# Study Results: Delineating Areas Designated or Used for Class II Well Wastewater Injectate







**TAGD Winter Business Meeting** 

February 08, 2022





John M. Sharp Ph.D., P.G. Rohit R. Goswami Ph.D., P.E.

#### **Background: Texas needs Water**



vsp

#### **Background: Texas needs Water**



#### HB 30: Requirements

- TWDB-BRACS Identifies and designates Brackish Groundwater Production Zones (BGPZs)
  - BRACS = Brackish Resources Aquifer Characterization System
- Determine the amount of brackish groundwater production from BGPZs over:
  - a 30-year period,
  - a 50-year period; and
  - without causing significant impact to water availability or water quality
- Make recommendations regarding reasonable monitoring
  - to observe the effects of brackish groundwater production within the BGPZs

# Statutory Requirements for BGPZ Designation

Must have brackish water	In areas of the state with moderate to high availability and productivity
Must have hydrogeologic barriers	Sufficient to prevent significant impacts to freshwater availability or quality
Cannot be within these boundaries	Edwards Aquifer within the Edwards Aquifer Authority, Barton Springs-Edwards Aquifer Conservation District, Harris- Galveston Subsidence District, Fort Bend Subsidence District, and Dockum Aquifer
Cannot be already in use	Brackish water already serving as a significant source of water supply for municipal, domestic or agricultural
Cannot be used for wastewater injection	Permitted under Title 2 of Texas Water Code, Chapter 27 [Class II Underground Injection Control (UIC) Wells used for saltwater disposal (SWD)]

Texas Water

**Development Board** 

**\\S**]

#### **Statutory Requirements & Criteria for BGPZ**



Class II wells are potentially injecting wastewater into the brackish portions of Texas Aquifers

#### **Aquifer BGPZ Example**



- Previous BRACS studies applied 15-mile buffer to all class II wells injecting into aquifer study areas.
- Stakeholders pushed back on the 15-mile buffer designation
  - too conservative
  - scientifically defensible?

#### **Aquifer Assessment**



#### **Aquifer Assessment Maps**



#### **Aquifer Assessment Maps**



#### Aquifer Assessment Master Table – default aquifer parameters

**\\S**[]

S No.	Aquifer Name	1	Kx (ft/day)			Ky (ft/day)			Kz (ft/day)				Effective Porosity				Transmissivity (ft²/day)				Τ		
3.110	Aquiter Name	Layers	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Min	Мах	Mean	Median	
1	Nacatoch	Layer 2 - Nacatoch	2.5	9.5	5	6	2.5	10	5	6	0.3	1	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	125	4,150	1,400	2,100	
		Layer 1 - Shallow outcrop Northern Trinity	0.03	100	15	2	0.03	100	15	2	1.0E-04	9.1	1	0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	2.324	34,000	1,313	752	
		Layer 4 - Paluxy Formation	0.01	9.1	1.5	1.2	0.01	9.1	1.5	1.2	0.006	9.1	1.1	1.2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	802	82	18	
2	Trinity (northern section)	Layer 5 - Glen Rose Formation	0.2	9.1	1.3	1.3	0.2	9.1	1.3	1.3	0.2	9.1	1	1	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	388	194	142	27	
2	minity (normern section)	Layer 6 - Hensell Member	0.02	9	3	3	0.02	9	3	3	0.2	9	0.9	0.9	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	1	206	168	46	Γ
		Layer 7 - Pearsall/Cow Creek/Hammett members	0.01	9	1	1.4	0.01	9	1	1.4	0.009	9	0.6	0.6	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.3	610	99	14	
		Layer 8 - Hosston Member	0.6	9.1	3.2	3.2	0.6	9.1	3.2	3.2	4.0E-06	9.1	0.5	0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	18	4,276	509	194	Γ
3	Blossom	-	2.7	7.0	4.4	3.7	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<del></del>	-	85.0	550.0	290.0	205.0	
0		Layer 3 -Carrizo	1.0E-04	13	1.0	0.5	1.0E-04	13	1.0	0.5	1.0E-04	13	1.0	0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	8,536	920	270	
	Carrizo-Wilcox (southern	Layer 4 - Upper Wilcox	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	_	_	-	-	11	1,085	303	210	Γ
4	section)	Layer 5- Middle Wilcox	0.1	83	10	3	0.1	83	10	3	0.1	83	10	3	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	77	59,088	6,285	1,902	
		Layer 6- Lower Wilcox	0.3	3	1.8	3	0.3	3	1.8	3	0.3	3	1.8	3	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	6	3,615	786	116	

