GAM Run 08-07

by Cynthia K. Ridgeway, P.G.

Texas Water Development Board Groundwater Availability Modeling Section (512) 936-2386 April 29, 2008

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Texas State Water Code, Section 36.1071, Subsection (h), states that, in developing its groundwater management plan, groundwater conservation districts shall use groundwater availability modeling information provided by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board in conjunction with any available site-specific information provided by the district for review and comment to the Executive Administrator. Information derived from groundwater availability models that shall be included in groundwater management plans include:

- (1) the annual amount of recharge from precipitation, if any, to the groundwater resources within the district;
- (2) for each aquifer within the district, the annual volume of water that discharges from the aquifer to springs and any surface water bodies, including lakes, streams, and rivers; and
- (3) the annual volume of flow into and out of the district within each aquifer and between aquifers in the district.

The purpose of this groundwater availability model run is to provide information to the Headwaters Groundwater Conservation District needed for its groundwater management plan. The groundwater management plan for the Headwaters Groundwater Conservation District is due for approval by the Executive Administrator of the Texas Water Development Board before November 21, 2008.

This report discusses the methods, assumptions, and results from model runs using the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer. Table 1 summarizes the groundwater availability model data required by statute for the Headwaters Groundwater Conservation Districts groundwater management plan.

The Hickory and Ellenbuger-San Saba aquifers also underlie the Headwaters Groundwater Conservation District. If the district would like information for the Hickory and Ellenbuger-San Saba aquifers, they may request it from the Groundwater Technical Assistance Section of the Texas Water Development Board.

METHODS:

We ran the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer and (1) extracted water budgets for each year of the 1980 through 1999 period and (2)

averaged the annual water budget values for recharge, surface water outflow, inflow to the district, outflow from the district, net inter-aquifer flow (upper) and net inter-aquifer flow (lower) for the portions of the Edwards and the Trinity aquifers located within the district.

PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

- We used version 1.01 of the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer.
- In the analysis, the pumpage distribution for each transient calibrated model is the same as described in Anaya and Jones (2004).
- The root mean squared error (a measure of the difference between simulated and actual water levels during model calibration) in the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) groundwater availability model for the period of 1990 to 2000 is 143 feet, or six percent of the range of measured water levels (Anaya and Jones, 2004).
- The Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer model includes two layers representing the Edwards and associated limestones (Layer 1) and the undifferentiated Trinity units (Layer 2) in the district.
- We used Processing Modflow for Windows (PMWIN) version 5.3 (Chiang and Kinzelbach, 2001) as the interface to process model output.

RESULTS:

A groundwater budget summarizes the water entering and leaving the aquifer according to the groundwater availability model. Selected components were extracted from the groundwater budget and averaged over the duration of the calibrated portion of the model run (1980 through 1999). The components of the modified budgets shown in Table 1 include:

- Precipitation recharge—This is the areally distributed recharge sourced from precipitation falling on the outcrop areas of the aquifers (where the aquifer is exposed at land surface) within the district.
- Surface water outflow—This is the total water exiting the aquifer (outflow) to surface water features such as streams, reservoirs, and drains (springs).
- Lateral flow into and out of district—This component describes lateral flow within the aquifer between the district and adjacent counties.
- Net inter-aquifer flow—This describes the vertical flow, or leakage, between aquifers or confining units. This flow is controlled by the relative water levels in each aquifer or confining unit and aquifer properties of each aquifer or confining unit that define the amount of leakage that occurs. "Inflow" to an aquifer from an

overlying or underlying aquifer will always equal the "Outflow" from the other aquifer.

The information needed for the district's management plan is summarized in Table 1. It is important to note that sub-regional water budgets are not exact. This is due to the size of the model cells and the approach used to extract data from the model. To avoid double accounting, a model cell that straddles a political boundary, such as district or county boundaries, is assigned to one side of the boundary based on the location of the centroid of the model cell. For example, if a cell contains two counties, the cell is assigned to the county where the centroid of the cell is located.

REFERENCES:

Anaya, R., and Jones, I., 2004, Groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Cenozoic Pecos Alluvium aquifer systems, Texas: Texas Water Development Board, GAM Report, 208 p., http://www.twdb.state.tx.us/gam/eddt_p/eddt_p.htm, accessed on April 29, 2008.

Chiang, W. and Kinzelbach, W., 2001, Groundwater Modeling with PMWIN, 346 p.

Table 1: Summarized information needed for the Headwaters Groundwater Conservation District's groundwater management plan. All values are reported in acre-feet per year. All numbers are rounded to the nearest 1 acrefoot.

Management Plan	Aquifer or confining unit	Results
requirement		
Estimated annual amount of	Edwards and associated limestones	19,393
recharge from precipitation to	undifferentiated Trinity units	27,829
the district	-	21,029
Estimated annual volume of	Edwards and associated limestones	-16,710
water that discharges from the		
aquifer to springs and any	undifferentiated Trinity units	-19,145
surface water body including		-19,143
lakes, streams, and rivers		
Estimated annual volume of	Edwards and associated limestones	3,983
flow into the district within each	undifferentiated Trinity units	6511
aquifer in the district	•	6,544
Estimated annual volume of	Edwards and associated limestones	-12,399
flow out of the district within	undifferentiated Trinity units	15 047
each aquifer in the district	·	-15,947
Estimated net annual volume of	Edwards into Trinity	
flow between each aquifer in the		-1,424
district		



The seal appearing on this document was authorized by Cynthia K. Ridgeway, P.G., on April 29, 2008.