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## Executive summary

In January 2016, the Texas Water Development Board entered into agreement with Bryan Texas Utilities to perform a volumetric survey of Bryan Utilities Lake. Surveying was performed using a multi-frequency ( $208 \mathrm{kHz}, 50 \mathrm{kHz}$, and 24 kHz ), sub-bottom profiling depth sounder, although only the 208 kHz frequency was analyzed for this report.

Bryan Utilities Lake Dam and Bryan Utilities Lake are located on unnamed tributaries of Thompsons Creek and the Little Brazos River in Brazos County, five miles northwest of Bryan, Texas. The conservation pool elevation of Bryan Utilities Lake is 355.5 feet above mean sea level (NGVD29). TWDB collected bathymetric data for Bryan Utilities Lake on February $9-10,2016$. The daily average water surface elevation during the survey measured 349.8 feet.

The 2016 TWDB volumetric survey indicates that Bryan Utilities Lake has a total reservoir capacity of $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 6 3}$ acre-feet and encompasses 818 acres at conservation pool elevation ( 355.5 feet above mean sea level, NGVD29). Previous capacity estimates include the original design capacity of 15,227 acre-feet encompassing 829 acres.

TWDB recommends that a similar methodology be used to resurvey Bryan Utilities Lake in 10 years or after a major flood event. To further improve estimates of capacity loss, TWDB recommends a volumetric and sedimentation survey. Sedimentation surveys include additional analysis of the multi-frequency data for post-impoundment sediment by correlation with sediment core samples and a map identifying the spatial distribution of sediment throughout the reservoir.
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## Introduction

The Hydrographic Survey Program of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) was authorized by the 72nd Texas State Legislature in 1991. Section 15.804 of the Texas Water Code authorizes TWDB to perform surveys to determine reservoir storage capacity, sedimentation levels, rates of sedimentation, and projected water supply availability.

In January 2016, the Texas Water Development Board entered into agreement with Bryan Texas Utilities, to perform a volumetric survey of Bryan Utilities Lake (TWDB, 2016). This report describes the methods used to conduct the volumetric survey, including data collection and processing techniques. This report serves as the final contract deliverable from TWDB to Bryan Texas Utilities, and contains as deliverables: (1) a shaded relief plot of the reservoir bottom [Figure 4], (2) a bottom contour map [Figure 6], and (3) an elevation-area-capacity table of the reservoir acceptable to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality [Appendix A, B].

## Bryan Utilities Lake general information

Bryan Utilities Lake Dam and Bryan Utilities Lake, also known as Lake Bryan, are located on unnamed tributaries of Thompsons Creek and the Little Brazos River in Brazos County, approximately five miles northwest of Bryan, Texas, in the Brazos River Basin (Figure 1). Construction of the dam was completed in 1975 (Freese and Nichols, 2010). Bryan Utilities Lake is owned by the City of Bryan (SJB\&A, 1976) and operated by Bryan Texas Utilities (Freese and Nichols, 2010). Bryan Texas Utilities is a municipally owned electric utility, wholly-owned by the City of Bryan (BTU, 2016). Bryan Utilities Lake is primarily an industrial water supply reservoir used for cooling purposes at the Roland C. Dansby Power Plant and for recreational purposes (Freese and Nichols, 2010). The lake's water level is maintained via groundwater from a Bryan Texas Utilities owned well (TPWD, 2014). Additional pertinent data about Bryan Utilities Lake Dam and Bryan Utilities Lake can be found in Table 1.

Water rights for Bryan Utilities Lake have been appropriated to the City of Bryan, through Certificate of Adjudication No. 12-5268. The complete certificate is on file in the Information Resources Division of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.


