Brackish Groundwater Production Zones Stakeholder Meeting: Dockum and Edwards-Trinity Plateau Aquifers

August 16, 2017 Midland, TX Presented by Andrea Croskrey and Mark Robinson

www.twdb.texas.gov







The following presentation is based upon professional research and analysis within the scope of the Texas Water Development Board's statutory responsibilities and priorities but, unless specifically noted, does not necessarily reflect official Board positions or decisions.

www.twdb.texas.gov



2



Meeting Agenda

Time	Item	Facilitator
10:00– 10:15 a.m.	Introductions, overview of TWDB previous studies	Andrea Croskrey
10:15-10:45 a.m.	Introduction to mapping brackish groundwater in the Dockum Aquifer	Mark Robinson
10:45-11:00 a.m.	Open for public comment	Mark Robinson
11:00-11:30 a.m.	Introduction to mapping brackish groundwater in the Edwards-Trinity Plateau Aquifer	Andrea Croskrey
11:00-11:30 a.m.	Open for public comment	Andrea Croskrey
11:45-12:00 p.m.	Closing Remarks, summary of next steps	Andrea Croskrey
12:00 p.m.	Adjourn	



Brackish Groundwater

Saltier than fresh water, less salty than seawater

Groundwater Salinity Classification	Salinity Zone Code	Total Dissolved Solids Concentration in milligrams per liter (mg/L)	
Fresh	FR	0 to 1,000	Drinking Water
Slightly Saline	SS	1,000 to 3,000	Limit Major/Minor
Moderately Saline	MS	3,000 to 10,000	Texas Aquifers Mapped Limit*
Very Saline	VS	10,000 to 35,000	Soowator
Brine	BR	Greater than 35,000	Seawater

Classification modified from Winslow, A.G., and Kister, L.R., 1956, Saline-water resources of Texas: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Supply Paper 1365, 105 p.



Texas Water Development Board



f www.facebook.com/twdboard 🈏 ®twdb

Development Board



Brackish Resources Aquifer Characterization System (BRACS)

• 2003 contracted study estimated 2.7 billion acre-feet brackish groundwater and prompted funding

LBG-Guyton Associates, 2003, Brackish groundwater manual for Texas Regional Planning Groups: LBG-Guyton Associates, Inc., contract report to the Texas Water Development Board, 188 p.

 BRACS program started in 2009 to map brackish aquifers and address knowledge gap



Geologic Characterization of and Data Collection in the Corpus Christi Aquifer Storage and Recovery Conservation District and Surrounding Counties



Brackish Resources Aquifer Characterization System Database Data Dictionary

Open File Report 12-02, Second Edition September 2014 John E. Meyer, P.G.



Queen City and Sparta Aquifers, Atascosa and McMullen Counties, Texas: Structure and Brackish Groundwater

Technical Note 14-01 May 2014 Matthew R. Wise, P.G.



Brackish Groundwater in the Gulf Coast Aquifer, Lower Rio Grande Valley, Texas

by John E. Meyer, P.G. • Andrea Croskrey • Matthew R. Wise, P.G. • Sanjeev Kalaswad, Ph.D., P.G.

Report 383 ptember 2014 Texas Water Development Board www.twdb.texas.gov



http://www.twdb.texas.gov/innovativewater/bracs/index.asp

General Methodology



Area (Extent) X Thickness (Net Sand) X Porosity (Specific Yield) = Volume (acre-feet)

www.twdb.texas.gov



7





Brackish Groundwater Production Zones (BGPZ) 84th Texas Legislature, 2015

Directed TWDB to:

- Define brackish groundwater production zones
- ✓ Estimate productivity over 30 & 50 year periods
- Recommend groundwater monitoring
- Work with stakeholders and groundwater conservation districts
- ✓ Complete four aquifers December 2016
- Complete all aquifers December 2022

http://www.twdb.texas.gov/innovativewater/bracs/HB30.asp

www.twdb.texas.gov

8



Brackish Groundwater Production Zones

Criteria designation:

Must have brackish water	In areas of the state with moderate to high availability and productivity
Must have hydrogeologic barriers	sufficient to prevent significant impacts to fresh water availability or quality
Cannot be within these boundaries	Edwards Aquifer within the Edwards Aquifer Authority, Barton Springs-Edwards Aquifer Conservation District, Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, or Fort Bend Subsidence District
Cannot be already in use	Brackish water already serving as a significant source of water supply for municipal, domestic, or agricultural
Cannot be used for wastewater injection	permitted under Title 2 of Texas Water Code, Chapter 27

www.twdb.texas.gov



Brackish Resources Aquifer Characterization System (BRACS) Program



Outline for Presentations

- Proposed study areas
- Hydrogeology overview
- Data collected and data gaps
- Anticipated challenges
- Questions, comments, and input from stakeholders

