

**State Fiscal Year 2018  
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

---

**To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.**

<b>Authority:</b> Aurora			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12272	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Groundwater Well & Elevated Storage Tank			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> In order for the City of Aurora to have their own independent water system, they propose to drill a new 80 GPM well in the Trinity Aquifer, construct a 50,000 gallon elevated storage tank, 12-in. raw water line, treatment unit, 12-in. transmission line and telemetry. The City also plans to develop an asset management plan for this new groundwater system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$880,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		17.00

<b>Authority:</b> Bandera				
<b>PIF #:</b>	12273	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System			<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construction of new water tower and ground storage to come into compliance with TCEQ enforcement order.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		02/15/2018	\$35,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$382,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/15/2018	08/15/2019	\$2,153,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	2.50

<b>Authority:</b> Bangs			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12274	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Disinfection, Storage, and Waterline Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct disinfection facilities and ground storage tank at the City's pump station. Modify elevated storage tank to promote better mixing within the tank and install a dedicated supply line from the pump station to the elevated storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$93,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$95,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$1,412,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	21.00

<b>Authority:</b> Bluegrove WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12275	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Bluegrove Pipe Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Bluegrove WSC will replace its 4" main water line through town as well as all necessary connections, valves and meter reconnections.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$29,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$170,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	2.50

<b>Authority:</b> Bonham			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12276	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 2017 TWDB Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New water distribution lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$290,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$524,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/15/2018	05/20/2020	\$7,945,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		20.00

<b>Authority:</b> Booker			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12277	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 8" & 6" Water Main Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The existing waterline is old, and the steady need of spot repairs has increased over the years. By installing new mains, the city can address these ongoing maintenance issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$33,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	04/01/2017	01/18/2018	\$409,042.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Bronte			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12278	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The city proposes to construct a new welded 400,000 gallon GST to replace existing clearwells and rehab the clarifier.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,813.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$72,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	08/01/2018	\$782,187.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00



<b>Authority:</b> Comanche County WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12279	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> AMR Meter System			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> AMR metering system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	08/01/2018	\$255,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$325,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Creedmoor Maha WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12280	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Urgent Water Loss Reduction Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Installation of a 12" water line from Aqua WSC connection 1 mile west from the Bastrop and Caldwell County line on Highway 21 to 7701 Old Lockhart Road in Travis County (the Alexander Elevated Tank Site). A booster pump and suction tank will also be installed near the Aqua WSC connection. 12" and 8" water line will also be installed, along Highway 183 and in adjacent areas. Approximately 12 miles of 12" HDPE and 1.8 miles of 8" HDPE will be installed to replace smaller, older existing lines owned by Creedmoor-Maha. Line replacement is a priority, recent monthly system water losses due to leaks have approached 60%.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$102,250.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		03/01/2018	\$25,562.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$859,573.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2018	08/01/2020	\$8,667,915.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>6.00</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Crockett			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12281	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> College Hill Storage Tanks and Pump Station			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New high service pump station, ground storage tank and elevated tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$140,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		03/01/2018	\$60,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$145,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	10/01/2019	\$2,455,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Cross Plains			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12282	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Cross Plains proposes to replace undersized lines and loop dead end areas in their system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$134,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2018	01/01/2019	\$1,026,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Dickens			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12284	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Replace Existing Critical Condition Standpipe			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace existing water storage facility (standpipe) with 2 ground storage tanks and appurtenances. It is not feasible to repair the existing standpipe.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$58,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$56,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2017	02/28/2019	\$614,200.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Edom WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12285	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Distribution Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Install distribution improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$73,796.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2017	08/30/2017	\$846,204.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Eldorado			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12286	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New 100,000 Gallon Overhead Water Tower, Water Lines, SCADA System			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Design and Construction of a new 100,000 gallon overhead water tower on property owned by the City of Eldorado, decommission existing 50,000 gallon overhead tank, extend a 6" water line to connect the distribution system with the existing 50,000 gallon overhead tank in the north of town, install a new SCADA system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$144,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/15/2017	06/15/2018	\$1,021,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		2.50

<b>Authority:</b> Ellinger Sewer & Water SC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12287	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Plant Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct new ground storage tank (GST) to replace an existing bolted, galvanized Standpipe built in the early 1970's. The standpipe was inspected and found to have holes in the floor and lower wall sections, was patch repaired in 2016. New piping will be required to reach the new GST location. Additional financial assistance is required to demo and dispose of existing standpipe.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$12,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$17,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$170,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		20.00