Figure 1. Location of Bryan Utilities Lake

| able 1. Pertinent data for Bryan Utilities Lake Dam and Bryan Utilities Lake |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Owner |  |
| City of Bryan, Texas |  |
| Engineer (Design) |  |
| Spencer J. Buchanan \& Associates |  |
| Location of dam |  |
| On unnamed tributaries of the Brazos River, 5 miles northwest of Bryan, Texas |  |
| Drainage area |  |
| 0 square miles |  |
| Dam |  |
| Type | Earthfill |
| Length | 17,500 feet |
| Maximum height | 59 feet |
| Top width | 20 feet |
| Top elevation | 362.5 feet above mean sea level |
| Spillway (service) |  |
| Type | Drop inlet |
| Size | 12 feet by 12 feet |
| Location | East embankment section near the center |
| Crest elevation | 355.5 feet above mean sea level |
| Conduit size | 4.5 foot square transitioning to 5.5 foot square |
| Conduit length | 250 feet |
| Service outlet |  |
| Type | Sluice gate |
| Location | On side of drop inlet service spillway |
| Gate size | 3 feet by 3 feet |
| Gate elevation | 322.5 feet above mean sea level |

Reservoir data (Based on 2016 TWDB survey)

| Feature | Elevation <br> (feet NGVD29a) | Capacity <br> (acre-feet) | Area <br> (acres) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Top of dam | 362.5 | 20,516 | 998 |
| Conservation pool | 355.5 | 14,163 | 818 |
| Service outlet gate | 322.5 | 87 | 47 |

Source: (Freese and Nichols, 2010, SJB\&A, 1976)
${ }^{\text {a }}$ NGVD29 $=$ National Geodetic Vertical Datum 1929

## Volumetric survey of Bryan Utilities Lake

## Datum

The vertical datum used during this survey is unknown. It is assumed to be equivalent to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum 1929 (NGVD29). Volume and area calculations in this report are referenced to water levels provided by Bryan Texas Utilities. The horizontal datum used for this report is North American Datum 1983 (NAD83), and the horizontal coordinate system is State Plane Texas Central Zone (feet).

## TWDB bathymetric data collection

TWDB collected bathymetric data for Bryan Utilities Lake on February 9-10, 2016. The daily average water surface elevations during the survey measured 349.8 feet (W. Williams, personal communication, March 1, 2016). For data collection, TWDB used a Specialty Devices, Inc. (SDI), single-beam, multi-frequency ( $208 \mathrm{kHz}, 50 \mathrm{kHz}$, and 24 kHz ) sub-bottom profiling
depth sounder integrated with differential global positioning system (DGPS) equipment. Data was collected along pre-planned survey lines oriented perpendicular to the assumed location of the original river channels and spaced approximately 250 feet apart. The depth sounder was calibrated daily using a velocity profiler to measure the speed of sound in the water column and a weighted tape or stadia rod for depth reading verification. Figure 2 shows where data collection occurred during the 2016 TWDB survey.


Figure 2. Data collected during 2016 TWDB Bryan Utilities Lake survey

## Data processing

## Model boundary

The reservoir's model boundary was generated from Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) Data available from the Texas Natural Resource Information System (TNRIS, 2016a). The LIDAR data was collected on February 9-10, 2015, while the reservoir was full at elevation 355.5 feet or very close to it. According to the associated metadata, the LIDAR data has a vertical accuracy of $\pm 12.2$ centimeters and a horizontal accuracy of 1 meter. To generate the boundary, LIDAR data with a classification equal to 2 , or ground, was imported into an Environmental Systems Research Institute's ArcGIS file geodatabase from .las files. A topographical model of the data was generated and converted to a raster using a cell size of 0.5 meters by 0.5 meters. The horizontal datum of the LIDAR data is Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) North American Datum 1983 (NAD83) Zone 14 and the vertical datum is North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD88), meters. Therefore, a contour of 110.525 meters NAVD88, equivalent to 362.5 feet NGVD29, was extracted from the raster. The vertical datum transformation offset for the conversion from NAVD88 to NGVD29 was determined by applying the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Geodetic Survey's VERTCON software (NGS, 2016) to single reference point in the vicinity of the survey, Latitude $30^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 12.129^{\prime \prime} N$, Longitude $96^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 37.168^{\prime \prime} W$ NAD83. Horizontal coordinate transformations to NAD83 State Plane Texas Central Zone (feet) coordinates were done using the ArcGIS Project tool. Additional editing of the 362.5 -foot contour was necessary to close the contour across the top of the dam and remove other artifacts.

