

STATE OF TEXAS

Flood Infrastructure Fund

Intended Use Plan

SFY 2024–2025

TEXAS WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD
PO BOX 13231 ■ AUSTIN, TX 78711



2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Contents

Program Overview	4
Eligible Applicants	4
Eligible Projects	4
Planning Phase Activities	4
Construction/Rehabilitation Phase Activities	5
Nature-Based Solution Activities	5
Other Eligible Activities.....	5
Financial Assistance Categories	6
Flood Management Evaluation Category	6
Flood Mitigation Project Category	6
Flood Management Strategy Category	7
Federal Award Matching Funds	7
United States Iron and Steel Requirement	7
Minimum Standards	7
Additional Requirements and Exceptions for Flood Management Evaluation Category.....	11
Additional Requirements and Exceptions for Flood Management Strategy Category.....	12
2024-2025 Project Solicitation	12
Process.....	13
Step 1: Submit Abridged Application.....	13
Step 2: Project Prioritization	13
Step 3: Invitation to Apply.....	13
Step 4: Financial Assistance Commitments.....	14
Step 5: Close on Financial Assistance	14
Abridged Applications.....	14
Prioritization and Selection Process	14
Year-Round Submittals	15
Eligibilities and Financing Details by Category	16
Flood Management Evaluation Category	16
Flood Mitigation Project Category	17
Flood Management Strategy Category	18

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Amount Available	20
Amount Available by Category.....	20
Allocations of Funds	21
Financing Terms	21
Release of Funds – Outlays, Escrow Releases, and Other Methods of Disbursements.....	22
Prioritization Criteria	22
Flood Information Clearinghouse Committee.....	23
Appendix A: Working 2024 State Flood Plan Flood Management Evaluation (FME), Flood Mitigation Project (FMP), and Flood Management Strategy (FMS) Ranking Criteria and Weight.....	24
Attachment 1: Examples of Grant Percentage Calculation for Each Category.....	25
Attachment 2: Project List.....	27

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Program Overview

The 86th Texas Legislature passed several bills entrusting the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) with responsibilities related to funding flood mitigation projects and planning for future flood events. On November 5, 2019, Texas voters approved Proposition 8, a constitutional amendment providing for the creation of the Flood Infrastructure Fund (FIF). During the 88th Legislative Session, Senate Bill 30 provided over \$624 million from the general revenue fund in additional funding to the FIF program. The TWDB anticipates utilizing at least \$375 million during this two-year cycle (SFYs 2024-2025) to assist communities with their FIF projects.

The FIF program assists in the financing of drainage, flood mitigation, and flood control projects, including:

- planning and design activities,
- work to obtain necessary regulatory approvals, and
- construction and/or implementation of flood projects.

Administrative rules for the flood mitigation project funding are found in 31 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Part 10, Chapter 363. This Intended Use Plan (IUP) contains the eligibility criteria, structure of financial assistance, including any subsidies, and criteria to be used by the executive administrator in prioritization of applications and recommendations to the Board.

Eligible Applicants

Political subdivisions may apply for financial assistance for flood projects. Specifically, eligible political subdivisions are cities, counties, and any district or authority created under [Article III, Section 52](#) or [Article XVI, Section 59](#) of the Texas Constitution.

Other political subdivisions and nonprofit water supply corporations operating under Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code are only eligible to apply for financial assistance for the Flood Management Evaluation (FME) Category.

Eligible Projects

The FIF program allows for a wide range of flood projects. Only Flood Management Evaluations (FME), Flood Mitigation Projects (FMP), and Flood Management Strategies (FMS) recommended in an amended regional flood plan approved by the TWDB are eligible for financial assistance. Upon adoption of the first state flood plan in 2024, only FMEs, FMPs, and FMSs recommended in the Board-adopted state flood plan will be eligible for financial assistance. Eligible project examples include, but are not limited to, the following:

Planning Phase Activities

- Preliminary engineering
- Project design
- Feasibility assessments
- Coordination and development of regional projects
- Obtaining regulatory approvals

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

- Hydraulic and hydrologic studies

Construction/Rehabilitation Phase Activities

- Drainage infrastructure (e.g., channels, ditches, ponds, pipes, etc.)
- Flood control infrastructure
- Flood mitigation infrastructure
- Retention basins
- Detention ponds
- Sustainable infrastructure
- Nonstructural flood mitigation
- Development of or amendments to flood related codes
- Permeable pavement
- Erosion control
- Levees
- Pump stations
- Rehabilitation of existing infrastructure taking into consideration methods of improving resiliency (not including costs associated with current or future operations and maintenance activities)
- Property acquisitions determined to be the best solution for highest-risk properties
- Restoration of riparian corridors, floodplains, coastal areas, and wetlands
- Natural erosion and runoff control
- Reasonable number of improvements to ancillary systems directly related to the project as determined by TWDB

Nature-Based Solution Activities

- Green stormwater infrastructure
- Stormwater parks
- Living shorelines
- Protection and restoration of riparian corridors, floodplains, coastal area, wetlands

Other Eligible Activities

The assistance is also able to support activities that may not traditionally be thought of as flood projects. These include:

- Warning systems
- Stream gages
- Educational campaigns
- Crossing barriers

Applicants are encouraged to discuss the eligibility of prospective requests with the TWDB. Only complete projects from the regional flood plan will be considered for financial assistance. Abridged applications containing partial projects from the regional flood plan will be considered ineligible for the FIF. Disallowing partial projects is intended to align project score with the benefits generated by a project. If a project is divided

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

into multipart projects, it may be considered as a phased project. However, the benefits of all the phases of the project selected for a single FIF application will be utilized to compute a ranking score of the project. Thus, the data used for the ranking of the project in the FIF funding prioritization associated with the FIF application may have to be updated to reflect any reduced benefits due to phasing the project.

For more detailed, project specific guidance about the FIF program, please review the FIF Program Guidance found here: www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/instructions/doc/TWDB-0104.pdf.

Financial Assistance Categories

Loans and grants, depending on the grant qualifier eligibility, are offered in the FIF program depending on the activity funded. Eligible activities are organized into three categories.

Flood Management Evaluation Category

Proposed activities must be a recommended Flood Management Evaluation (FME) in a regional flood plan. Under this category, eligible applicants conduct studies to identify, assess, and quantify flood risk or identify, evaluate, and recommend flood risk reduction solutions. These studies involve planning of entire watersheds or sub-watersheds, as required by the TWDB regional flood planning program, to better inform the development of strategies using structural and nonstructural measures before a flood event. This may include determining and describing problems from or related to flooding, identifying, and planning solutions to flooding problems, and estimating the benefits and costs of these solutions. The requirement of modeling an entire HUC10 watershed was removed for the SFY 2024-2025 FIF funding cycle. However, it is required that the area of the entire upstream contributing watershed or sub-watershed to the discharge point of interest is considered when determining solution alternatives for an area identified as being at flood risk or a neighborhood identified with flood loss. A determination of 'no negative impact' both upstream and downstream of project area is also required.

All activities under this category must be considered "flood control planning" as defined in Texas Water Code Section 15.405; however, this does not mean all activities listed in that section are eligible under the FME Category. For example, design activities, including engineering plans and specifications, would be funded under the Flood Mitigation Projects (FMP) Category. The FME Category does not include the actual preparation of a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

Flood Mitigation Project Category

Proposed activities must be a recommended Flood Mitigation Project (FMP) in a regional flood plan. Under this category, eligible applicants may undertake activities to construct or rehabilitate structural flood mitigation improvements or implement non-structural improvements.

Planning, Acquisition, and Design includes activities related to planning, land acquisition, and/or design of the project. Planning includes feasibility analyses, detailed hydraulic and hydrological studies, activities to obtain regulatory approval, and coordination of other related work.

Construction, Rehabilitation, and Implementation includes construction and rehabilitation activities, but may also include demolition, decommissioning, and other activities not necessarily thought of as construction.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Flood Management Strategy Category

Proposed activities must be a recommended Flood Management Strategy (FMS) in a regional flood plan. An FMS is a flood risk reduction solution idea or strategy that does not belong in FME or FMP categories. Examples may include regulatory enhancements, development of entity-wide buyout programs, and public outreach and education. This category may include projects that can be implemented quickly and are understood to be immediately effective in protecting life and property. Eligible FMS Category projects include warning systems, crossing barriers, gages, and public education and outreach. TWDB does not maintain an exhaustive list of activities eligible under the FMS Category, and applicants are encouraged to discuss possible FMS Category proposals with the TWDB.

Federal Award Matching Funds

Grant funds may be provided for a portion of the applicant's required federal match amount. The applicant must have received a federal award for flood-related activities contingent on the availability of local matching funds. Proposed activities must be a recommended FME, FMP, or FMS in a regional flood plan and may fall in any of the categories. A federal application must be submitted by the abridged application submission date, and federal funds must be awarded by the TWDB's commitment date.

United States Iron and Steel Requirement

For informational purposes to applicants, the United States Iron and Steel (US I&S) requirements in Texas Government Code, Chapter 2252, Subchapter G apply to the FIF. Construction of projects funded through the FIF are required to use iron and steel products made in the United States. However, if the recipient can justify a claim made under one of the following categories, a waiver may be granted. Until a waiver is granted by the TWDB, the recipient must adhere to the US I&S requirements.

A waiver may be granted if TWDB determines that:

- Iron and steel products produced in the United States are not produced in sufficient quantities, reasonably available, or of satisfactory quality;
- Use of iron and steel products produced in the United States will increase the cost of the overall project by more than 20 percent; or
- Complying with the US I&S requirements is inconsistent with the public interest.

Minimum Standards

Items on this list constitute minimum eligibility criteria that must be met by all projects seeking funding consideration.

1. Benefit/Cost Ratio

A Benefit/Cost Ratio (BCR) is the result of a Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA). For all construction-oriented projects (e.g., structural flood improvements, elevations, and buyouts) to be eligible, both the costs and the benefits of proposed projects **must** be quantified and reported. The benefits may include a variety of items including, but not limited to, property losses avoided, risk of injuries or fatalities prevented, and

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

economic disruption or environmental losses avoided. A BCR must be reported as a number with at least one decimal place (e.g., "1.1").

A BCR greater than or equal to 1.0 is generally preferred to justify investments in the construction of flood projects. If an abridged application is submitted with a BCR of less than 1.0, the applicant will be required to submit the complete application with an updated BCR of greater than or equal to 1.0 and supporting documentation. If the reported BCR of the complete application for the proposed project is less than 1.0, the applicant must provide a detailed explanation for why the applicant considers the project to be justified, including a discussion of the primary benefits of the project, if any, that could not be quantified and were therefore not included in the BCR calculation.

The following information **is required in the abridged applications** to satisfy minimum standards:

- For construction-oriented project applications, including PAD-only:
 - A description of the BCA methodology used, including the specific analysis tool and version used.
 - A list of the key assumptions/parameters used to generate the BCA.
 - A detailed BCA calculation.
 - Identify and explain the responsible party for operation and maintenance (O&M) of the infrastructure and from what funding source O&M will be provided. O&M costs should be included within the BCA.

There are no specific BCA tools that must be used in determining the BCR. Free BCA tools that can be utilized include the following:

- FEMA BCA – www.fema.gov/benefit-cost-analysis
- USACE BCA – www.hec.usace.army.mil/software/hec-fda/
- TWDB's BCA Input Tool that works with FEMA BCA – <https://www.twdb.texas.gov/flood/planning/planningdocu/2028/doc/BCA-Input-Workbook.xlsm>

BCRs are not required to be provided for the following projects:

- Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Category
- Flood Management Strategies (FMS) Category
- Federal Award Matching Funds if the following are true:
 - If a project has an associated BCA developed and reviewed for a federal award. Verification of the prior BCA approval is required.
 - When a federal grant program generally requires a BCA, but specifically exempts it for certain projects (e.g., projects with lesser costs or non-construction projects), then the TWDB will also not require a BCA for FIF consideration. However, the TWDB will require a BCA if the proposed project is construction-oriented.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

2. Required Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

The MOU requirement was imposed by the Texas Legislature in Texas Water Code § 15.005. The MOU requirement only applies to flood control projects (defined in 31 Texas Administrative Code § 363.402), which include the construction or rehabilitation of structural mitigation or anything that retains, diverts, redirects, impedes, or otherwise modifies the flow of water. The requirement only applies if the project watershed (defined in 31 Texas Administrative Code § 363.402 as the area upstream and downstream substantially affected by the proposed flood project, as documented in the project application, and sealed by a Professional Engineer or Professional Geoscientist) lies partially outside the applicant's boundaries. If the project watershed lies wholly within the applicant's boundaries, no MOU is required. The requirement only necessitates MOUs with "eligible political subdivisions" (as defined in Texas Water Code § 15.531 as a district or authority created under Section 52, Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, a municipality, or a county).

If applicable, the applicant **must** submit an MOU relating to management of the project watershed. If applicable, the MOU must be approved and signed by all governing bodies of eligible political subdivisions located in the project watershed. The applicant must submit either a single MOU that includes all governing bodies of all political subdivisions required to sign or develop individual MOUs with each political subdivision or groups of political subdivisions within the watershed. All the required MOUs must relate to the management of the watershed. If individual MOUs are submitted, they must be consistent in the management of the watershed and cannot conflict on that issue. Note that this minimum standard on MOUs does not apply to FME Category projects. Although this minimum standard on MOUs does apply generally to the FMS Category, most of those projects will not meet the definition of a flood control project; therefore, this requirement will not apply to most FMS projects. For the FMP Category and FMS Category, the following information **is required in the abridged application** to satisfy the MOU requirement, **if applicable**:

- A list of all eligible political subdivisions that will be required to approve and sign an MOU.
- A certification that the applicant has provided a copy of the proposed MOU and an adequately detailed description of the proposed project to all eligible political subdivisions on the list. A copy of a TWDB-approved MOU template is available on the TWDB website. Applicants may also use their own template if approved by the Executive Administrator.
- Map types detailing all proposed project components and all boundaries for cities, districts, etc. within the proposed project watershed area:
 1. FMP Category: PDF maps and GIS/KMZ files must be submitted.
 2. FMS Category: PDF maps and GIS/KMZ files must be submitted.

For the FMP and FMS Categories, the following information **is required in the complete application** to satisfy the MOU requirement, if applicable:

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

- An MOU approved and signed by all governing bodies of eligible political subdivisions located in the project watershed. If requested by the applicant and approved by TWDB, this may be submitted after the application due date.

3. Affidavit

For all categories, an affidavit attesting that: (1) the applicant has acted cooperatively with other political subdivisions to address flood control needs in the area in which the eligible political subdivisions are located; and (2) all eligible political subdivisions substantially affected by the proposed flood project have participated in the process of developing the proposed flood project. Providing adequate notice and ample opportunity to any such eligible political subdivision that elects not to participate further would also fulfill this requirement, provided evidence of notification is included within the application. What constitutes “adequate” and “ample” may need to be discussed between the applicant and TWDB on a case-by-case basis. The applicant will need to attest to the fact that they provided adequate and ample opportunity to participate by signing the affidavit, so the appropriate representative must believe the notice provided was reasonable in order to sign. Template affidavits are available on the TWDB website.

The following information, if applicable, **is required with the complete application** to satisfy the affidavit requirement:

- The applicant has held public meetings to accept comments on the proposed flood project from interested parties (FMP Category and FMS Category).
- The technical requirements for the proposed flood project have been completed and compared against any other potential flood project in the same area (Construction Only).

4. Redundant Funding

For all categories, the funding request must not include redundant funding for activities already performed and/or funded through another source.

5. National Floodplain Insurance Program (NFIP)

For all categories, the following information **is required with the abridged application** to satisfy the NFIP requirement:

- The area to be benefitted by the proposed project must have floodplain ordinances or orders in place, as applicable, and the appropriate entity must certify they are currently enforcing floodplain management standards at least equivalent to or exceeding National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) minimum standards. The only exception to the certification is an entity that is requesting FIF funding to fulfill additional requirements for participation in the NFIP. The TWDB is here to help communities meet the NFIP requirements.
- For the FME Category and FMS Category (e.g., FEWS projects), communities without floodplain management standards may be part of a larger study or benefitted area. In that case, the

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

applicant will not be required to show/certify that those communities must have floodplain ordinances or orders in place. However, the applicant should work with the TWDB to encourage those communities to adopt floodplain ordinances or orders. Additionally, projects where the applicant itself does not have floodplain management standards are not eligible unless those communities are requesting FIF funds to develop floodplain management standards.

6. Best/Most Recent Available Data

For all categories, the proposed project must be developed using the best/most recent available data. For example, FME Category projects must use the latest freely available topographic data to perform studies. A brief, general description or acknowledgment should be provided in the abridged application and a more detailed description in the complete application.

7. Request for Construction Funds

For the FMP and FMS Categories, applicants for construction funds must be able to document within the complete application the following:

- The applicant has planned for operations and maintenance costs associated with the proposed facilities. Note: Operations and maintenance (O&M) are not eligible costs under this program.
- An analysis determining whether floodwater capture techniques could be used for water supply purposes, in accordance with Texas Water Code § 15.535(b). The analysis should demonstrate through engineering, regulatory, or economic approaches by means of a feasibility assessment whether the flood project could include water supply and why the decision was made to include or exclude water supply from the flood project.

Additional Requirements and Exceptions for Flood Management Evaluation Category

For FME Category Projects Only, in accordance with 31 TAC § 355.8, prior to the complete application, but not prior to the submittal of the abridged application, applicants must notify all cities, counties, non-profit water supply corporations, regional planning agencies, regional water planning groups, and all districts and authorities created under the Texas Constitution, Article III, Chapter 52, or Article XVI, Chapter 59, in the planning area by certified mail that an application for planning assistance is being filed with the TWDB. The notice shall include the following:

- Name and address of the applicant.
- Name of the applicant's manager or official representative.
- Brief description of the planning area.
- Purposes of the planning project.
- TWDB's name, address, and the name of a contact person with the TWDB.
- A statement that any comments must be filed with the TWDB Executive Administrator and the applicant within 30 days of the date on which the notice is mailed.

As part of the complete application, and prior to action by the TWDB, the applicant must provide the following:

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

- A copy of the notice sent to affected political subdivisions.
- A list of the political subdivisions to which notice was sent.
- The date on which the notice was sent.

The applicant may request of the TWDB to submit the copy of the notice after the application due date. If approved, the applicant may submit the copy of the notice after the application has been submitted; however, the TWDB may not act on an application before the end of the 30-day notice period unless all political subdivisions to which notice is required to be sent agree in writing to waive the notice period.

Additional Requirements and Exceptions for Flood Management Strategy Category

The following is required in the abridged application for FMS Category non-study funding applications, which include construction-oriented projects and implementation projects, such as regulatory changes, flood warning improvements, emergency action plans, and education campaigns.

- Detail of the current flood risk in the project area.
- Detail of the revised flood risk of the project area if the project is constructed/implemented.
- The level of protection (i.e., 4%, 2%, 1% annual chance storm events) provided by the proposed project must be reported, when possible. For example, some implementation projects (like regulatory changes) may have defined levels of protection, others (like education campaigns) may not.

The following is required in the abridged application for roadway construction-oriented projects:

- Roadway classification
- Traffic count
- Detour distances
- Accident data
- Inundation risk including depths and velocities during 50%, 10%, 4%, 2%, 1% and 0.2% annual chance storm events.

The following is required in the abridged application for Flood Early Warning Systems (FEWS) construction-oriented projects:


- Quantitative information on the population impacted.

2024-2025 Project Solicitation

Funding for flood projects operates on a biennial funding cycle with a two-stage application process. As of the publishing of this IUP, projects are prioritized based on information submitted in the abridged application and the relative scoring of the associated project, strategy, or evaluation based on data from the regional flood plans (RFPs) and working criteria and methodologies anticipated to be used in the State Flood Plan rankings. Entities are then invited to submit complete financial assistance applications based on project prioritization and a determination of funding availability. All entities are required to confirm that data provided in RFPs are still accurate at the time of the abridged application submittal. If any data relevant to the calculation of score for state flood plan ranking has changed since the adoption of the RFPs, the applicants must provide justification

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

for the change and submit all relevant data in a TWDB-provided template available with the abridged application.



Process

The process for the funding cycle is as follows:

Step 1: Submit Abridged Application

The abridged application is a form designed to help the TWDB collect the information necessary to prioritize projects and determine the best source of funding without requiring interested entities to fill out a complete TWDB financial assistance application. In mid-December 2023, the 2024-2025 FIF Abridged Application will be posted on the FIF webpage (www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/FIF/) and the solicitation period will commence. Please sign-up for the TWDB mailing list (www.twdb.texas.gov/newsmedia/signup.asp) to be notified of any other programmatic updates.

Step 2: Project Prioritization

The TWDB will prioritize projects into three project lists according to the IUP and submit them to the Board for review and consideration.

Step 3: Invitation to Apply

After the prioritization is approved by the Board, the TWDB will invite selected applicants to submit complete applications for financial assistance. Prior to submitting an application, entities are required to participate in a pre-application meeting with the TWDB to discuss the application process and project requirements.

An invited applicant must submit a complete application and all information requested by the TWDB deadlines to remain in active consideration for funding. The complete application is a TWDB document that asks for the detailed engineering, legal, fiscal, and other information necessary to make a funding recommendation. Final confirmation of compliance with applicable Minimum Standards must occur prior to Board consideration of a financial assistance commitment.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Step 4: Financial Assistance Commitments

After reviewing each complete application, the Executive Administrator will make a financial assistance recommendation to be considered by the Board in a public meeting.

Step 5: Close on Financial Assistance

Entities in receipt of financial assistance commitments will have a specific amount of time to close on their financing, as shown on the following table.