<b>Authority:</b> Freer WCID			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12288	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Standpipe & Ground Storage Tank Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project consists of rehabilitation (sand blasting, painting & repair of structural steel) one (1) 750,000 gallon structural steel standpipe and one (1) 1,000,000 gallon ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$155,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2019	12/08/2020	\$1,270,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Gastonia Scurry SUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12289	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Seagoville Transmission Line			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project consists of a 16" transmission line from Seagoville to the Gastonia Pump Station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/30/2017	\$225,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$450,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/30/2018	06/30/2019	\$7,050,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	2.00

<b>Authority:</b> Groveton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12290	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Proposed Water Well			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct Water Well and Transmission Main to supplement current TRA water supply which is seasonally inadequate for current demand, specifically during drought conditions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$120,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		03/01/2018	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$225,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	09/01/2018	\$1,750,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	25.00

<b>Authority:</b> Harris Co MUD # 50			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12291	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> HCMUD50 Water Conservation Administrative Building			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> HCMUD50 is submitting this application for acquisition of land and construction of a 4,00 sq. ft. building that will serve as a water education and community center and also provide administrative offices for MUD50 staff. This building will integrate green building elements and will be the first building in what may evolve to a "town square" for the community.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$51,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2017	\$80,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	12/01/2018	\$1,289,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$600,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		2.50



**Texas Water Development Board  
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Harrold WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12292	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Distribution Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Clean, recoat, & repair leaks in elevated storage tank. Repair and/or replace items identified during elevated tank inspection. Replace existing 4" AC supply line with PVC line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$21,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$21,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$158,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Haskell			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12293	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> AMR Meter Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace existing water meters with an automatic meter reading (AMR) system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$71,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	08/01/2018	\$759,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$900,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		12.50

<b>Authority:</b> High Point WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12294	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pump Station Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project consists of removal of the existing storage tanks at both the pump stations and replacing with new tanks, and re configuring the pump stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$270,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/01/2017	12/01/2018	\$3,084,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Jayton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12295	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Waterline Improvements (VSS)			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace sections of existing cast iron water line inside City limits with new PVC lines. These PVC lines will be corrosion resistant thereby improving flow and be less prone to breaks and leakage which will help the City lower water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$18,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$16,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	11/01/2018	\$166,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	2.00



<b>Authority:</b> Joaquin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12296	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Meter and Water Main Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project seeks to replace broken / malfunctioning / unreliable water meters with AMR meters and also identify (via water leak detection survey) and replace aged water mains that continue to cause excessive water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$140,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$320,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	10/01/2019	\$2,450,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$2,910,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	12.50

<b>Authority:</b> Ladonia			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12297	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> TWDB Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New water distribution lines. Rehabilitate existing tank and re-coat with modern coating system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2017	06/01/2018	\$3,121,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		12.50

<b>Authority:</b> Llano			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12298	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Sandstone AC Line Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project consists of phasing out asbestos cement (AC) piping in Llano's water distribution system along Sandstone Street. The proposed AC piping to be replaced in the proposed project includes a total of 4,000 L.F. of 8" and 12" piping located on Sandstone Street, as well as a section of Oatman Street and Haynie Street. Concurrent with this project, the City of Llano is applying for a CWSRF loan to replace a gravity sewer line along the same streets (Project Title: Main Street Trunk Line Replacement). The proposed project will be constructed in the same construction contract as the Main Street Trunk Line Replacement project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		06/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		06/01/2016	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/01/2017	09/01/2018	\$869,301.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Log Cabin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12299	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Elevated Tank and Ground Storage Tank Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project is painting of the ground storage tanks and repair the roofs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$12,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2017	07/31/2018	\$124,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Madisonville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12300	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Meter Upgrade and Pressure Control			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Project will increase water efficiency via install of radio read meters, replacement of existing 10" control valve which delivers water from higher to lower pressure plane, and provide the City with ability to install isolation valves in an effort to reduce water loss during line repairs. There is an informal plan maintained on a spreadsheet by the Financial Director that depreciates assets, but no formal plan. A formal plan could be instituted when required by funding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$136,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$118,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/31/2019	12/31/2019	\$1,612,270.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$1,866,270.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		1.00