## LIDAR data points

To model the reservoir between conservation pool elevation and top of dam elevation, or model boundary elevation, the .las files were converted to text files with $x, y$, and z values. To reduce computational burden, the LIDAR data was filtered to include only every $3^{\text {rd }}$ point before clipping to include only data points within the reservoir boundary (Figure 2). The LIDAR data points have an average spacing of 0.5 meters; therefore, using a thinned point dataset did not significantly affect the modeled topography of the coverage area. No interpolation of the data in the areas of LIDAR coverage was necessary. After the points were clipped to within the boundary, the shapefile was projected to NAD83 State Plane Texas Central Zone (feet). New attribute fields were added to first convert the
elevations from meters NAVD88 to meters NGVD29 by subtracting the VERTCON conversion offset of 0.035 meters, then to feet NGVD29 for compatibility with the bathymetric survey data.

## Triangulated Irregular Network model

Following completion of data collection, the raw data files were edited to remove data anomalies. DepthPic©, software developed by SDI, Inc., was used to display, interpret, and edit the multi-frequency data by manually removing data anomalies in the current bottom surface. For processing outside of DepthPic©, an in-house software package, HydroTools, was used to identify the current reservoir-bottom surface, and to output the data into a single file. The water surface elevation at the time of each sounding was used to convert each sounding depth to a corresponding reservoir-bottom elevation. This survey point dataset was then preconditioned by inserting a uniform grid of artificial survey points between the actual survey lines. Bathymetric elevations at these artificial points are determined using an anisotropic spatial interpolation algorithm described in the next section. This technique creates a high resolution, uniform grid of interpolated bathymetric elevation points throughout a majority of the reservoir (McEwen et al., 2011a). Finally, the point file resulting from spatial interpolation was used in conjunction with sounding and boundary data to create volumetric Triangulated Irregular Network (TIN) models utilizing the 3D Analyst Extension of ArcGIS. The 3D Analyst algorithm uses Delaunay's criteria for triangulation to create a grid composed of triangles from non-uniformly spaced points, including the boundary vertices (ESRI, 1995).

## Spatial interpolation of reservoir bathymetry

Isotropic spatial interpolation techniques such as the Delaunay triangulation used by the 3D Analyst extension of ArcGIS are, in many instances, unable to suitably interpolate bathymetries between survey lines common to reservoir surveys. Reservoirs and stream channels are anisotropic morphological features where bathymetry at any particular location is more similar to upstream and downstream locations than to transverse locations. Interpolation schemes that do not consider this anisotropy lead to the creation of several types of artifacts in the final representation of the reservoir bottom surface and hence to errors in volume. These include: artificially-curved contour lines extending into the reservoir where the reservoir walls are steep or the reservoir is relatively narrow; intermittent representation of submerged stream channel connectivity; and oscillations of
contour lines in between survey lines. These artifacts reduce the accuracy of the resulting TIN model in areas between actual survey data.

To improve the accuracy of bathymetric representation between survey lines, TWDB developed various anisotropic spatial interpolation techniques. Generally, the directionality of interpolation at different locations of a reservoir can be determined from external data sources. A basic assumption is that the reservoir profile in the vicinity of a particular location has upstream and downstream similarity. In addition, the sinuosity and directionality of submerged stream channels can be determined by directly examining survey data or more robustly by examining scanned USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle maps (known as digital raster graphics or DRGs) and hypsography files (the vector format of USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle map contours), when available. Using the survey data, polygons are created to partition the reservoir into segments with centerlines defining directionality of interpolation within each segment. For surveys with similar spatial coverage, these interpolation definition files are in principle independent of the survey data and could be applied to past and future survey data of the same reservoir. In practice, however, minor revisions of the interpolation definition files may be needed to account for differences in spatial coverage and boundary conditions between surveys. Using the interpolation definition files and survey data, the current reservoir-bottom elevation, when applicable, is calculated for each point in the high resolution uniform grid of artificial survey points. The reservoir boundary, artificial survey points grid, and survey data points are used to create the TIN model representing the reservoir bathymetry. Specific details of this interpolation technique can be found in the HydroTools manual (McEwen et al., 2011a) and in McEwen et al., 2011b.

