Groundwater Availability Model (GAM) for the Blossom Aquifer Stakeholder Advisory Forum Number 1 Daingerfield, Texas June 25, 2014 **Cindy Ridgeway and** Shirley C. Wade **Groundwater Resources Division Texas Water Development Board**

Construction of the second sec



The statements contained in this presentation are my current views and opinions and are not intended to reflect the positions of, or information from, the Texas Water Development Board, nor is it an indication of any official policy position of the Board.



Thank you

 To Daingerfield State Park for use of their beautiful facilities for our stakeholder meeting

Outline

- Introduction
 - Study Objectives
 - TWDB Groundwater Availability Modeling Program
- Background
 - Aquifers and Groundwater Flow
 - Groundwater Models
- Blossom Aquifer Overview
 - Study Area maps
 - Climate average rainfall map, annual rainfall at select stations
 - Geology stratigraphy, geologic map
 - Historical Water Use pumping
 - Historical Water Levels hydrographs
- Request for Data
- Project Schedule



Study Objectives

- To better understand the Blossom Aquifer; the inflows and outflows and aquifer properties and
- To develop a tool to help local and regional water planners make decisions about future water planning







GAM Program

- Purpose: to develop groundwater flow models to help Groundwater Conservation Districts (GCD), Groundwater Management Areas (GMA), Regional Water Planning Groups (RWPG), and others with managing their groundwater resources
- Public process: encourage stakeholder participation in model development and model improvements
- Freely available: standardized, thoroughly documented, with reports available over the internet
- Living tools: periodically updated





Goal: informed decision-making

Texas Water Composition

Modeled Available Groundwater in statute

 Texas Water Code, §36.1084 (b) states that, the Executive Administrator of the TWDB shall provide each district and regional water planning group located wholly or partly in the management area with the modeled available groundwater in the management area based upon the desired future conditions adopted by the districts.



Modeled Available Groundwater

- Desired future conditions are determined through joint planning of groundwater conservation districts in groundwater management areas
- Modeled available groundwater is then estimated by groundwater availability models where they are available



adopted on December 15, 2002 (356.23, TWDB Rules).







How we use Groundwater Models Texas Water Code, § 36.1071 (h)

Inform groundwater

districts about historical conditions in the aquifer

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	140,509
recharge from precipitation	Pecos Valley Aquifer	14,115
	Dockum Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	31,222
the aquifer to springs and any surface water body	Pecos Valley Aquifer	9,804
including lakes, streams, and rivers	Dockum Aquifer	0
Trian to the total total for	Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer	32,993
flow into the district within	Pecos Valley Aquifer	3,441
cach aquifer in me district	Dockum Aquifer	554



How we use Groundwater Models

Texas Water Code, § 36.108 (d): the districts shall consider groundwater availability models and other data or information [when developing desired future conditions]



2.000

4.000

6.000

8.000

10.000

Pumpage (AF/year)

12.000

14,000

How we use Groundwater Models

 Texas Water Code, § 36.1084 (b): Estimate modeled available groundwater based on desired future conditions

Texas Water 🥟

Development Board

County	Regional Water	Basin	Year					
County	Planning Area		2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060
Hudspeth	Е	Rio Grande	101,429	101,429	101,429	101,429	101,429	101,429

*Modeled available groundwater is in acre-feet per year



How we use Groundwater Models Texas Water Code, § 36.108 (d) (3) Estimating total recoverable storage for explanatory reports



Stakeholder Advisory Forums

- Keep updated about progress of the model development
- Understand how the groundwater model can, should, and should not be used
- Provide input and data to assist with model development



An aquifer consists of subsurface layers of rock or dirt that can produce economically usable amounts of water

GROUNDWATER FLOW AND AQUIFERS



Groundwater flows from higher potential energy (head) to lower potential energy





Groundwater Flow

• Hydraulic Conductivity or *K* is a measure of how easily water flows through the aquifer



Water levels can indicate confined or unconfined conditions





Aquifer Storage Properties

- Storage coefficient and specific yield are measures of the volume of water an aquifer can hold (measured from aquifer tests)
- Storage coefficient is much smaller than specific yield. A unit drop in the water table produces much more water than a unit decline in confined water level.