<b>Authority:</b> Magnolia			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12301	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Magnolia Water Plant No. 3			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct new plant site to include new water well, ground storage tank, elevated storage tank, booster pump station, generator, and all related yard piping. Construct transmission line to tie new plant site into the system. Replace existing ground storage tank at Well No. 1 site.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$212,082.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/01/2018	\$256,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$388,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$5,282,150.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Melvin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12302	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pump Station Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City will replace the pumps, and add necessary valves, meters and other fixtures, as well as the piping assembly in the pump station. The City will also replace distribution main throughout town.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$17,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$178,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Mexia			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12303	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> AMR Meter Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City recently replaced approximately 50,000 L.F. of water mains and now seeks to replace broken/malfunctioning/unreliable water meters with AMR meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$97,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	09/01/2018	\$1,702,600.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$1,880,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	15.50



<b>Authority:</b> Morton Valley WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12304	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Waterline Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace section of existing distribution system line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$16,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$21,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	11/01/2018	\$163,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$200,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		22.50

<b>Authority:</b> North San Saba WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12305	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project is a AMR meter system to improve efficiency and decrease water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$13,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$24,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	08/01/2018	\$162,800.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$200,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		1.00

<b>Authority:</b> Oakmont Saddle Mountain WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12306	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Well			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct well #4. Funds are being requested to construct the water tight concrete basin, installation of pump and associated piping, electrical and all appurtenances. Authorization to construct this spring water source well was issued by TCEQ letter dated October 23, 2014.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/02/2017	12/20/2017	\$320,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		2.50

<b>Authority:</b> Paint Rock			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12307	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Distribution Line Improvements (VSS)			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace 2,000 feet of 6" AC water line with new PVC pipe.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$7,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$21,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	05/01/2019	\$172,000.00

**Green Project:** Unknown

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Paradise			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12308	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> THM Reduction (Urgent Need)			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Piping changes in the existing elevated tank (ET) to reduce short-circuiting; aeration of the water supplied to the ET followed by a new chloramination system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$331,900.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	77.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		77.30

<b>Authority:</b> Presidio County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12309	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Candelaria WS - Arsenic Removal Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> To evaluate the two wells separately to review arsenic levels. Absent any blending options the proposed project will evaluate, pilot and construct an arsenic removal treatment to meet primary drinking water standards. Also to complete an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$23,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2018	12/01/2018	\$166,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	24.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		28.50

<b>Authority:</b> River Acres WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12310	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Distribution System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project entails the replacement of old lines, valves, service lines, water meters, and hydrants, with new infrastructure, that includes the installation of nearly nine (9) miles (46,034 linear feet ) of new PVC water lines, gate valves, hydrants, service connections, automated meter (AMR) systems including reconciliation hardware and software. This project is spread over three (2) priority areas for the purpose of phasing construction and to assist with the financial management of the improvements over an extended period of time. This financial management will be detailed in conjunction with the preparation of an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		08/31/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		07/31/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/01/2017	12/31/2018	\$6,500,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$6,500,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		2.00

<b>Authority:</b> Red Creek MUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12311	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Line Upsizing			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Red Creek MUD proposed to upsize approximately 29,000 linear feet of water line to 6" water lines and add a generator to the Bristow plant for emergency operation.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$123,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	10/01/2018	\$822,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	10.00



<b>Authority:</b> River Oaks WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12312	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Update Distribution Lines			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Acquisition & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace lines on two streets and install meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$4,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$48,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2017	10/01/2018	\$48,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Rochelle WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12313	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Treatment Plant			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct a treatment plant at the existing main pump station site to treat water prior to entering the distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$37,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	07/01/2018	\$402,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	74.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	79.00

<b>Authority:</b> Rochelle WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12314	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wholesale Connection to Richland Springs			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Establish agreement with Richland Springs SUD to purchase treated water. Construct waterline from agreed upon take-point to transfer treated water from Richland Springs SUB system to Rochelle WSC distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	07/01/2018	\$385,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	74.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		79.00

<b>Authority:</b> Rochelle WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12315	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Treatment Plant			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct a treatment plant at the existing main pump station site to treat water prior to entering the distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2018	07/01/2019	\$430,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	74.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		79.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Benito			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12316	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Treatment and Distribution System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water Treatment Plant No. 1 Rehabilitation - New Pumps, Piping, Filter Media, Controls, Chemical Feed Systems, Ray Water Intakes and Lab Building. Water Treatment Plan No. 2 Retrofit - New Pretreatment Facilities, Membrane Filtration & Treatment Systems, Yard Piping and Related Sitework & Electrical improvements. New Generator for WTP No. 2.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/31/2018	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,125,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2019	12/10/2020	\$10,028,380.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		42.50