Type of Financial Assistance	Closing Deadline
Commitments that include only grant	3 months
Commitments that include grant and loan	6 months
Commitments that include only loan	12 months

Abridged Applications

Each abridged application should describe proposed projects from a single category. Applicants may submit multiple abridged applications if they seek to propose projects from multiple categories in a single prioritization cycle. One abridged application must be submitted for each FME, FMP, or FMS respectively and cannot be combined. Each abridged application should describe proposed projects from a single category with a single associated 9-digit, regional flood plan unique ID number reference. The working ranking criteria and weights that are anticipated to be used in ranking all projects within the 2024 State Flood Plan, as required by statute, will be utilized for prioritization scoring under this IUP; therefore, individual FME/FMP/FMSs cannot be combined. Only complete projects from the regional flood plan will be considered for financial assistance. Abridged applications containing partial projects from the regional flood plan will be considered ineligible for the FIF.

Every application (abridged and full) must include the associated 9-digit, regional flood plan unique ID (identification) number reference (each starting with the 2-digit regional flood planning area number) and project name that corresponds to the specific, recommended FME, FMP, or FMS in the regional plan for which the application is being submitted. A list of the names and unique IDs may be found in the corresponding regional flood plan and, for convenience, is also provided on the TWDB website.

Prioritization and Selection Process

Following the deadline to submit abridged applications, the TWDB will review submissions and match them with the corresponding FME, FMP, or FMS from the regional flood plans. The TWDB will score abridged applications utilizing the criteria and methodology anticipated to be used in the ranking of projects for the 2024 State Flood Plan, in addition to the criteria listed under the Prioritization Criteria section of this IUP. The resulting scores will determine the prioritization of funding for this IUP cycle of the FIF. Abridged applications will be listed separately on one of three lists based on the FIF categories in order from the highest to lowest scores.

The Board will approve prioritization scoring of projects and then invitations will be sent to entities to submit complete financial assistance applications.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

The Board may consider and allocate funding for any proposed project, including in cases that involve bypassing a higher scoring project.

All initial determinations of overall eligibility, eligible category, compliance with minimum standards, grant percentage, and priority order for all projects are subject to change upon further review of the projects.

Year-Round Submittals

Only abridged applications received by the initial deadline for 2024-2025 FIF IUP cycle will be considered in the initial prioritization. The project list may be amended as necessary to include new submittals received after the initial deadline if funds remain available.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Eligibilities and Financing Details by Category

Flood Management Evaluation Category

Eligibility

- I. Must be recommended in a Regional Flood Plan as a Flood Management Evaluation (FME).
- II. All activities under this category must be considered "flood control planning" as defined in Texas Water Code Section 15.405.
- III. Eligible FME Category projects conduct planning of entire watersheds as determined by the regional flood planning group to better inform the development of strategies using structural and nonstructural measures before a flood event, such as determining and describing problems related to flooding, identifying and planning solutions to flooding problems, and estimating the benefits and costs of these solutions.
- IV. Preparation of a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) is not an eligible activity.
- V. For federal award matching funds, applicant must provide documentation of an existing federal award contingent on availability of matching funds.
- VI. FIF eligible projects that received a FEMA Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) grant for FMA FY 2019-2022 may receive a grant for 70% of the required local match that has been provided regardless of the qualifiers listed below.

Financing

- I. Eligible to receive a grant up to 100% of the total FIF eligible project cost.
- II. Loans with an interest rate of 0%.
- III. Recipients may either use their own available funds or borrow FIF funds at 0% for any portion of the required local share not provided through the FIF grant funds.
- IV. In-kind services may be substituted for any loan offered, but only with prior TWDB approval.

Grants are based on the following:

Grant Qualifier	Grant %
If the AMHI of the study area \leq 50% of the state-wide AMHI and the project area was the subject of a flood-related federal disaster declaration within the past 5 years, OR	100
If the AMHI of the study area \leq 75% of the state-wide AMHI, OR	90
If the AMHI of the study area $>$ 75% and \leq 125% of the state-wide AMHI, OR	75
If the AMHI of the study area $>$ 125% of the state-wide AMHI	50
Maximum Grant Percentage	100

Note: Examples of the Grant Percentage Calculations are found in Attachment 1.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Flood Mitigation Project Category

Eligibility

- I. Must be recommended in a regional flood plan as a Flood Mitigation Project (FMP).
- II. For federal award matching funds, applicant must provide documentation of an existing federal award contingent on availability of matching funds.
- III. FIF eligible projects that received a FEMA Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) grant for FMA FY 2019-2022 may receive a grant for 70% of the required local match that has been provided regardless of the qualifiers listed below.

Financing

- I. The sum of all qualifying grant percentages below will represent the overall grant allocation. The maximum grant for FMP Category projects may not exceed 70% of the total FIF eligible costs.
- II. Loans with an interest rate of 0%.
- III. Recipients may either use their own available funds or borrow FIF funds at 0% for any portion of the required local share not provided through the FIF grant funds.
- IV. In-kind services may be substituted for any loan offered, but only with prior TWDB approval.

Grants are based on the following:

Grant Qualifier	Grant %
If the AMHI of the project area is \leq 85% of the state-wide AMHI.	30
If the AMHI of the project area is \leq 75% of the state-wide AMHI.	40
If the AMHI of the project area is \leq 65% of the state-wide AMHI.	50
If the AMHI of the project area is \leq 50% of the state-wide AMHI.	60
If the applicant meets the "Rural" definition.	5
If \geq 30% of total costs are Green or Nature-Based and the project meets one of the income or rural applicant qualifiers above.	5
Maximum Grant Percentage	70

Note: Examples of the Grant Percentage Calculations are found in Attachment 1.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Flood Management Strategy Category

Eligibility

- I. Must be recommended in a regional flood plan as a Flood Management Strategy (FMS) with non-recurring non-capital cost.
- II. Some example projects include the following: warning systems; crossing barriers; public education and outreach; reverse 911 systems; dam emergency action plans; and proposed regulatory changes, such as investigations or implementation efforts to adopt or improve floodplain management standards.
- III. For federal award matching funds, applicant must provide documentation of an existing federal award contingent on availability of matching funds
- IV. FIF eligible projects that received a FEMA Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) grant for FMA FY 2019-2022 may receive a grant for 70% of the required local match that has been provided regardless of the qualifiers listed below.

Financing

- I. The sum of all qualifying grant percentages below will represent the overall grant allocation. The maximum grant for FMS Category projects may not exceed 70% of the total FIF eligible costs.
- II. Loans with an interest rate of 0%.
- III. Recipients may either use their own available funds or borrow FIF funds at 0% for any portion of the required local share not provided through the FIF grant funds.
- IV. In-kind services may be substituted for any loan offered, but only with prior TWDB approval.

Grants are based on the following:

Grant Qualifier	Grant %
If the AMHI of the project area \leq 85% of the state-wide AMHI.	30
If the AMHI of the project area \leq 75% of the state-wide AMHI.	40
If the AMHI of the project area \leq 65% of the state-wide AMHI.	50
If the AMHI of the project area \leq 50% of the state-wide AMHI.	60
If the applicant meets the "Rural" definition.	5
If \geq 30% of total costs are Green or Nature-Based and the project meets one of the income or rural applicant qualifiers above.	5
Maximum Grant Percentage	70

Note: Examples of the Grant Percentage Calculations are found in Attachment 1.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Definitions used in Grant Percentage Calculations

Annual Median Household Income (AMHI) – From the U.S. Census Bureau 2018-2022 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates, or the most recent ACS 5-year estimates available at the time of publishing this plan.

- FME Category: The study area AMHI, using a weighted average based on population.
- FMP & FMS Categories: The project area AMHI, using a weighted average, all based on population in each U.S. Census Bureau geographic area used.

Current Population – For the project area from U.S. Census Bureau 2018-2022 ACS 5-year estimates using the sum of the population in each U.S. Census Bureau geographic area used.

Federal Funds - The amount of project funding a FIF applicant receives from the federal government. An applicant may be required to provide a local match to receive this federal funding.

Flood Management Evaluation - A proposed study to identify and assess and quantify flood risk or identify, evaluate, and recommend flood risk reduction solutions.

Flood Management Strategy - Long term flood risk reduction solution ideas that are not considered an FME or FMP (e.g., regulatory enhancements, development of entity-wide buyout programs, and public outreach and education).

Flood Mitigation Project - A proposed project, both structural and nonstructural, that has a non-zero capital costs or other non-recurring cost and that when implemented will reduce flood risk and mitigate flood hazards to life or property.

Green – May include establishment or restoration of permanent riparian buffers, floodplains, wetlands, or other vegetated buffers or soft bioengineered stream banks. May include projects to manage wet weather and restore natural hydrology by infiltration, evapotranspiration, or harvesting and using stormwater. May include green stormwater infrastructure for transportation rights-of-way or parking areas. This is not an exhaustive list. The final decision on green projects will be made by the TWDB.

Nature-Based - Projects that use nature-based features to protect, mitigate, or reduce flood risk, as determined by TWDB.

Rural Applicant – An applicant that is:

- (A) a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code or a district or authority created under Section 52, Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, no part of the service area of which is located in an urban area with a population of more than 50,000;
- (B) a municipality:
 - (i) with a population of 10,000 or less; or
 - (ii) located wholly in a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000;
- (C) a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000; or
- (D) an entity that:
 - (i) is a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code, a district or authority created under Section 52, Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, a municipality, county, or other political subdivision of the state, or an interstate compact commission to which the state is a party; and

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

- (ii) demonstrates in a manner satisfactory to the board that the entity is rural or the area to be served by the project is a wholly rural area despite not otherwise qualifying under Paragraph (A), (B), or (C).

Notes

- U.S. Census Bureau 2018-2022 ACS 5-year estimates may be found on the TWDB website here (most recently available census data will be on this link): www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/FIF/doc/US_Census_Bureau_ACS_data.xlsx or the required data may be obtained directly from the U.S. Census Bureau here: www.data.census.gov/cedsci/advanced.
- Loans with an interest rate of 0% are a funding option available in all project categories.
- Grants – the TWDB may limit the amount of funds available for grants (see “Allocation of Funds”).
- Although the definition of “Rural Applicant” describes all types of political subdivisions that may be eligible under the FME Category, note that this list of entities is broader than those that are eligible applicants for the FMP and FMS Categories.

Amount Available

The FIF fund is a special fund in the state treasury outside the general revenue fund. The TWDB will use grants and zero percent (0%) interest loans to offer at least \$375,000,000 for projects during the 2024-2025 FIF IUP cycle. The Board may increase the funds available in the 2024-2025 FIF IUP cycle if needed. The sources of funds include new appropriations for the 2024-2025 biennium and a portion of remaining funds from the SFY 2020 IUP. Of the \$375,000,000 available for projects, the maximum amount allocated to grants is \$187,500,000 (50% of total available funds). The Executive Administrator may increase this amount allocated to grants. No project will receive more than \$18,750,000 in grant funding (approximately 10% of the total grant funds available). The interest rate on all loans will be zero percent (0%).

Total Funds Available for 2024-2025 FIF IUP	
New FIF Appropriations Allocated	\$312,474,540
Funds Rolled Over from SFY2020	\$62,525,460
Total FIF Funds Available for 2024-2025	\$375,000,000

Amount Available by Category

The TWDB anticipates awarding the available FIF funds according to the following goals. Within each category, the TWDB anticipates awarding 15 percent of each category’s goal to provide matching funds to enable the eligible political subdivision to participate in a federal program for a flood project. If there are insufficient projects to award these funds according to the category goal or federal match goals, the Executive Administrator may redirect the remaining funds to projects within other funding categories.

Category	Percent	Total	15% Target for Federal Matching
FME	25%	\$93,750,000	\$14,062,500
FMP	65%	\$243,750,000	\$36,562,500
FMS	10%	\$37,500,000	\$5,625,000
TOTAL	100%	\$375,000,000	\$56,250,000

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Allocations of Funds

The TWDB may limit the amount of grant funding and loan financing available in each category and in total as well as the total amount of grant or loan funding provided to a project or applicant.

The TWDB does not anticipate allocating a large proportion of the total available grant and/or loan funds under this program to a single project or applicant.

The Board may bypass a higher scoring project, if necessary, including in order to fulfill these allocation goals.

To the extent a project on the prioritization list does not move forward to commitment or closing, funds may be reallocated to other eligible projects in any category that are on the prioritization list, as determined by the TWDB.

The total project costs may be increased if the entity shows that additional funds are necessary to implement the project. If the project includes a grant, the total amount of grant allocated to the project may not increase from the amount listed in the Board-approved FIF Prioritization List unless additional grant funding is available during the cycle and the Board approves of an additional grant commitment for the project.

Financing Terms

In general, the TWDB will establish loan terms appropriate for the type of activities being financed. Specifically, for this biennial cycle, the following terms will apply:

- 1) The interest rate on financing will be zero percent.
- 2) For Cities, Counties, Districts, and Authorities, financial assistance will be provided through TWDB's purchase of applicant's bonds or certificates of obligation. For water supply corporations (which are only eligible for the FME Category), financial assistance may be provided through a loan agreement.
- 3) For construction projects, financing may be offered for a term of up to 30 years, provided it does not exceed the projected useful life of the project, and principal payments will commence no later than 18 months after estimated completion of project construction.
- 4) For planning, acquisition, and/or design-only projects, financing may be offered for a term of up to 10 years and principal payments will commence no later than 18 months after estimated completion of the last activity phase being financed.
- 5) No additional deferrals of principal will be offered.
- 6) Level principal repayments will be required.
- 7) The recipient of financing must establish an adequate source of revenue and/or demonstrate adequate security for the repayment of the financing as it becomes due.
- 8) All bonds/loans must be rounded to the nearest \$5,000 increment.
- 9) Considering a certain level of contingency in the project budget is strongly encouraged.
- 10) TWDB funds are not eligible for operations and maintenance.
- 11) Applicants must be up to date with financial auditing requirements prior to commitment.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Release of Funds – Outlays, Escrow Releases, and Other Methods of Disbursements

The FIF program generally releases funds through a reimbursement request (or outlay) submittal process. Advance disbursements will only be considered if TWDB determines it is absolutely necessary.

Funds may be reimbursed if applicable state and federal (if associated with federal matching program) procurement laws and regulations have been followed. Funds dispersed prior to December 1, 2023, are not eligible except for federal matching funds. Federal matching funds through the FIF will be reimbursed according to the federal program's performance period.

Release of FIF grant funds requires submittal of an outlay report or reimbursement request, as applicable, with invoices to document costs for project expenditures. For projects with federal award matching funds, the amount disbursed may not exceed the required local share or match for the federal award and the proof of federal reimbursement and required local share or match must be provided before payment is made. If the FIF financial assistance consists of loan funds only, TWDB will use an escrow release authorization process and invoices will not be required to receive the funds. A project progress report must be included with each escrow release request, except for projects with federal award matching funds.

Grant and loan funds that are not eligible for release at the time of closing will be held in an escrow account until TWDB has approved the release of funds. The cost of the escrow accounts may be paid from either FIF grant and/or loan funds that have been disbursed to the FIF project account or construction account, as applicable. TWDB may approve an exception to the use of an escrow account on a case-by-case basis if determined that it is necessary. Installment funding for loans will be allowed on a case-by-case basis.

Escrow and FIF project/construction accounts should be interest bearing accounts. Any interest earned on grant funds must be applied to the FIF project or returned to TWDB, at TWDB's discretion. Any interest earned on loan funds must be used in accordance with the bond ordinance/resolution or the loan agreement, as applicable. Funds released from escrow must be deposited into the recipient's separate FIF project/construction bank account to issue payments for the project's expenses.

A minimum of 5 percent (5%) of the project funds for retainage will be withheld, except for federal award matching funds projects, which will not have retainage withheld. Any remaining retainage will be disbursed upon receipt by TWDB of all close-out documents. For disbursements related to construction contracts, TWDB will reimburse 95% of each outlay of TWDB's share of eligible activities considering any retainage already deducted from the invoices or other supporting documents.

Project administration/delivery fees and charges and engineering costs must be reasonable as determined by TWDB to be considered eligible FIF activity costs. TWDB may consider the FIF award amount(s), size of the FIF eligible amount or project, and/or nature of project activities in making this determination of a reasonable amount that would be considered eligible FIF activity costs.

Prioritization Criteria

The working ranking criteria and weights that are anticipated to be used in ranking all projects within the 2024 State Flood Plan, as required by statute, will be utilized for prioritization scoring under this IUP. The applicant

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

must also provide updated planning data with submission of the abridged application. After the abridged applications have been separated into their respective FIF categories, the following criteria will be utilized to score and prioritize them, with the addition of the updated flood planning data.

Criteria	Points	Methodology/Notes
Project's Technical Merits*	FME, FMP, or FMS score based on working state flood planning criteria and methodology	The technical merit score is based on working ranking criteria and methodology anticipated to be used for the first State Flood Plan. (Appendix A)
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) with an AMHI that is \leq 85% the statewide AMHI	10	Additional points if the entity's study area has an AMHI that is \leq 85% the statewide AMHI.
<u>TIEBREAKER</u>		
<u>Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)</u> The SVI uses 15 U.S. Census Bureau variables to help local officials identify communities that may need support in preparing for hazards or recovering from disaster. SVI values range from 0 to 1.	The tie is broken in favor of the project with the highest SVI.	Average SVI of the benefitting area, verified using online SVI map: www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/svi/interactive_map.html May use the Census tract or County data depending on the size and shape of the benefitting area.

* See Appendix A, Working State Flood Plan Flood Management Evaluation (FME), Flood Mitigation Project (FMP), and Flood Management Strategy (FMS) Ranking Criteria and Weight that have been modified in response to stakeholder feedback received on a previous publicly available version.

Flood Information Clearinghouse Committee

Information included in the abridged applications will be shared with the Flood Information Clearinghouse Committee (FLICC), a cooperative effort between the TWDB, Texas General Land Office, Texas Division of Emergency Management, and other state and federal agencies that administer flood mitigation financial assistance programs. After review by the FLICC, the applicant may be advised of other available source(s) of funding. More information on the FLICC is available at www.texasfloodclearinghouse.org/.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Appendix A: Working 2024 State Flood Plan Flood Management Evaluation (FME), Flood Mitigation Project (FMP), and Flood Management Strategy (FMS) Ranking Criteria and Weight

Working 2024 State Flood Plan Flood Management Evaluation (FME), Flood Mitigation Project (FMP) and Flood Management Strategy (FMS) Ranking Criteria and Weight

Texas Water Code Sec. 16.061, “(b) The state flood plan must include: ... (2) a statewide, ranked list of ongoing and proposed flood control and mitigation projects and strategies necessary to protect against the loss of life and property from flooding...”

TWDB rules state that the state flood plan shall incorporate “a statewide, ranked list of recommended FMEs, FMSs, and FMPs that have associated one-time capital costs derived from the Board-approved RFPs (31 TAC § 362.4 (c)(5)).

All flood risk and risk reduction information are for 1% annual chance storm.

**Select reported data were normalized on the curve (ArcSinh), scoring 0-10.*

		Criteria Name	Criteria Type	Criteria Grouping	FME Ranking Criteria	FME Ranking Weight	FME Grouping Weight	FMP Ranking Criteria	FMP Ranking Percent Weight	FMP Grouping Weight	FMS Ranking Criteria	FMS Ranking Percent Weight	FMS Grouping Weight	Max Score
REPORTED DATA FROM FME, FMP and FMS FEATURE CLASSES	1	Estimated number of structures at 100yr flood risk*	Flood Risk	Life, Safety and Structures	Yes	15.0%	75.0%	No	0.0%	0.0%	Yes	10.0%	40.0%	10
	2	Estimated Population at 100-year flood risk*	Flood Risk		Yes	15.0%		No	0.0%		Yes	10.0%		10
	3	Critical facilities at 100-year flood risk (#)*	Flood Risk		Yes	25.0%		No	0.0%		Yes	10.0%		10
	4	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)*	Flood Risk		Yes	20.0%		No	0.0%		Yes	10.0%		10
	5	Estimated number of road closures (#)*	Flood Risk	Mobility	Yes	5.0%	15.0%	No	0.0%	0.0%	Yes	5.0%	15.0%	10
	6	Estimated length of roads at 100-year flood risk (Miles)*	Flood Risk		Yes	10.0%		No	0.0%		Yes	10.0%		10
	7	Estimated farm & ranch land at 100-year flood risk (acres)*	Flood Risk	Agriculture	Yes	10.0%	10.0%	No	0.0%	0.0%	Yes	5.0%	5.0%	10
	8	Number of structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain*	Flood Risk Reduction	Life, Safety and Structures				Yes	5.0%	45.0%	Yes	10.0%	25.0%	10
	9	Percent of structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain (Calculated by TWDB from reported data)	Flood Risk Reduction					Yes	10.0%					10
	10	Residential structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain*	Flood Risk Reduction					Yes	2.5%		Yes	5.0%		10
	11	Estimated Population removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain*	Flood Risk Reduction					Yes	10.0%		Yes	10.0%		10
	12	Critical facilities removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain (#)*	Flood Risk Reduction					Yes	10.0%		No	0.0%		10
	13	Number of low water crossings removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain (#)*	Flood Risk Reduction					Yes	7.5%		No	0.0%		10
	14	Estimated length of roads removed from 100yr floodplain (Miles)*	Flood Risk Reduction	Mobility				Yes	5.0%	5.0%	No	0.0%	0.0%	10
	15	Estimated farm & ranch land removed from 100yr floodplain (acres)*	Flood Risk Reduction	Agriculture				Yes	5.0%	5.0%	No	0.0%	0.0%	10
	16	Percent Nature-based Solution (by cost)	Other					Yes	5.0%		Yes	7.5%		10
	17	Benefit-Cost Ratio	Other					Yes	2.5%					10
	18	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	Other					Yes	5.0%		Yes	5.0%		10
	19	FMP Project Type (10 points) Low water crossing (4 points) Preparedness	Other					Yes	2.5%		No			
	20	FMS Project Type (10 points) Flood Measurement and Warning (8 points) Regulatory and Guidance (6 points) Education and Outreach (4 points) Property Acquisition and Structural Elevation (4 points) Infrastructure Projects (2 points) Other	Other					No	0.0%		Yes	2.5%		10
	Subtotal					100.0%			70.0%			100.0%		
FMP PROJECT DETAILS SCORING (COMPUTED BY RPPGs)	21	Score 1: Severity - Pre-Project Average Depth of Flooding (100-year)	Flood Risk					Yes	5.0%					10
	22	Score 2: Severity - Community Need (% Population)	Flood Risk					Yes	5.0%					10
	23	Score 6: Life and Safety	Flood Risk Reduction					Yes	5.0%					10
	24	Score 8: Social Vulnerability	Other					Yes	5.0%					10
	25	Score 10: Multiple Benefits	Other Benefits					Yes	2.5%					10
	26	Score 13: Environmental Benefit	Other Benefits					Yes	2.5%					10
	27	Score 15: Mobility	Other Benefits					Yes	5.0%					10
	Subtotal					0.0%			30.0%			0.0%		
Total (Must add up to 100%)					100.0%			100.0%			100.0%			

Please refer to RFP Exhibit C (pages 114 - 135) for definition of Project Details Scoring:

[Exhibit C: Technical Guidelines for Regional Flood Planning](#)

- Severity Ranking - Pre-Project Average Depth of Flooding (100-year): Ranking of severity based on the baseline/pre-project average 100-year flood depth.
- Severity Ranking - Community Need (% Population): Ranking of severity based on a community's need by percentage of project community affected by population.
- Life and Safety Ranking (Injury/Loss of life): Ranking project based on life/injury risk percentage using estimates of area hazard rating, area vulnerability rating, and historical loss of life injury data for project.
- Social Vulnerability Ranking: A ranking based on the Center for Disease Control SVI data for Texas, by calculating an average project SVI by census tract and classifying the vulnerability level.
- Multiple Benefit Ranking: Ranking a project based on the reporting of significant, measurable, expected benefits to: recreation, transportation, social and quality of life, local economic impacts, meeting sustainability goals, and/or project resilience goals.
- Environmental Benefit Ranking: Ranking of expected level of environmental benefits to be delivered by project to water quality, cultural heritage, habitat, air quality, natural resources, agricultural resources, and soils/erosion and sedimentation.
- Mobility Ranking: Ranking project improvement and protection of mobility during flood events, with particular emphasis on emergency service access and major access routes.

