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# HULAH RESERVOIR VOLUMETRIC SURVEY REPORT 

## INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

Staff of the Surface Water Section of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) conducted a volumetric survey of Hulah Reservoir during the period of September 15 - 17, 2002. The purpose of the survey was to determine the current volume of the reservoir at the conservation pool elevation (сре) as part of a reallocation pool study conducted by the United States Army Corps of Engineers. This survey will establish a basis for comparison to future surveys from which the location and rates of sediment deposition in the conservation pool over time can be determined. Survey results are presented in the following pages in both graphical and tabular form.


The vertical datum used during this survey is that used by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for the reservoir elevation gage at Hulah Dam. The datum for this gage is reported as mean sea level (msl). Thus, elevations are reported here in feet (ft.) above msl. Volume and area calculations in this report are referenced to water levels provided by the USACE gage (http://www.swt-wc.usace.army.mil/webdata/gagedata/HULO2.current.html).

Hulah Reservoir is located on Caney River, a tributary of the Verdigris River in Osage county, 15 miles northwest of Bartlesville, OK (Figure 1). At cpe 733.0 ft . above msl, the reservoir has approximately 62 miles of shoreline. Records indicate the drainage area is approximately 732 square miles (http://www.swtwc.usace.army.mil/projects/pertdata/hulah/hulah.htm).

The initial area and capacity of Hulah Reservoir were based on a 1949 survey. The designed cpe at that time was 731.0 ft . On July 12, 1957 the top of the conservation pool was raised to elevation 733.0 ft . and is the present cpe for Hulah Reservoir (Corps of Engineers
1958). Results of the 1958 re-survey, 1973 re-survey and the TWDB 2002 volumetric survey will be discussed in the following section.

## RESERVOIR HISTORY AND GENERAL INFORMATION

Hulah Dam, appurtenant structures and the surrounding shoreline of Hulah Reservoir are owned by the U. S. Government and operated by the USACE, Tulsa District. The dual-purpose reservoir is used for flood protection and water supplies. Federal authorization for the Hulah Reservoir Project was granted under the Flood Control Act, approved June 22, 1936 and modified by Public Law 843, $84^{\text {th }}$ Congress, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Session, approved July 30, 1956, to provide for the allocation of 15,400 acre-feet (ac-ft) of storage space. The water stored in Hulah Reservoir was to be used by the City of Bartlesville for municipal purposes (USACE 1958).

The City of Bartlesville and Hulah Water District Inc. have contracted with the USACE for use of water stored in the conservation pool between elevation 733.0 ft . and 710.0 ft . The Oklahoma Water Resources Board currently adjudicates the water rights for Hulah Reservoir.

The City of Bartlesville holds permit numbers 19570187, 19660289, 19780169, and 19810111 to use a cumulative amount of $13,819 \mathrm{ac}$ - ft . of water per annum for public water supply purposes (Oklahoma Water Resources Board).

Hulah Water District Inc. own permit numbers 19700004 and 19840058 to use a total of 67 ac-ft. of water per annum for public water supply purposes (Oklahoma Water Resources Board).

Construction started on Hulah Dam in May 1946 and was completed in February 1951. Deliberate impoundment of water began September 23, 1951 and the conservation pool filled on September 24, 1951.

Original design information shows Hulah Dam is a rolled earthfill embankment and a concrete spillway, 5,200 ft. long and rises approximately 94 ft . above the original streambed to a crest elevation of 779.5 ft . The earthen embankment is composed of mostly impervious material and has rock riprap on the upstream face for erosion control. Oklahoma Highway 10 (a two-lane asphalt road) occupies the dam's crest. There is an earthen dike, with a maximum height of 30 ft . that extends $1,115 \mathrm{ft}$. across a saddle near the right abutment above the dam.

The spillway for Hulah Reservoir consists of a concrete ogee weir, 472 feet wide, has a crest elevation of 740.0 ft . and is gate controlled. This structure is located adjacent to the right abutment. Ten tainter gates control the spillway, each $40-$ by $25-\mathrm{ft}$.