Groundwater models are simplified representations of underground water systems (aquifers)

GROUNDWATER MODELING



Groundwater Models

- They can be physical models such as sand tank models or they can be mathematical models
- We are using a mathematical modeling computer program called MODFLOW for the Blossom Aquifer groundwater availability model
- MODFLOW is a publicly available computer program developed by the United States Geological Survey



Groundwater Models

- Aquifer data is used by the computer model to predict water levels and groundwater discharge
- History matching also known as model calibration is used to estimate some aquifer properties that are not well known



Texas Water Development Board

To build a groundwater model we:

- 1. Characterize aquifer properties
- 2. Overlay grid
- 3. Assign aquifer properties to the grid cells
- Adjust aquifer properties (within limits) to match historical data (water levels and groundwater discharge)

Last step is known as calibration

Characterize Aquifer Geometry and Properties



Overlay Grid





Assign Aquifer Properties







Texas Water Development Board



BLOSSOM AQUIFER



1981 – 2010 Average Rainfall

(PRISM Climate Group, Oregon State University, <u>http://prism.oregonstate.edu</u> , created 10 July 2012)



PARIS TX US



CLARKSVILLE 2 NE TX US



NEW BOSTON TX US



Stratigraphy and Hydrostratigraphy

(after McLaurin, 1988)

Era	System	Series	Group	Formation	Approx maxii thickne	kimate mum ess (ft)	Lithology ¹	Water-bearing characteristics	
zoic	ernary	Recent		Alluvium	75		Sand, silt, clay, and gravel	Yields small ² to moderate ³ quanti- ties of water to wells along the	
Cenoz	Quate	Pleis- tocene		Fluviatile, ter- race deposits			,	Red River	
		Taylor	Marbrook Marl Pecan Gap Chalk Wolfe City- Ozan Formation	1,500		Clay, marl, shale, chalk, mudstone, and sandstone, very fine-grained	Yields small quantities of water to shallow wells		
				Gober Chalk	_		Chalk, discontinuous	Not known to yield water to wells	
U	sn		Brownstown				Clay or shale	Not known to yield water to wells	
Mesozoi	Cretaceo	Gulf	Austin	Blossom Sand	226		Fine to medium sand inter- bedded with light to dark marl and chalky marl	Yields small to moderate quan- tities of water to municipal, domestic, and livestock wells	
				Bonham	400	700	Clay or shale	Not known to yield water to wells	
				Ector			Chalk	Not known to yield water to wells	
			Eagle Ford		6	50	Shale with thin beds of sandstone and limestone	Yields small quantities of water to shallow wells	

1. Lithology from Wood and Guevara (1981) and Nordstrom (1982).)

2. Small quantities of water are generally less than 100 gallons per minute

3. Moderate quantities of water are generally 100 to 1,000 gallons per minute



Geology (from University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology)



West-East Cross Section (after McLaurin, 1988)







North-South Cross Section

Texas Water Development Board

(after McLaurin, 1988)

•

Water Use







Water Levels







Data Request

- Any un-published data to support the model
 - Geophysical logs
 - Pump tests
 - Water levels
 - Interpreted aquifer properties
 - Structural picks
 - Pumping information
- Data request by January 31, 2015



Tentative Schedule

2014

• June – SAF1

2015

- January deadline for receiving stakeholder data
- May draft conceptual model report
- June SAF2
- July Deadline for stakeholder comments on conceptual model

2016

- August draft model report
- September SAF3
- October deadline for comments on draft model report
- December final model report posted



Contact Information

Shirley Wade Shirley.Wade@twdb.texas.gov 512-936-0883

Texas Water Development Board 1700 North Congress Avenue P.O. Box 13231 Austin, Texas 78711-3231

Web information: http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/

http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/blsm/blsm.asp







Questions

Texas Water Development Board

First Stakeholder Advisory Forum for the Blossom Aquifer Groundwater Availability Model held at Daingerfield State Park Group Recreation Hall on June 25, 2014.

Attendance

Name	Affiliation
Wendell Davis	Red River WSC
Shirley Wade	Texas Water Development Board
Cindy Ridgeway	Texas Water Development Board
Radu Boghici	Texas Water Development Board

Questions and Answers

Question 1: Is the Blossom Aquifer confined or unconfined?

Response 1: Both, depending on the location.

Question 2: Are these three wells showing on your slide the only ones you'll use in the model?

Response 2: These are the wells that have a good amount of water level data. They (The TWDB Water Sciences and Conservation Groundwater Monitoring Group) definitely monitor more wells, but I wanted to show what the aquifer is doing over a long period of time.

Question 3: Is any of the Red River water getting into the Blossom Sands?

Response 3: We don't know. We're trying to answer this with the model. The geologist who has been working on the model layers believes the Red River Alluvium rests on top of a different geologic unit.

Question 4: Are there other aquifers below the Blossom?

Response 4: There are other geologic layers that could contain groundwater, but are not designated as aquifers due to lower formation productivity and/or salinity problems.

Question 4: Is the high rainfall (shown on the precipitation charts) right after the drought of record?

Response 5: The high rainfall occurred in 1957.

Comment: (Noting water use charts) Alot of the irrigation in the area comes from surface water impoundments.