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Attachment 1: Examples of Grant Percentage Calculation for Each Category

Flood Management Evaluation Category - The FME category only has one grant qualifier. The applicant's grant percentage will be based on that eligible grant percentage.

Grant Qualifier	Potential Example	Eligible Grant Percentage
AMHI \leq 50% state-wide AMHI and the project area was the subject of a flood-related federal disaster declaration within the past 5 years	AMHI is 48% of the state-wide AMHI and the project area was the subject of a flood-related Presidential disaster declaration in 2019.	100
AMHI \leq 75% state-wide AMHI	AMHI is 72% of the state-wide AMHI	90
AMHI at \leq 125% of the state-wide AMHI	AMHI is 105% of the state-wide AMHI	75
AMHI $>$ 125% of the state-wide AMHI)	AMHI is 135% of the state-wide AMHI	50

Flood Mitigation Project Category - The applicant's grant percentage will be the sum of the three grant qualifiers. The maximum grant possible is 70%.

Grant Qualifier	Potential Example	Eligible Grant Percentage
AMHI	AMHI is 72% of the state-wide AMHI.	40
Rural	Applicant meets the "rural" definition.	5
Green/Nature-based	Nature-based costs are 40% of the total project costs	5
Total Grant Percentage		50

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Flood Management Strategy Category - The applicant's grant percentage will be the sum of the three grant qualifiers. The maximum grant possible is 70%.

Grant Qualifier	Potential Example	Eligible Grant Percentage
AMHI	AMHI is 90% of the state-wide AMHI.	0
Rural	Applicant does not meet the "rural" definition.	0
Green/Nature-based	Nature-based costs are 40% of the total project costs; however, no other grant qualifier was met.	0
Total Grant Percentage		0

2024-2025 Flood Intended Use Plan

Attachment 2: Project Prioritization Lists

Flood Management Evaluation (FME), Flood Mitigation Project (FMP), and Flood Management Strategy (FMS)

2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Flood Management Evaluation (FME) Prioritization List									Ranking Factor?	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
									Max Values for Score Normalization	2,500,000		8,000,000		255,000		2,500		10,000		125,000		6,000,000									
									Weight Value	15.0%		15.0%		25.0%		20.0%		5.0%		10.0%		10.0%									
									Ranking Criteria	Estimated number of structures at 100-year risk		Estimated Population at 100-year flood risk		Critical facilities at 100-year flood risk (#)		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated number of road closures (#)		Estimated length of roads at 100-year flood risk (Miles)		Estimated farm & ranch land at 100-year flood risk (Acres)									
FME ID	Region Number	FME Name	Sponsor	FME Type	Previously Awarded FMA 2019-2022	Federal Funds	Other Funds	Requested TWDB Funds	Total Project Cost	Structures at Risk Raw	Structures at Risk ArcSinh (Weighted)	Pop at Risk Raw	Pop at Risk ArcSinh (Weighted)	Critical Facilities Raw	Critical Facilities ArcSinh (Weighted)	LWC Raw	LWC ArcSinh (Weighted)	Road Closures Raw	Road Closures ArcSinh (Weighted)	Road Miles Raw	Road Miles ArcSinh (Weighted)	Ag Land Raw	Ag Land ArcSinh (Weighted)	Total Score (with ArcSinh Normalization) ¹	≤85% of State AMHI	Total Score + FME AMHI Points ²	FIF FME Prioritization (Basis for FIF Prioritization) ³	State Flood Plan FME Total Score ⁴	State Flood Plan FME Rank ⁴	Difference in FIF (Not including AMHI Pts) & SFP Score (FIF Score - SFP Score) ⁵	TWDB Comments Regarding Difference in FIF & SFP Scores
131000174	13	Nueces Basin Early Flood Warning System	Nueces River Authority	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	60,967	11.39	136,543	11.32	445	12.92	526	16.34	7,401	4.85	3,214.50	7.05	251,437.00	8.05	71.92298	Yes	81.92298	1	71.92299	3	0.0	
131000175	13	Nueces Basin Low Water Crossing Study and Upgrade Prioritization	Nueces River Authority	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$775,000	\$775,000	60,074	11.37	134,946	11.31	443	12.91	576	16.55	7,308	4.84	3,167.70	7.04	250,560.00	8.05	72.08232	No	72.08232	2	71.92299	3	0.0	
131000179	13	Nueces Basin Scaling Up NBS Study	Nueces River Authority	Other	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	60,967	11.39	136,543	11.32	445	12.92	526	16.34	7,401	4.85	3,214.53	7.05	251,436.97	8.05	71.92299	No	71.92299	3	71.92299	3	0.0	
131000177	13	Nueces Basin Floodplain Map Updates	Nueces River Authority	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	60,967	11.39	136,543	11.32	445	12.92	526	16.34	7,401	4.85	3,214.50	7.05	251,437.00	8.05	71.92298	No	71.92298	4	71.67168	8	0.3	
151000447	15	Developing a Regional Master Drainage Plan for Cameron and Hidalgo County	Cameron County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$13,000,000	\$13,000,000	91,698	11.79	208,007	11.70	99	10.06	18	8.42	1,461	4.03	2,812.30	6.95	355,584.00	8.27	61.20429	Yes	71.20429	5	45.91970	159	15.3	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
131000176	13	Nueces Basin High Hazard Dam Identification and Risk Assessment	Nueces River Authority	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	54,639	11.28	122,639	11.22	373	12.58	444	15.94	6,327	4.77	2,753.80	6.93	231,104.00	8.00	70.73025	No	70.73025	6	71.92299	3	0.0	
141000015	14	Arroyo Debris Prioritization	El Paso	Preparedness	No	\$0	\$0	\$70,000	\$70,000	21,373	10.37	20,411	9.60	37	8.19	132	13.09	841	3.75	607.25	5.71	48,551.00	7.04	57.76011	Yes	67.76011	7	57.76010	31	0.0	
061000175	6	SAFER Study	Harris County Flood Control District	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$15,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$23,000,000	117,446	12.03	523,493	12.53	1,656	15.42	57	11.12	57	2.39	1,498.00	6.44	1,596.00	4.95	64.88228	No	64.88228	8	68.13234	9	-3.3	
141000034	14	FMP Development for El Paso Water SWMP	El Paso	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,288,000	\$1,288,000	13,881	9.95	55,807	10.51	26	7.52	51	10.86	614	3.59	374.95	5.33	6,056.93	5.77	53.52258	Yes	63.52258	9	53.52259	53	0.0	
061000022	6	Dickinson Bayou Flood Mitigation Plan – Alternative 2	League City	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,090,000	\$1,090,000	40,129	10.98	89,177	10.93	636	13.60	26	9.28	26	2.00	722.78	5.85	2,683.21	5.27	57.91080	No	57.91080	10	57.91080	28	0.0	
131000125	13	Bee County Drainage Master Plan	Bee County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	1,617	7.86	6,275	8.53	27	7.59	34	9.91	400	3.37	113.09	4.36	10,462.88	6.10	47.73029	Yes	57.73029	11	47.73029	122	0.0	
011000189	1	Wichita County Drainage Master Plan	Wichita County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	3,986	8.74	10,515	9.00	72	9.45	170	13.69	267	3.17	193.12	4.79	49,700.41	7.06	55.90089	No	55.90089	12	55.90090	39	0.0	
031000519	3	Mill Creek Drainage Relief System – Upper - Middle Improvements	Dallas	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	28,854	10.66	118,264	11.19	2,321	16.06	9	6.79	0	0.00	1,294.00	6.32	172.00	3.58	54.61122	No	54.61122	13	55.40420	44	-0.8	
041000046	4	Culvert and Railroad Trestle Study	Orange County Drainage District	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$525,000	\$525,000	16,974	10.15	26,881	9.85	276	12.01	22	8.89	22	1.91	364.50	5.30	17,171.20	6.41	54.51346	No	54.51346	14	54.51346	48	0.0	
041000089	4	Hunt County Countywide Drainage Study - Phase 2	Hunt County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	3,043	8.47	6,896	8.62	45	8.56	28	9.45	28	2.03	46,890.87	9.21	46,890.87	7.02	53.37330	No	53.37330	15	53.37330	55	0.0	
111000061	11	Seguin Regional Drainage Masterplan	Seguin	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	1,956	8.04	4,550	8.24	12	6.05	52	10.91	0	0.00	53.00	3.75	5,800.23	5.74	42.73568	Yes	52.73568	16	42.73570	243	0.0	
111000170	11	Guadalupe County Drainage Master Plan	Guadalupe County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	5,822	9.10	14,109	9.27	14	6.34	130	13.06	238	3.11	116.74	4.39	25,477.21	6.65	51.91944	No	51.91944	17	48.80668	102	3.1	
141000035	14	FMP Development for El Paso County SWMP	El Paso County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$276,000	\$276,000	7,480	9.35	20,421	9.60	10	5.70	81	11.95	224	3.08	228.22	4.93	42,408.60	6.96	51.57021	No	51.57021	18	51.57021	69	0.0	
031000043	3	Ellis County Dam Inundation Study	Ellis Prairie S&W CD	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$758,000	\$758,000	2,712	8.36	145,930	11.38	32	7.91	57	11.12	0	0.00	214.41	4.88	90,231.04	7.43	51.07603	No	51.07603	19	51.07605	83	0.0	
151000104	15	Addendum To The Master Watershed Study Flood Risk Maps	Del Rio	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$407,015	\$407,015	\$814,030	1,879	8.00	9,249	8.88	13	6.20	17	8.28	133	2.82	45.04	3.62	58.11	2.92	40.73032	Yes	50.73032	20	35.06972	518	5.7	
111000118	11	Community Flood Mitigation Planning Project	Hays County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$238,035	\$238,035	5,372	9.03	20,150	9.59	19	6.92	178	13.80	0	0.00	143.00	4.55	26,087.00	6.66	50.54618	No	50.54618	21	50.54897	93	0.0	
111000112	11	Hays County Dam Inundation Mapping	Hays County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	5,372	9.03	20,150	9.59	19	6.92	178	13.80	0	0.00	143.00	4.55	26,087.00	6.66	50.54618	No	50.54618	21	50.54897	93	0.0	
041000028	4	Marshall Drainage Master Plan	Marshall	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$221,300	\$1,943,300	\$2,164,600	561	6.83	4,312	8.19	0	0.00	342	15.33	133	2.82	18.00	2.88	222.00	3.74	39.79540	Yes	49.79540	23	21.63763	1382	18.2	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
021000062	2	North Sulphur River Channel Stability and Flooding Study	Sulphur River Basin Authority	Preparedness	No	\$0	\$0	\$850,000	\$850,000	915	7.31	2,011	7.50	19	6.92	10	7.04	0	0.00	84.68	4.13	31,562.78	6.78	39.68125	Yes	49.68125	24	39.68126	330	0.0	
111000172	11	City of San Marcos Atlas 14 H&H Model Updates	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,875,000	\$1,875,000	2,270	8.19	12,650	9.17	14	6.34	12	7.47	0	0.00	48.00	3.67	822.00	4.54	39.37967	Yes	49.37967	25	39.38204	336	0.0	
111000174	11	City of San Marcos Gauges for Phase 2 of city-wide FEWS	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	2,270	8.19	12,650	9.17	14	6.34	12	7.47	0	0.00	48.00	3.67	822.00	4.54	39.37967	Yes	49.37967	25	39.38204	336	0.0	
111000177	11	City of San Marcos Upper San Marcos Site 4 & 5 Dam Evaluations	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$375,000	\$375,000	2,270	8.19	12,650	9.17	14	6.34	12	7.47	0	0.00	48.00	3.67	822.00	4.54	39.37967	Yes	49.37967	25	39.38204	336	0.0	

101000158	10	Citywide Storm Drain Infrastructure Modeling	Austin	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$12,982,000	\$12,982,000	5,694	9.08	45,817	10.33	10	5.70	128	13.02	0	0.00	111.76	4.35	7,306.66	5.88	48.37466	No	48.37466	28	48.37457	109	0.0	
111000180	11	Hays County Drainage Master Plan	Hays County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	4,329	8.82	17,647	9.47	15	6.47	117	12.81	0	0.00	98.00	4.25	10,528.00	6.11	47.92146	No	47.92146	29	47.92181	119	0.0	
111000003	11	Caldwell County Bridge Improvement Plan	Caldwell County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$256,000	\$256,000	2,042	8.09	5,352	8.39	8	5.28	102	12.49	45	2.27	100.64	4.27	42,986.47	6.97	47.75552	No	47.75552	30	45.48363	166	2.3	
111000127	11	Upper Guadalupe River Authority Evaluation of Water and Sediment Control Facilities	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	4,224	8.79	7,638	8.71	6	4.74	159	13.53	0	0.00	131.69	4.48	29,308.75	6.74	46.99433	No	46.99433	31	46.99430	132	0.0	
111000122	11	Kerr County Center Point Storm Drainage Infrastructure	Kerr County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$125,000	\$125,000	4,224	8.79	7,638	8.71	6	4.74	159	13.53	0	0.00	131.69	4.48	29,308.75	6.74	46.99430	No	46.99430	32	46.99430	132	0.0	
111000123	11	Kerr County Dam Integrity Study	Kerr County	Preparedness	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	4,224	8.79	7,638	8.71	6	4.74	159	13.53	0	0.00	131.69	4.48	29,308.75	6.74	46.99430	No	46.99430	32	46.99430	132	0.0	
131000126	13	Beeville Drainage Master Plan	Beeville	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	671	7.00	2,931	7.85	18	6.82	13	7.65	136	2.83	13.43	2.65	13.69	2.03	36.83151	Yes	46.83151	34	36.83154	452	0.0	
111000179	11	Kerr County Drainage Master Plan	Kerr County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	3,831	8.70	7,416	8.69	6	4.74	158	13.52	0	0.00	124.14	4.44	28,073.85	6.71	46.78397	No	46.78397	35	46.78397	140	0.0	
151000096	13/15	Maverick County Watershed Planning Project	Maverick County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	1,832	7.98	5,421	8.40	1	1.68	6	5.85	62	2.43	91.35	4.19	12,886.00	6.23	36.76454	Yes	46.76454	36	36.76451	453	0.0	
071000118	7	John Montford Dam Evaluation	Lubbock	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$417,000	\$417,000	6,880	9.27	20,269	9.59	9	5.50	29	9.54	0	0.00	357.54	5.29	50,570.15	7.07	46.25851	No	46.25851	37	46.25852	146	0.0	
071000178	7	Lubbock County Floodplain Open Space Program	Lubbock	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	6,880	9.27	20,269	9.59	9	5.50	29	9.54	0	0.00	357.54	5.29	50,570.15	7.07	46.25851	No	46.25851	37	46.25852	146	0.0	
031000516	3	Kaufman County Countywide Drainage Study - Phase 2	Kaufman County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$750,000	\$750,000	4,233	8.79	478,856	12.45	0	0.00	91	12.22	0	0.00	230.37	4.93	108,152.98	7.54	45.93901	No	45.93901	39	45.93900	158	0.0	
091000105	9	Tom Green County DMP	San Angelo	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	5,166	8.99	9,987	8.95	7	5.03	47	10.67	0	0.00	253.47	5.01	48,794.72	7.05	45.70026	No	45.70026	40	45.70026	160	0.0	
031000046	3	Parker County Dam Inundation Study	Parker County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$569,000	\$569,000	1,953	8.04	86,160	10.90	18	6.82	22	8.89	0	0.00	60.73	3.86	25,497.34	6.65	45.16214	No	45.16214	41	45.16215	176	0.0	
031000456	3	Preliminary Engineering Study for Mary's Creek Flood Control Reservoir	Tarrant Regional Water District	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,229,000	\$1,229,000	934	7.33	22,866	9.70	16	6.59	38	10.17	85	2.59	29.28	3.27	2,683.36	5.27	44.92896	No	44.92896	42	34.24804	560	10.7	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
121000184	12	Karnes County FEWS Planning	Karnes County	Preparedness	No	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	336	6.33	422	6.09	0	0.00	19	8.54	213	3.06	58.80	3.84	14,495.22	6.30	34.16299	Yes	44.16299	43	34.16299	568	0.0	
111000054	11	City of San Marcos Regional Detention Study	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	3,190	8.52	15,045	9.32	15	6.47	38	10.17	0	0.00	71.00	3.99	3,685.00	5.46	43.93663	No	43.93663	44	43.93614	202	0.0	
111000142	11	City of San Marcos South LBJ Drive at Willow Springs Creek Project Planning	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$62,500	\$62,500	3,190	8.52	15,045	9.32	15	6.47	38	10.17	0	0.00	71.00	3.99	3,685.00	5.46	43.93663	No	43.93663	44	43.93614	202	0.0	
131000070	13	Downtown Rockport Drainage Study	Rockport	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,090,000	\$1,090,000	1,820	7.97	3,567	8.02	4	3.98	30	9.61	30	2.07	5.00	1.86	0.00	0.00	33.52450	Yes	43.52450	46	13.31258	2110	20.2	Greater than 20 points change from SFP.
111000164	11	Caldwell County FEWS Planning	Caldwell County	Preparedness	No	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000	937	7.33	2,190	7.58	7	5.03	40	10.29	45	2.27	71.20	3.99	35,718.52	6.86	43.34840	No	43.34840	47	41.07650	289	2.3	
031000135	3	Ten Mile Creek Channel Expansion Study	Lancaster	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	1,268	7.62	129,300	11.27	9	5.50	33	9.84	0	0.00	39.53	3.52	2,245.94	5.16	42.91063	No	42.91063	48	42.91069	241	0.0	
031000093	3	Wise County DMP	Wise County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	492	6.70	54,897	10.50	11	5.88	16	8.14	0	0.00	99.85	4.26	30,832.77	6.77	42.24938	No	42.24938	49	42.24936	256	0.0	
031000273	3	City of Plano DMP	Plano	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	381	6.45	372,184	12.23	9	5.50	24	9.09	0	0.00	18.44	2.90	695.40	4.44	40.61781	No	40.61781	50	40.61774	297	0.0	
131000189	13	City of Kingsville 2018 Drainage Master Plan-- Location 5	Kingsville	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$125,200	\$125,200	95	5.10	380	6.00	95	9.98	4	4.92	4	1.06	1.00	0.71	25.00	2.40	30.16775	Yes	40.16775	51	0.00000	2969	30.2	Greater than 20 points change from SFP.
031000421	3	Stream Bank Stabilization -- Various Locations Town Wide	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	1,485	7.78	419,903	12.33	0	0.00	37	10.11	0	0.00	100.79	4.27	4,911.32	5.64	40.12674	No	40.12674	52	40.12671	315	0.0	
031000515	3	Hydrologic Updates of Town Wide Fully Developed Hydrology	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$5,181,000	\$5,181,000	1,485	7.78	419,903	12.33	0	0.00	37	10.11	0	0.00	100.79	4.27	4,911.32	5.64	40.12674	No	40.12674	52	40.12671	315	0.0	
021000066	2	Pig Branch Watershed Culvert Study Update	Bonham	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	128	5.39	2,104	7.55	4	3.98	14	7.83	0	0.00	4.80	1.83	145.86	3.48	30.06078	Yes	40.06078	54	30.06076	802	0.0	
101000098	10	Blue Creek Regional Detention Modeling	El Campo	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	1,589	7.84	4,199	8.17	1	1.68	3	4.27	0	0.00	34.72	3.41	873.98	4.58	29.95145	Yes	39.95145	55	29.95140	810	0.0	
031000197	3	3rd St at Cottonwood Creek and Cottonwood Creek from SW 3rd to FM 1382	Grand Prairie	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$637,000	\$637,000	122	5.35	56,176	10.52	1	1.68	12	7.47	0	0.00	5.98	2.00	32.32	2.56	29.56487	Yes	39.56487	56	29.56446	839	0.0	
121000134	12	Evaluation and Prioritization of new Gauge Locations	San Antonio River Authority	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000	19,145	10.26	66,191	10.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	9,511	4.97	753.05	5.89	62,646.10	7.20	38.98973	No	38.98973	57	38.98973	345	0.0	
121000137	12	River Authority WWTP	San Antonio River Authority	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$600,000	\$600,000	19,145	10.26	66,191	10.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	9,511	4.97	753.05	5.89	62,646.10	7.20	38.98973	No	38.98973	57	38.98973	345	0.0	
031000480	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Bakers Branch	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$61,000	\$61,000	2,371	8.23	5,406	8.40	237	11.72	1	2.07	0	0.00	141.40	4.54	248.41	3.81	38.77030	No	38.77030	59	38.77027	375	0.0	