The outlet works are designed with nine 5 - by 6 - ft . 6-in. rectangular sluices that are controlled by hydraulically operated slide gates. There are two gate-controlled 24- in. diameter low-flow pipes and one gated 10 - in. diameter water supply pipe.

The original capacity for Hulah Reservoir was based on a 1949 survey by the USACE. The initial area and capacity was calculated at cpe 731.0 ft . The top of the conservation pool was raised to elevation 733.0 ft in 1957. The 1958 re-survey showed the total storage capacity below cpe 733.0 ft . would be $34,670 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$. (Corps of Engineers 1958). The following table summarizes information for Hulah Dam and Hulah Reservoir.

Table 1. Hulah Dam and Hulah Reservoir Pertinent Data

## Owner of Hulah Dam and Facilities

United States of America

## Operator of Hulah Dam and Facilities

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District

## Engineer

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (Design)

## Location

On Caney River, a tributary of Verdigris River in Osage County, 15 miles northwest of Bartlesville Oklahoma.

## Drainage Area

732 square miles

## Dam

Type
Length (total)
Maximum Height
Top width
Spillway

Type
Length
Crest elevation
Control

Earthfill
$5,200 \mathrm{ft}$. (including spillway)
94 ft .
31 ft .

Ogee (Concrete)
472 ft .
740.0 ft .

10- Tainter Gates

## Outlet Works

Type
Size
Control

9 sluices
5-by 6-ft. 6-in rectangular
slide gates (hydraulically operated)

Reservoir Data (Based on TWDB 2002 volumetric survey)

| Feature | Elevation <br> (Above msl) | Capacity <br> (Acre-feet) | Area <br> (Acres) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conservation Pool Elevation <br> (Total storage) | 733.0 | 22,565 | 3,120 |
| Conservation Pool <br> (Between elev. 733.0 - 710.0 ft.) |  | 22,553 |  |
| Inactive Pool | 710.0 | 12 | 6 |

## VOLUMETRIC SURVEYING TECHNOLOGY

The equipment used to perform the latest volumetric survey consisted of a 23 -foot aluminum tri-hull SeaArk craft with cabin, equipped with twin 90-Horsepower Honda outboard motors. (Reference to brand names throughout this report does not imply endorsement by TWDB). Installed within the enclosed cabin are a Coastal Oceanographics' Helmsman Display (for navigation), an Innerspace Technology Model 449 Depth Sounder and Model 443 Velocity Profiler, Trimble Navigation, Inc. AG132 GPS receiver with Omnistar differential GPS correction signal and an on-board PC. A water-cooled 4.5 kW generator provides electrical power through an in-line uninterruptible power supply. In shallow areas and where navigational hazards such as stumps were present, a 20 -foot aluminum shallow-draft flat bottom SeaArk craft (River Runner) with cabin and equipped with one 100-horsepower Yamaha outboard motor was used. The portable data collection equipment on-board the boat included a Knudsen 320 B/P Echosounder (depth sounder), a Trimble Navigation, Inc. AG132 GPS receiver with Omnistar differential GPS correction signal and a laptop computer.

The GPS equipment, survey vessel, and depth sounder in combination provide an efficient hydrographic survey system. As the boat travels across the pre-plotted transect lines, the depth sounder takes approximately ten readings of the reservoir bottom each second. The depth readings are stored on the computer along with the positional data generated by the boat's GPS receiver. The data files collected are downloaded from the computer and brought to the office for editing after the survey is completed. During editing, poor-quality data is removed or corrected, multiple data points are averaged to one data point per second, and the average depths are converted to elevation readings based on the water-level elevation recorded at the time the data was collected. Accurate estimates of the reservoir volume can then be determined by building a 3-D TIN model of the reservoir from the collected data.