<b>Authority:</b> San Juan			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12317	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New 1.0 MGD Elevated Storage Tank			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New 1.0 MG (concrete composite) elevated storage tank, associated waterline, and decommissioning aging and old existing 300,000 and 200,000 gallon elevated tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		01/01/2018	\$40,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$310,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2018	06/01/2019	\$3,513,350.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	10.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Juan			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12318	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Treatment Plant No. 2 Raw Water Reservoir Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitate and upgrade existing plant to current standards.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$6,975,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Saba			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12319	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New 6" and 8" water mains are proposed to replace the dilapidated lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	06/30/2018	\$1,870,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
<b>Rating:</b>		20.00



**Authority:** Springtown

<b>PIF #:</b> 12320	<b>Program:</b> DWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2018
---------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

**Related PIFs:**

**Project Name:** Springtown Wells in the Trinity Aquifer

<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System	<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction
--	---

**Project Description:** Springtown is a city of about 2,700 people located in the northern Parker County. The city gets its current water supply from Eagle Mountain Lake and water wells in the Trinity Aquifer and its own water treatment plant (using raw water purchased from TRWD). This project will provide additional water from the Trinity Aquifer from new wells. The new wells will provide the city better water supply mix between surface and ground water. This mix of sources provides redundant water supply and lessens surface water dependency which can be adversely influenced in times of drought. The proposed wells will be designed and constructed to TCEQ public water well standards and then pumped into ground storage tanks. From the tanks, the water will be pumped into the city's water system.

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		06/30/2018	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$430,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2018	06/30/2018	\$1,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	70.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		71.00

<b>Authority:</b> Springtown			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12321	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Springtown Water Line Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace aging water infrastructure with the upcoming highway improvements along FM 51. The improvements will replace approximately 4350 LF of old water lines with new water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		07/31/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2017	08/01/2018	\$760,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$676,100.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	1.50

<b>Authority:</b> Stephens Regional SUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12322	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> AMR Meter Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace existing meters with an AMR metering system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$8,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	08/01/2018	\$590,500.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$639,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	3.50



**Texas Water Development Board  
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Throckmorton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12323	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Treatment, Storage, & Waterline Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Treatment, Storage, & Waterline Improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$12,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$178,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Valley MUD # 2			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12324	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Distribution Rehabilitation Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project involves replacing and enlarging the some of the aging water distribution lines. Specific project elements include the installation of approximately 12,850 feet of various diameter waterlines aimed at reducing overall treated water losses and improving water distribution systems efficiencies. The proposed project will require both design and construction funds to complete the improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	12/01/2018	\$1,308,040.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	15.50

<b>Authority:</b> Wills Point			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12325	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Treatment Plant Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed Water Treatment Plant Improvements will include replacement of the existing filters constructed in 196 with high rate dual media filter, piping for filter to waste capability, installation of an additional clearwell, raw water pump revisions, sludge lagoon upgrades.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$75,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$375,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2019	03/01/2020	\$4,426,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Abilene			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12404	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Abilene AMI and Meter Replacement Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City is proposing to replace approximately 40,000 meters and implement an AMI System.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/01/2017	09/01/2019	\$14,000,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$14,120,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	3.00

<b>Authority:</b> Arlington			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12405	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pierce Burch WTP Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Pierce Burch WTP Improvements Project includes upgrades and improvements for the clearwell and Charles F. Anderson pump station, floc/sedimentation basin, filter, flow metering and flow pacing, PB North decommissioning, chemical, electrical, recycling basic, filter control and SCADA.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$15,673,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	09/30/2020	\$82,047,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$52,410,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>3.50</b>



<b>Authority:</b> Arlington			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12406	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lake Arlington Raw Water Pump Station Upgrade			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Upgrade Lake Arlington Raw Water Pump Station to supply firm capacity of 162MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$472,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$2,220,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2018	02/01/2020	\$17,638,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$20,330,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>3.50</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Arlington			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12407	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Electrical System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Electrical system upgrades at the John F. Kubala WTP and Pierce-Burch WTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		09/01/2017	\$2,369,940.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/01/2018	01/31/2020	\$27,865,060.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$30,235,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		3.50

<b>Authority:</b> Barton WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12408	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will consist of improvements at existing pump station sites, replacing sections of water lines, and installing a radio read meters and system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$170,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		11/30/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		08/31/2017	\$213,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	09/30/2018	\$1,917,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$2,300,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	45.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	65.80

<b>Authority:</b> Beaver Creek WCID # 1			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12409	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Beaver Creek WCID#1 Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The existing privately owned water wells within the Beaver Creek WCID#1 (District) service area have been deemed a health nuisance by the Department of State Health Services. After completion of the EDAP Planning Program, the District proposes to construct a first time service water system in an effort to provide a source of safe drinking water to its residents.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/31/2017	03/31/2018	\$6,486,462.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		11.00