# **Potential Mapping Techniques**

- 1. Analytical solutions (Preferred method):
  - Stable
  - Easy-to-use
  - Simplifying assumptions but exact solutions
  - EPA (1994), Bear & Jacobs (1965)
  - Applicable on a regional scale
- 2. Numerical solutions :
  - Accommodate complex systems
  - Intensive data requirements
  - potentially unstable, require advanced users
  - Modflow 6
  - Applicable on a small/local scale

Current EPA procedure is to calculate "Zone of Endangering Influence"





#### Proposed Methods: Two-tiered – Analytical Solutions

- Tier 1 Analysis (no flow direction)
  - EPA (1994)
  - Bear and Jacobs (1965)
  - Compute maximum migration extent

 $r(t) = \left(\frac{Qt}{\pi\phi b}\right)^{1/2}$ 

- Tier 2 Analysis (flow direction available)
  - Both gradient and direction of flow
  - Bear and Jacobs (1965)

$$t_D = x_D - ln\{1 + x_D\}$$

$$x_D = \frac{2\pi q b}{Q} \bar{x}$$

 $t_D = \frac{2\pi q^2 b}{\phi O} t$ 

Tiar 1

### **Limitation of Analytical Solutions**

- Analytical solutions solve on a well-well basis
- Underestimates injectate migration when compared to numerical solution



## **Final Workflow**



#### **FME Tools**

#### 🐵 FME SERVER

#### Texas Water Development Board

Welcome to the Texas Water Development Board Toolbox Please click on the link below to open the workflow page.



#### Well Intersection Workflow

This workflow is to determine:

1. Injection wells located within relevant XY study area boundaries.

2. Determine which wells have screens intersecting the aquifer of interest.

3. Generate SSPA input tables, including only wells that have screens intersecting the aquifer of interest.

wsp.com if you are a h

#### Well Injection Workflow

This workflow process the Texas Railroad Commission (RRC) Database Files and generate the "gClass2\_InjWell" table.

Here are the links to download the input files for this workflow:

#### Underground Injection Control (UIC) database

Click on the link below and download the uif700a.txt.gz file https://mft.rrc.texas.gov/link/445ce1ae-233d-4590-92a2-e71f5908f3a1

#### Oil & Gas Full Wellbore database

Click on the link below and download the *dbf900.txt.gz* file https://mft.rrc.texas.gov/link/9ef1955f-cf26-4bd4-8030-1253eb772cf9

Please Upload the dbf900.txt.gz file	😂 Browse Resources 🛛 OF 🗶 Upload File
Please Upload the uif700a.txt.gz file	Browse Resources Of 1Upload File
Email results to	

Aquifer Name	
Upload gClass2_InjWell Table (*.csv)	Browse Resources Of 🛓 Upload File
Upload Injection Statistics Table (*.xlsx)	Browse Resources Or Lupload File
Upload Project Study Boundary in GAM Projection (*.zip)	Browse Resources OF 🗘 Upload File

Texas Water Development Board

### **Injectate Mapping Tool**





#### **Tool Testing: Nacatoch Aquifer**



- Original designation (2019)
  - 525 Class II wells
    - 84 SWD wells
    - 441 EOR wells

#### Updated Method (2021 Study)

- 435 Class II wells
  - 60 SWD wells
  - 375 EOR wells
- Largest injectate radius

– 6 miles



**\\S**])



BRACS team to apply tools and analyze outputs of injectate mapping tool and complete QA/QC

- Apply tools and procedures for Class II injection wells to aquifers ready for zone evaluation.
- 2. Provide list of Class II injection wells injecting to formation of interest to RRC for review and feedback.
- 3. Conduct the whole aquifer zone evaluation for all other statute requirements
- 4. Select buffer distances for Class II injection wells
  - Injection mapping tool provides model for injectate migration but not buffer distance.
  - BRACS is developing guidance and will seek stakeholder feedback.
    Please contact us to be added to the stakeholder list.



# Thank you!

- Contact us with comments/questions or to be added to the stakeholder list

— Juan P. Acevedo, Juan.Acevedo@twdb.texas.gov

– Or visit the study website:

www.twdb.texas.gov/innovativewater/bracs/projects/Injection/index.asp

wsp