## PRE-SURVEY PROCEDURES

The reservoir's boundary was digitized using Environmental Systems Research Institute's (ESRI) Arc/Info Workstation GIS software and digital orthophoto quadrangle images (DOQ). Geo Information Systems, a department of the University of Oklahoma, furnished the DOQ. More information can be obtained on the Internet at http://www.geo.ou.edu/. The HULA, OK (36096H24.sid, 36096H22.sid, 36096H21.sid, 36096H13.sid and 36096H12.sid) DOQ was used to create the reservoir's boundary. The reservoir elevation, at the time the DOQ was photographed (March 27,1995) was 733.55 ft . This photograph (DOQ) was used to digitize the boundary of the reservoir and an elevation of 733.6 ft . was assigned for modeling purposes. The reservoir elevations varied between elevation 733.80 ft . and 733.86 ft . during the field survey.

The survey layout was designed by placing survey track lines at 500 -foot intervals within the digitized reservoir boundary using HYPACK software. The survey design required the use of approximately 170 survey lines placed perpendicular to the original creek channel and tributaries along the length of the reservoir. The design also included 7 of the 31 original sediment range lines that were established by the USACE in 1949.

## SURVEY PROCEDURES

The following procedures were followed during the volumetric survey of Hulah Reservoir performed by the TWDB. Information regarding equipment calibration and operation, the field survey, and data processing is presented.

## Equipment Calibration and Operation

While onboard the Hydro-survey boat and prior to collecting data, the depth sounder was calibrated with the Innerspace 443 Velocity Profiler, an instrument used to measure the variation in the speed of sound at different depths in the water column. The average speed of sound
through the entire water column below the boat was determined by averaging local speed-ofsound measurements collected through the water column. The velocity profiler probe was first placed in the water to acclimate it. The probe was next raised to the water surface where the depth was considered zero. The probe was then gradually lowered on a cable to a depth just above the reservoir bottom, and then raised again to the surface. During this lowering and raising procedure, local speed-of-sound measurements were collected, from which the average speed was computed by the velocity profiler. This average speed of sound was entered into the ITI449 depth sounder, which then provided the depth of the reservoir bottom. The depth was then checked manually with a measuring tape to ensure that the depth sounder was properly calibrated and operating correctly.

Before data were collected onboard the River Runner (shallow draft) boat, the Knudsen depth sounder was calibrated using the DIGIBAR-Pro Profiling Sound Velocimeter by Odem Hydrographic Systems. Basically, the steps to determine the speed of sound are the same as those used for the Innerspace 443 Velocity Profiler. The probe was first placed in the water to acclimate it, raised to the water surface where the depth was considered zero. The probe was then gradually lowered on a cable to a depth just above the reservoir bottom, and then raised again to the surface. During this lowering and raising procedure, local speed-of-sound measurements were collected, from which the average speed was computed by the velocimeter. The speed of sound was then entered into the bar check feature in the Knudsen software program. The depth was then checked manually with a stadia (survey) rod or weighted measuring tape to ensure that the depth sounder was properly calibrated and operating correctly.

The speed of sound in the water column ranged from 4,897 feet per second to 4,907 feet per second during the Hulah Reservoir survey. Based on the measured speed of sound for various depths and the average speed of sound calculated for the entire water column, the depth sounder is accurate to within $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{ft}$. An additional estimated error of $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{ft}$. arises from variation in boat inclination. These two factors combine to give an overall accuracy of $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{ft}$. for any instantaneous reading. These errors tend to be fairly minimal over the entire survey,
since some errors are positive and some are negative, canceling each other out. Further information on these calculations is presented in Appendix G.

During the survey, the horizontal mask setting on the onboard GPS receiver was set to 10 degrees and the PDOP (Position Dilution of Precision) limit was set to seven to maximize the accuracy of the horizontal positioning. An internal alarm sounds if PDOP rises above seven to advise the field crew that the horizontal position has degraded to an unacceptable level. Further positional accuracy is obtained through differential corrections using the Omnistar receiver. The reservoir's initialization file used by the HYPACK data collection program was set up to convert the collected Differential GPS positions to state-plane coordinates on the fly.