In areas inaccessible to survey data collection such as small coves and shallow upstream areas of the reservoir, linear interpolation is used for volumetric estimations. The linear interpolation follows a linear definition file linking the survey points file to the lake boundary file (McEwen et al., 2011a). Without interpolated data, the TIN model builds flat triangles. A flat triangle is defined as a triangle where all three vertices are equal in elevation, generally the elevation of the reservoir boundary. Reducing flat triangles by applying linear interpolation improves the elevation-capacity and elevation-area calculations. It is not always possible to remove all flat triangles, and linear interpolation is only applied where adding bathymetry is deemed reasonable.

Figure 3 illustrates typical results from application of the anisotropic interpolation and linear interpolation techniques to Bryan Utilities Lake. In Figure 3A, deeper channels indicated by surveyed cross sections are not continuously represented in areas between survey cross sections. This is an artifact of the TIN generation routine rather than an accurate representation of the physical bathymetric surface. Inclusion of interpolation points, represented in Figure 3B, in creation of the TIN model directs Delaunay triangulation to better represent the lake bathymetry between survey cross-sections. The bathymetry shown in Figure 3C was used in computing reservoir capacity and area tables (Appendix A, B).


Figure 3. Anisotropic spatial interpolation and linear interpolation of Bryan Utilities Lake sounding data - A) bathymetric contours without interpolated points, $B$ ) sounding points (black) and interpolated points (red), C) bathymetric contours with the interpolated points

## Area, volume, and contour calculation

Using ArcInfo software and the TIN model, volumes and areas were calculated for the entire reservoir at 0.1 feet intervals, from 315.2 to 362.5 feet. While linear interpolation was used in some areas to estimate the topography in areas that were inaccessible by boat or too shallow for the instruments to work properly, development of anomalous "flat triangles", that is triangles whose three vertices all have the same elevation, in the TIN model are unavoidable. The flat triangles in turn lead to anomalous calculations of surface area and volume near the conservation pool elevation 355.5 feet. To eliminate the effects of the flat triangles on area and volume calculations, areas between elevations 346.6 feet and 356.4 feet were linearly interpolated between the computed values, and volumes above elevation 346.6 feet were calculated based on the corrected areas. The validity of interpolation to the areas was tested by comparing the interpolated area at elevation 355.0 feet to the area of the survey boundary digitized from aerial photographs obtained from the Texas Natural Resources Information System (TNRIS, 2016a) collected on January 27, 2015. Bryan Texas Utilities did not have a water surface elevation measurement for that day, however, on January 21, 2015; the water surface elevation measured 355.0 feet (W. Williams, personal communication, March 1, 2016). According to metadata associated with the 2015 photographs, the photographs have a resolution of six inches (TNRIS, 2016b). The interpolated area and the area of the survey boundary polygon digitized at elevation 355.0 feet were equivalent. The elevation-capacity table and elevation-area table, updated for 2016, are presented in Appendices A and B, respectively. The capacity curve is presented in Appendix C, and the area curve is presented in Appendix D.

The TIN model was converted to a raster representation using a cell size of 1 foot by 1 foot. The raster data was then used to produce: an elevation relief map (Figure 4), representing the topography of the reservoir bottom; a depth range map (Figure 5), showing shaded depth ranges for Bryan Utilities Lake; and a 5-foot contour map (Figure 6).

Figure 4


Figure 5



Figure 6
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This map is the product of a survey conducted by the Texas Water Development Board's Hydrographic Survey Program to determine the capacity of an Utilities Lake. The Texas Water Development Board makes no representations nor assumes any liability.

## Survey results

## Volumetric survey

The results of the 2016 TWDB volumetric survey indicate Bryan Utilities Lake has a total reservoir capacity of 14,163 acre-feet and encompasses 818 acres at conservation pool elevation ( 355.5 feet above mean sea level, NGVD29). The original design estimate for Bryan Utilities Lake indicates a total reservoir capacity of 15,227 acrefeet encompassing 829 acres (Freese and Nichols, 2010). Because of differences in past and present survey methodologies, direct comparison of volumetric surveys to estimate loss of capacity is difficult and can be unreliable.

## Recommendations

To improve estimates of sediment accumulation rates, TWDB recommends resurveying Bryan Utilities Lake in approximately 10 years or after a major flood event. To further improve estimates of capacity loss, TWDB recommends a volumetric and sedimentation survey. Sedimentation surveys include additional analysis of the multifrequency data for post-impoundment sediment by correlation with sediment core samples and a map identifying the spatial distribution of sediment throughout the reservoir.