061000310	6	Waller County Flood Mapping Updates	Waller County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	1,064	7.45	1,858	7.43	6	4.74	19	8.54	19	1.84	40.92	3.54	1,357.32	4.85	38.39996	No	38.39996	60	38.39996	393	0.0	
111000173	11	Kendall County Drainage Master Plan	Kendall County	Watershed Planning	No	\$2,970,000	\$0	\$330,000	\$3,300,000	1,374	7.70	1,964	7.48	3	3.46	28	9.45	0	0.00	44.38	3.61	24,197.65	6.62	38.32329	No	38.32329	61	38.32324	396	0.0	
061000329	6	I100-WP06 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	1,144	7.52	4,840	8.30	11	5.88	1	2.07	1	0.44	19.07	2.93	1.87	0.85	27.99920	Yes	37.99920	62	27.99920	937	0.0	
061000330	6	I100-WP10 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	1,144	7.52	4,840	8.30	11	5.88	1	2.07	1	0.44	19.07	2.93	1.87	0.85	27.99920	Yes	37.99920	62	27.99920	937	0.0	
061000331	6	I100-WP07 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	1,144	7.52	4,840	8.30	11	5.88	1	2.07	1	0.44	19.07	2.93	1.87	0.85	27.99920	Yes	37.99920	62	27.99920	937	0.0	
061000332	6	I100-WP11 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	1,144	7.52	4,840	8.30	11	5.88	1	2.07	1	0.44	19.07	2.93	1.87	0.85	27.99920	Yes	37.99920	62	27.99920	937	0.0	
131000112	13	Paulson Falls Subdivision – Location 17	Kingsville	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$78,990	\$78,990	175	5.70	700	6.55	175	11.14	0	0.00	3	0.92	0.59	0.45	26.00	2.42	27.18371	Yes	37.18371	66	0.00000	2969	27.2	Greater than 20 points change from SFP.
061000283	6	City of South Houston Master Drainage Plan	South Houston	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$210,000	\$210,000	1,422	7.73	9,644	8.92	22	7.20	0	0.00	0	0.00	19.35	2.94	0.00	0.00	26.79785	Yes	36.79785	67	26.43063	1070	0.4	
151000102	15	Rio Grande City MDP	Rio Grande City	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	789	7.16	3,037	7.88	3	3.46	0	0.00	0	0.00	27.68	3.23	1,015.78	4.67	26.40026	Yes	36.40026	68	26.40026	1071	0.0	
111000080	11	City of Wimberley Drainage Master Plan	Wimberley	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$974,380	\$974,380	904	7.29	3,616	8.04	0	0.00	90	12.19	6	1.26	11.56	2.53	1,206.43	4.78	36.08752	No	36.08752	69	30.16321	778	5.9	
021000045	2	Update to City of Paris Comprehensive Stormwater Plan Study	Paris	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	612	6.91	1,854	7.43	9	5.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	12.81	2.61	164.33	3.56	26.01563	Yes	36.01563	70	26.01560	1092	0.0	
121000153	12	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County	Bexar County Public Works	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	11,261	9.75	52,002	10.45	0	0.00	0	0.00	4,535	4.60	353.03	5.28	7,583.36	5.91	35.97666	No	35.97666	71	35.97666	476	0.0	
121000154	12	County HALT (High Water Detection System) Low Water Crossings	Bexar County Public Works	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	11,261	9.75	52,002	10.45	0	0.00	0	0.00	4,535	4.60	353.03	5.28	7,583.36	5.91	35.97666	No	35.97666	71	35.97666	476	0.0	
101000214	10	West Brazoria County Drainage District 11 Master Drainage Plan	County Drainage District 11	Feasibility Assessment	No	\$900,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	7,737	9.38	11,719	9.10	10	5.70	0	0.00	0	0.00	219.73	4.90	37,018.00	6.88	35.95809	No	35.95809	73	39.34803	340	-3.4	
031000064	3	Haltom City FME	Haltom City	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	1,049	7.44	43,048	10.28	6	4.74	7	6.21	4	1.06	22.52	3.06	71.51	3.04	35.82842	No	35.82842	74	34.77084	537	1.1	
111000169	11	City of San Marcos USACE Regional Flooding Mitigation Bypass Channel Project Planning	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$925,000	\$925,000	1,330	7.67	6,889	8.62	3	3.46	12	7.47	0	0.00	30.00	3.29	1,112.00	4.73	35.23562	No	35.23562	75	35.23078	516	0.0	
031000484	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$80,000	\$80,000	398	6.50	496	6.24	253	11.84	0	0.00	0	0.00	124.50	4.44	4,591.68	5.60	34.61655	No	34.61655	76	34.61654	544	0.0	
081000678	8	Region 8 - Lower Brazos	Bryan	Engineering	No	\$0	\$0	\$592,000	\$592,000	300	6.22	1,200	7.04	2	2.75	5	5.43	5	1.17	5.00	1.86	0.00	0.00	24.46299	Yes	34.46299	77	27.19546	995	-2.7	
111000018	11	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Improvement Project Planning	Gonzales	Project Planning	No	\$3,375,101.60	\$0	\$600,000	\$3,975,102	403	6.51	758	6.62	0	0.00	5	5.43	0	0.00	7.47	2.18	202.32	3.68	24.42231	Yes	34.42231	78	24.42215	1185	0.0	
111000019	11	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Flood Mitigation Project Planning	Gonzales	Project Planning	No	\$403,365.40	\$0	\$430,000	\$833,365	403	6.51	758	6.62	0	0.00	5	5.43	0	0.00	7.47	2.18	202.32	3.68	24.42231	Yes	34.42231	78	24.42215	1185	0.0	
061000528	6	Little Cypress Creek Local Drainage Study	Harris County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$567,912	\$567,912	1,213	7.58	2,734	7.78	14	6.34	4	4.92	4	1.06	18.59	2.91	192.02	3.65	34.23985	No	34.23985	80	34.23985	562	0.0	
031000485	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of McKamy Creek	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$65,000	\$65,000	1,519	7.80	3,028	7.88	174	11.13	0	0.00	0	0.00	87.68	4.16	92.50	3.20	34.16526	No	34.16526	81	34.16527	567	0.0	
031000487	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Sharps Branch	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$64,000	\$64,000	948	7.34	899	6.78	163	11.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	95.05	4.22	1,188.24	4.77	34.11558	No	34.11558	82	34.11556	569	0.0	
081001286	8	Taylor/Elm Storm Infrastructure & Outfall	Waco	Engineering	No	\$0	\$0	\$917,000	\$917,000	547	6.80	1,253	7.08	3	3.46	0	0.00	49	2.31	11.04	2.49	9.30	1.80	23.94259	Yes	33.94259	83	17.18037	1804	6.8	
111000005	11	Caldwell County ESD #3 Drainage Improvement Plan	Caldwell County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	724	7.08	2,021	7.51	1	1.68	13	7.65	5	1.17	23.30	3.09	5,084.78	5.66	33.83694	No	33.83694	84	32.66945	657	1.2	
111000010	11	Mitigation Preparedness Project for Hazard Mitigation and Improved Access	Cibolo	Preparedness	No	\$0	\$0	\$600,000	\$600,000	846	7.23	2,283	7.62	5	4.40	8	6.52	0	0.00	25.20	3.15	1,157.08	4.75	33.67381	No	33.67381	85	33.67389	581	0.0	
031000501	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 16	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	874	7.26	3,364	7.97	85	9.77	0	0.00	0	0.00	71.01	3.99	260.14	3.84	32.82482	No	32.82482	86	32.82484	643	0.0	
061000464	6	Carpenters Bayou (West Acres, Shadowglen & Old River Terrace Neighborhood	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	812	7.19	2,731	7.78	24	7.36	2	3.39	2	0.73	18.83	2.92	96.27	3.23	32.60147	No	32.60147	87	32.60147	658	0.0	
031000293	3	Quil Miller Creek Watershed Study	Burleson	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	234	5.98	6,235	8.53	2	2.75	19	8.54	0	0.00	6.33	2.05	1,015.49	4.67	32.51656	No	32.51656	88	32.51610	668	0.0	
061000485	6	Newcastle/ Kilmarnock Area Drainage Improvements	Bellaire	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	5,877	9.11	25,741	9.81	69	9.37	0	0.00	0	0.00	70.92	3.99	0.16	0.10	32.38129	No	32.38129	89	32.38129	681	0.0	
061000488	6	Chimney Rock Area Drainage Improvements	Bellaire	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	5,877	9.11	25,741	9.81	69	9.37	0	0.00	0	0.00	70.92	3.99	0.16	0.10	32.38129	No	32.38129	89	32.38129	681	0.0	
111000001	11	Blanco County Low Water Crossing Improvement Study	Blanco County	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	177	5.71	272	5.70	0	0.00	33	9.84	78	2.55	16.46	2.81	5,740.23	5.74	32.33867	No	32.33867	91	29.78913	822	2.5	

121000018	12	Hueber Creek Drainage Improvements Project	Leon Valley	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$650,000	\$650,000	10,000	9.63	3,109	7.90	2	2.75	0	0.00	0	0.00	2.40	1.29	0.00	0.00	21.57064	Yes	31.57064	92	9.39946	2399	12.2	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
021000033	2	Wadley Hospital Flood Study	Texarkana	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	226	5.95	1,229	7.06	6	4.74	0	0.00	0	0.00	10.34	2.44	3.67	1.23	21.41718	Yes	31.41718	93	21.41756	1402	0.0	
031000491	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WB-1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$63,000	\$63,000	436	6.58	376	5.99	87	9.81	0	0.00	0	0.00	26.11	3.18	1,048.83	4.69	30.26190	No	30.26190	94	30.26190	769	0.0	
031000122	3	Hackberry Gully and Cotton Bayou Shelving Study	Chambers County	Project Planning	No	\$8,715,969	\$0	\$968,440	\$9,684,409	1,037	7.43	11,522	9.08	12	6.05	0	0.00	0	0.00	14.45	2.71	1,544.70	4.93	30.19625	No	30.19625	95	30.19635	774	0.0	
111000058	11	City of San Marcos LWC at River Road and Railroad Trestle/Blanco River Project Planning	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$187,500	\$187,500	758	7.12	5,226	8.37	2	2.75	4	4.92	0	0.00	18.00	2.88	420.00	4.13	30.17016	No	30.17016	96	30.16586	776	0.0	
111000060	11	City of San Marcos – Extension of River Ridge Parkway West Project Planning	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$372,500	\$372,500	758	7.12	5,226	8.37	2	2.75	4	4.92	0	0.00	18.00	2.88	420.00	4.13	30.17016	No	30.17016	96	30.16586	776	0.0	
061000496	6	Feasibility Study - Convert Enderli Reservoir into a Detention Pond	Liberty County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$350,000	769	7.14	2,690	7.77	22	7.20	0	0.00	0	0.00	20.84	3.00	1,344.55	4.84	29.94975	No	29.94975	98	29.94975	812	0.0	
061000495	6	of Detention Pond & Conveyance System for Buddy Grass and Railroad Ditches	Liberty County WCID 1	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$370,000	\$370,000	763	7.13	2,677	7.76	22	7.20	0	0.00	0	0.00	20.85	3.00	1,344.45	4.84	29.93809	No	29.93809	99	29.93809	813	0.0	
061000498	6	Preliminary Engineering Design of Detention Pond at Gier Road & Cedar Bayou	Liberty County WCID 1	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$176,000	\$176,000	763	7.13	2,677	7.76	22	7.20	0	0.00	0	0.00	20.79	3.00	1,343.65	4.84	29.93541	No	29.93541	100	29.93541	814	0.0	
031000505	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed 4	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	605	6.90	374	5.98	57	9.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	46.93	3.65	421.91	4.13	29.68422	No	29.68422	101	29.68429	826	0.0	
031000224	3	Shady Grove Rd, Gilbert Rd, Wright Blvd	Grand Prairie	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	215	5.90	23,489	9.73	3	3.46	4	4.92	0	0.00	6.09	2.02	170.20	3.58	29.59553	No	29.59553	102	29.59567	833	0.0	
031000188	3	Carrier Parkway at Dalworth Creek	Grand Prairie	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	30	3.98	6,563	8.57	0	0.00	3	4.27	0	0.00	0.96	0.69	10.40	1.86	19.37584	Yes	29.37584	103	19.37566	1597	0.0	
031000502	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 17	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$57,000	\$57,000	413	6.53	1,335	7.13	53	8.87	0	0.00	0	0.00	56.65	3.81	47.87	2.80	29.14159	No	29.14159	104	29.14153	874	0.0	
081001299	8	Cedar Park Drainage Master Plan	Cedar Park	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$850,000	\$850,000	269	6.11	819	6.69	0	0.00	12	7.47	130	2.81	5.40	1.92	398.70	4.10	29.10166	No	29.10166	105	29.10166	878	0.0	
031000490	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream SB-1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$57,000	\$57,000	406	6.51	254	5.63	60	9.11	0	0.00	0	0.00	42.46	3.57	461.07	4.19	29.01795	No	29.01795	106	29.01795	883	0.0	
031000251	3	Henry Branch Stream Stabilization	Grand Prairie	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	42	4.31	8,672	8.83	0	0.00	2	3.39	0	0.00	1.14	0.79	7.94	1.70	19.01029	Yes	29.01029	107	19.00782	1632	0.0	
031000507	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed 5 Tributary 1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$57,000	\$57,000	524	6.76	418	6.08	79	9.63	0	0.00	0	0.00	33.78	3.39	68.03	3.01	28.88193	No	28.88193	108	28.88202	892	0.0	
031000496	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of TC-2 Tributary 4	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	484	6.69	1,909	7.46	57	9.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	30.78	3.31	11.26	1.91	28.38008	No	28.38008	109	28.38006	917	0.0	
031000424	3	Rush Creek RC1 and RC1A Improvements	Arlington	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$700,000	\$700,000	3,093	8.49	9,279	8.89	3	3.46	2	3.39	2	0.73	26.30	3.19	0.00	0.00	28.14371	No	28.14371	110	39.05218	343	-10.9	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
031000504	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Tributary C to Timber Creek	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	625	6.93	4,321	8.20	57	9.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	46.93	3.65	0.22	0.14	27.93026	No	27.93026	111	27.93032	944	0.0	
041000099	4	High Street Underpass Flooding Mitigation	Longview	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	33	4.07	499	6.24	0	0.00	6	5.85	6	1.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.42828	Yes	27.42828	112	10.31362	2329	7.1	
031000503	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 18	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	444	6.60	654	6.49	42	8.43	0	0.00	0	0.00	48.53	3.68	17.80	2.19	27.39340	No	27.39340	113	27.39341	985	0.0	
031000486	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Sharps Branch Tributary 3	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$59,000	\$59,000	124	5.36	306	5.80	53	8.87	0	0.00	0	0.00	20.69	3.00	338.92	4.00	27.03018	No	27.03018	114	27.03002	1011	0.0	
031000478	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Bakers Branch Tributary 1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	526	6.77	516	6.27	45	8.56	0	0.00	0	0.00	36.97	3.46	6.35	1.56	26.62675	No	26.62675	115	26.62675	1043	0.0	
081000979	8	Integrated Stormwater Management Model (ISWMM) Phase 4	Sugar Land	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$233,000	\$233,000	442	6.60	835	6.71	2	2.75	0	0.00	96	2.65	18.44	2.90	1,687.17	4.98	26.59529	No	26.59529	116	26.59530	1044	0.0	
151000200	15	MI13a1 & MI13a2 Spikes & Jupiter	Mission	Project Planning	Yes	\$288,000	\$0	\$32,000	\$320,000	291	6.19	503	6.25	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	5.76	1.97	16.75	2.15	16.57024	Yes	26.57024	117	16.57035	1852	0.0	
061000248	6	City of Huntsville Master Drainage Plan	Huntsville	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$700,000	\$700,000	114	5.28	244	5.60	0	0.00	1	2.07	1	0.44	2.70	1.38	9.27	1.79	16.56825	Yes	26.56825	118	16.56825	1853	0.0	
031000495	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of TC-2 Tributary 2	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	388	6.47	650	6.48	41	8.38	0	0.00	0	0.00	44.94	3.62	3.14	1.14	26.09997	No	26.09997	119	26.10003	1089	0.0	
111000037	11	City of Luling Stormwater Collection System Replacement	Luling	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$8,833,000	\$8,833,000	74	4.86	199	5.41	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	6.27	2.04	209.52	3.70	16.01684	Yes	26.01684	120	16.01662	1909	0.0	
061000556	6	Brookshire-Katy Drainage District Watershed Study	Brookshire-Katy Drainage District	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	217	5.91	594	6.40	2	2.75	2	3.39	2	0.73	6.63	2.08	522.13	4.26	25.52174	No	25.52174	121	25.52258	1120	0.0	
091000142	9	I-20_Playa_to_Pit	Midland County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$260,000	\$260,000	1,782	7.95	2,550	7.72	3	3.46	0	0.00	0	0.00	75.86	4.04	22.52	2.34	25.50880	No	25.50880	122	25.50879	1121	0.0	
031000488	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream SB-1 Tributary 1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	58	4.62	17	3.19	163	11.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	11.22	2.50	210.85	3.71	25.03302	No	25.03302	123	25.03316	1145	0.0	

031000499	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 11	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	384	6.46	881	6.76	38	8.24	0	0.00	0	0.00	37.01	3.46	0.15	0.09	25.01367	No	25.01367	124	25.01367	1150	0.0	
081001298	8	Nolanville Drainage Master Plan	Nolanville	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$564,000	\$564,000	96	5.11	125	4.99	1	1.68	3	4.27	50	2.33	7.00	2.13	610.10	4.36	24.86451	No	24.86451	125	24.86451	1153	0.0	
031000479	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Bakers Branch Tributary 2	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	346	6.36	468	6.19	43	8.47	0	0.00	0	0.00	37.12	3.47	0.24	0.14	24.63010	No	24.63010	126	24.63001	1170	0.0	
031000494	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WC-4	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	59	4.64	95	4.74	29	7.72	0	0.00	0	0.00	34.63	3.41	206.29	3.69	24.21311	No	24.21311	127	24.21304	1202	0.0	
031000508	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed Tributary to Bakers Branch	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	245	6.02	454	6.16	37	8.19	0	0.00	0	0.00	34.91	3.42	0.23	0.14	23.92795	No	23.92795	128	23.92786	1230	0.0	
031000489	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream SB-1 Tributary 2	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	123	5.35	108	4.86	25	7.44	0	0.00	0	0.00	21.33	3.02	71.06	3.04	23.71787	No	23.71787	129	23.71791	1238	0.0	
031000483	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch Tributary 10	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$59,000	\$59,000	40	4.26	105	4.84	29	7.72	0	0.00	0	0.00	13.68	2.66	461.37	4.19	23.67317	No	23.67317	130	23.67293	1242	0.0	
031000492	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WC-1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$58,000	\$58,000	116	5.30	114	4.91	26	7.52	0	0.00	0	0.00	15.98	2.79	73.77	3.06	23.57527	No	23.57527	131	23.57537	1247	0.0	
031000497	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 9	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	248	6.04	708	6.56	27	7.59	0	0.00	0	0.00	15.36	2.76	0.92	0.51	23.44862	No	23.44862	132	23.44862	1255	0.0	
081000945	8	Chandler Branch Trib. 3	Round Rock	Feasibility Assessment	No	\$0	\$0	\$788,047	\$788,047	21	3.64	38	3.92	0	0.00	1	2.07	13	1.65	0.40	0.31	9.80	1.83	13.40766	Yes	23.40766	133	13.41694	2100	0.0	
121000157	12	Rockwood Creek (SA-39)	Bexar County Public Works	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	120	5.33	293	5.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	10	1.51	0.77	0.57	0.00	0.00	13.17703	Yes	23.17703	134	13.17703	2123	0.0	
111000055	11	City of San Marcos Modeling of Purgatory Creek and Willow Springs Creek Overflow Area	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$338,750	\$338,750	159	5.60	349	5.92	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	3.00	1.46	0.00	0.00	12.98767	Yes	22.98767	135	12.98874	2137	0.0	
061000497	6	of Detention Pond at intersection of HWY90 & Railroad near Cedar Bayou	Liberty County WCID 1	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	553	6.82	675	6.52	1	1.68	0	0.00	0	0.00	15.71	2.77	782.98	4.51	22.29724	No	22.29724	136	22.29724	1334	0.0	
111000056	11	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Jackman Project Planning	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$187,500	\$187,500	221	5.92	1,210	7.05	0	0.00	2	3.39	0	0.00	4.00	1.69	392.00	4.09	22.13282	No	22.13282	137	22.05857	1345	0.1	
111000057	11	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Mitchell and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	221	5.92	1,210	7.05	0	0.00	2	3.39	0	0.00	4.00	1.69	392.00	4.09	22.13282	No	22.13282	137	22.05857	1345	0.1	
111000059	11	City of San Marcos LWC at S LBJ and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	San Marcos	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$187,500	\$187,500	221	5.92	1,210	7.05	0	0.00	2	3.39	0	0.00	4.00	1.69	392.00	4.09	22.13282	No	22.13282	137	22.05857	1345	0.1	
101000082	10	Lago Vista Drainage Master Plan	Lago Vista	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$400,000	\$400,000	543	6.80	754	6.62	1	1.68	0	0.00	0	0.00	10.48	2.45	657.77	4.41	21.94798	No	21.94798	140	21.94825	1349	0.0	
031000049	3	West Fork of the Trinity River Levee Failure Hydrologic Study	River Oaks	Riverine	No	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	1,263	7.62	3,097	7.90	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	14.73	2.72	153.11	3.51	21.74860	No	21.74860	141	56.40644	35	-34.7	Greater than 20 points change from SFP.
031000232	3	Shady Grove Road	Grand Prairie	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$337,000	\$337,000	165	5.64	6,341	8.54	1	1.68	0	0.00	0	0.00	5.65	1.96	265.26	3.85	21.66538	No	21.66538	142	21.66602	1367	0.0	
031000500	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 13	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	159	5.60	857	6.73	13	6.20	0	0.00	0	0.00	15.47	2.76	0.00	0.00	21.29970	No	21.29970	143	21.29960	1415	0.0	
061000013	6	City of Bellaire Local Drainage System Asset Management	Bellaire	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	5,877	9.11	3,109	7.90	2	2.75	0	0.00	0	0.00	2.40	1.29	0.16	0.10	21.15149	No	21.15149	144	32.38129	681	-11.2	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
031000506	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed 5 Tributary 1.2	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$58,000	\$58,000	91	5.06	82	4.61	29	7.72	0	0.00	0	0.00	7.99	2.23	4.27	1.32	20.95358	No	20.95358	145	20.95318	1448	0.0	
031000205	3	Shady Grove Road – Jones Street Storm Drainage Improvements	Grand Prairie	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	140	5.48	4,325	8.20	1	1.68	0	0.00	0	0.00	4.85	1.84	168.96	3.57	20.76228	No	20.76228	146	20.76153	1468	0.0	
031000493	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WC-3	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	69	4.79	56	4.27	14	6.34	0	0.00	0	0.00	13.88	2.68	32.41	2.56	20.63409	No	20.63409	147	20.63386	1476	0.0	
031000076	3	City of Corinth FME	Corinth	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	110	5.25	361	5.95	2	2.75	1	2.07	0	0.00	3.74	1.63	47.92	2.80	20.44538	No	20.44538	148	23.75870	1235	-3.3	
031000498	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 10	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$55,000	\$55,000	162	5.62	153	5.18	17	6.71	0	0.00	0	0.00	14.96	2.74	0.00	0.00	20.24208	No	20.24208	149	20.24219	1524	0.0	
151000093	15	Risk Area 5 Debona Drive	Eagle Pass	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$400,000	\$400,000	8	2.70	134	5.06	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	1.06	1.08	0.75	0.00	0.00	9.56810	Yes	19.56810	150	7.71698	2497	1.9	
151000092	15	Risk Area 4 Bibb & Misty Willow Storm Drain	Eagle Pass	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$350,000	36	4.16	118	4.94	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.45	0.35	0.00	0.00	9.44841	Yes	19.44841	151	8.04485	2474	1.4	
061000499	6	Preliminary Engineering Design of Detention Pond at Hatcherville & Cedar Bayou Farm Ditches	Liberty County WCID 1	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$440,000	\$440,000	356	6.39	438	6.13	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7.00	2.13	702.02	4.45	19.08715	No	19.08715	152	19.08715	1621	0.0	
151000088	15	Risk Area 13 Calle De Los Santos Neighborhood	Eagle Pass	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	22	3.68	116	4.93	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.56	0.43	0.07	0.04	9.07495	Yes	19.07495	153	6.73102	2559	2.3	
131000190	13	City of Kingsville 2018 Drainage Master Plan– Location 9	Kingsville	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$92,349	\$92,349	12	3.09	106	4.84	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.77	0.57	0.00	0.00	8.50645	Yes	18.50645	154	8.50424	2436	0.0	
151000086	15	Risk Area 11 Rancho Escondido	Eagle Pass	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	20	3.59	91	4.71	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.27	0.21	0.00	0.00	8.50524	Yes	18.50524	155	8.08171	2469	0.4	