## Field Survey

TWDB staff collected data at Hulah Reservoir during the period of September 15 -17, 2002. The USACE were able to maintain the water levels above cpe during the survey. The water level elevations varied between 733.80 and 733.86 ft ., thus allowing the survey crew to collect data in most areas of the reservoir that would not be inundated at cpe 733.0 ft .

The survey crew experienced typical summer-like weather conditions while surveying Hulah Reservoir. Temperatures ranged in the mid 80's to low 90's with winds generally 10 to 20 mph.

Hulah Reservoir is considered a "Finger Lake" with the main stem of the catchment basin being in the floodplain of Caney Creek. Other contributing creeks to the reservoir, upstream of Hulah Dam are Turkey, Hickory and Pond Creeks. The main reservoir is located in a valley surrounded by the Osage Hills of Oklahoma. The Caney River originates from the Flint Hills of Kansas.

The land use for the perimeter of Hulah Reservoir is dedicated for hunting and is under both state and federal regulations. The surrounding land is also leased for grazing. Oil and gas production is present around the reservoir. There is one improved park for camping near Hulah Dam and several designated areas around the reservoir for primitive camping.

Data collection began at the dam and parallel lines were driven in increments of 500 ft . as the crew worked upstream. These parallel lines were designed to be perpendicular to the river or creek bed in order to secure a well-defined cross section on each transect. Data were also collected on parallel lines in the coves and contributing creeks. As the crew collected data along the pre-plotted transects, the bathymetry or reservoir bottom profile was being plotted on an analog chart. As the boat passed over the river and creek beds, the acoustic depth sounder would indicate the location of the thalweg.

In many areas along the shoreline of the creeks and Caney River outcrops of limestone were noted. Both steep grade and gentle slope shorelines were noted around the main basin. The crew was able to collect data in most areas of the reservoir. The only difficult area to collect data was at the delta where the Caney River empties into the main basin of the reservoir. Shallow depths with floating and submerged timber were encountered in this area.

Approximately 47,411 data points were collected over the 118 miles traveled. The crew was able to collect data on all 179 pre-plotted lines. Data were also collected on 7 of the original 31 USACE sediment range lines that were established 1949. Random data were collected in those areas where the crew could not navigate the boat to stay on course. As the banks of the creeks and Caney River became to narrow for perpendicular transects, data was collected and a zigzag pattern. Data were not collected in areas with significant obstructions or where the depths were too shallow to navigate. These data points collected were stored digitally on the boat's computer in 250 data files. Figure 2 shows the actual location of all data points collected.

## Data Processing

The collected data were downloaded from diskettes onto TWDB's network computers. Tape backups were made for future reference as needed. To process the data, the EDIT routine in the HYPACK Program was run on each raw data file. Data points such as depth spikes or data with missing depth or positional information were deleted from the files. A correction for the
reservoir elevation at the time of data collection was also applied to each file during the EDIT routine. During the survey, the water level elevation varied from 733.86 ft . on September 15, 2002 to 733.80 ft . on September 17, 2002 according to the USACE gage. After all changes had been made to the raw data files, the edited files were saved.

The edited files were then combined into a single $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ data file, to be used with the GIS software to develop a model of the reservoir bottom elevation.

The resulting data file was imported into Environmental System Research Institute's (ESRI) Arc/Info Workstation GIS software. This software was used to convert the data to a MASS points file. The MASS points and the boundary file were then used to create a Digital Terrain Model (DTM) of the reservoir's bottom surface using Arc/Info's TIN software module. The module generates a triangulated irregular network (TIN) from the data points and the boundary file using a method known as Delauney's criteria for triangulation. A triangle is formed between three non-uniformly spaced points, including all points along the boundary. If there is another point within the triangle, additional triangles are created until all points lie on the vertex of a triangle. All of the data points are used in this method. The generated network of threedimensional triangular planes represents the bottom surface. With this representation of the bottom, the software then calculates elevations along the triangle surface plane by determining the elevation along each leg of the triangle. The reservoir area and volume can be determined from the triangulated irregular network created using this method of interpolation.