## TWDB contact information

More information about the Hydrographic Survey Program can be found at:
http://www.twdb.texas.gov/surfacewater/surveys/index.asp
Any questions regarding the TWDB Hydrographic Survey Program may be addressed to:
Jason J. Kemp
Manager, Hydrographic Survey Program
Phone: (512) 463-2456
Email: Jason.Kemp@twdb.texas.gov
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Appendix A
Bryan Utilities Lake
RESERVOIR CAPACITY TABLE

|  | TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD CAPACITY IN ACRE-FEET <br> ELEVATION INCREMENT IS ONE TENTH FOOT |  |  |  | February 2106 Survey <br> Conservation Pool Elevation 355.5 feet NGVD29 Top of Dam elevation 362.5 feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELEVATION <br> in Feet | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 316 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 317 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 318 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| 319 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 320 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 33 |
| 321 | 35 | 38 | 40 | 43 | 46 | 49 | 52 | 55 | 58 | 62 |
| 322 | 66 | 70 | 74 | 78 | 82 | 87 | 92 | 97 | 102 | 107 |
| 323 | 112 | 118 | 124 | 130 | 136 | 142 | 149 | 155 | 162 | 169 |
| 324 | 176 | 184 | 191 | 199 | 207 | 215 | 224 | 232 | 241 | 250 |
| 325 | 260 | 269 | 279 | 289 | 299 | 309 | 320 | 331 | 342 | 353 |
| 326 | 364 | 376 | 388 | 400 | 412 | 424 | 437 | 449 | 462 | 475 |
| 327 | 488 | 502 | 515 | 529 | 543 | 558 | 572 | 587 | 602 | 617 |
| 328 | 632 | 648 | 664 | 679 | 695 | 712 | 728 | 745 | 762 | 779 |
| 329 | 796 | 813 | 831 | 849 | 867 | 886 | 904 | 924 | 943 | 962 |
| 330 | 982 | 1,002 | 1,023 | 1,044 | 1,064 | 1,086 | 1,107 | 1,129 | 1,151 | 1,173 |
| 331 | 1,195 | 1,218 | 1,241 | 1,265 | 1,288 | 1,312 | 1,337 | 1,361 | 1,386 | 1,411 |
| 332 | 1,436 | 1,462 | 1,487 | 1,513 | 1,540 | 1,566 | 1,593 | 1,619 | 1,646 | 1,674 |
| 333 | 1,701 | 1,729 | 1,757 | 1,785 | 1,814 | 1,842 | 1,871 | 1,900 | 1,930 | 1,959 |
| 334 | 1,989 | 2,019 | 2,050 | 2,080 | 2,111 | 2,142 | 2,174 | 2,205 | 2,237 | 2,269 |
| 335 | 2,302 | 2,335 | 2,368 | 2,401 | 2,435 | 2,469 | 2,503 | 2,538 | 2,573 | 2,608 |
| 336 | 2,643 | 2,679 | 2,715 | 2,752 | 2,789 | 2,826 | 2,863 | 2,900 | 2,938 | 2,976 |
| 337 | 3,015 | 3,053 | 3,092 | 3,131 | 3,171 | 3,210 | 3,250 | 3,290 | 3,331 | 3,371 |