<b>Authority:</b> Blooming Grove			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12410	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Water Well and GST			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct a new water supply well and ground storage tank and create and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$152,250.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2018	02/01/2019	\$1,202,250.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	1.00

<b>Authority:</b> Bluff Dale WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12411	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> FM 2481 2nd Well			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Drill a second well to comply with the 85% production capacity rule.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		03/01/2018	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$52,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	07/01/2018	\$261,900.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Boyd			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12412	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 2017 Phase I Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Creation of an asset management plan, supply, pumping, storage and distribution system improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$46,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/29/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$525,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$5,271,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	11.00

<b>Authority:</b> Brady			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12413	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Brady Radium Reduction in Drinking Water			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Brady (City) is the recipient of an Administrative Order from the US-EPA for non-compliance of the SDWA as it pertains to radionuclides levels in drinking water. The City is addressing this matter through the implementation of a major groundwater treatment & transmission project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		08/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	09/27/2019	\$26,090,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	232.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	50.70
Consolidation Factor	Yes	50.70
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
<b>Rating:</b>		359.60



<b>Authority:</b> Brookshire MWD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12414	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Asbestos Cement Public Water Supply Line Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Brookshire Municipal Water District (MWD) proposes to replace asbestos cement pipe with PVC C900 pipe in the FM 1489 right-of-way south of Interstate 10, and in a neighborhood north of State Highway 90 and east of FM 362.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$175,629.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/15/2018	06/30/2018	\$2,248,051.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	22.50

<b>Authority:</b> Brookshire MWD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12415	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Urgent Need Water Meter Replacement and Isolation Valve Installation			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Brookshire Municipal Water District (MWD) exhibits an urgent need to improve residential flow metering and flexibility to isolate portions of the distribution network to decrease public water supply losses and pressure drops. The new meters and isolation valves will provide for detailed water usage and water production, thus providing a means to identify and isolate water loss locations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		06/01/2017	\$42,336.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/15/2018	06/29/2018	\$780,041.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		22.50

<b>Authority:</b> Brookesmith SUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12416	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Distribution System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace old water lines, pump station, and radio read meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/15/2017	\$204,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/15/2018	03/31/2019	\$3,405,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$2,531,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	11.00

<b>Authority:</b> Carbon			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12417	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pump Station Improvements and Radio Read Meters			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Pump Station Improvements to increase the storage and pumping capacities to meet compliance. Install radio read meter system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$85,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		09/01/2017	\$65,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	05/01/2018	\$550,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$700,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	11.00

<b>Authority:</b> Carrizo Springs			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12418	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Carrizo Springs Drinking Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Carrizo Springs is proposing to bring its water system up to date to correct numerous deficiencies according to TCEQ regulations and Texas State Board of Insurance requirements. Bringing the system up to date requires funding, which the city is not able to provide on its own since we are now completing a wastewater treatment plant project, funded by a loan in the amount of \$6,368,000.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$398,243.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$496,267.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	09/30/2020	\$6,721,267.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$5,012,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		26.50

<b>Authority:</b> Chandler			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12419	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Existing Water Well Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New Ground Storage, high service pump station and disinfection system to serve water well #4.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$66,800.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/01/2018	06/30/2019	\$685,700.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> China			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12420	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 2018 TWDB DWSRF Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> City Plans to construct new water line from the existing water well site to south part of the City for a redundant water feed. City also plans to develop GIS system for the water system.			

	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Phase Cost</b>
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		06/01/2018	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$33,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2018	11/01/2018	\$205,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Answer</b>	<b>Score</b>
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Cisco			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12421	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Cisco Emergency WTP Replacement Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The goal of this project is to replace the City's existing WTP which suffered a catastrophic failure due to significant flooding on June 2, 2016.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		05/31/2017	\$1,228,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2017	06/29/2018	\$13,748,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	43.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	25.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	<b>80.80</b>



<b>Authority:</b> Combes			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12422	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Combes Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace analog meters with smart meters and leak detection system; city-wide water distribution system improvements to include looping; design and construct a .75 MGD treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$940,425.80
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/01/2018	\$585,191.90
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,783,038.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	09/30/2020	\$12,706,100.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$1,200,834.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	15.50