Volumes and areas were calculated from the TIN for the entire reservoir at one-tenth of a foot interval from the lowest elevation to the contour used for the reservoir boundary during the 2002 survey. From elevation 703.2 ft . to 733.6 ft ., the surface areas and volumes of the reservoir were computed using Arc/Info software. The computed reservoir volume table is presented in Appendix A and the area table in Appendix B. An elevation-volume graph and an elevation-area graph are presented in Appendix C and Appendix D respectively.

Other products developed from the model include a shaded relief map (Figure 3) and a shaded depth range map (Figure 4). To develop these maps, the TIN was converted to a lattice using the TINLATTICE command and then to a polygon coverage using the LATTICEPOLY command. Linear filtration algorithms were applied to the DTM to produce smooth cartographic contours. The resulting contour map of the bottom surface at $2-\mathrm{ft}$. intervals is presented in Figure 5. Finally, the location of cross-section endpoints in Appendix E and the corresponding crosssection plots in Appendix F were approximated from those sediment range lines established by the USACE in 1949.

## RESULTS

Results from the 2002 TWDB survey indicate Hulah Reservoir encompasses 3,120 surface acres and contains a total volume of $22,565 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$. at the conservation pool elevation of 733.0 ft . The shoreline at elevation 733.6 ft . was calculated to be 69 miles. The deepest point physically measured during the survey was at elevation 703.2 ft . and was located approximately 5.5 miles upstream Caney Creek from the point where it enters the main body of the reservoir. The deepest point measured in the main body of the reservoir was approximately 30 ft . upstream of the dam at elevation 707.5 ft .

## SUMMARY AND COMPARISONS

Hulah Dam was completed in 1951 and deliberate impoundment began the same year. Original design information was furnished from a 1949 USACE survey. The most recent sediment survey report on Hulah Reservoir was based on a USACE 1973 re-survey. Records indicate that Hulah Reservoir had a total volume of 31,160 ac- ft of water and a surface area of 3,570 acres at conservation pool elevation 733.0 ft . A summary of the comparisons is presented in Table 2.

TWDB staff performed a volumetric survey of Hulah Reservoir on September 15 - 17, 2002. The 2002 survey utilized a differential global positioning system, depth sounder and
geographical information system technology to create a digital model of the reservoir's bathymetry. At conservation pool elevation 733.0 ft , the current survey measured 3,120 surface acres, for a reduction of $12.6 \%$ surface acres compared to the 1973 USACE sediment survey. The 2002 TWDB survey results indicate that the total volume at the conservation pool elevation of 733.0 ft . is $22,565 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$. The inactive pool below elevation 710.0 feet was found to be $12 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$., and thus the conservation storage found in this survey is $22,553 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$. of water. The 1973 sediment survey results showed the conservation storage capacity to be $31,160 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$. thus, there is a reduction of 8,607 ac-ft of water or approximately $27.6 \%$ reduction in conservation storage capacity since 1973. Hulah Reservoir lost $10,837 \mathrm{ac}$-ft of water or $32.5 \%$ percent in conservation storage compared to the results of the 1958 sediment survey.

Comparisons between the historical USACE sediment surveys and the 2002 TWDB volumetric survey are difficult and some apparent changes might simply be due to methodological differences. It is recommended that another survey utilizing modern methods be performed in five to ten years or after major flood events to monitor changes to the reservoir's capacity.

Table 2. Area and Capacity Comparisons Hulah Reservoir

| Feature | USACE <br> Original Design ${ }^{1}$ 1949 | $\begin{gathered} \text { USACE } \\ \text { Re-survey}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | USACE Re-survey$1973$ | TWDB <br> Volumetric $2002$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Area (acres) | 3,200 | 3,590 | 3,570 | 3,120 |
| Total Volume (ac-ft) | 30,000 | 34,670 | 31,160 | 22,565 |
| Active Pool storage capacity (ac-ft) ${ }^{3}$ |  | 33,390 | 31,160 | 22,553 |
| Inactive Pool storage capacity ( ac - ft$)^{4}$ |  | 1,280 | 0 | 12 |

