## GAM RUN 23-008: FAYETTE COUNTY GROUNDWATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Sofia Avendaño and Ian Jones, Ph.D., P.G. Texas Water Development Board Groundwater Division Groundwater Modeling Department 512-936-6079 June 12, 2023



This page is intentionally blank

## GAM RUN 23-008: FAYETTE COUNTY GROUNDWATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT MANAGEMENT PLAN

Sofia Avendaño and Ian Jones, Ph.D., P.G. Texas Water Development Board Groundwater Division Groundwater Modeling Department 512-936-6079 June 12, 2023

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

Texas Water Code § 36.1071 (h), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, a groundwater conservation district shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the Executive Administrator.

The TWDB provides data and information to the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District in two parts. Part 1 is the Estimated Historical Water Use/State Water Plan dataset report, which will be provided to you separately by the TWDB Groundwater Technical Assistance Department. Please direct questions about the water data report to Mr. Stephen Allen at 512-463-7317 or <u>stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov</u>. Part 2 is the required groundwater availability modeling information, which includes:

- 1. the annual amount of recharge from precipitation, if any, to the groundwater resources within the district;
- 2. the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface-water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers, for each aquifer within the district; and
- 3. the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 4 of 26

The groundwater management plan for the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District should be adopted by the district on or before August 18, 2023 and submitted to the executive administrator of the TWDB on or before September 17, 2023. The current management plan for the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District expires on November 16, 2023.

The management plan information for the aquifers within Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District was extracted from three groundwater availability models. We used the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen-City, and Sparta aquifers (Young and Kushnereit, 2020, and Young and others, 2018) to analyze the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers. We used the groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (Deeds and others, 2010) to analyze the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer. Last, we used the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System (Chowdhury and others, 2004) to analyze the Gulf Coast Aquifer System.

This report replaces the results of GAM Run 17-019 (Shi, 2018) because it includes results from the updated groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers. Values may also differ from the previous report as a result of routine updates to the spatial grid file used to define county, groundwater conservation district, and aquifer boundaries, which can impact the calculated water budget values. Additionally, the approach used for analyzing model results is reviewed during each update and may have been refined to better delineate groundwater flows. Tables 1 through 5 summarize the groundwater availability model data required by statute. Figures 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 show the area of the model from which the values in Tables 1 through 5 were extracted. Figures 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 provide a generalized diagram of the groundwater flow components provided in Tables 1 through 5. If the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions after reviewing the figures, please notify the TWDB Groundwater Modeling Department at your earliest convenience.

The flow components presented in this report do not represent the full groundwater budget. If additional inflow and outflow information would be helpful for planning purposes, the district may submit a request in writing to the TWDB Groundwater Modeling Department for the full groundwater budget. GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 5 of 26

### **METHODS:**

In accordance with the provisions of the Texas Water Code § 36.1071(h), the groundwater availability models mentioned above were used to estimate information for the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District management plan. Water budgets for the historical calibration period of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System (1981 through 1999) and the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (1980 through 1997) groundwater availability models were extracted using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009). The water budgets for the historical calibration period of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City and Sparta aquifers groundwater availability model were extracted using ZONEBUDGET for MODFLOW USG Version 1.0 (Panday and others, 2013). The average annual water budget values for recharge, surface water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net cross-formation flow between aquifers, and net flow between aquifer and its equivalent portion located within the district are summarized in this report.

### PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

#### Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta Aquifers

- We used version 3.02 of the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers (Young and Kushnereit, 2020, and Young and others, 2018) to analyze the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers. See Young and Kushnereit (2020) and Young and others (2018) for assumptions and limitations of the model.
- The groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers contains ten layers which represent the following:
  - Layer 1 represents the Colorado River and Brazos River alluvium.
  - Layer 2 represents the shallow flow system of all units in layers 3 through 10.
  - Layer 3 represents the Sparta Aquifer and equivalent units.
  - Layer 4 represents the Weches Formation.
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Layer 5 represents the Queen City Aquifer and equivalent units.
  - Layer 6 represents the Reklaw Formation.

- Layers 7 through 10 represent the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer and equivalent units.
- The MODFLOW River package was used to simulate groundwater exchange with major rivers and perennial streams. Outflow from ephemeral streams, intermittent streams, and seeps were simulated using the MODFLOW Drain package. The evapotranspiration package was used to simulate groundwater evapotranspiration from the model.
- The model was run with MODFLOW-USG (Panday and others, 2013).
- Water budget terms were averaged for the period 1980 through 2010 (stress periods 52 through 82).