<b>Authority:</b> Craft-Turney WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12423	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Water Plant, New Well and Infrastructure			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The system is growing and must plan for increasing water production, distribution and storage capacity. The WSC lacks an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$30,405.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		06/15/2018	\$30,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$151,485.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2018	08/01/2019	\$1,274,110.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	13.50

<b>Authority:</b> Craft-Turney WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12424	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> AMR Water Meters and Leak Detection System			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Installation of new AMR meters system wide and installation of a leak detection system to help mitigate water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$120,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/01/2017	03/31/2017	\$1,300,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$1,065,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	2.50

<b>Authority:</b> D & M WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12426	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Well #6 Rehab and New Water Well			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Insufficient water production. Lack of Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$85,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$173,250.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	12/07/2018	\$1,312,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>5.50</b>

<b>Authority:</b> D & M WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12427	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Eden Water Plant Rehab			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Insufficient Water Production. Insufficient Water Storage Capacity. Insufficient Pump and Pressure Vessel Capacity. Lack Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$131,300.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$146,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	12/01/2018	\$1,719,175.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$125,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	5.50

<b>Authority:</b> Del Rio			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12428	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Distribution Line Replacement & Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement of undersized, aging water distribution pipelines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,195,305.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$6,248,183.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2019	07/01/2021	\$65,429,090.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		3.50

<b>Authority:</b> Devine			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12429	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Drinking Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Devine is proposing to bring its water distribution system up to date to correct deficiencies to comply with TCEQ demand, meet the Texas State Board of Insurance requirements and to comply with the city's subdivision ordinance. Bringing the system up to TCEQ regulations requires funding, which the city is not able to provide on its own.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$409,271.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$511,054.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	09/30/2020	\$6,895,054.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$6,899,500.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	54.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>58.30</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Dublin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12430	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Proposed project will replace water lines, add radio read water meters, and provide a new supply well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$285,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/15/2018	12/15/2018	\$2,685,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,626,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	1.00



<b>Authority:</b> Eastland			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12431	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Eastland 2018 Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will include the installation of new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$118,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$127,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	10/31/2019	\$973,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,218,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>24.00</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Eastland Co WSD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12432	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> ECWSD 2018 Transmission Line Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water service to customers is always an important subject in a utility's needs. TCEQ has set standards for minimum water line pipe sizes and the number of service connections that can be run from these lines. Aging infrastructure is also a factor when looking at water lines and can make them vulnerable to leaks and failures. The Eastland County Water Supply District is pursuing the implementation of replacing its existing water transmission line to the City of Eastland (one of ECWSD's primary wholesale water customers) to ensure all TCEQ regulations are met and to better serve the customers that are served by this water transmission line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$326,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$289,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	12/27/2019	\$4,469,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$5,084,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	32.60
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		49.10

<b>Authority:</b> Eden			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12433	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Eden 2018 Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> There are several aspects of the City of Eden's (City) water supply system that are in need of improvement. These improvements include increasing the ability of the City's Cooling Tower to lower groundwater temperatures and protection of above ground well equipment against weather elements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$396,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$266,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	12/27/2019	\$5,851,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$6,513,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		24.00

<b>Authority:</b> Ennis			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12434	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Downtown Water Line Improvements 2			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Failing waterlines with insufficient valving. Frequent breakage causes loss of service, risk of system contamination, and significant water loss. Prepare and implement Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$75,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$812,375.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2018	12/30/2018	\$6,717,060.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	1.00

<b>Authority:</b> Ennis			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12435	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Downtown Water Line Improvements 1			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water line replacements in downtown Ennis and create and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$77,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$478,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/01/2018	06/01/2019	\$3,977,855.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$3,298,600.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		1.00

<b>Authority:</b> Etoile WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12436	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Plant #4, Water Meter Replacement with AMR System, & Asset Management Plan			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Well #4, Aerator, Filters, Storage Tanks, Booster Pumps, Water Main, & Related Work to treat organics and reduce TTHM formation, and therefore reduce amount of water currently wasted flush distribution lines. Also Water Meter Replacement with AMR System and preparation of Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$733,100.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$8,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		06/30/2017	\$155,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/01/2018	10/31/2018	\$2,839,425.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$440,600.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		13.50

<b>Authority:</b> Evadale WCID # 1			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12437	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Evadale ECID#1 has a deteriorated water storage tank and deteriorated distribution lines. The WCID also plans to expand water service to match their existing sanitary sewer service area. The addition of a new water production facility and replacement of the existing storage tank and distribution lines will allow them to serve the additional customers with reduced real water loss due to leaks and breaks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$280,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		07/30/2018	\$20,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$130,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	04/30/2019	04/30/2020	\$2,480,200.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$200,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		20.00