Notes:

1. Original Design for conservation pool elevation was 731.0 ft .
2. Conservation pool elevation changed from 731.0 to 733.0 ft . on July 12, 1957
3. Active pool storage capacity is between elevations 733.0 and 710.0 ft .
4. Inactive pool storage capacity is below elevation 710.0 ft .
5. All pre-2002 data provided by Tulsa District USACE
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Appendix A
Hulah Reservoir

## RESERVOIR VOLUME TABLE

## TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD

September 2002 SURVEY
Conservation Pool Elevation - 733.0 ft .
VOLUME IN ACRE-FEET

| ELEVATION in Feet | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 703 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 704 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 705 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 706 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 707 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 708 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 709 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| 710 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 |
| 711 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 29 |
| 712 | 31 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 39 | 41 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 51 |
| 713 | 54 | 57 | 60 | 63 | 66 | 69 | 73 | 77 | 81 | 85 |
| 714 | 90 | 94 | 99 | 104 | 110 | 115 | 121 | 127 | 133 | 139 |
| 715 | 146 | 153 | 160 | 167 | 175 | 183 | 192 | 200 | 209 | 218 |
| 716 | 228 | 237 | 248 | 258 | 269 | 280 | 291 | 303 | 315 | 327 |
| 717 | 340 | 353 | 367 | 381 | 395 | 410 | 425 | 441 | 457 | 474 |
| 718 | 492 | 509 | 528 | 546 | 566 | 586 | 606 | 628 | 650 | 672 |
| 719 | 696 | 720 | 744 | 770 | 796 | 823 | 851 | 880 | 910 | 941 |
| 720 | 973 | 1007 | 1042 | 1078 | 1117 | 1159 | 1204 | 1250 | 1299 | 1350 |
| 721 | 1404 | 1460 | 1519 | 1580 | 1644 | 1709 | 1777 | 1847 | 1919 | 1993 |
| 722 | 2069 | 2147 | 2226 | 2307 | 2390 | 2474 | 2560 | 2648 | 2736 | 2827 |
| 723 | 2919 | 3013 | 3108 | 3205 | 3303 | 3403 | 3504 | 3608 | 3713 | 3820 |
| 724 | 3928 | 4039 | 4152 | 4266 | 4383 | 4501 | 4621 | 4743 | 4867 | 4993 |
| 725 | 5121 | 5251 | 5384 | 5518 | 5655 | 5793 | 5933 | 6074 | 6218 | 6363 |
| 726 | 6510 | 6660 | 6811 | 6963 | 7118 | 7275 | 7434 | 7594 | 7757 | 7921 |
| 727 | 8087 | 8255 | 8426 | 8598 | 8772 | 8949 | 9127 | 9308 | 9491 | 9677 |
| 728 | 9865 | 10057 | 10251 | 10448 | 10647 | 10850 | 11055 | 11263 | 11474 | 11688 |
| 729 | 11905 | 12124 | 12347 | 12571 | 12798 | 13027 | 13258 | 13493 | 13729 | 13968 |
| 730 | 14209 | 14453 | 14699 | 14948 | 15199 | 15452 | 15707 | 15965 | 16225 | 16488 |
| 731 | 16752 | 17019 | 17289 | 17561 | 17836 | 18113 | 18392 | 18675 | 18959 | 19247 |
| 732 | 19537 | 19829 | 20125 | 20423 | 20723 | 21025 | 21330 | 21636 | 21944 | 22253 |
| 733 | 22565 | 22877 | 23192 | 23508 | 23825 | 24145 | 24465 |  |  |  |