#### Yegua-Jackson Aquifer

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (Deeds and others, 2010) to analyze the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer. See Deeds and others (2010) for assumptions and limitations of the model.
- This groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer includes five layers which represent the following:
  - Layer 1 represents the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer outcrop, the Catahoula Formation, and other younger overlying units
  - Layer 2 represents the upper portion of the Jackson Group
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Layer 3 represents the lower portion of the Jackson Group  $\,$
  - Layer 4 represents the upper portion of the Yegua Group
  - Layer 5 represents the lower portion of the Yegua Group
- The model was run with MODFLOW-2000 (Harbaugh and others, 2000).
- An overall water budget for the district was determined for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (layers 1 through 5, collectively, for the portions of the model that represent the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer).
- The Catahoula Formation within Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District falls within the Gulf Coast Aquifer System, which allows us to

estimate the exchange between the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer and the Gulf Coast Aquifer System in this assessment.

• Water budget terms were averaged for the period 1980 through 1997 (stress periods 10 through 27).

#### Gulf Coast Aquifer System

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System (Chowdhury and others, 2004) to analyze the Gulf Coast Aquifer System. See Chowdhury and others (2004) and Waterstone Environmental Hydrology and Engineering, Inc. and Parsons (2003) for assumptions and limitations of the groundwater availability model.
- The groundwater availability model for the Gulf Coast Aquifer System has four layers which represent the following:
  - Layer 1 represents the Chicot Aquifer
  - Layer 2 represents the Evangeline Aquifer
  - Layer 3 represents the Burkeville Confining Unit
  - Layer 4 represents the Jasper Aquifer and parts of the Catahoula Formation in direct hydrologic communication with the Jasper Aquifer
- The model was run with MODFLOW-96 (Harbaugh and McDonald, 1996).
- Water budgets for the district were determined for the Gulf Coast Aquifer System (layers 1 through 4, collectively).
- Water budget terms were averaged for the period 1981 through 1999 (stress periods 3 through 87).

GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 8 of 26

### **RESULTS:**

A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected groundwater budget components listed below were extracted from the groundwater availability model results for the aquifers located within the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District and averaged over the historical calibration period, as shown in Tables 1 through 5.

- 1. Precipitation recharge—the areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
- 2. Surface-water outflow—the total water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface-water features such as streams, reservoirs, and springs.
- 3. Flow into and out of district—the lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
- 4. Flow between aquifers—the net vertical flow between the aquifer and adjacent aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs.

The information needed for the district's management plan is summarized in Tables 1 through 5. Figures 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 show the area of the model from which the values in Tables 1 through 5 were extracted. Figures 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 provide a generalized diagram of the groundwater flow components provided in Tables 1 through 5. It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as a district or county boundary, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.

# Table 1:Summarized information for the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer that is needed for<br/>the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District groundwater<br/>management plan. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and<br/>rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	3,165
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer	1,138
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer to Reklaw Confining Unit	345
	From Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer to Carrizo-Wilcox equivalent units	1,829



Figure 1: Area of the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers from which the information in Table 1 was extracted (the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer extent within the district boundary). GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 11 of 26



Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 1. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 2: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 1, representing directions of flow for the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer within the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

# Table 2:Summarized information for the Queen City Aquifer that is needed for the<br/>Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District groundwater<br/>management plan. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and<br/>rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Queen City Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Queen City Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Queen City Aquifer	2,091
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Queen City Aquifer	486
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From Queen City Aquifer to Weches Confining Unit	1,069
	To Queen City Aquifer from Reklaw Confining Unit	305
	From Queen City Aquifer to Queen City equivalent units	871

GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 13 of 26



Figure 3: Area of the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers from which the information in Table 2 was extracted (The Queen City Aquifer extent within the district boundary). GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 14 of 26



Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 2. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 4: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 2, representing directions of flow for the Queen City Aquifer within the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

# Table 3:Summarized information for the Sparta Aquifer that is needed for the<br/>Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District groundwater<br/>management plan. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and<br/>rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management plan requirement	Aquifer	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Sparta Aquifer	279
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Sparta Aquifer	0
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Sparta Aquifer	1,512
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Sparta Aquifer	463
	From Sparta Aquifer to overlying younger units	2,622
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	To Sparta Aquifer from Weches Confining Unit	1,300
	From Sparta Aquifer to Sparta equivalent units	280

GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 16 of 26



Figure 5: Area of the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Carrizo-Wilcox, Queen City, and Sparta aquifers from which the information in Table 3 was extracted (the Sparta Aquifer extent within the district boundary). GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 17 of 26



Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 3. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 6: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 3, representing directions of flow for the Sparta Aquifer within the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

# Table 4:Summarized information for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer that is needed for<br/>the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District groundwater<br/>management plan. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and<br/>rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	47,175
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	59,160
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	10,032
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer	7,063
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	From Yegua-Jackson Aquifer to Gulf Coast Aquifer	18
	From Yegua-Jackson Aquifer to Yegua-Jackson equivalent units	192

GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 19 of 26



Figure 7: Area of the groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer from which the information in Table 4 was extracted (the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer extent within the district boundary). GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 20 of 26



Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 4. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 8: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 4, representing directions of flow for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer within the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year.