| 338 | 3,412 | 3,453 | 3,495 | 3,536 | 3,578 | 3,620 | 3,662 | 3,705 | 3,748 | 3,791 |
| 339 | 3,834 | 3,878 | 3,922 | 3,966 | 4,010 | 4,055 | 4,099 | 4,144 | 4,190 | 4,235 |
| 340 | 4,281 | 4,327 | 4,373 | 4,419 | 4,466 | 4,513 | 4,560 | 4,608 | 4,655 | 4,703 |
| 341 | 4,751 | 4,800 | 4,848 | 4,897 | 4,946 | 4,996 | 5,045 | 5,095 | 5,145 | 5,195 |
| 342 | 5,246 | 5,296 | 5,347 | 5,398 | 5,450 | 5,501 | 5,553 | 5,605 | 5,657 | 5,710 |
| 343 | 5,763 | 5,816 | 5,869 | 5,922 | 5,976 | 6,030 | 6,084 | 6,138 | 6,193 | 6,248 |
| 344 | 6,303 | 6,358 | 6,414 | 6,469 | 6,525 | 6,582 | 6,638 | 6,695 | 6,751 | 6,808 |
| 345 | 6,866 | 6,923 | 6,981 | 7,039 | 7,097 | 7,156 | 7,214 | 7,273 | 7,332 | 7,392 |
| 346 | 7,451 | 7,511 | 7,571 | 7,631 | 7,691 | 7,752 | 7,813 | 7,874 | 7,935 | 7,996 |
| 347 | 8,058 | 8,120 | 8,182 | 8,245 | 8,307 | 8,370 | 8,433 | 8,497 | 8,560 | 8,624 |
| 348 | 8,688 | 8,752 | 8,817 | 8,882 | 8,947 | 9,012 | 9,077 | 9,143 | 9,209 | 9,275 |
| 349 | 9,341 | 9,408 | 9,475 | 9,542 | 9,609 | 9,677 | 9,745 | 9,813 | 9,881 | 9,950 |
| 350 | 10,018 | 10,087 | 10,157 | 10,226 | 10,296 | 10,366 | 10,436 | 10,506 | 10,577 | 10,648 |
| 351 | 10,719 | 10,790 | 10,862 | 10,934 | 11,006 | 11,078 | 11,151 | 11,223 | 11,296 | 11,370 |
| 352 | 11,443 | 11,517 | 11,591 | 11,665 | 11,739 | 11,814 | 11,889 | 11,964 | 12,039 | 12,115 |
| 353 | 12,191 | 12,267 | 12,343 | 12,420 | 12,496 | 12,573 | 12,651 | 12,728 | 12,806 | 12,884 |
| 354 | 12,962 | 13,040 | 13,119 | 13,198 | 13,277 | 13,356 | 13,436 | 13,516 | 13,596 | 13,676 |
| 355 | 13,757 | 13,837 | 13,918 | 14,000 | 14,081 | 14,163 | 14,245 | 14,327 | 14,409 | 14,492 |
| 356 | 14,575 | 14,658 | 14,741 | 14,825 | 14,909 | 14,993 | 15,077 | 15,162 | 15,247 | 15,332 |
| 357 | 15,417 | 15,503 | 15,589 | 15,675 | 15,761 | 15,848 | 15,935 | 16,022 | 16,109 | 16,197 |
| 358 | 16,284 | 16,373 | 16,461 | 16,550 | 16,639 | 16,728 | 16,817 | 16,907 | 16,997 | 17,087 |
| 359 | 17,178 | 17,269 | 17,360 | 17,451 | 17,543 | 17,635 | 17,727 | 17,819 | 17,912 | 18,005 |
| 360 | 18,099 | 18,192 | 18,286 | 18,380 | 18,475 | 18,569 | 18,664 | 18,760 | 18,855 | 18,951 |
| 361 | 19,047 | 19,143 | 19,240 | 19,336 | 19,433 | 19,531 | 19,628 | 19,726 | 19,824 | 19,922 |
| 362 | 20,020 | 20,119 | 20,218 | 20,317 | 20,416 | 20,516 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix B
Bryan Utilities Lake RESERVOIR AREA TABLE