031000361	3	North Delaware Creek Phases 2 & 3	Irving	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	96	5.11	262	5.66	0	0.00	4	4.92	10	1.51	2.34	1.28	0.00	0.00	18.48362	No	18.48362	156	10.21327	2333	8.3	
061000323	6	B106-WP01 & WP02 for Armand Bayou Watershed	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	473	6.66	1,478	7.23	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	7.05	2.13	20.94	2.29	18.31456	No	18.31456	157	18.31456	1698	0.0	
131000191	13	Carriage Park 2 Subdivision – Location 15	Kingsville	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$128,428	\$128,428	18	3.49	42	4.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.24	0.19	0.71	0.41	8.08900	Yes	18.08900	158	8.08714	2468	0.0	
131000188	13	City of Kingsville 2018 Drainage Master Plan– Location 2	Kingsville	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$124,164	\$124,164	21	3.64	41	3.98	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.44	0.34	0.00	0.00	7.96369	Yes	17.96369	159	7.96032	2478	0.0	
061000290	6	Mitigation Assistance 2022 Capability and Capacity Building (C&CB) Project Scoping	Taylor Lake Village	Watershed Planning	Yes	\$420,000	\$0	\$140,000	\$560,000	852	7.24	1,955	7.48	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	13.33	2.64	0.00	0.00	17.35779	No	17.35779	160	17.35779	1791	0.0	
061000065	6	Hickory Slough Lower Segment	Pearland	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,048,000	\$1,048,000	424	6.56	1,097	6.96	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	6.26	2.04	4.77	1.39	16.94284	No	16.94284	161	16.94284	1818	0.0	
081000890	8	Loop 340 Berm & Frontage Road Improvements	Waco	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	117	5.31	218	5.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.44	2.71	1.39	377.30	4.07	16.69673	No	16.69673	162	12.97430	2138	3.7	
031000481	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch Tributary 3	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$57,000	\$57,000	3	1.77	1	0.80	24	7.36	0	0.00	0	0.00	12.67	2.60	259.93	3.84	16.36858	No	16.36858	163	16.36852	1882	0.0	
021000035	2	Cowhorn West Creek	Texarkana	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	247	6.03	1,678	7.34	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	8.50	2.28	1.38	0.69	16.34745	No	16.34745	164	16.34748	1884	0.0	
121000113	12	New Drainage Analysis to Update/Revise Flood Maps	La Coste	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	170	5.67	263	5.67	0	0.00	0	0.00	23	1.93	4.22	1.73	1.40	0.70	15.69243	No	15.69243	165	15.69243	1930	0.0	
081001066	8	Hope's Creek Flood Insurance Study	College Station	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$158,000	\$158,000	37	4.19	62	4.36	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	1.17	1.34	0.89	702.50	4.45	15.04551	No	15.04551	166	15.04462	1972	0.0	
061000489	6	Elkins Lake Watershed Drainage Plan	Huntsville	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	69	4.79	141	5.10	0	0.00	1	2.07	1	0.44	2.11	1.20	2.81	1.08	14.68580	No	14.68580	167	14.68580	2001	0.0	
121000130	12	Wastewater Treatment Plant Relocation Project	Bandera	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,350,000	\$1,350,000	2	1.40	0	0.00	1	1.68	0	0.00	2	0.73	0.01	0.01	0.79	0.45	4.26313	Yes	14.26313	168	2.58652	2773	1.7	
031000423	3	Timber Creek Road Bridges Erosion Stabilization	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	30	3.98	4,903	8.31	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.29	0.23	5.83	1.51	14.03396	No	14.03396	169	14.03229	2057	0.0	
061000070	6	Cowart Creek Segment 16	Pearland	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	150	5.55	278	5.72	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.11	0.77	7.82	1.69	13.72176	No	13.72176	170	13.72176	2079	0.0	
151000120	15	Addendum To The Master Watershed Study RSWF B	Del Rio	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$81,906	\$81,906	1	0.86	8	2.51	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.36775	Yes	13.36775	171	0.00000	2969	3.4	
031000482	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch Tributary 9	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$56,000	\$56,000	3	1.77	1	0.80	12	6.05	0	0.00	0	0.00	5.01	1.86	45.70	2.77	13.24627	No	13.24627	172	13.24627	2116	0.0	
031000418	3	Pecan Acres Drainage Improvements	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	39	4.24	960	6.84	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.45	0.94	2.68	1.05	13.06140	No	13.06140	173	13.06086	2134	0.0	
101000086	10	Citywide Drainage Study	San Leanna	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	49	4.46	114	4.91	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.40	0.31	97.92	3.24	12.91967	No	12.91967	174	12.91735	2142	0.0	
031000509	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of WB-1 Tributary 1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$59,000	\$59,000	2	1.40	21	3.38	7	5.03	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.80	1.09	12.91	2.00	12.89580	No	12.89580	175	12.89502	2143	0.0	
121000158	12	Live Oak at Salitrillo Creek (CB-9)	Bexar County Public Works	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	40	4.26	94	4.74	0	0.00	0	0.00	15	1.72	0.88	0.64	1.68	0.79	12.14513	No	12.14513	176	12.14543	2205	0.0	
131000111	13	FM 1356 Channel Improvements – Location 16	Kingsville	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$157,622	\$157,622	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	1.17	0.02	0.02	0.93	0.51	1.69537	Yes	11.69537	177	1.69188	2821	0.0	
031000414	3	Pecan Acres Floodway Lot Acquisitions	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	22	3.68	306	5.80	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.26	0.85	1.48	0.73	11.05585	No	11.05585	178	11.05661	2276	0.0	
121000164	12	Abbott Road and Graytown Road at Martinez Creek Study	Bexar County Public Works	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	7	2.57	12	2.88	0	0.00	1	2.07	3	0.92	0.64	0.49	15.62	2.11	11.03154	No	11.03154	179	11.03038	2278	0.0	
061000029	6	Spanish Cove Subdivision Drainage Assessment	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00000	Yes	10.00000	180	0.00000	2969	0.0	
151000119	15	Addendum To The Master Watershed Study RSWF A	Del Rio	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$81,906	\$81,906	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00000	Yes	10.00000	180	0.00000	2969	0.0	
061000027	6	Lake Shadows Subdivision Drainage Improvements	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$280,000	\$280,000	41	4.29	149	5.15	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.28	9.72134	No	9.72134	182	9.72134	2366	0.0	
061000490	6	Spring Lake Watershed Plan	Huntsville	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	38	4.21	69	4.46	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.52	0.40	0.00	0.00	9.06867	No	9.06867	183	9.06867	2409	0.0	
031000513	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Wichita Chase Tributary	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$60,000	0	0.00	704	6.56	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.05	0.04	9.53	1.81	8.40577	No	8.40577	184	8.40397	2442	0.0	
021000037	2	Stream WC-1	Texarkana	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	8	2.70	31	3.73	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.52	0.40	4.31	1.33	8.16349	No	8.16349	185	8.16602	2459	0.0	
031000514	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Wichita Creek	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$64,000	\$64,000	0	0.00	198	5.41	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.42	0.33	3.90	1.27	7.00740	No	7.00740	186	7.01094	2543	0.0	
031000420	3	Royal Oaks Curb Inlet Improvements	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	0	0.00	823	6.70	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.69706	No	6.69706	187	6.69706	2560	0.0	

031000419	3	Range Wood Drive, Kings Road & Lusk Lane Drainage Improvements	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	2	1.40	65	4.40	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.04	0.03	1.27	0.65	6.48933	No	6.48933	188	6.49036	2575	0.0	
061000024	6	Williamsburg Subdivision Drainage Assessment	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,260,000	\$1,260,000	2	1.40	8	2.51	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.62	0.47	6.60	1.59	5.97232	No	5.97232	189	5.97232	2596	0.0	
031000511	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Whites Branch Tributary 2	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$61,000	\$61,000	0	0.00	11	2.80	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.05	0.04	55.35	2.89	5.72484	No	5.72484	190	5.72411	2605	0.0	
031000416	3	Garden Ridge Boulevard Bridge Erosion Stabilization	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	0	0.00	87	4.67	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.09	0.07	1.52	0.74	5.47795	No	5.47795	191	5.47584	2625	0.0	
031000415	3	East Waketon Road Drainage Improvement	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	0	0.00	85	4.64	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64414	No	4.64414	192	4.64414	2664	0.0	
091000085	9	San Angelo Sunset Lake Flooding Improvement	San Angelo	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	7	2.57	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	2.07	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64091	No	4.64091	193	4.64091	2665	0.0	
061000317	6	Arcadian Gardens Subdivision Drainage Improvements	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	5	2.25	5	2.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	4.35587	No	4.35587	194	4.35587	2679	0.0	
031000512	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Whites Branch	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$67,000	\$67,000	0	0.00	5	2.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.55	2.18	4.27456	No	4.27456	195	4.27456	2685	0.0	
121000155	12	Culebra Creek RSWF	Bexar County Public Works	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000	1	0.86	2	1.31	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	1.46	0.50	0.39	0.20	0.12	4.13401	No	4.13401	196	4.13401	2694	0.0	
061000028	6	Wigwam Ln, and Related Infrastructure Drainage Improvements	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$130,000	\$130,000	3	1.77	4	1.89	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.00	3.71076	No	3.71076	197	3.71076	2709	0.0	
031000422	3	Sunset Trail Drop Inlet and Outfall Improvement	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	0	0.00	25	3.54	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.53786	No	3.53786	198	3.53786	2720	0.0	
031000417	3	Jernigan Road Drop Inlet and Bar Ditch Improvements	Flower Mound	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	0	0.00	23	3.46	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.46252	No	3.46252	199	3.46252	2724	0.0	
031000510	3	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Whites Branch Tributary 2.1	Flower Mound	Watershed Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$58,000	\$58,000	0	0.00	1	0.80	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.05	0.04	18.30	2.21	3.04615	No	3.04615	200	3.04542	2743	0.0	
121000166	12	FM 1346 Crossing Upgrade Study	Bexar County Public Works	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.44	0.36	0.28	1.05	0.56	1.29120	No	1.29120	201	1.29073	2833	0.0	
131000172	13	Diversion from the Nueces River to Choke Canyon	Nueces River Authority	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$275,000	\$275,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.18	0.62637	No	0.62637	202	0.66018	2874	0.0	
061000026	6	Bridgewater Village & Enclave at Bridgewater Drainage Analysis	Harris County	Project Planning	No	\$0	\$0	\$750,000	\$750,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00805	No	0.00805	203	0.00805	2964	0.0	
							TOTAL	\$166,940,944	\$199,641,695																						

¹ Scoring of studies or projects using criteria, ArcSinh Normalization for select criteria, and methodology as identified in the 2024 State Flood Plan. ArcSinh is a mathematical function used to scale any real number, including both extremely large and very small values, while preserving their original magnitudes. ArcSinh uses a non-linear transformation to compress or expand datasets containing extreme values. This makes it particularly useful in project ranking as it ensures that larger, outlier projects do not distort the rank of other projects.

² Prioritization criteria in the SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Intended Use Plan states that an FME will receive an additional 10 points if the entity's study area has an average median household income (AMHI) that is ≤85% the statewide AMHI.

³ Basis for the current FIF cycle funding prioritization. Prioritization of FIF SFY 2024-2025 cycle studies or projects using methodology as identified in the 2024 State Flood Plan and the SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Intended Use Plan.

⁴ Score and ranking of studies or projects as identified in the 2024 State Flood Plan.

⁵ If data submitted during the regional flood planning process changed at the time of the abridged application submittal, the applicant is required to submit all pertinent data in an Excel spreadsheet template provided with the FIF Abridged Application, identify what changed, and provide a description and justification for the change. Unless the TWDB was informed otherwise, data from the regional flood plan is the default basis for ranking and prioritizing FME submitted as recommended in the

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Eligible Grant Percentages

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Disaster Declaration? 1	Total Eligible Grant % Without FMA 19-22	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA 19-22 Recipient Data 2	Final SVI (Tiebreaker)	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16114	031000424	Arlington	Rush Creek RC1 and RC1A Improvements	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4862	28.14371	110
16115	101000158	Austin	Citywide Storm Drain Infrastructure Modeling	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4484	48.37466	28
16119	121000130	Bandera	Wastewater Treatment Plant Relocation Project	≤ 50%	No	90	No	90	0.5844	14.26313	168
16121	131000125	Bee County	Bee County Drainage Master Plan	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8182	57.73029	11
16122	131000126	Beeville	Beeville Drainage Master Plan	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8182	46.83151	34
16123	061000488	Bellaire	Chimney Rock Area Drainage Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.4817	32.38129	89
16125	061000485	Bellaire	Newcastle/ Kilmarnock Area Drainage Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0924	32.38129	89
16124	061000013	Bellaire	City of Bellaire Local Drainage System Asset Management	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6922	21.15149	144
16130	121000153	Bexar County Public Works	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5567	35.97666	71
16131	121000154	Bexar County Public Works	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County HALT (High Water Detection System) Low Water Crossings	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5567	35.97666	71
16132	121000157	Bexar County Public Works	Rockwood Creek (SA-39)	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8697	23.17703	134
16129	121000158	Bexar County Public Works	Live Oak at Salitrillo Creek (CB-9)	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4610	12.14513	176
16126	121000164	Bexar County Public Works	Abbott Road and Graytown Road at Martinez Creek Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3400	11.03154	179
16127	121000155	Bexar County Public Works	Culebra Creek RSWF	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3424	4.13401	196
16128	121000166	Bexar County Public Works	FM 1346 Crossing Upgrade Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.1260	1.29120	201
16133	111000001	Blanco County	Blanco County Low Water Crossing Improvement Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.0632	32.33867	91
16134	021000066	Bonham	Pig Branch Watershed Culvert Study Update	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.1897	40.06078	54
16135	061000556	Brookshire-Katy Drainage District	Brookshire-Katy Drainage District Watershed Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.4794	25.52174	121
16141	081000678	Bryan	Region 8 - Lower Brazos	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.6082	34.46299	77
16143	031000293	Burleson	Quil Miller Creek Watershed Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.2466	32.51656	88
16144	111000003	Caldwell County	Caldwell County Bridge Improvement Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.8340	47.75552	30
16146	111000164	Caldwell County	Caldwell County FEWS Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.8340	43.34840	47
16145	111000005	Caldwell County	Caldwell County ESD #3 Drainage Improvement Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5668	33.83694	84
16159	151000447	Cameron County	Developing a Regional Master Drainage Plan for Cameron and Hidalgo County	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.9763	71.20429	5
16162	081001299	Cedar Park	Cedar Park Drainage Master Plan	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.2401	29.10166	105
16163	031000122	Chambers County	Hackberry Gully and Cotton Bayou Shelving Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.2591	30.19625	95
16164	111000010	Cibolo	City of Cibolo Flood Hazard Mitigation Preparedness Project for Hazard Mitigation and Improved Access	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.1819	33.67381	85
16166	081001066	College Station	Hope's Creek Flood Insurance Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.1335	15.04551	166
16167	031000076	Corinth	City of Corinth FME	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.1073	20.44538	148
16169	031000519	Dallas	Mill Creek Drainage Relief System – Upper - Middle Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3825	54.61122	13
16170	151000104	Del Rio	Addendum To The Master Watershed Study Flood Risk Maps	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.9644	50.73032	20

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Eligible Grant Percentages

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Disaster Declaration? 1	Total Eligible Grant % Without FMA 19-22	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA 19-22 Recipient Data 2	Final SVI (Tiebreaker)	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16172	151000120	Del Rio	Addendum To The Master Watershed Study RSWF B	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.9644	13.36775	171
16171	151000119	Del Rio	Addendum To The Master Watershed Study RSWF A	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.9644	10.00000	180
16181	151000093	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 5 Debona Drive	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.9723	19.56810	150
16180	151000092	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 4 Bibb & Misty Willow Storm Drain	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.9723	19.44841	151
16177	151000088	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 13 Calle De Los Santos Neighborhood	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.9723	19.07495	153
16175	151000086	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 11 Rancho Escondido	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.9723	18.50524	155
16184	101000098	El Campo	Blue Creek Regional Detention Modeling	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.7929	39.95145	55
16185	141000034	El Paso	FMP Development for El Paso Water SWMP	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6769	63.52258	9
16186	141000015	El Paso	Arroyo Debris Prioritization	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6876	67.76011	7
16187	141000035	El Paso County	FMP Development for El Paso County SWMP	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6980	51.57021	18
16197	031000043	Ellis Prairie S&W CD	Ellis County Dam Inundation Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.4450	51.07603	19
16237	031000515	Flower Mound	Hydrologic Updates of Town Wide Fully Developed Hydrology	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	40.12674	52
16243	031000421	Flower Mound	Stream Bank Stabilization – Various Locations Town Wide	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	40.12674	52
16199	031000480	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Bakers Branch	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	38.77030	59
16202	031000484	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	34.61655	76
16206	031000485	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of McKamy Creek	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	34.16526	81
16207	031000487	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Sharps Branch	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	34.11558	82
16221	031000501	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 16	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	32.82482	86
16212	031000491	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WB-1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	30.26190	94
16226	031000505	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed 4	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	29.68422	101
16222	031000502	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 17	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	29.14159	104
16209	031000490	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream SB-1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	29.01795	106
16227	031000507	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed 5 Tributary 1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	28.88193	108
16217	031000496	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of TC-2 Tributary 4	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	28.38008	109
16225	031000504	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Tributary C to Timber Creek	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	27.93026	111
16223	031000503	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 18	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	27.39340	113
16208	031000486	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Sharps Branch Tributary 3	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	27.03018	114
16200	031000478	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Bakers Branch Tributary 1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	26.62675	115
16216	031000495	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of TC-2 Tributary 2	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	26.09997	119
16210	031000488	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream SB-1 Tributary 1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	25.03302	123

