

GAM Run 08-39

by Cynthia K. Ridgeway, P.G.

Texas Water Development Board
Groundwater Availability Modeling Section
(512) 936-2386
May 30, 2008

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, groundwater conservation districts shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the Executive Administrator. Information derived from groundwater availability models that shall be included in groundwater management plans include:

- (1) the annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the groundwater resources within the district, if any;
- (2) for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and
- (3) the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

The purpose of this model run is to provide information to the Refugio Groundwater Conservation District for its groundwater management plan. The groundwater management plan for the Refugio Groundwater Conservation District is due for approval by the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board before December 29, 2008.

This report discusses the method, assumptions, and results from model runs using the groundwater availability models for the central part of the Gulf Coast Aquifer. Table 1 summarizes the groundwater availability model data required by statute for the Refugio Groundwater Conservation Districts groundwater management plan.

METHODS:

We ran the groundwater availability model for the central part of the Gulf Coast Aquifer and (1) extracted water budgets for each year of the 1980 through 1999 period and (2) averaged the annual water budget values for recharge, surface water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net inter-aquifer flow (upper), and net inter-aquifer flow (lower) for the portions of the Gulf Coast Aquifer located within the district.

PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

- We used Version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the central part of the Gulf Coast Aquifer. See Chowdhury and others (2004) and Waterstone and others (2003) for assumptions and limitations of the groundwater availability model for the central part of the Gulf Coast Aquifer.
- The model simulates groundwater flow through four hydrostratigraphic layers. From top to bottom, these layers are: the Chicot Aquifer, Evangeline Aquifer, Burkeville Confining System, and the Jasper Aquifer.
- The mean absolute error (a measure of the difference between simulated and actual water levels during model calibration) in the entire model for 1999 is 26 feet, which is 4.6 percent of the hydraulic head drop across the model area (Chowdhury and others, 2004).
- We used Groundwater Vistas Version 5 (Environmental Simulations, Inc. 2007) as the interface to process model output results.

RESULTS:

A groundwater budget summarizes the water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected components were extracted from the groundwater budget for the aquifers located within the district and averaged over the duration of the calibrated portion of the model run (1980 to 1999). The components of the modified budgets shown in Table 1 include:

- Precipitation recharge—This is the areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
- Surface water outflow—This is the total water exiting the aquifer (outflow) to surface water features such as streams, reservoirs, and drains (springs).
- Flow into and out of district—This component describes lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
- Flow between aquifers—This describes the vertical flow, or leakage, between aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer or confining unit and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs. “Inflow” to an aquifer from an overlying or underlying aquifer will always equal the “Outflow” from the other aquifer.

The information needed for the district’s management plan is summarized in Table 1. It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double

accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as district or county boundaries, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.

REFERENCES:

Chowdhury, A.H., Wade, S.W., Mace, R.E., and Ridgeway, C., 2004, Groundwater availability model of the central Gulf Coast Aquifer system—Numerical simulations through 1999: Unpublished Texas Water Development Board report, 114 p.
http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/gam/glfc_c/glfc_c_TWDB_SummaryReport.pdf

Environmental Simulations, Inc. 2007, Guide to Using Groundwater Vistas Version 5, 381 p.

Waterstone Environmental Hydrology and Engineering Inc. and Parsons, 2003, Groundwater availability of the Central Gulf Coast Aquifer: Numerical Simulations to 2050, Central Gulf Coast, Texas Contract report to the Texas Water Development Board, 157 p.



The seal appearing on this document was authorized by Cynthia K. Ridgeway, P.G., on May 30, 2008.

Table 1: Summarized information needed for the Refugio Groundwater Conservation District’s groundwater management plan. All values are reported in acre-feet per year. All numbers are rounded to the nearest 1 acre-foot.

Management Plan requirement	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
Estimated annual amount of recharge from precipitation to the district	Chicot	13,172
	Evangeline	0
	Burkeville	0
	Jasper	0
Estimated annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water body including lakes, streams, and rivers	Chicot	36,476
	Evangeline	0
	Burkeville	0
	Jasper	0
Estimated annual volume of flow into the district within each aquifer in the district	Chicot	13,002
	Evangeline	6,608
	Burkeville	32
	Jasper	0
Estimated annual volume of flow out of the district within each aquifer in the district	Chicot	6,997
	Evangeline	2,055
	Burkeville	5
	Jasper	0
Estimated net annual volume of flow between each aquifer in the district	Evangeline into Chicot	3,895
	Burkeville into Evangeline	31
	Burkeville into Jasper	0