Dockum Aquifer

www.twdb.texas.gov





12





Figure 2-1. Lateral extent of the Dockum Group in southwestern United States (modified from McKee and others, 1959; Bureau of Economic Geology, 1967, 1968, 1969, 1974, and 1983; McGowen and others, 1977).

www.facebook.com/twdboard 🈏 @twdb

www.twdb.texas.gov



Dockum Group extends into four States

Dockum Aquifer BRACS Study Extent



- 57 Texas Counties
- 20 Groundwater
 Conservation Districts
- 5 Groundwater Management Areas
- 4 Regional Water Planning Groups

Previous Study Results

- Dockum is classified as a minor aquifer
- Covers approximately 26,000 square miles of Texas
- 109 million acre-feet of brackish groundwater with total dissolved solids from 0 to 5,000 milligrams per liter.
- 27 million acre-feet of brackish groundwater with total dissolved solids from 5,000 to 10,000 milligrams per liter.

www.twdb.texas.gov

Dockum Hydrogeology



16

www.twdb.texas.gov

www.facebook.com/twdboard 🥣 @twdb

Texas Water Development Board

Stratigraphic Controversy

Table 3.0.1 Summary of Triassic Dockum Group nomenclature (modified from Bradley and Kalaswad, 2003).

Author	Cummins (1890)	Gould (1907)	Hoots (1926)	Darton (1928)	Adams (1929)	McGowen and others (1975; 1977; 1979)	Hart and others (1976)	Granata (1981)	Lucas and Anderson (1992; 1993; 1994; 1995)		Lehman (1994a; 1994b)		
Region	Southern High Plains Texas & New Mexico	Northern Texas Panhandle	Southern Texas Panhandle	Eastern New Mexico	Southern Texas Panhandle	Southern High Plains Texas & New Mexico	Oklahoma Panhandle	Northeastern New Mexico				Southern High Plains Texas & New Mexico	
Dockum subunit distinctions vertically		(thin or absent)						Redonda Formation		Bull		Redonda Formation ⁽¹⁾	
		Trujillo sandstone and shale	Upper red clay	Chinle Formation	Chinle Formation	Upper Dockum ⁽²⁾	Upper Dockum ^{Ø)}	Chinle Formation	Chinle Group		Canyon Member	equence 2	Cooper Canyon Formation
	edbeds									Dockum Formation	Trujillo Member	~	Trujillo Sandstone
	oc kum R		Basal red	Santa Ross	South Pogo	Lower Dockum ⁽²⁾	Lower Dockum ⁽²⁾	Santa Rosa Sandstone			Tecovas Member	- Tecovas Formation	
		Tecovas basal shale	c lay and sandstone	Sandstone	Sandstone						Colorado City Member		Formation
			(generally absent)	(generally absent)	Basal shales	Dockan	Sansons		-	Camp Springs Member	Santa Rosa Sandstone		

(1) in New Mexico only

⁽²⁾ not intended as a formal stratigraphic name

Dockum is considered a group designation by all researchers except Lucas and Anderson.

Lateral stratigraphic correlation between units depicted on this table is not intended.

Bradley and Kalaswad (2003) refer to the more prolific parts of the Dockum Aquifer as simply the "Best Sandstone".

www.twdb.texas.gov



Texas Water

Development Board

Simplified Lithologic Model



Figure 2.2.4 Schematic cross section of the Dockum Aquifer in New Mexico and Texas (modified from Ewing and others, 2008).

www.twdb.texas.gov





Geophysical Well Logs

Texas Tech University, Jeffrey W. Martz, May 2008

Q-17 Upton Co. 2300 Gamma Level 2300 Base of Boren Ranch sandstone/beds 2200 Santa Rosa Sandston 2200 2200 1200 Sandstone TR-3 unconformity 2100 mudston 2100 2100 1300 sandstone うちまくろうとう Sond-silt line or siltstone Quartermaster 2000 2000 -1400 Shale Formation Silf-shale line evaporite 1900 Bose of Dockum 1900 1500 Siltstone 1800 - William 1800 1600 1700 1700 1700 1700 Evaporites 1600 1800 b С 1500 Actual Log a Response C

Fig. 2.32. The base of the Dockum Group in gamma-ray well logs: *a*, McGowan et al.'s (1979, fig. 32) identification of the base of the Dockum Group; *b-d* gamma-ray well logs in southern Garza County showing interpreted TR-3 unconformity, Santa Rosa Sandstone, and base of Boren Ranch Sandstone/beds; *b*, America Liberty Oil Company No. 7 I.N. McCrary; c, Bush Exploration No. A-2 Beggs 45; *d*, Humble Oil and Refining Company No. 1 Irene Rodgers. 19

Complex Lithologic Model



www.twdb.texas.gov

Texas Water

Development Board



Base of Dockum generally follows trend of Permian Basin structural low

•

Figure 4.2.2 Base of Dockum Aquifer.