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Eligible Grant Percentages

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Disaster Declaration? 1	Total Eligible Grant % Without FMA 19-22	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA 19-22 Recipient Data 2	Final SVI (Tiebreaker)	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16219	031000499	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 11	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	25.01367	124
16201	031000479	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Bakers Branch Tributary 2	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	24.63010	126
16215	031000494	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WC-4	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	24.21311	127
16229	031000508	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed Tributary to Bakers Branch	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	23.92795	128
16211	031000489	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream SB-1 Tributary 2	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	23.71787	129
16203	031000483	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch Tributary 10	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	23.67317	130
16213	031000492	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WC-1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	23.57527	131
16224	031000497	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 9	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	23.44862	132
16220	031000500	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 13	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	21.29970	143
16228	031000506	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Unnamed 5 Tributary 1.2	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	20.95358	145
16214	031000493	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Stream WC-3	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	20.63409	147
16218	031000498	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Timber Creek Tributary 10	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	20.24208	149
16204	031000481	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch Tributary 3	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	16.36858	163
16245	031000423	Flower Mound	Timber Creek Road Bridges Erosion Stabilization	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	14.03396	169
16205	031000482	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Graham Branch Tributary 9	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	13.24627	172
16239	031000418	Flower Mound	Pecan Acres Drainage Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	13.06140	173
16230	031000509	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of WB-1 Tributary 1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	12.89580	175
16240	031000414	Flower Mound	Pecan Acres Floodway Lot Acquisitions	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	11.05585	178
16234	031000513	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Wichita Chase Tributary	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	8.40577	184
16235	031000514	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Wichita Creek	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	7.00740	186
16242	031000420	Flower Mound	Royal Oaks Curb Inlet Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	6.69706	187
16241	031000419	Flower Mound	Range Wood Drive, Kings Road & Lusk Lane Drainage Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	6.48933	188
16232	031000511	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Whites Branch Tributary 2	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	5.72484	190
16236	031000416	Flower Mound	Garden Ridge Boulevard Bridge Erosion Stabilization	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	5.47795	191
16198	031000415	Flower Mound	East Waketon Road Drainage Improvement	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	4.64414	192
16231	031000512	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Whites Branch	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	4.27456	195
16244	031000422	Flower Mound	Sunset Trail Drop Inlet and Outfall Improvement	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	3.53786	198
16238	031000417	Flower Mound	Jernigan Road Drop Inlet and Bar Ditch Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	3.46252	199
16233	031000510	Flower Mound	Floodplain Mapping Updates of Whites Branch Tributary 2.1	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.0751	3.04615	200
16250	111000019	Gonzales	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Flood Mitigation Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7766	34.42231	78

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Eligible Grant Percentages

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Disaster Declaration? 1	Total Eligible Grant % Without FMA 19-22	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA 19-22 Recipient Data 2	Final SVI (Tiebreaker)	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16251	111000018	Gonzales	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Improvement Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7766	34.42231	78
16252	031000197	Grand Prairie	3rd St at Cottonwood Creek and Cottonwood Creek from SW 3rd to FM 1382	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7200	39.56487	56
16256	031000224	Grand Prairie	Shady Grove Rd, Gilbert Rd, Wright Blvd	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6208	29.59553	102
16254	031000188	Grand Prairie	Carrier Parkway at Dalworth Creek	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6646	29.37584	103
16255	031000251	Grand Prairie	Henry Branch Stream Stabilization	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7519	29.01029	107
16257	031000232	Grand Prairie	Shady Grove Road	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6208	21.66538	142
16258	031000205	Grand Prairie	Shady Grove Road – Jones Street Storm Drainage Improvements	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6208	20.76228	146
16259	111000170	Guadalupe County	Guadalupe County Drainage Master Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3534	51.91944	17
16260	031000064	Haltom City	Haltom City FME	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5594	35.82842	74
16269	061000329	Harris County	I100-WP06 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.8451	37.99920	62
16270	061000331	Harris County	I100-WP07 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.8451	37.99920	62
16271	061000330	Harris County	I100-WP10 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.8451	37.99920	62
16272	061000332	Harris County	I100-WP11 for Vince Bayou Watershed Planning Project	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.8451	37.99920	62
16274	061000528	Harris County	Little Cypress Creek Local Drainage Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.2751	34.23985	80
16267	061000464	Harris County	Carpenters Bayou (West Acres, Shadowglen & Old River Terrace Neighborhood	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6426	32.60147	87
16265	061000323	Harris County	B106-WP01 & WP02 for Armand Bayou Watershed	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3039	18.31456	157
16275	061000029	Harris County	Spanish Cove Subdivision Drainage Assessment	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6233	10.00000	180
16273	061000027	Harris County	Lake Shadows Subdivision Drainage Improvements	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.1582	9.72134	182
16276	061000024	Harris County	Williamsburg Subdivision Drainage Assessment	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.4165	5.97232	189
16264	061000317	Harris County	Arcadian Gardens Subdivision Drainage Improvements	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5612	4.35587	194
16268	061000028	Harris County	Gum Gully Rd, W Stroker Rd, Wigwam Ln, and Related Infrastructure Drainage Improvements	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.2499	3.71076	197
16266	061000026	Harris County	Bridgewater Village & Enclave at Bridgewater Drainage Analysis	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3702	0.00805	203
16280	061000175	Harris County Flood Control District	SAFER Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5713	64.88228	8
16284	111000118	Hays County	Community Flood Mitigation Planning Project	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4476	50.54618	21
16286	111000180	Hays County	Hays County Drainage Master Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4476	47.92146	29
16285	111000112	Hays County	Hays County Dam Inundation Mapping	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4476	50.54618	21
16296	041000089	Hunt County	Hunt County Countywide Drainage Study - Phase 2	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3843	53.37330	15
16297	061000248	Huntsville	City of Huntsville Master Drainage Plan	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.4932	26.56825	118
16298	061000489	Huntsville	Elkins Lake Watershed Drainage Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3682	14.68580	167
16299	061000490	Huntsville	Spring Lake Watershed Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3682	9.06867	183

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Eligible Grant Percentages

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Disaster Declaration? 1	Total Eligible Grant % Without FMA 19-22	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA 19-22 Recipient Data? 2	Final SVI (Tiebreaker)	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16300	031000361	Irving	North Delaware Creek Phases 2 & 3	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5708	18.48362	156
16314	121000184	Karnes County	Karnes County FEWS Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7115	44.16299	43
16316	031000516	Kaufman County	Kaufman County Countywide Drainage Study - Phase 2	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5180	45.93901	39
16319	111000173	Kendall County	Kendall County Drainage Master Plan	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3558	38.32329	61
16320	111000122	Kerr County	Kerr County Center Point Storm Drainage Infrastructure	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4843	46.99430	32
16321	111000123	Kerr County	Kerr County Dam Integrity Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4843	46.99430	32
16322	111000179	Kerr County	Kerr County Drainage Master Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4843	46.78397	35
16326	131000189	Kingsville	City of Kingsville 2018 Drainage Master Plan– Location 5	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8933	40.16775	51
16329	131000112	Kingsville	Paulson Falls Subdivision – Location 17	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8933	37.18371	66
16327	131000190	Kingsville	City of Kingsville 2018 Drainage Master Plan– Location 9	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8933	18.50645	154
16324	131000191	Kingsville	Carriage Park 2 Subdivision – Location 15	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8933	18.08900	158
16325	131000188	Kingsville	City of Kingsville 2018 Drainage Master Plan– Location 2	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8933	17.96369	159
16328	131000111	Kingsville	FM 1356 Channel Improvements – Location 16	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8933	11.69537	177
16330	121000113	La Coste	New Drainage Analysis to Update/Revise Flood Maps	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4229	15.69243	165
16331	101000082	Lago Vista	Lago Vista Drainage Master Plan	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3949	21.94798	140
16332	031000135	Lancaster	Ten Mile Creek Channel Expansion Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5397	42.91063	48
16333	061000022	League City	Dickinson Bayou Flood Mitigation Plan – Alternative 2	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.4499	57.91080	10
16334	121000018	Leon Valley	Hueber Creek Drainage Improvements Project	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.6665	31.57064	92
16335	061000496	Liberty County WCID 1	Feasibility Study - Convert Enderli Reservoir into a Detention Pond	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5328	29.94975	98
16336	061000495	Liberty County WCID 1	Preliminary Engineering Design of Detention Pond & Conveyance System for Buddy Grass and Railroad Ditches	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5328	29.93809	99
16337	061000498	Liberty County WCID 1	Preliminary Engineering Design of Detention Pond at Gier Road & Cedar Bayou	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5328	29.93541	100
16339	061000497	Liberty County WCID 1	Preliminary Engineering Design of Detention Pond at intersection of HWY90 & Railroad near Cedar Bayou	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5801	22.29724	136
16338	061000499	Liberty County WCID 1	Preliminary Engineering Design of Detention Pond at Hatcherville & Cedar Bayou Farm Ditches	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5265	19.08715	152
16342	041000099	Longview	High Street Underpass Flooding Mitigation	≤ 50%	No	90	No	90	0.8175	27.42828	112
16345	071000118	Lubbock	John Montford Dam Evaluation	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4424	46.25851	37
16346	071000178	Lubbock	Lubbock County Floodplain Open Space Program	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4424	46.25851	37
16347	111000037	Luling	City of Luling Stormwater Collection System Replacement	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.8340	26.01684	120
16348	041000028	Marshall	Marshall Drainage Master Plan	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.6687	49.79540	23
16349	151000096	Maverick County	Maverick County Watershed Planning Project	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.9723	46.76454	36
16353	091000142	Midland County	I-20_Playa_to_Pit	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6443	25.50880	122

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Eligible Grant Percentages

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Disaster Declaration? ¹	Total Eligible Grant % Without FMA 19-22	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA 19-22 Recipient Data ²	Final SVI (Tiebreaker)	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16354	151000200	Mission	MI13a1 & MI13a2 Spikes & Jupiter	≤ 75%	N/A	90	Yes	90	0.6960	26.57024	117
16356	081001298	Nolanville	Nolanville Drainage Master Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4399	24.86451	125
16361	131000174	Nueces River Authority	Nueces Basin Early Flood Warning System	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.6387	81.92298	1
16366	131000179	Nueces River Authority	Nueces Basin Scaling Up NBS Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5900	71.92299	3
16363	131000177	Nueces River Authority	Nueces Basin Floodplain Map Updates	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7090	71.92298	4
16364	131000176	Nueces River Authority	Nueces Basin High Hazard Dam Identification and Risk Assessment	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7090	70.73025	6
16365	131000175	Nueces River Authority	Nueces Basin Low Water Crossing Study and Upgrade Prioritization	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7090	72.08232	2
16362	131000172	Nueces River Authority	Diversion from the Nueces River to Choke Canyon Reservoir	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.7090	0.62637	202
16368	041000046	Orange County Drainage District	Culvert and Railroad Trestle Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4417	54.51346	14
16370	021000045	Paris	Update to City of Paris Comprehensive Stormwater Plan Study	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.5257	36.01563	70
16371	031000046	Parker County	Parker County Dam Inundation Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3093	45.16214	41
16373	061000065	Pearland	Hickory Slough Lower Segment	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3197	16.94284	161
16372	061000070	Pearland	Cowart Creek Segment 16	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.1815	13.72176	170
16378	031000273	Plano	City of Plano DMP	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.2359	40.61781	50
16381	151000102	Rio Grande City	Rio Grande City MDP	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.9153	36.40026	68
16382	031000049	River Oaks	West Fork of the Trinity River Levee Failure Hydrologic Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5217	21.74860	141
16383	131000070	Rockport	Downtown Rockport Drainage Study	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.8213	43.52450	46
16384	081000945	Round Rock	Chandler Branch Trib. 3	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.1075	23.40766	133
16388	091000105	San Angelo	Tom Green County DMP	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5090	45.70026	40
16387	091000085	San Angelo	San Angelo Sunset Lake Flooding Improvement	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3233	4.64091	193
16390	121000134	San Antonio River Authority	Evaluation and Prioritization of new Gauge Locations	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.3417	38.98973	57
16391	121000137	San Antonio River Authority	River Authority WWTP	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5090	38.98973	57
16392	101000086	San Leanna	Citywide Drainage Study	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.5946	12.91967	174
16394	111000172	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Atlas 14 H&H Model Updates	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5474	49.37967	25
16395	111000174	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Gauges for Phase 2 of city-wide FEWS	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5474	49.37967	25
16405	111000177	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Upper San Marcos Site 4 & 5 Dam Evaluations	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5474	49.37967	25
16403	111000054	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Regional Detention Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5262	43.93663	44
16404	111000142	San Marcos	City of San Marcos South LBJ Drive at Willow Springs Creek Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5262	43.93663	44
16406	111000169	San Marcos	City of San Marcos USACE Regional Flooding Mitigation Bypass Channel Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6651	35.23562	75
16393	111000060	San Marcos	City of San Marcos – Extension of River Ridge Parkway West Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6765	30.17016	96

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Eligible Grant Percentages

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Disaster Declaration? ¹	Total Eligible Grant % Without FMA 19-22	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA 19-22 Recipient Data ²	Final SVI (Tiebreaker)	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16398	111000058	San Marcos	City of San Marcos LWC at River Road and Railroad Trestle/Blanco River Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6765	30.17016	96
16401	111000055	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Modeling of Purgatory Creek and Willow Springs Creek Overflow Area	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.6030	22.98767	135
16396	111000056	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Jackman Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4186	22.13282	137
16397	111000057	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Mitchell and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4186	22.13282	137
16399	111000059	San Marcos	City of San Marcos LWC at S LBJ and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4186	22.13282	137
16407	111000061	Seguin	Seguin Regional Drainage Masterplan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.1818	52.73568	16
16410	061000283	South Houston	City of South Houston Master Drainage Plan	≤ 75%	N/A	90	No	90	0.7984	36.79785	67
16411	081000979	Sugar Land	Integrated Stormwater Management Model (ISWMM) Phase 4	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.1502	26.59529	116
16412	021000062	Sulphur River Basin Authority	North Sulphur River Channel Stability and Flooding Study	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4526	49.68125	24
16413	031000456	Tarrant Regional Water District	Preliminary Engineering Study for Mary's Creek Flood Control Reservoir	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3618	44.92896	42
16419	061000290	Taylor Lake Village	Taylor Lake Village Flood Mitigation Assistance 2022 Capability and Capacity Building (C&CB) Project Scoping	>125%	N/A	50	Yes	70	0.1554	17.35779	160
16425	021000033	Texarkana	Wadley Hospital Flood Study	≤ 50%	No	90	No	90	0.4980	31.41718	93
16421	021000035	Texarkana	Cowhorn West Creek	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4980	16.34745	164
16423	021000037	Texarkana	Stream WC-1	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4980	8.16349	185
16428	111000127	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	Upper Guadalupe River Authority Evaluation of Water and Sediment Control Facilities	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4348	46.99433	31
16430	081001286	Waco	Taylor/Elm Storm Infrastructure & Outfall	≤ 50%	No	90	No	90	0.7580	33.94259	83
16429	081000890	Waco	Loop 340 Berm & Frontage Road Improvements	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5407	16.69673	162
16431	061000310	Waller County	Waller County Flood Mapping Updates	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.6917	38.39996	60
16433	101000214	West Brazoria County Drainage District 11	West Brazoria County Drainage District 11 Master Drainage Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.5930	35.95809	73
16434	011000189	Wichita County	Wichita County Drainage Master Plan	>75% and ≤125%	N/A	75	No	75	0.4622	55.90089	12
16435	111000080	Wimberley	City of Wimberley Drainage Master Plan	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.1986	36.08752	69
16436	031000093	Wise County	Wise County DMP	>125%	N/A	50	No	50	0.3645	42.24938	49

¹ This criteria only applies to AMHI ≤ 50%; however, no abridged application qualified for it.

² FIF eligible projects that received a FEMA Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) grant for FMA FY 2019-2022 may receive a grant for 70% of the required local match that has been provided regardless of the qualifiers listed below.

NOTE: The weightings of the "BCA" and "FMP Type" factors were corrected within the underlying calculations to match the associated weightings that were approved by the Board for use in the State Flood Plan (SFP) ranking. As a result, there may be some minor differences between certain SFP ranking scores and this corrected prioritization worksheet.

2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Flood Mitigation Project (FMP) Prioritization List									Data Source	Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data (Calculated by TWDB)		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Reported Data		Project Details																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
									Ranking Factor? max Raw Score Values for Score Normalization See Ranking_Criteria for Maximum Weight Value	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

FMP ID	Region #	FMP Name	Sponsor	FMP Type	Previously Awarded FMA 2019- 2022	Federal Funds	Other Funds	Requested TWDB Funds	Total Project Cost	Structures 100 Raw	Removed Structures Raw	Removed Structures ArcSinh (Weighted)	Percent of structures removed <i>(Calculated)</i>	Percent of structures removed Weighted (0- 10)	Removed Res Structures Removed Raw	Removed Res Structures ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed Pop Raw	Removed Pop ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed Crit Fac Raw	Removed Crit Fac ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed LWC Raw	Removed LWC ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed Road Miles Raw	Removed Road Miles ArcSinh (Weighted)	Ag Removed Raw	Ag Removed ArcSinh (Weighted)	% Nature- Based Raw	% NBS, Normalized (0-10)	BCA Raw	BCA Score	Water Supply Raw	Water Supply Score	FMP Type Score	Score 1	Score 2	Score 6	Score 8	Score 10	Score 13	Score 15	Project Details Weighted Score	Score Based on ArcSinh Normalized Reported Factors	Total Score (with ArcSinh Normalization)	FIF FMP Prioritization (Basis for FIF Prioritization) ¹	State Flood Plan FMP Total Score ²	State Flood Plan FMP Rank ³	Difference in FIF & SFP Scores (FIF Score - SFP Score) ⁴	TWDB Comments Regarding Difference in FIF & SFP Scores
153000051	15	IBWC Levee Gates and Pump Station Number 1	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Infrastructure	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,704,790	\$2,704,790	25	6	1.04	24.00	2.40	6	0.53	19	2.70	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.20	0.13	127.56	2.93	0	0.00	1.07	1.07	No	0	0	6	7	10	10	1	0	4	19	10.00	28.75	35	28.43	135	0.32	
053000024	5	Borley Heights Relief	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Comprehensive	Yes	\$3,432,907.50	\$0.0	\$1,144,302.50	\$4,577,210.0	172	157	2.41	91.28	9.13	155	1.22	277	4.69	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.00	0.57	4.24	1.14	0	0.00	1.66	1.66	No	0	2	2	1	0	7	1	3	4	8	20.08	28.08	36	28.08	147	0.00	
093000022	9	Industrial Channel Project	Midland	Other	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	242	1	0.37	0.41	0.04	1	0.19	7	1.96	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.00	0.57	11.27	1.65	25	2.69	1.10	1.10	No	0	0	8	1	10	10	7	6	10	23	5.19	27.94	37	29.06	125	-1.12	
143000122	14	WC1	El Paso Water	Detention Pond	No	\$0	\$0	\$4,461,518	\$4,461,518	110	102	2.23	92.73	9.27	90	1.11	349	4.87	0	0.00	0	0.00	2.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	1.20	1.20	No	0	0	4	1	4	7	4	0	0	9	18.71	27.71	38	28.25	142	-0.54	
153000059	15	CCDD6 Parker Drain Expansion Project	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Infrastructure	No	\$0	\$0	\$12,693,100.50	\$12,693,100.50	317	13	1.37	4.10	0.41	12	0.68	38	3.22	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.17	0.11	57.00	2.50	0	0.00	1.27	1.27	No	0	0	6	7	10	10	1	0	4	19	8.60	27.35	39	30.43	99	-3.08	
153000058	15	CCDD6 Ovalle Ditch Flood Control Project	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Infrastructure	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,421,200	\$1,421,200	219	16	1.45	7.31	0.73	14	0.71	44	3.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.06	0.04	17.57	1.88	0	0.00	1.39	1.39	No	0	0	6	7	10	10	1	0	4	19	8.49	27.24	40	30.43	99	-3.19	
153000057	15	CCDD6 - Southwest Ditch Flood Control Project	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Infrastructure	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,343,925	\$1,343,925	213	13	1.37	6.10	0.61	11	0.66	35	3.15	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.24	0.15	21.53	1.99	0	0.00	1.75	1.75	No	0	0	6	7	10	10	1	0	4	19	8.36	27.11	41	30.43	99	-3.31	
103000032	10	Walnut Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Flood Wall	Austin	Flood Walls and Levees	No	\$0	\$0	\$65,000,000	\$65,000,000	1	1	0.37	100.00	10.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	1.06	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	8.37	8.37	No	0	0	6	1	8	10	0	3	0	13	13.52	26.77	42	26.77	167	0.00	
033000068	3	Main A pump station and conveyance Improvements	Liberty County WCID 5	Infrastructure	No	\$0	\$0	\$13,162,755	\$13,162,755	561	167	2.44	29.77	2.98	123	1.17	369	4.91	1	1.06	0	0.00	4.00	1.36	8.87	1.52	0	0.00	1.19	1.19	No	0	0	6	1	2	7	4	0	4	11	15.73	26.73	43	33.33	53	-6.61	
113000026	11	City of San Marcos Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement	San Marcos	Channel	No	\$0	\$0	\$40,191,392	\$40,191,392	73	27	1.67	36.99	3.70	27	0.85	56	3.51	0	0.00	1	1.69	1.00	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.3868	0.04	1.22	1.22	No	0	0	6	1	8	7	1	3	4	14	12.30	26.30	44	26.13	183	0.17	
133000007	13	City of Benavides Las Animas Conveyance Infrastructure	Nueces River Authority	LWC upgrade	No	\$0	\$0	\$5,750,000	\$5,750,000	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	2.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.20	0.20	No	0	10	10	1	10	10	1	0	10	21	5.32	26.07	45	26.32	177	-0.25	TWDB Comments Regarding Difference in FIF & SFP Scores
053000022	5	Virginia Street Detention	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Comprehensive	Yes	\$10,178,196.46	\$0	\$3,392,732.15	\$13,570,928.61	376	199	2.51	52.93	5.29	174	1.25	689	5.37	0	0.00	0	0.00	3.00	1.18	0.48	0.25	0	0.00	2.79	2.79	No	0	2	2	1	2	7	1	3	4	9	17.04	26.04	46	26.04	186	0.00	
133000006	13	Rutledge Hollow Creek Tributary Regional Detention Pond Improvements	Poteet	Detention Pond	No	\$0	\$0	\$1,132,000	\$1,132,000	438	17	1.48	3.88	0.39	14	0.71	72	3.69	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10	1.08	3.80	3.80	No	0	0	8	7	8	10	1	0	4	19	7.27	26.02	47	26.47	173	-0.45	
063000167	6	Greens Bayou Mid-Reach Channel Improvements	Harris County Flood Control District	Comprehensive	No	\$0	\$94,240,000	\$2,000,000	\$96,240,000	2,200	1,042	3.20	47.36	4.74	834	1.58	2,813	6.42	1	1.06	0	0.00	3.00	1.18	0.00	0.00	0.098	0.01	0.00	0.00	No	0	2	0	1	2	7	1	0	4	7	18.67	25.92	48	32.48	62	-6.56	
143000025	14	HAC3	El Paso County	Detention Pond	No	\$0	\$0	\$4,619,000	\$4,619,000	10	10	1.26	100.00	10.00	6	0.53	23	2.85	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.19	2.35	0	0.00	0.60	0.60	No	0	0	2	1	0	10	2	6	0	9	17.14	25.64	49	25.49	197	0.15	
153000052	15	IBWC Levee Gates and Pump Station Number 2	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Infrastructure	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,704,790	\$2,704,790	25	2	0.60	8.00	0.80	2	0.31	6	1.89	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.05	0.03	50.94	2.44	0	0.00	2.06	2.06	No	0	0	6	7	10	10	1	0	4	19	6.59	25.34	50	28.43	135	-3.09	
103000007	10	E. Pflugerville Parkway Crossing Improvements	Pflugerville	Channel	No	\$0	\$0	\$2,860,000	\$2,860,000	13	5	0.97	38.46	3.85	0	0.00	37	3.20	0	0.00	1	1.69	1.00	0.57	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.17	0.17	No	0	0	8	1	10	1	0	0	10	15	10.32	25.32	51	25.32	199	0.00	
053000001	5	Bayou Din Detention Basin	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Detention Pond	Yes	\$50,000,000	\$0.00	\$17,572,253	\$67,572,253	534	101	2.22	18.91	1.89	41	0.94	286	4.72	4	2.51	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.93	2.37	0	0.00	4.90	4.90	No	0	0	6	1	0	1	7	6	4	9	15.88	25.13	52	25.13	204	0.00	
023000002	2	Wagner Channel/Overbank Clearing	Texarkana	Channel	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$978,000	\$978,000	305	44	1.88	14.43	1.44	26	0.84	305	4.77	0	0.00	0	0.00	2.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	1.00	1.00	No	0	0	10	1	10	7	1	3	0	15	10.11	25.11	53	25.01	206	0.11	
153000002	15	Risk Area 2 Treasure Hills	Eagle Pass	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$660,000	\$660,000	24	22	1.59	91.67	9.17	0	0.00	66	3.63	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.41	0.41	No	0	0	2	1	2	10	1	3	4	11	14.48	24.98	54	24.99	208	0.00	
133000030a	13	Nueces County North Robstown Regional Detention Facility	Nueces County	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$22,956,513.16	\$22,956,513.16	3,482	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	1.69	0.00	0.00	85.00	2.71	0.35	0.04	1.00	1.00	No	0	0	6	10	10	10	1	0	4	20	4.65	24.90	55	34.98	40	-10.08	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
063000357	6	Westador Stormwater Detention Basin	Harris County Flood Control District	Detention Pond	No	\$8,250,000	\$0.00	\$3,658,303	\$11,908,303	1,974	80	2.13	4.05	0.41	67	1.04	540	5.19	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.25	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.1989	0.02	5.03	5.03	No	0	0	8	4	6	4	0	6	4	15	10.19	24.69	56	35.99	33	-11.30	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
153000080	15	Project 5 Cameron County Ditch 1 at Golf Center	Brownsville	Detention Pond	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$46,000,000	\$46,000,000	697	399	2.80	57.25	5.72	0	0.00	1,038	5.68	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.22	0.22	No	0	0	6	1	2	7	1	0	4	10	14.25	24.50	57	24.48	220	0.02	
143000024	14	MON3	El Paso County	Detention Pond	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,033,000	\$27,033,000	756	327	2.72	43.25	4.33	248	1.32	820	5.50	0	0.00	0	0.00	15.00	2.21	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	1.10	1.10	No	0	0	6	1	0	7	1	3	0	8	16.35	24.35	58	24.13	226	0.22	
153000060	15	CCDD6 Main Drain Expansion Project	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,424,770	\$6,424,770	116	3	0.76	2.59	0.26	2	0.31	6	1.89	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.14	0.09	4.00	1.11	0	0.00	3.76	3.76	No	0	0	6	7	10	10	1	0	4	19	5.35	24.10	59	30.43	99	-6.33	
153000056	15	CCDD6 Clark Ditch Flood Control Project	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Comprehensive	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,659,350	\$1,659,350	104	5	0.97	4.81	0.48	5	0.49	16	2.57	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	1.13	1.13	No	0	2	6	7	10	10	1	0	4	19	5.31	24.06	60	30.93	94	-6.87	
143000011	14	SSA4	El Paso County	Detention Pond	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,744,000	\$14,744,000	185	99	2.22	53.51	5.35	74	1.07	299	4.75	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.99	1.10	0	0.00	1.20	1.20	No	0	0	4	1	0	10	1	6	0	9	14.79	24.04	61	23.76	238	0.27	
053000002	5	Bessie Heights Drainage Ditch Extension Project detention at Eagle Pass High School fields	Orange County Drainage District	Channel	No	\$3,187,500	\$0.00	\$1,081,000	\$4,268,500	22	16	1.45	72.73	7.27	16	0.74	40	3.26	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	1.01	1.01	No	0	0	6	7	2	1	1	3	4	11	12.97	23.97	62	11.56	506	12.41	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
153000001	15		Eagle Pass	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,060,000	\$1,060,000	73	47	1.90	64.38	6.44	0	0.00	141	4.19	0	0.00	0																											