|  | TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD AREA IN ACRES <br> ELEVATION INCREMENT IS ONE TENTH FOOT |  |  |  | February 2016 Survey <br> Conservation Pool Elevation 355.5 feet NGVD29 <br> Top of Dam elevation 362.5 feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELEVATION <br> in Feet | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 316 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 317 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 318 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 319 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| 320 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 22 |
| 321 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 36 |
| 322 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 53 |
| 323 | 55 | 57 | 58 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 65 | 67 | 69 | 71 |
| 324 | 73 | 75 | 77 | 79 | 81 | 83 | 86 | 88 | 90 | 92 |
| 325 | 94 | 96 | 99 | 101 | 103 | 105 | 107 | 109 | 111 | 113 |
| 326 | 115 | 117 | 119 | 120 | 122 | 124 | 126 | 127 | 129 | 131 |
| 327 | 133 | 136 | 138 | 140 | 142 | 144 | 146 | 148 | 150 | 152 |
| 328 | 154 | 156 | 158 | 160 | 162 | 164 | 166 | 167 | 169 | 171 |
| 329 | 173 | 175 | 178 | 180 | 183 | 186 | 189 | 192 | 195 | 197 |
| 330 | 200 | 203 | 205 | 208 | 211 | 213 | 216 | 218 | 221 | 224 |
| 331 | 227 | 229 | 232 | 235 | 238 | 241 | 244 | 246 | 249 | 251 |
| 332 | 254 | 256 | 258 | 261 | 263 | 265 | 267 | 269 | 272 | 274 |
| 333 | 276 | 279 | 281 | 283 | 286 | 288 | 290 | 293 | 295 | 297 |
| 334 | 300 | 302 | 305 | 307 | 310 | 313 | 315 | 318 | 321 | 323 |
| 335 | 326 | 329 | 332 | 336 | 339 | 342 | 345 | 348 | 351 | 354 |
| 336 | 357 | 360 | 363 | 366 | 369 | 371 | 374 | 377 | 380 | 382 |
| 337 | 385 | 387 | 390 | 393 | 395 | 398 | 400 | 403 | 405 | 407 |
| 338 | 410 | 412 | 415 | 417 | 420 | 422 | 425 | 427 | 430 | 432 |
| 339 | 434 | 437 | 439 | 442 | 444 | 446 | 449 | 451 | 454 | 456 |
| 340 | 459 | 461 | 463 | 466 | 468 | 471 | 473 | 475 | 478 | 480 |
| 341 | 483 | 485 | 487 | 489 | 492 | 494 | 496 | 499 | 501 | 503 |
| 342 | 506 | 508 | 510 | 512 | 515 | 517 | 519 | 522 | 524 | 526 |
| 343 | 529 | 531 | 533 | 536 | 538 | 540 | 543 | 545 | 547 | 549 |
| 344 | 552 | 554 | 556 | 558 | 560 | 563 | 565 | 567 | 569 | 572 |
| 345 | 574 | 576 | 578 | 581 | 583 | 586 | 588 | 590 | 592 | 595 |
| 346 | 597 | 599 | 601 | 603 | 605 | 607 | 609 | 611 | 613 | 616 |
| 347 | 618 | 620 | 623 | 625 | 628 | 630 | 632 | 635 | 637 | 639 |
| 348 | 642 | 644 | 646 | 649 | 651 | 653 | 656 | 658 | 661 | 663 |
| 349 | 665 | 668 | 670 | 672 | 675 | 677 | 679 | 682 | 684 | 686 |
| 350 | 689 | 691 | 693 | 696 | 698 | 701 | 703 | 705 | 708 | 710 |
| 351 | 712 | 715 | 717 | 719 | 722 | 724 | 726 | 729 | 731 | 733 |
| 352 | 736 | 738 | 741 | 743 | 745 | 748 | 750 | 752 | 755 | 757 |
| 353 | 759 | 762 | 764 | 766 | 769 | 771 | 774 | 776 | 778 | 781 |
| 354 | 783 | 785 | 788 | 790 | 792 | 795 | 797 | 799 | 802 | 804 |
| 355 | 806 | 809 | 811 | 814 | 816 | 818 | 821 | 823 | 825 | 828 |
| 356 | 830 | 832 | 835 | 837 | 839 | 842 | 845 | 848 | 850 | 853 |
| 357 | 855 | 857 | 860 | 862 | 865 | 867 | 870 | 872 | 875 | 877 |
| 358 | 880 | 882 | 885 | 888 | 891 | 893 | 896 | 899 | 902 | 904 |
| 359 | 907 | 910 | 913 | 915 | 918 | 921 | 924 | 926 | 929 | 932 |
| 360 | 935 | 937 | 940 | 943 | 946 | 948 | 951 | 954 | 956 | 959 |
| 361 | 961 | 964 | 966 | 969 | 971 | 974 | 976 | 978 | 981 | 983 |
| 362 | 986 | 988 | 990 | 993 | 995 | 998 |  |  |  |  |
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