<b>Authority:</b> Evant			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12438	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Evant 2018 Water Line Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water service to customers is always an important subject in a city's utility needs. TCEQ has set standards for minimum water line pipe sizes and the number of service connections that can be run from these lines. Aging infrastructure is also a factor when looking at water lines and can make them vulnerable to leaks and failures. The City of Evant is pursuing the implementation of upsized water lines to ensure all TCEQ regulations are met and to better serve customers that are connected to these water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$27,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$18,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	03/29/2019	\$154,500.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$200,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		14.50



<b>Authority:</b> Fort Stockton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12439	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Production and Transmission Power System Upgrades			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City is interested in installing a new natural gas powered electrical generation system to service the Belding Wells and Belding Pump Station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$400,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		09/01/2017	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$600,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2017	10/01/2018	\$5,000,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$6,025,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	1.00

<b>Authority:</b> G-M WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12441	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The WSC proposes to construct a new well, groundwater treatment plant, storage tanks, pressure maintenance facilities, and distribution lines. This project is intended to allow the WSC to become independent from the City of Hemphill in accordance with the 2016 East Texas Regional Water Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$332,200.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/30/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$153,940.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/30/2019	06/30/2020	\$4,944,910.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	72.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		86.50

<b>Authority:</b> Graford			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12442	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Distribution Line Replacements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace existing water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$65,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$49,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	07/01/2017	\$386,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$500,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		2.00

<b>Authority:</b> Guadalupe Blanco RA			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12443	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Port Lavaca Water Treatment Plant			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement of Port Lavaca Water Treatment Plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,023,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/01/2017	09/01/2019	\$26,617,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	25.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		25.80

<b>Authority:</b> Gustine			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12444	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Elevated Storage Tank			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project consists of constructing a new elevated storage tank to replacing the existing ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$85,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$38,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$377,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$500,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	12.00

<b>Authority:</b> Hale Center			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12445	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Hale Center 0.12 MG GST			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> City of Hale Center received an alleged violation from TCEQ regarding the roof of the existing GST.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$115,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$247,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Harris Co FWSD # 47			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12446	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Replacement of Waterlines throughout the District			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace old waterline with Class 150 c-900 PVC, installation of new AMR to help identify leaks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$222,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$4,768,180.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/02/2018	05/13/2019	\$590,590.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$5,581,670.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		1.00

<b>Authority:</b> Hillsboro			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12447	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Hillsboro Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> City of Hillsboro New Elevated Storage and Water System Improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$125,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/01/2017	\$5,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$235,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/01/2018	12/01/2018	\$2,310,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00



<b>Authority:</b> Huntington			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12448	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The distribution lines are failing and/or undersized. This project proposes to replace deteriorated and undersized distribution lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$216,700.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$66,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	04/30/2018	04/30/2019	\$1,670,100.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$1,953,300.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	32.50

<b>Authority:</b> Italy			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12449	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Southern Ellis County Regional Treated water transfer pump station and transmission main			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition & Design	
<b>Project Description:</b> This Southern Ellis County Regional treated water system will convey water from the City of Waxahachie's Howard Road Water Treatment Plant via a 12-inch pipeline, approximately 12 miles south, to supply water to the City of Italy. Along the way, a number of other entities can also be served including South Ellis Water Supply and the Nash Forrester Water Supply, among other small municipalities.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		05/01/2018	\$475,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,350,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	05/01/2019	\$0.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	7.60
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		17.60

<b>Authority:</b> Kemp			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12450	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Kemp Water Treatment System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Kemp's raw water pump stations are in need of rehabilitation due to equipment failures and poor raw water quality, the water treatment plant is in need of major repairs and updates to improve treated water quality, and the drinking water distribution system has a long history of breakages and is in need of rehabilitation for better system reliability.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$571,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	10/01/2019	\$5,929,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,362,099.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		24.50

<b>Authority:</b> Kirbyville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12451	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project intends to replace an existing elevated storage tank that is severely deteriorated, rehabilitate unreliable high service pumps, and replace deteriorated water lines with excessive leaks and required repairs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$203,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$124,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/30/2018	10/30/2020	\$1,629,200.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,276,700.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	23.50

<b>Authority:</b> Lake Texoma VFW Post 7873			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12452	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Radium Gross Alpha Compliance Project, Water Meters, and Distribution Lines			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Interconnection to neighboring Ridgecrest water system to resolve EPA Order for groundwater with radium and gross alpha, install water meters, replace undersized water lines and complete an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$12,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/01/2017	11/30/2018	\$172,500.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$99,900.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	99.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	100.30