# Table 5:Summarized information for the Gulf Coast Aquifer System that is needed<br/>for the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District groundwater<br/>management plan. All values are reported in acre-feet per year and<br/>rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	1,955
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	982
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	279
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Gulf Coast Aquifer System	1,375
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	To Gulf Coast Aquifer System from Yegua- Jackson Aquifer	18*

\* Estimated from the groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer.



Figure 9: Area of the groundwater availability model for the central portion of the Gulf Coast Aquifer System from which the information in Table 5 was extracted (the Gulf Coast Aquifer System extent with the district boundary). GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 23 of 26



Caveat: This diagram only includes the water budget items provided in Table 5. A complete water budget would include additional inflows and outflows. For a full groundwater budget, please submit a request in writing to the Groundwater Modeling Department.

Figure 10: Generalized diagram of the summarized budget information from Table 5, representing directions of flow for the Gulf Coast Aquifer System within the Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District. Flow values are expressed in acre-feet per year. GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 24 of 26

### LIMITATIONS:

The groundwater models used in completing this analysis are the best available scientific tools that can be used to meet the stated objectives. To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

"Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results."

A key aspect of using the groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historical pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and interaction with streams are specific to particular historic time periods.

Because the application of the groundwater models was designed to address regional scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations related to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of the groundwater model and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions.

### **REFERENCES:**

- Chowdhury, Ali. H., Wade, S., Mace, R.E., and Ridgeway, C., 2004, Groundwater Availability Model of the Central Gulf Coast Aquifer System: Numerical Simulations through 1999- Model Report, 114 p., <u>http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/glfc c/TWDB Recalibratio</u> <u>n Report.pdf</u>.
- Deeds, N. E., Yan, T., Singh, A., Jones, T. L., Kelley, V. A., Knox, P. R., and Young, S. C., 2010, Groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer: Final report prepared for the Texas Water Development Board by INTERA, Inc., 582 p., <u>http://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/ygjk/YGJK Model Report.p</u> <u>df</u>.
- Harbaugh, A. W., 2009, Zonebudget Version 3.01, A computer program for computing subregional water budgets for MODFLOW ground-water flow models, U.S. Geological Survey Groundwater Software.
- Harbaugh, A. W., Banta, E. R., Hill, M. C., and McDonald, M. G., 2000, MODFLOW-2000, the U.S. Geological Survey modular ground-water model -- User guide to modularization concepts and the Ground-Water Flow Process: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-92, 121 p.
- Harbaugh, A.W. and McDonald, M.G., 1996, User's documentation for MODFLOW-96, an update to the U.S. Geological Survey Modular Finite-Difference Ground-Water Flow Model: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 96-485.
- National Research Council, 2007, Models in Environmental Regulatory Decision Making Committee on Models in the Regulatory Decision Process, National Academies Press, Washington D.C., 287 p.
- Panday, S., Langevin, C.D., Niswonger, R.G., Ibaraki, M., and Hughes, J.D., 2013, MODFLOW-USG version 1: An unstructured grid version of MODFLOW for simulating groundwater flow and tightly coupled processes using a control volume finitedifference formulation: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 6, chap. A45, 66 p., <u>https://doi.org/10.3133/tm6A45</u>
- Shi, J., 2018, GAM Run 17-019: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan, 20 p., <u>https://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/docs/GAMruns/GR17-019.pdf</u>
- Waterstone Environmental Hydrology and Engineering Inc. and Parsons, 2003, Groundwater availability of the Central Gulf Coast Aquifer: Numerical Simulations to

GAM Run 23-008: Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District Management Plan June 12, 2023 Page 26 of 26

2050, Central Gulf Coast, Texas Contract report to the Texas Water Development Board, 157 p.

- Young, S., Jigmond, M., Jones, T. and Ewing, T., 2018, Final Report: Groundwater Availability Model for the Central Portion of the Sparta, Queen City and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifers. Model Report, Vol I-II, 932 p., <u>https://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/czwx\_c/Updated\_CWQCS</u> P GAM vol1 all.pdf?d=7944938
- Young, S., Kushnereit, R. and INTERA, 2020, GMA 12 Update to the Groundwater Availability Model for the central portion of the Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifers: Update to Improve Representation of the Transmissive Properties of the Simsboro Aquifer in the Vicinity of the Vista Ridge Well Field, 30 p., <u>https://www.twdb.texas.gov/groundwater/models/gam/czwx\_c/PE\_Report\_GMA1\_2\_final\_october\_2020\_merge.pdf?d=28007</u>

Texas Water Code § 36.1071