Appendix B
Hulah Reservoir

## RESERVOIR AREA TABLE

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD September 2002 SURVEY

| ELEVATION <br> in Feet | AREA IN ACRES |  |  |  | ELEVATION INCREMENT IS ONE TENTH FOOT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 703 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 704 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 705 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 706 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 707 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 708 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 709 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 710 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| 711 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 15 |
| 712 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| 713 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 37 | 39 | 41 | 44 |
| 714 | 46 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 62 | 65 |
| 715 | 68 | 70 | 73 | 76 | 79 | 82 | 84 | 87 | 90 | 93 |
| 716 | 96 | 99 | 102 | 105 | 108 | 112 | 115 | 119 | 122 | 126 |
| 717 | 130 | 134 | 138 | 142 | 147 | 151 | 156 | 161 | 165 | 170 |
| 718 | 175 | 180 | 185 | 191 | 197 | 203 | 209 | 217 | 223 | 230 |
| 719 | 237 | 244 | 251 | 259 | 267 | 275 | 284 | 295 | 305 | 316 |
| 720 | 329 | 342 | 355 | 376 | 407 | 432 | 455 | 478 | 500 | 524 |
| 721 | 550 | 575 | 599 | 624 | 647 | 669 | 690 | 710 | 730 | 749 |
| 722 | 767 | 784 | 801 | 819 | 836 | 852 | 867 | 882 | 897 | 913 |
| 723 | 930 | 945 | 959 | 975 | 990 | 1006 | 1023 | 1042 | 1060 | 1079 |
| 724 | 1098 | 1116 | 1136 | 1156 | 1174 | 1192 | 1211 | 1230 | 1249 | 1269 |
| 725 | 1290 | 1312 | 1337 | 1358 | 1374 | 1390 | 1406 | 1424 | 1443 | 1464 |
| 726 | 1483 | 1501 | 1520 | 1539 | 1558 | 1577 | 1596 | 1615 | 1633 | 1651 |
| 727 | 1672 | 1692 | 1712 | 1733 | 1754 | 1775 | 1798 | 1821 | 1844 | 1869 |
| 728 | 1897 | 1927 | 1955 | 1983 | 2011 | 2039 | 2067 | 2095 | 2124 | 2154 |
| 729 | 2181 | 2208 | 2232 | 2257 | 2280 | 2303 | 2328 | 2352 | 2376 | 2401 |
| 730 | 2427 | 2451 | 2474 | 2497 | 2521 | 2544 | 2566 | 2589 | 2612 | 2635 |
| 731 | 2659 | 2684 | 2708 | 2733 | 2759 | 2784 | 2809 | 2834 | 2860 | 2887 |
| 732 | 2914 | 2940 | 2966 | 2991 | 3014 | 3034 | 3053 | 3070 | 3087 | 3104 |
| 733 | 3120 | 3136 | 3152 | 3168 | 3184 | 3201 | 3260 |  |  |  |



Pool Elevation 733' $\qquad$
Hulah Reservoir
September 2002
Prepared by: TWDB

Appendix C Elevation vs. Volume


Hulah Reservoir
September 2002
Prepared by: TWDB

Appendix E

## Hulah Reservoir

## Range Line Endpoints

State Plane NAD83 Units-feet
L-Left endpoint R-right endpoint

| Range Line | X | Y |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Line 01-L | 2527993.8 | 713149.0 |
| Line 01-R | 2524266.8 | 707422.8 |
| Line 02-L | 2525308.8 |  |
| Line 02-R | 2522680.8 | 713339.8 |
|  |  | 711509.6 |
| Line 03-L | 2522539.8 | 717707.9 |
| Line 03-R | 2520106.8 | 717673.6 |
|  |  |  |
| Line 04-L | 2523395.3 | 719441.8 |
| Line 04-R | 2521299.3 | 720287.4 |
|  |  |  |
| Line 05-L | 2522232.5 | 709743.9 |
| Line 05-R | 2518634.5 | 707308.4 |
|  | 2519519.5 |  |
| Line 06-L | 2515772.5 | 708582.7 |
| Line 06-R | 2513638.8 | 703985.1 |
| Line 07-L |  | 709637.8 |
| Line 07-R |  | 706534.4 |

Hulah Reservoir
Rangeline 01
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Figure 1

## HULAH RESERVOIR AND COPAN LAKE

Location Map