 Thickness of Dockum does not align with Permian Basin structure

Deeds, N. E., and others, 2015, Final Conceptual Model Report for the High Plains Aquifer System Groundwater Availability Model: report prepared for the TWDB.

Figure 4.2.19 Thickness of the lower Dockum Group in feet.



Net sand map of "Upper Dockum"

Deeds, N. E., and others, 2015, Final Conceptual Model Report for the High Plains Aquifer System Groundwater Availability Model: report prepared for the TWDB.



Figure 4.2.29 Net sand thickness of the lower Dockum Group in feet.

Net sand map of "Lower Dockum"







Figure 4.8.1 Total dissolved solids concentrations in milligrams per liter in groundwater in the Dockum Aquifer. 25

Total dissolved solids from GAM study



 Total dissolved solids from TWDB Groundwater Database



Transmissivities derived from aquifer tests in the TWDB Groundwater Database

ightarrow



 Yields derived from aquifer tests in the TWDB Groundwater Database

Stakeholder Input

- Additional Dockum Well Data
 - Aquifer Tests
 - Water chemistry
- Injection well data
- Current use

www.twdb.texas.gov



Next Steps: ~1.5-2 year study

- Additional Kickoff stakeholder meeting (Lubbock)
- Map stratigraphy, lithology, measured water quality, calculated water quality, aquifer properties, and existing use
- Calculate the volume of fresh, slightly saline, moderately saline, and very saline groundwater
- Proposed production area (PPA) analysis stakeholder meeting
- PPA impact analysis (modeling)
- Final report(s), study completion meeting, and stakeholder comment solicitation
- Board possibly designates brackish groundwater production zones (BGPZ)

www.twdb.texas.gov





Edwards-Trinity Plateau Aquifer

www.twdb.texas.gov





31





Aquifer Overview

- Limestones and Dolostones of the Edwards Group and sands of the Trinity Group
- Elevation from <1,000 to >4,500 feet above mean sea level
- Average freshwater thickness 433 feet
- Current extent TDS 100-3,000 mg/L
- Intersects:
 - 41 Texas Counties
 - 30 Groundwater Conservation Districts
 - 6 Groundwater Management Areas
 - 6 Regional Water Planning Groups



Hydrogeology Overview

Depositional Zones



35 Texas, Texas Water Development Board Report 373

Regional Stratigraphic Nomenclature



Figure 5-1. Regional extents of stratigraphic nomenclature for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau), Pecos Valley, and Trinity (Hill Country) aquifer systems.

Anaya, R., and Jones, I.C., 2009, Groundwater Availability Model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley Aquifers of Texas, Texas Water Development Board Report 373

Regional Stratigraphic Nomenclature

Chronost Ui	Chronostratigraphic Units		ratigraphic Group	Western Edwards Plateau NW SE		Southwestern Edwards Plateau NW SE	Northwestern Edwards Plateau NW SE	Central E Plate	Edwards eau SE	Northeastern Edwards Plateau NW SE	Southeastern Edwards Plateau NW SE		
System	Se	ries	Stage	Lithost	Fort Stockton Basin	Comanche Shelf	Western Comanche Shelf	Fort Stockton Basin	Comanche River Maverick Shelf Trend Basin		Central Texas Platform	Central Texas Platform	
Quaternary	Pieist a Holo	locene nd ocene	Undefined		Monahans Gatuna Judkins	Alluvium	Alluvium	Alluvium	Alluvium		Alluvium	Alluvium	
Tertiary	Pale th Plio	ocene iru cene	Undefined		Pecos Valley Undifferentiated			Ogallala	Uvalde Grave				
	Upper Gulfian Eagle Fordian		Eagle Ford	Tahoka	Boquillas Buda	Boquillas Buda			Anacacho Austin Eagle Ford Buda	Buda			
Cretaceous	Lower		Washitan	Washita	Boracho Fm	Fort Lancaster Fm	Santa Elena Fm	Boracho Fm	Fort Lancaster Fm	Salmon Peak Fm	Del Rio Gel Segovia Fm	Segovia	
		Comanchean	Frederickburgian	Fredericksburg	Finlay Fm	Fort Terrett Fm	Sue Peaks Fm Del Carmen Fm Telephone Canyon Fm	University Mesa Fm Finlay Fm	Fort Terrett Fm	WeKnight Fm West Nueces Fm	Speed Boot Terrett Fm	Fort Terrett Fm	
		0	0	č	Co	Trinitian	Trinity	Cox Sand Yearwood Fm Bas	Maxon Sand Glon Rose Fm al Cretaceous Sand	Maxon Sand Gien Rose Fm Basal Cretaceous Sand	Antiers Sand Basal Cretaceous Sand	Antiers Sand Basal Maxon Sand	Gien Rose Fm Cretaceous Sand Hosston Fm
Triassic	Up	per			unyoog Santa	Canyon Fm Sandstone covas Fm a Rosa Fm		Cooper Canyon Fm	Cooper Canyon Fm Trujilo Sandstone Tecovas Fm Sanda Rosa Fm				
Permian	Och Guada Leona Wolfca	noan alupian ardian ampian			Undivided Rustler Fm Undivided Capitan Reef Facie Undivided	Undivided	Undivided	Undivided	Undivided		Undivided	Undivided	
Precambrian thru Pennsylvanian					Undiv	vided	Undivided	Undivided	Undivided		Undivided	Undivided	

