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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h) (Texas Water Code, 2011), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, a groundwater conservation district shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the executive administrator. Information derived from groundwater availability models that shall be included in the groundwater management plan includes:

- the annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the groundwater resources within the district, if any;
- for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and
- the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

This report—Part 2 of a two-part package of information from the TWDB to the Edwards Aquifer Authority—fulfills the requirements noted above. Part 1 of the two-part package is the Estimated Historical Water Use/State Water Plan data report. The District will receive this data report from the TWDB Groundwater Technical Assistance Section. Questions about the data report can be directed to Mr. Stephen Allen, stephen.allen@twdb.texas.gov, (512) 463-7317.
The groundwater management plan for the Edwards Aquifer Authority should be adopted by the district on or before October 7, 2015 and submitted to the executive administrator of the TWDB on or before November 6, 2015. The current groundwater management plan for the Edwards Aquifer Authority expires on January 5, 2016.

This report discusses the methods, assumptions, and results from a model run using the groundwater availability model for the San Antonio segment of the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer (Lindgren and others, 2004). This model run replaces the results of GAM Run 08-067 (Oliver, 2008). GAM Run 15-009 meets current standards set after the release of GAM Run 08-067. Possible groundwater flow downdip across the 1,000 milligrams per liter total dissolved solids concentration limit into the brackish zone was not reported in GAM Run 08-067.

Table 1 summarizes the groundwater availability model data required by statute, and Figure 1 shows the area of the model from which the values in Table 1 were extracted. If after review of the figure, the Edwards Aquifer Authority determines that the district boundaries used in the assessment do not reflect current conditions, please notify the TWDB at your earliest convenience.

**METHODS:**

In accordance with the provisions of the Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), the groundwater availability model for the San Antonio segment of the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer (Lindgren and others, 2004) was run for this analysis. Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer water budgets were extracted for a portion of the historical model period (1980 through 2000) using ZONEBUDGET Version 3.01 (Harbaugh, 2009). The average annual water budget values for recharge, discharge to surface water bodies, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net inter-aquifer flow (from the Trinity Aquifer to the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer), and net inter-aquifer flow (from the freshwater portion of the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer to the brackish portion of the aquifer) for the portion of the aquifer located within the district is summarized in this report.

**PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:**

Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer

- Version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the San Antonio segment of the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer was used for this analysis. See Lindgren and others (2004) for assumptions and limitations of the groundwater availability model.
• The groundwater availability model for the San Antonio segment of the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer consists of one layer representing the Edwards Aquifer (which includes multiple stratigraphic units with varying hydraulic properties).

• Conduit flow was simulated in the model by using narrow (one cell wide) zones with large hydraulic conductivity values as described in Lindgren and others (2004).

• Inflow from the adjacent Trinity Aquifer (Hill Country portion) was simulated in the model using the MODFLOW Well Package as described in Lindgren and others (2004).

• The model was run with MODFLOW-2000 (Harbaugh and McDonald, 1996).

RESULTS:

A groundwater budget summarizes the amount of water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected groundwater budget components listed below were extracted from the model results for the aquifers located within the district and averaged over the duration of the calibration and verification portion of the model run in the district, as shown in Table 1.

• Precipitation recharge—The areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.

• Surface water outflow—The total amount of water discharging from the aquifer (outflow) to surface water features such as streams, reservoirs, and springs.

• Flow into and out of district—The lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.

• Flow between aquifers—The net vertical flow between the aquifer and adjacent aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer or confining unit and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs.

It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as a
district or county boundary, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.

**FIGURE 1**: AREA OF THE GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY MODEL FOR THE SAN ANTONIO SEGMENT OF THE EDWARDS (BALCONES FAULT ZONE) AQUIFER FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IN TABLE 1 WAS EXTRACTED (THE EDWARDS AQUIFER EXTENT WITHIN THE DISTRICT BOUNDARY).
TABLE 1: SUMMARIZED INFORMATION FOR THE SAN ANTONIO SEGMENT OF THE EDWARDS (BALCONES FAULT ZONE) AQUIFER THAT IS NEEDED FOR THE EDWARDS AQUIFER AUTHORITY’S GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN. ALL VALUES ARE REPORTED IN ACRE-FEET PER YEAR AND ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST 1 ACRE-FOOT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Plan requirement</th>
<th>Aquifer or confining unit</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district</td>
<td>Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer</td>
<td>592,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers</td>
<td>Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer</td>
<td>142,248*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer</td>
<td>548,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer</td>
<td>442,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district</td>
<td>From the Trinity Aquifer to the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer.</td>
<td>13,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From the freshwater portion of the Edwards (Balcones Fault Zone) Aquifer to the brackish zone</td>
<td>131,743</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The discharge term does not include model estimates of groundwater discharge from Comal Springs, 196,393 acre-feet per year (1980 through 2000 average) and Leona Springs, 49,422 acre-feet per year (1980 through 2000 average). The model locations of these springs are just outside of the official aquifer boundary.
LIMITATIONS:

The groundwater model(s) used in completing this analysis is the best available scientific tool that can be used to meet the stated objective(s). To the extent that this analysis will be used for planning purposes and/or regulatory purposes related to pumping in the past and into the future, it is important to recognize the assumptions and limitations associated with the use of the results. In reviewing the use of models in environmental regulatory decision making, the National Research Council (2007) noted:

“Models will always be constrained by computational limitations, assumptions, and knowledge gaps. They can best be viewed as tools to help inform decisions rather than as machines to generate truth or make decisions. Scientific advances will never make it possible to build a perfect model that accounts for every aspect of reality or to prove that a given model is correct in all respects for a particular regulatory application. These characteristics make evaluation of a regulatory model more complex than solely a comparison of measurement data with model results.”

A key aspect of using the groundwater model to evaluate historic groundwater flow conditions includes the assumptions about the location in the aquifer where historic pumping was placed. Understanding the amount and location of historic pumping is as important as evaluating the volume of groundwater flow into and out of the district, between aquifers within the district (as applicable), interactions with surface water (as applicable), recharge to the aquifer system (as applicable), and other metrics that describe the impacts of that pumping. In addition, assumptions regarding precipitation, recharge, and interaction with streams are specific to particular historic time periods.

Because the application of the groundwater models was designed to address regional scale questions, the results are most effective on a regional scale. The TWDB makes no warranties or representations related to the actual conditions of any aquifer at a particular location or at a particular time.

It is important for groundwater conservation districts to monitor groundwater pumping and overall conditions of the aquifer. Because of the limitations of the groundwater model and the assumptions in this analysis, it is important that the groundwater conservation districts work with the TWDB to refine this analysis in the future given the reality of how the aquifer responds to the actual amount and location of pumping now and in the future. Historic precipitation patterns also need to be placed in context as future climatic conditions, such as dry and wet year precipitation patterns, may differ and affect groundwater flow conditions.
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