1990</u> | 2000 | <u>2010</u> | <u>2020</u> | <u>2030</u> | <u>2040</u> | <u>2050</u> | |
| Anderson | 270 | 338 | 507 | 519 | 525 | 532 | 530 | 542 | |
| Angelina | 8 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| Bienville, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Bossier, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Bowie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Caddo, LA | 9 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| Camp | 11 | 13 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | |
| Cass | 362 | 456 | 402 | 106 | 108 | 111 | 122 | 124 | |
| Cherokee | 653 | 831 | 1,192 | 386 | 420 | 447 | 473 | 435 | |
| De Soto, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Franklin | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Freestone | 23 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | |
| Gregg | 224 | 202 | 69 | 113 | 112 | 116 | 119 | 121 | |
| Grimes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Harrison | 433 | 602 | 423 | 423 | 472 | 482 | 485 | 483 | |
| Henderson | 82 | 130 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 97 | 100 | |
| Hopkins | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Houston | 32 | 34 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| Leon | 81 | 129 | 88 | 94 | 102 | 110 | 118 | 128 | |
| Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Madison | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Marion | 70 | 107 | 63 | 65 | 66 | 69 | 68 | 69 | |
| Miller, AR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Morris | 290 | 305 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 34 | 33 | |
| Nacogdoches | 677 | 895 | 864 | 873 | 888 | 801 | 898 | 902 | |
| Natchitoches, LA | 14 | 36 | 48 | 51 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 72 | |
| Navarro | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Panola | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Red River, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Robertson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rusk | 86 | 110 | 115 | 117 | 125 | 135 | 136 | 144 | |
| Sabine, LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Sabine, TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| San Augustine | 75 | 65 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | |
| Shelby | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Smith | 444 | 619 | 792 | 810 | 891 | 990 | 1,100 | 1,213 | |
| Titus | 35 | 51 | 33 | 49 | 52 | 58 | 62 | 64 | |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Upshur | 376 | 517 | 356 | 384 | 385 | 394 | 397 | 399 | |
| Van Zandt | 22 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 | |
| Wood | 230 | 290 | 304 | 334 | 349 | 373 | 391 | 429 | |
| Total | 4,508 | 5,808 | 5,522 | 4,599 | 4,824 | 4,952 | 5,235 | 5,399 | |

County – Other (Non-reported Domestic)