Figure 5-2. Stratigraphic chart of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley aquifers and the Hill Country part of the Trinity Aquifer (modified from Barker and Ardis, 1996). Fm= formation

Anaya, R., and Jones, I.C., 2009, Groundwater Availability Model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley Aquifers of Texas, Texas Water Development Board Report 373

Conceptual Cross Section: Report 373



Variable Platform Base



Data Collected and Data Gaps



TWDB Groundwater Database





TWDB Groundwater Database



TWDB Groundwater Availability Model



Submitted Stakeholder Data





Public Geophysical Well Logs



Data Gap: Southern and downdip portions of the aquifer



Data Gap: Trinity Group water well samples



Anticipated Challenges

- Stratigraphy and lithology needs to match logs
 - Review hydrostratigraphy/geophysical well log correlations by Allan Clark (USGS)
- Variability of aquifer characteristics in karst aquifers
- Few measured brackish groundwater quality with anion/cation balance error +/-5% and known well construction
- Calculated TDS methodology
 - Test Schultz, A.L., 1992, Using Geophysical Logs in the Edwards Aquifer to Estimate Water Quality Along the Freshwater/Saline-water Interface (Uvalde, Texas to San Antonio, Texas), Prepare for the Edwards Underground Water District, San Antonio, Texas, 59p. methodology
- Few geophyisical log suites (G, R, P) at shallow depth
- Shaley limestones (strat picks, WQ calc, aquifer properties)

Next Steps: ~1.5-2 year studies

- Host other kickoff stakeholder meeting before October 16, 2017
- Map stratigraphy, lithology, measured water quality, calculated water quality, aquifer properties, and existing use
- Calculate the volume of fresh, slightly saline, moderately saline, and very saline groundwater
- Host stakeholder meetings to discuss potential production area analysis
- PPA impact analysis
- Final report(s), study completion meeting(s), and stakeholder comment solicitation
- Board possibly designates brackish groundwater production zones (BGPZ) <u>http://www.twdb.texas.gov/innovativewater/bracs/studies/Dockum/index.asp</u> <u>http://www.twdb.texas.gov/innovativewater/bracs/studies/Edwards_Trinity/index.asp</u>

Andrea Croskrey 512-463-2365 andrea.croskrey@twdb.texas.gov Mark Robinson 512-463-7657 mark.robinson@twdb.texas.gov

Questions, Comments, and Input from Stakeholders

Measured Water Quality Opportunity!

TWDB Water Quality Program

 TWDB's ambient groundwater quality sampling program is designed to monitor the quality of groundwater in the State.

- Major and minor aquifers in Texas are monitored for groundwater quality on a 4-year cycle.
- TWDB also conducts water-quality evaluations for groundwater studies, Groundwater Management Areas, well control activities, and through cooperative agreements with other entities.

Desired Well Characteristics for Measured Water Quality

Required:

- Brackish water wells tend to be deeper
- Accessible by TWDB staff
- Well has to be in good working condition
- Competent casing throughout
- Has to have a pump



• Area to discharge purge water for 15-30 minutes to obtain a representative sample

Desired:

- Lithology
- Well construction information
- Publicly available geophysical well logs



Benefits to Cooperating with TWDB to collect Measured Water Quality

- Free lab analysis (costs are normally about \$400)
- Broad suite of analytes run including:
 - Calcium, magnesium, potassium, iron, sulfate, chloride, fluoride, silicate, phosphate
 - Parameters are tailored to each aquifer and may differ accordingly
- Improving community's scientific knowledge of the aquifer
- Great starting point to treat well water
- Data for science, not regulation
- For more information consult TWDB User manual 51

<u>Boghici R., 2003. A Field Manual for Groundwater Sampling: Texas Water Development Board User Manual 51, 47 p.</u>



http://www.twdb.texas.gov/innovativewater/bracs/studies/Dockum/index.asp http://www.twdb.texas.gov/innovativewater/bracs/studies/Edwards_Trinity/index.asp

Andrea Croskrey 512-463-2365 andrea.croskrey@twdb.texas.gov

www.twdb.texas.gov



Mark Robinson 512-463-7657 mark.robinson@twdb.texas.gov