FMP ID	Region #	FMP Name	Sponsor	FMP Type	Previously Awarded FMA 2019- 2022	Federal Funds	Other Funds	Requested TWDB Funds	Total Project Cost	Structures 100 Raw	Removed Structures Raw	Removed Structures ArcSinh (Weighted)	Percent of structures removed <i>(Calculated)</i>	Percent of structures removed Weighted (0- 10)	Removed Res Structures Removed Raw	Removed Res Structures ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed Pop Raw	Removed Pop ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed Crit Fac Raw	Removed Crit Fac ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed LWC Raw	Removed LWC ArcSinh (Weighted)	Removed Road Miles Raw	Removed Road Miles ArcSinh (Weighted)	Ag Removed Raw	Ag Removed ArcSinh (Weighted)	% Nature- Based Raw	% NBS, Normaliz- ed (0-10)	BCA Raw	BCA Score	Water Supply Raw	Water Supply Score	FMP Type Score	Score 1	Score 2	Score 6	Score 8	Score 10	Score 13	Score 15	Project Details Weighted Score	Score Based on ArcSinh Normalized Reported Factors	Total Score (with ArcSinh Normalization)	FIF FMP Prioritization (Basis for FIF Prioritization) ²	State Flood Plan FMP Total Score ³	State Flood Plan FMP Rank ⁴	Difference in FIF & SFP Scores (FIF Score - SFP Score) ⁵	TWDB Comments Regarding Difference in FIF & SFP Scores
063000344	6	Woodland Trails Stormwater Detention Basin	Harris County Flood Control District	Detention Pond	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,813,185.60	\$4,813,185.60	4,520	73	2.09	1.62	0.16	59	1.02	169	4.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.21	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.055	0.01	7.08	7.08	No	0	0	4	1	8	4	0	0	4	11	9.50	20.00	74	27.33	159	-7.33	
053000020	5	Corley (Blanchette) Diversion	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Channel	No	\$43,869,290.40	\$0.00	\$4,874,365.60	\$48,743,656	1,530	36	1.79	2.35	0.24	34	0.90	80	3.77	0	0.00	0	0.00	3.55	1.29	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	5.78	5.78	No	0	0	2	1	2	10	1	3	4	11	9.43	19.93	75	26.76	168	-6.83	
113000073	11	Kendall County Cypress Creek Detention	Kendall County Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Detention Pond	No	\$10,350,000	\$0.00	\$1,150,000.00	\$11,500,000	164	3	0.76	1.83	0.18	3	0.39	12	2.36	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.80	1.45	0.312	0.03	1.73	1.73	Yes	1	0	10	1	10	4	0	6	0	14	5.58	19.58	76	17.27	403	2.31	
053000026	5	Southern Nome	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Comprehensive	Yes	\$1,715,077.50	\$0.00	\$571,692.50	\$2,286,770	91	22	1.59	24.18	2.42	16	0.74	96	3.91	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.00	0.57	8.58	1.50	0	0.00	1.18	1.18	No	0	2	2	4	0	4	1	3	4	8	11.52	19.52	77	19.52	350	0.00	
093000013	9	Northwest Andrews Playa Lake Excavation	Andrews	Other	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	2	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	3.90	3.90	No	0	0	10	7	2	1	4	10	10	19	0.98	19.48	78	19.48	351	0.00	
113000090	11	City of San Marcos McKie Street at Willow Springs Creek Improvements	San Marcos	LWC upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,305,000	\$1,305,000	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.2202	0.02	2.12	2.12	No	0	10	10	1	10	7	1	0	4	16	3.03	19.28	79	19.53	349	-0.24		
153000087	15	Project 1B North Main Drain and Four Corners	Brownsville	Detention Pond	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$33,318,000	\$33,318,000	1,384	83	2.14	6.00	0.60	0	0.00	289	4.73	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.03	0.03	No	0	0	6	1	2	10	1	0	4	12	7.47	19.22	80	19.22	358	0.00	
153000050	15	Joint Use Irrigation Canal No. 1	Harlingen	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,226,500	\$21,226,500	136	20	1.55	14.71	1.47	0	0.00	60	3.56	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	1.30	1.30	No	0	0	6	1	2	10	1	0	4	12	6.90	18.65	81	18.32	377	0.32	
033000078	3	Main B pump station, detention, and conveyance improvements	Liberty County WCID 5	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,320,721	\$12,320,721	561	12	1.33	2.14	0.21	10	0.64	30	3.04	2	1.73	0	0.00	0.50	0.31	0.14	0.07	0	0.00	1.00	1.00	No	0	0	6	1	2	7	4	0	4	11	7.59	18.59	82	15.14	445	3.46	
153000049	15	West Street 10x10 Box Culvert	Harlingen	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$26,647,724	\$26,647,724	614	18	1.50	2.93	0.29	0	0.00	54	3.48	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	1.84	1.84	No	0	0	6	1	4	10	1	0	4	13	5.73	18.48	83	18.07	385	0.41	
063000328	6	Keegans Bayou Detention Basin Neal Old Richmond Road Phase 2	Harris County Flood Control District	Comprehensive	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,116,951	\$11,116,951	6,084	50	1.93	0.82	0.08	40	0.93	150	4.24	1	1.06	0	0.00	0.20	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.01	2.40	2.40	No	0	2	4	1	2	7	0	0	4	9	9.47	18.47	84	36.66	25	-18.20	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
083001310	8	Annie Street Storm Drainage Improvements	Taylor	Storm Drain	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,660,628	\$4,660,628	15	12	1.33	80.00	8.00	12	0.68	36	3.18	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.30	0.30	No	0	0	2	1	2	4	0	0	0	5	13.26	17.76	85	16.92	407	0.84	
083001308	8	Davis Street Drainage Improvements	Taylor	Storm Drain	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,511,107	\$6,511,107	12	10	1.26	83.33	8.33	10	0.64	18	2.66	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.20	0.20	No	0	0	2	1	2	4	0	0	0	5	12.94	17.44	86	17.80	391	-0.36	
103000056	10	Waller Creek – Guadalupe Street Flood Risk Reduction	Austin	Storm Drain	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$91,587,010	\$91,587,010	207	61	2.01	29.47	2.95	0	0.00	618	5.29	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.00	0.57	0.00	0.00	23.21	2.50	1.47	1.47	No	0	0	4	1	2	1	0	0	4	6	11.31	17.31	87	18.42	376	-1.11	
153000046	15	System 23 Regional Detention Facility	Harlingen	Comprehensive	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,332,491.60	\$1,332,491.60	528	5	0.97	0.95	0.09	0	0.00	15	2.53	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	9.40	9.40	No	0	2	6	1	2	10	1	3	0	11	6.44	16.94	88	14.89	447	2.05	
053000015	5	Tyrell Park Improvements	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Channel	No	\$18,789,998.40	\$0.00	\$2,087,777.60	\$20,877,776	503	18	1.50	3.58	0.36	14	0.71	82	3.79	0	0.00	0	0.00	1.00	0.57	0.10	0.05	0	0.00	0.06	0.06	No	0	0	4	1	4	4	1	3	4	10	7.00	16.50	89	16.50	420	0.00	
053000025	5	East China Relief Project	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Comprehensive	Yes	\$2,139,870	\$0.00	\$713,290	\$2,853,160	374	22	1.59	5.88	0.59	16	0.74	21	2.78	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.24	1.87	0	0.00	1.54	1.54	No	0	2	2	4	0	4	1	3	4	8	8.44	16.44	90	16.44	421	0.00	
083001306	8	Mallard Lane Drainage Improvements	Taylor	Storm Drain	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,886,627	\$2,886,627	1	1	0.37	100.00	10.00	1	0.19	3	1.35	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	No	0	0	2	1	2	4	0	0	0	5	11.91	16.41	91	6.34	573	10.07	Greater than 10 but less than 20 points change from SFP.
033000098	3	Kingtree Rd Drainage Improvements	Kaufman County	LWC upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	212	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.40	0.40	No	0	10	6	1	10	4	1	0	4	13	2.60	15.35	92	15.35	439	0.00	
023000014	2	CR-1051 Drainage Improvements	Hunt County	LWC upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,338,000	\$12,338,000	250	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.10	0.10	No	0	10	10	1	10	4	1	0	0	13	2.53	15.28	93	15.28	442	0.00	
043000012	4	CR-2400 Drainage Improvements	Hunt County	LWC Upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,640,000	\$21,640,000	2	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	1.69	1.00	0.57	0.01	0.01	0	0.00	0.70	0.70	No	0	10	10	1	0	4	1	0	4	10	4.94	14.69	94	14.69	453	0.00	
073000023	7	Clovis & Quaker - Storm Drain Alternative 4	Lubbock	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,964,000	\$8,964,000	174	30	1.72	17.24	1.72	0	0.00	162	4.30	1	1.06	0	0.00	1.00	0.57	0.77	0.37	29	3.12	1.70	1.70	No	0	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	4	10.32	14.32	95	15.61	436	-1.29	
043000034	4	CR-342 Drainage Improvements	Kaufman County	LWC Upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,223,000	\$3,223,000	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	1.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.90	0.90	No	0	10	10	1	0	4	1	0	4	10	4.42	14.17	96	14.17	462	0.00		
043000015	4	CR-4105 Drainage Improvements	Hunt County	LWC Upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,423,000	\$6,423,000	1	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.07	0	0.00	0.30	0.30	No	0	10	10	1	0	7	1	0	4	11	2.64	13.89	97	13.89	467	0.00	
153000040	15	Retiree Haven	McAllen	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,237,000	\$1,237,000	63	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	18	0.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.60	0.60	No	0	0	6	1	4	10	1	0	4	13	0.91	13.66	98	12.94	483	0.72	
153000069	15	Military Highway	McAllen	Infrastructure	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,368,000	\$2,368,000	11	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	3.30	3.30	No	0	0	6	1	2	10	1	3	4	13	0.83	13.33	99	13.60	472	-0.28	
043000013	4	CR-2706 Drainage Improvements	Hunt County	LWC Upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,679,000	\$13,679,000	3	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.10	0	0.00	0.80	0.80	No	0	10	10	1	0	4	1	0	4	10	2.80	12.55	100	12.55	487	0.00	
043000014	4	CR-3101 Drainage Improvements	Hunt County	LWC Upgrade	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,815,000	\$13,815,000	2	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.09	0	0.00	0.40	0.40	No	0	10	10	1	0	4	1	0	4	10	2.69	12.44	101	12.44	489	0.00	
093000035	9	Bradford Detention	San Angelo	Channel	No	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,528,000	\$5,528,000	760	26	1.66	3.42	0.34	26	0.84	1	0.65	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.60																			

**SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Mitigation Projects (FMP) Eligible Grant Percentages**

1

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	AMHI Grant %	Rural	Rural Grant %	Green % of Project (Not the Grant %)	Green ≥ 30% AND Meets a AMHI or Rural Qualifier	Green Grant %	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA Recipient Data 19-22 ¹	Final SVI	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16109	013000001	Amarillo	T-Anchor	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.6550	32.428067	19
16110	093000104	Andrews	City of Andrews Southwest Andrews Playa Excavation	>85%	0	Yes	5	3%	No	0	No	5	0.4536	31.595324	27
16111	093000013	Andrews	Northwest Andrews Playa Lake Excavation	>85%	0	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	5	0.4410	19.475	78
16113	033000085	Arlington	Harvest Hills Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.4862	29.730043	32
16116	103000031	Austin	South Austin Regional WWTP / Sand Hill Energy Center Flood Reduction	>85%	0	No	0	38%	No	0	No	0	0.5776	29.593698	33
16117	103000056	Austin	Waller Creek – Guadalupe Street Flood Risk Reduction	≤75%	40	No	0	23%	No	0	No	40	0.3601	17.314854	87
16118	103000032	Austin	Walnut Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Flood Wall	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.6215	26.768286	42
16120	103000005	Bastrop	Gills Branch Flood Mitigation Improvements	≤85%	30	No	0	46%	Yes	5	No	35	0.4641	35.000317	12
16136	153000078	Brownsville	Project 12 Town Resaca at Washington Park	≤50%	60	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	60	0.9864	21.590463	70
16137	153000077	Brownsville	Project 4 Town Resaca	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.8958	20.52123	71
16138	153000080	Brownsville	Project 5 Cameron County Ditch 1 at Golf Center	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.6218	24.504929	57
16139	153000087	Brownsville	Project 1B North Main Drain and Four Corners	≤50%	60	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	60	0.8982	19.224631	80
16147	113000075	Caldwell County	Caldwell County SH80 Improvements at Morrison Creek	≤85%	30	Yes	5	5%	No	0	No	35	0.6741	35.494981	11
16149	153000057	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	CCDD6 - Southwest Ditch Flood Control Project	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9684	27.114414	41
16150	153000056	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	CCDD6 Clark Ditch Flood Control Project	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9684	24.059412	60
16151	153000060	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	CCDD6 Main Drain Expansion Project	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9684	24.103212	59
16152	153000058	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	CCDD6 Ovalle Ditch Flood Control Project	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9684	27.237482	40
16153	153000059	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	CCDD6 Parker Drain Expansion Project	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9684	27.345781	39
16154	153000051	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	IBWC Levee Gates and Pump Station Number 1	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9684	28.748152	35
16155	153000052	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	IBWC Levee Gates and Pump Station Number 2	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9684	25.340093	50
16156	153000053	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	IBWC Levee Gates and Pump Station Number 3	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9684	22.116643	68
16157	153000054	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	IBWC Levee Gates and Pump Station Number 4	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9684	30.114221	29
16158	153000061	Cameron Co. DD No. 6	Santa Rosa Regional Detention Facility Project	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9684	31.786348	25
16168	133000014	Crystal City	Downtown Crystal City Regional Detention Pond Improvements	≤85%	30	Yes	5	47%	Yes	5	No	40	0.8436	28.953059	34
16173	133000015	Devine	Burnt Boot Creek Drainage Project	≤85%	30	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	35	0.7410	33.004005	18
16176	153000092	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 12 Fox Borough Drive	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9723	10.5	105
16178	153000003	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 15 Trib 3 Detention at Main Street	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9723	22.654749	65
16179	153000002	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 2 Treasure Hills	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9723	24.983158	54
16182	153000001	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 6 Trib 2 bypass & detention at Eagle Pass High School Fields	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9723	23.304272	63
16183	153000004	Eagle Pass	Risk Area 8 Tributary 2 channel widening near Alexander Drive	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.9723	23.265398	64
16188	143000025	El Paso County	HAC3	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.8658	25.636178	49
16189	143000024	El Paso County	MON3	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.5156	24.350638	58
16190	143000021	El Paso County	SOC4	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.9272	31.75224	26
16191	143000011	El Paso County	SSA4	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.3119	24.037525	61
16192	143000118	El Paso County	VIN1	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.7169	36.202056	10
16193	143000121	El Paso Water	Dallas Ponds	≤50%	60	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	60	0.9716	39.702927	3
16194	143000117	El Paso Water	Gateway Ponds	≤50%	60	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	60	0.9062	38.694081	5

**SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Mitigation Projects (FMP) Eligible Grant Percentages**

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	AMHI Grant %	Rural	Rural Grant %	Green % of Project (Not the Grant %)	Green ≥ 30% AND Meets a AMHI or Rural Qualifier	Green Grant %	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA Recipient Data 19-22 ¹	Final SVI	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16195	143000122	El Paso Water	WC1		0	No	0	>85%	N/A	0	No	0	0.3848	27.711534	38
16196	143000123	El Paso Water	WC4	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.3848	38.157262	6
16246	033000074	Fort Worth	Lebow Channel Flood Mitigation	≤75%	40	No	0	12%	No	0	No	40	0.7809	50.789944	1
16247	063000311	Galveston	37th Street Improvement Project	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.6227	31.971849	24
16248	063000424	Galveston County Consolidated Drainage District	Blackhawk Inline & Offline Detention Engineering Design	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.4090	30.882251	28
16261	153000050	Harlingen	Joint Use Irrigation Canal No. 1	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.8932	18.648878	81
16262	153000046	Harlingen	System 23 Regional Detention Facility	≤50%	60	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	60	0.9738	16.941669	88
16263	153000049	Harlingen	West Street 10x10 Box Culvert	≤50%	60	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	60	0.9575	18.484087	83
16277	063000167	Harris County Flood Control District	Greens Bayou Mid-Reach Channel Improvements	≤65%	50	No	0	10%	No	0	No	50	0.8641	25.924679	48
16278	063000328	Harris County Flood Control District	Keegans Bayou Detention Basin Neal Old Richmond Road Phase 2	≤75%	40	No	0	12%	No	0	No	40	0.8172	18.46858	84
16279	063000399	Harris County Flood Control District	P118-25-00 and P118-25-01 Drainage Improvements	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.8896	32.300524	21
16281	063000469	Harris County Flood Control District	Veterans Memorial Detention Basin	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.7574	22.434237	67
16282	063000357	Harris County Flood Control District	Westador Stormwater Detention Basin	>85%	0	No	0	20%	No	0	No	0	0.4900	24.685144	56
16283	063000344	Harris County Flood Control District	Woodland Trails Stormwater Detention Basin	≤85%	30	No	0	6%	No	0	No	30	0.6753	19.999189	74
16287	153000068	Hidalgo County Drainage District No. 1	2023 Bond Projects 4 and 5 - North Main Drain	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.8637	38.05597	8
16288	063000418	Houston	SFY 2024 FIF Pleasantville Drainage Improvements	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	40	0.8087	31.980817	23
16289	063000468	Houston	SFY 2024 FIF Sunnyside Drainage Improvements	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.8872	36.85455	9
16290	023000014	Hunt County	CR-1051 Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	5	0.3896	15.275	93
16291	043000012	Hunt County	CR-2400 Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	5	0.1955	14.694031	94
16292	043000013	Hunt County	CR-2706 Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	5	0.2726	12.548657	100
16293	043000014	Hunt County	CR-3101 Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	5	0.2619	12.442425	101
16294	043000015	Hunt County	CR-4105 Drainage Improvements	≤85%	30	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	35	0.6784	13.890808	97
16295	043000016	Hunt County	CR-4106 Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	5	0.4745	12.375	103
16301	033000008	Irving	West Irving Creek Phase B and C	≤85%	30	No	0	1%	No	0	No	30	0.8093	43.531279	2
16302	053000001	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Bayou Din Detention Basin	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	Yes	70	0.6215	25.132905	52
16303	053000024	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Borley Heights Relief	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	Yes	70	0.5445	28.076972	36
16304	053000020	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Corley (Blanchette) Diversion	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.8834	19.929398	75
16305	053000023	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Delaware Hilcorp Detention Diversion	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	Yes	70	0.2774	20.298147	73
16306	053000027	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Ditch 505 Detention	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	Yes	70	0.3749	7.5390461	108
16307	053000025	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	East China Relief Project	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	Yes	70	0.3555	16.444989	90
16308	053000026	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Southern Nome	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	Yes	70	0.3350	19.518588	77
16309	053000015	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Tyrell Park Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.6353	16.50047	89
16310	053000022	Jefferson County Drainage District No. 6	Virginia Street Detention	≤75%	40	No	0	No	N/A	0	Yes	70	0.6381	26.044252	46
16312	133000005	Jourdanton	Jourdanton FIF Phase II - Detention Pond Project	>85%	0	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	5	0.8664	22.547648	66
16313	123000069	Karnes County	Karnes County Drainage Improvements Near Runge	≤65%	50	Yes	5	5%	No	0	No	55	0.6284	32.409247	20
16315	043000034	Kaufman County	CR-342 Drainage Improvements	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.3457	14.165095	96
16317	033000098	Kaufman County	Kingstree Rd Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.5565	15.351056	92

**SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Mitigation Projects (FMP) Eligible Grant Percentages**

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	AMHI Grant %	Rural	Rural Grant %	Green % of Project (Not the Grant %)	Green ≥ 30% AND Meets a AMHI or Rural Qualifier	Green Grant %	FMA 2019-2022 Recipient?	Total Eligible Grant % With FMA Recipient Data 19-22 ¹	Final SVI	FIF Score	FIF Rank
16318	113000073	Kendall County	Kendall County Cypress Creek Detention	>85%	0	No	0	31%	No	0	No	0	0.4288	19.581614	76
16340	033000068	Liberty County WCID 5	Main A pump station and conveyance improvements	≤75%	40	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	45	0.7808	26.726767	43
16341	033000078	Liberty County WCID 5	Main B pump station, detention, and conveyance improvements	≤75%	40	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	45	0.7808	18.594525	82
16343	073000017	Lubbock	City of Lubbock 4th St & Elkhart Ave CIP	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.3749	9.375	106
16344	073000023	Lubbock	Clovis & Quaker - Storm Drain Alternative 4	>85%	0	No	0	3%	No	0	No	0	0.4180	14.319371	95
16350	153000069	McAllen	Military Highway	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.5996	13.325	99
16351	153000040	McAllen	Retiree Haven	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.5452	13.664043	98
16352	093000022	Midland	Industrial Channel Project	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.6817	27.940606	37
16357	133000030a	Nueces County	Nueces County North Robstown Regional Detention Facility	>85%	0	No	0	35%	No	0	No	0	0.9351	24.902308	55
16358	133000030b	Nueces County Drainage District #2	Robstown Various Drainage Improvements (FH#8,10,12) – Phase 1 Engineering Design and Land Acquisition	>85%	0	Yes	5	64%	Yes	5	No	10	0.9351	33.377976	16
16359	133000007	Nueces River Authority	City of Benavides Las Animas Conveyance Infrastructure	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.6266	26.067407	45
16360	133000008	Nueces River Authority	City of Benavides Main City Network Storm Drain Improvements	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.6266	29.878715	30
16367	053000002	Orange County Drainage District	Bessie Heights Drainage Ditch Extension Project	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.1187	23.972935	62
16369	043000008	Orange County Drainage District	Lawrence Road Detention Pond	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.4819	29.742239	31
16374	063000056	Pearland	Mary's Creek Lower, Middle, and Upper Segment	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.2398	38.974628	4
16375	103000007	Pflugerville	E. Pflugerville Parkway Crossing Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.2483	25.317943	51
16376	103000006	Pflugerville	FM 685 Crossing Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	4%	No	0	No	0	0.2040	34.200494	15
16377	103000068	Pflugerville	Immanuel Road/Pecan Park at Upper Gilleland Creek (DMP GC-05)	>85%	0	No	0	93%	No	0	No	0	0.3479	38.080983	7
16379	133000006	Poteet	Rutledge Hollow Creek Tributary Regional Detention Pond Improvements	≤85%	30	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	35	0.7075	26.023206	47
16385	093000035	San Angelo	Bradford Detention	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.7388	12.395254	102
16386	093000031	San Angelo	Cauley Lane Regional Detention	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.4397	32.099425	22
16389	123000041	San Antonio	2123.04 Southwell Rd – Encino Park Rd Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.5302	33.106557	17
16400	113000090	San Marcos	City of San Marcos McKie Street at Willow Springs Creek Improvements	≤75%	40	No	0	22%	No	0	No	40	0.6001	19.281184	79
16402	113000026	San Marcos	City of San Marcos Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement	≤75%	40	No	0	42%	Yes	5	No	45	0.6030	26.295939	44
16408	073000015	Slaton	Slaton Channels	≤85%	30	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	35	0.5984	5.75	109
16409	073000016	Slaton	Slaton Twin Lakes	≤75%	40	Yes	5	No	N/A	0	No	45	0.7243	9.075	107
16414	083001310	Taylor	Annie Street Storm Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.5503	17.763052	85
16415	083001309	Taylor	Bel-Air Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.4572	12.264101	104
16416	083001308	Taylor	Davis Street Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.5503	17.442015	86
16417	083001306	Taylor	Mallard Lane Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.2717	16.408338	91
16418	083001307	Taylor	TH Johnson Drainage Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.4864	5.25	110
16420	033000092	Terrell	Terrell KC1 Watershed Drainage Improvements	≤65%	50	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	50	0.8328	34.91024	13
16422	023000001	Texarkana	Ferguson Park Improvements	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.4980	20.482661	72
16424	023000003	Texarkana	Stream WC-2 Independence Circle & Lexington Place Bridge Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.4980	21.887308	69
16426	023000002	Texarkana	Wagner Channel/Overbank Clearing	>85%	0	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	0	0.4980	25.114396	53
16432	153000019	Weslaco	Harlon Block Sports Complex and Surround Area Drainage Improvement Project	≤85%	30	No	0	No	N/A	0	No	30	0.7606	34.206369	14

¹ FIF eligible projects that received a FEMA Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) grant for FMA FY 2019-2022 may receive a grant for 70% of the required local match that has been provided regardless of the qualifiers listed below.

**SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
FME and FMP Abridged Applications Ineligible for Funding**

1

Abridged Application No.	FMX ID	Category	Region No.	Applicant Name	Project Name (Referred by Applicant)	Full Name of FMX in RFP	Amount Requested	Reason for Ineligibility
16249	021000013	FME	2	Gilmer	City of Gilmer FEMA FIS Update and Drainage System Mapping	Bld. Phase I	\$200,000	The amended Regional Flood Plan states the FME is for updated mapping. The abridged application states the project is for modeling to develop a Detention Master Plan, which is not the same as updating mapping.
16311	061000252	FME	6	Jersey Village	Jersey Village FY23 FMA Project Scoping Grant	City of Jersey Village Master Drainage Plan	\$219,125	Not a recommended FME in an amended regional flood plan.
N/A	N/A	FMP	8	Killeen	806 Evergreen Drive	N/A	\$141,318	This is not a FMP listed in an amended regional flood plan.
16355	151000157	FME	15	Mission	ML05c Trosper	ML05c Trosper	\$485,393	The FME was expanded from specific project development to a master drainage plan for the identified subdivision.

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Project
Prioritization List for Flood Management Strategies

Note: Data utilized for generating this draft ranking for the state flood plan is from the revised (post-RFI) amended regional flood plans submitted by the RFPGs in response to TWDB review. The original amended plans were submitted in July 2023. The revised versions were approved by the TWDB in March 2024.

									Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
								Max Values for Score Normalization	175,000		600,000		2,500		1,000	
								Weight Value	10%		10%		10%		10%	
								Ranking Criteria	Estimated number of structures at 100yr flood risk (100-year)		Estimated population at risk (100-year)		Critical Facilities at risk (100-year)		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	
FMS ID	Region Number	FMS Name	Applicant	Sponsor ID	Sponsor	FMS Type	Non-Recurring Non-Capital Cost (State Flood Plan)	FMS Cost (Abridged Application)	Structures at risk, Normalized (0-10)	Structures at risk, ArcSinh (0-10)	Pop at Risk Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Pop at Risk	Critical Facilities Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Critical Facilities	LWC Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) LWC
152000083	15	LRGV Regional Flood Early Warning System: Planning, Deployment, Operation & Maintenance	Cameron County	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$3,000,000	5.50	9.53	298,809	9.50	109	6.32	18	4.72
112000189	11	Flood Measurement and Warning	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	11003546	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	1.55	8.54	62,638	8.39	136	6.58	661	9.46
072000004	7	City of Abilene Creek Gauge Program	Abilene	07002425	Abilene	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$175,000	\$175,000	0.62	7.82	25,333	7.74	10	3.52	58	6.25
152000083	15	CCDD5 Flood Warning System Improvement Project	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$1,974,400	0.13	6.60	4,592	6.52	0	0.00	32	5.47
132000040	13	Aransas County Flood Warning System	Aransas County	00000083	Aransas County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$250,000	\$100,000	0.19	6.90	4,790	6.55	4	2.46	0	0.00
032000016	3	Additional Rain/Stream Gauges for 13 locations	Grand Prairie	03002761	Grand Prairie	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$25,000	\$250,000	0.03	5.31	3,471	6.32	8	3.26	19	4.79
032000139	3	City of Burleson Flood Warning and Safety Improvements	Burleson	00003009	Burleson	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$50,000	\$485,000	0.01	4.25	462	4.88	8	3.26	19	4.79
152000058	15	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5 - Cameron County Levee Certification	Cameron County	15000030	Cameron County Drainage District 5	Other	\$250,000	\$500,000	0.03	5.36	4,213	6.46	0	0.00	68	6.46
082001113	8	City of College Station Early Flood Warning System	College Station	08003439	College Station	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$500,000	\$90,000	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
							\$3,450,000	\$7,574,400								

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Project
Prioritization List for Flood Management Strategies

Note: Data utilized for generating this draft ranking for the state flood plan is from the revised (post-RFI) amended regional flood plans submitted by the RFPGs in response to TWDB review. The original amended plans were submitted in July 2023. The revised versions were approved by the TWDB in March 2024.

									Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
								Max Values for Score Normalization	7,500		6,000		2,500,000		9,500	
								Weight Value	5%		10%		5%		10%	
								Ranking Criteria	Estimated number of road closures (#)		Estimated length of roads at 100-year flood risk (miles)		Estimated farm & ranch land at 100-year flood risk (acres)		Number of structures removed from 100-year (1% annual chance) Floodplain	
FMS ID	Region Number	FMS Name	Applicant	Sponsor ID	Sponsor	FMS Type	Non-Recurring Non-Capital Cost (State Flood Plan)	FMS Cost (Abridged Application)	Road Closures Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Road Closures	Road Miles Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Road Miles	Ag Land Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Ag Land	Structures Removed Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Structures Removed
152000083	15	LRGV Regional Flood Early Warning System: Planning, Deployment, Operation & Maintenance	Cameron County	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$3,000,000	1,741	4.24	3,082	9.29	449,664	4.44	0	0.00
112000189	11	Flood Measurement and Warning	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	11003546	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	0	0.00	935	8.02	360,251	4.37	0	0.00
072000004	7	City of Abilene Creek Gauge Program	Abilene	07002425	Abilene	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$175,000	\$175,000	0	0.00	218	6.47	1,235	2.53	0	0.00
152000083	15	CCDD5 Flood Warning System Improvement Project	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$1,974,400	69	2.56	40	4.65	355	2.13	0	0.00
132000040	13	Aransas County Flood Warning System	Aransas County	00000083	Aransas County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$250,000	\$100,000	548	3.64	103	5.67	571	2.28	0	0.00
032000016	3	Additional Rain/Stream Gauges for 13 locations	Grand Prairie	03002761	Grand Prairie	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$25,000	\$250,000	0	0.00	40	4.67	1,927	2.68	0	0.00
032000139	3	City of Burleson Flood Warning and Safety Improvements	Burleson	00003009	Burleson	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$50,000	\$485,000	0	0.00	4	2.23	372	2.14	0	0.00
152000058	15	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5 - Cameron County Levee Certification	Cameron County	15000030	Cameron County Drainage District 5	Other	\$250,000	\$500,000	0	0.00	7	2.82	1	0.19	0	0.00
082001113	8	City of College Station Early Flood Warning System	College Station	08003439	College Station	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$500,000	\$90,000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
							\$3,450,000	\$7,574,400								

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Project
Prioritization List for Flood Management Strategies

Note: Data utilized for generating this draft ranking for the state flood plan is from the revised (post-RFI) amended regional flood plans submitted by the RFPGs in response to TWDB review. The original amended plans were submitted in July 2023. The revised versions were approved by the TWDB in March 2024.

									Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes
								Max Values for Score Normalization	2,550		35,000		100		No = 0; Yes = 1
								Weight Value	5%		10%		8%		5%
								Ranking Criteria	Number of residential structures removed from 100-year (1% annual chance) Floodplain		Estimated Population removed from 100-year (1% annual chance) Floodplain		Percent Nature-Based Solution (by cost)		Water Supply Benefit
FMS ID	Region Number	FMS Name	Applicant	Sponsor ID	Sponsor	FMS Type	Non-Recurring Non-Capital Cost (State Flood Plan)	FMS Cost (Abridged Application)	Residential Structures Removed Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Residential Structures Removed	Removed Pop Raw	ArcSinh (Weighted) Removed Pop	% NBS Raw	% NBS Score (Normalized)	Water Supply Raw
152000083	15	LRGV Regional Flood Early Warning System: Planning, Deployment, Operation & Maintenance	Cameron County	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$3,000,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0
112000189	11	Flood Measurement and Warning	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	11003546	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	No
072000004	7	City of Abilene Creek Gauge Program	Abilene	07002425	Abilene	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$175,000	\$175,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	No
152000083	15	CCDD5 Flood Warning System Improvement Project	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$1,974,400	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	None
132000040	13	Aransas County Flood Warning System	Aransas County	00000083	Aransas County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$250,000	\$100,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	No
032000016	3	Additional Rain/Stream Gauges for 13 locations	Grand Prairie	03002761	Grand Prairie	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$25,000	\$250,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	No
032000139	3	City of Burleson Flood Warning and Safety Improvements	Burleson	00003009	Burleson	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$50,000	\$485,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	No
152000058	15	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5 - Cameron County Levee Certification	Cameron County	15000030	Cameron County Drainage District 5	Other	\$250,000	\$500,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	No
082001113	8	City of College Station Early Flood Warning System	College Station	08003439	College Station	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$500,000	\$90,000	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	No
							\$3,450,000	\$7,574,400							

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund Project
Prioritization List for Flood Management Strategies

Note: Data utilized for generating this draft ranking for the state flood plan is from the revised (post-RFI) amended regional flood plans submitted by the RFPGs in response to TWDB review. The original amended plans were submitted in July 2023. The revised versions were approved by the TWDB in March 2024.

									Yes		
								Max Values for Score Normalization	(10 points) Flood Measurement and Warning, (8 points) Regulatory and Guidance, (6 points) Education and Outreach, (4 points) Property Acquisition and Structural Elevation, (4 points) Infrastructure Projects, (2 points) Other		
								Weight Value	2.5%		
								Ranking Criteria	FMS Type		
FMS ID	Region Number	FMS Name	Applicant	Sponsor ID	Sponsor	FMS Type	Non-Recurring Non-Capital Cost (State Flood Plan)	FMS Cost (Abridged Application)	FMS_Type Score	Total Score (with ArcSinh normalization of select criteria)	Rank (with ArcSinh normalization of select criteria)
152000083	15	LRGV Regional Flood Early Warning System: Planning, Deployment, Operation & Maintenance	Cameron County	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$3,000,000	10	50.55	1
112000189	11	Flood Measurement and Warning	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	11003546	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	10	47.85	2
072000004	7	City of Abilene Creek Gauge Program	Abilene	07002425	Abilene	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$175,000	\$175,000	10	36.84	3
152000083	15	CCDD5 Flood Warning System Improvement Project	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5	15000069	Cameron County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$600,000	\$1,974,400	10	30.44	4
132000040	13	Aransas County Flood Warning System	Aransas County	00000083	Aransas County	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$250,000	\$100,000	10	30.00	5
032000016	3	Additional Rain/Stream Gauges for 13 locations	Grand Prairie	03002761	Grand Prairie	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$25,000	\$250,000	10	29.52	6
032000139	3	City of Burleson Flood Warning and Safety Improvements	Burleson	00003009	Burleson	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$50,000	\$485,000	10	24.04	7
152000058	15	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5 - Cameron County Levee Certification	Cameron County	15000030	Cameron County Drainage District 5	Other	\$250,000	\$500,000	2	21.79	8
082001113	8	City of College Station Early Flood Warning System	College Station	08003439	College Station	Flood Measurement and Warning	\$500,000	\$90,000	10	2.50	9
							\$3,450,000	\$7,574,400			

SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Abridged Applications Ineligible for Funding

FMX ID	Region Number	Applicant Name	Project Name (Referred by Applicant)	Full Name of FMX in RFP	Amount Requested	Reason for Ineligibility
082001114	8	Bryan	City of Bryan Flood Early Warning System ("B-FEWS")	B-FEWS Phase 2 - Public Facing Release	\$160,000.00	No official sponsorship approval. Not recommended by RFPG.
152000060	15	Progreso	City of Progreso Floodway Pump Station, Channel and Culverts Improvement Project	City of Progreso – Progreso Storm Ditch Improvement Equipment	\$9,890,000.00	The project scope in the abridged application submitted is not consistent with the project scope in the regional flood plan.
152000085	15	Donna	City of Donna 2024 TWDB FIF Project	City of Donna – Flood Warning System, planning, operation & maintenance	\$4,200,000.00	The project scope in the abridged application submitted is not consistent with the project scope in the regional flood plan.

**SFY 2024-2025 Flood Infrastructure Fund
Flood Mitigation Strategies Eligible Grant Percentages**

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	AMHI of the project area Compared to State-Wide AMHI	Grant %	Rural*	Grant %	Green/NBS Project	Grant %	SVI	Geographic Basis	Total Grant %
1	Cameron County	LRGV Regional Flood Early Warning System: Planning, Deployment, Operation & Maintenance	≤ 75%	40	No	0	No	0	0.9644	Counties	40
2	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	Kerr County Flood Warning System	>85%	0	Yes - D	5	No	0	0.4348	Counties	5
3	Abilene	City of Abilene Creek Gauge Program	≤ 85%	30	No	0	No	0	0.4844	Census Tracts	30
4	Cameron County Drainage District No. 5	CCDD5 Flood Warning System Improvement Project	≤ 85%	30	No	0	No	0	0.7258	Census Tracts	30
5	Aransas County	Aransas County Flood Early Warning System	≤ 85%	30	Yes - C	5	No	0	0.7549	Counties	35
6	Grand Prairie	Additional Rain/Stream Gauges for 13 locations	>85%	0	No	0	No	0	0.5079	Counties	0
7	Burleson	City of Burleson Flood Warning and Safety Improvements	>85%	0	No	0	No	0	0.2501	Census Tracts	0
8	Cameron County	Cameron County Levee Certification	≤ 65%	50	No	0	No	0	0.9684	Counties	50
9	College Station	City of College Station Flood Early Warning System	≤ 75%	40	No	0	No	0	0.2817	Census Tracts	40

*Rural Applicant – An applicant that is:

(A) a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code or a district or authority created under Section 52, Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, no part of the service area of which is located in an urban area with a population of more than 50,000;

(B) a municipality:

(i) with a population of 10,000 or less; or

(ii) located wholly in a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000;

(C) a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000; or

(D) an entity that:

(i) is a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code, a district or authority created under Section 52, Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, a municipality, county, or other political subdivision of the state, or an interstate compact commission to which the state is a party; and

(ii) demonstrates in a manner satisfactory to the board that the entity is rural or the area to be served by the project is a wholly rural area despite not otherwise qualifying under Paragraph (A), (B), or (C).