<b>Authority:</b> Lawn			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12453	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Treated Water Supply			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply from a wholesale supplier. New water supply with less TOC, more stable water and less precursors for DBPs. Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply. Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply and build taller standpipe in Lawn. Abandon WTP and replace old and deteriorated water lines. Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply with less TOC, more stable water, and less precursors for DBPs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		08/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	01/01/2019	\$3,620,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	60.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	27.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>107.80</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Littlefield			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12454	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Littlefield Main Transmission Line Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace existing main well field transmission line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$629,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,020,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	09/15/2018	\$9,339,310.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		21.00

<b>Authority:</b> Los Fresnos			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12455	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> DWSRF 2018 Los Fresnos Water Distribution and Treatment Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The city recently had high turbidity readings and underwent a Comprehensive Performance Evaluation of the Potable Water Plant System and Operations that yielded several performance limiting factors that need to be addressed. The plant also needs to be expanded to a capacity of 0.5 MGD to meet TCEQ requirements. In addition the distribution system is comprised of aging asbestos cement pipes and faulty fire hydrants and water valves that need to be replaced along with additional pipe needed for looping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/21/2017	04/01/2019	\$6,251,635.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$420,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	65.20
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		67.70



<b>Authority:</b> Loving WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12456	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pipeline and Storage System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace existing 2-inch and 1-inch pipelines with PVC piping. Replace one existing ground storage tank with new tank that matched height of remaining tank. Adjust height of 2nd hydrotank to match original tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$82,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	03/01/2018	\$611,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Lyford			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12457	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lyford Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construction of alternate 24" raw water line, water distribution system improvements including looping, installation of AMI and replacement of analog water meters with smart meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,037,410.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/01/2018	\$931,750.90
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,806,034.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	09/30/2020	\$14,038,140.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$977,114.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		25.50

<b>Authority:</b> Mason			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12458	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Radionuclide Treatment Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Mason (City) is addressing the need to improve its water system as a result of violations noted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$486,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$301,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	04/01/2018	05/31/2019	\$7,713,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	68.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		81.00

<b>Authority:</b> McAllen			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12459	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> SW and NW WWTP Improvements and Transmission and Raw Water Conveyance Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The funding request will be used to fund the following improvements: Expand capacity at the South Water Treatment Plant by 4 MGD. This will be accomplished by improvements to the Clarifiers as well as the gravity filters. The project will also include improvements to SCADA and Filter Control Systems at both the South Water Treatment Plant and the North Water Treatment Plant. The project also includes the construction of an 18" - 24" Raw Water Supply Line as well as the construction of an 18" Transmission Line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		07/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	06/01/2019	\$12,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.40
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	10.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		15.90

<b>Authority:</b> Mertzon			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12460	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Mertzon 2018 Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> As a result of the recent historic ongoing drought, the City's water supply is still depleted. The City currently has five (5) functional groundwater wells (of the original eight), caused by continual pumping during the ongoing drought, and is in the process of obtaining approval for a new sixth well. The City has observed a steady decrease in production from its wells over the past several years, to the point that three of the original eight wells are essentially "dry" at this time. In order to support current water supply needs, the City of Mertzon is pursuing implementation of two major project components, including construction of a new supply well and a treatment system to address the City's groundwater quality issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$253,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$124,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	12/27/2019	\$2,501,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$2,878,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>9.00</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Miles			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12461	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Supply Alternatives Study			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Miles (City) proposes to pursue development of an alternative source of water supply to complement its current wholesale water supply. The City needs to identify and evaluate alternative water supply options including development of additional surface water or groundwater supplies as well as potential treatment of its existing groundwater to reduce nitrate and dissolved solids levels to within compliance.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$200,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2019	07/31/2020	\$0.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$200,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		6.50

<b>Authority:</b> Millersview-Doole WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12462	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Well Water Blending Facilities			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Treating well water at the source and blending with surface water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/15/2018	07/31/2018	\$478,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	504.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	35.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	545.30

<b>Authority:</b> Moore WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12463	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Drilling New Water Well			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Moore WSC has experienced a total loss of a well, leaving the system with only one functioning well. Project will entail the drilling a new well, to replace the failed one, at the same plant site as the failed well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$112,937.50
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2017	02/20/2020	\$406,250.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00



<b>Authority:</b> Newton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12464	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 2018 TWDB DWSRF Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> City plans to construct new water well and associated 12" raw water line to water treatment plant, and pressure booster station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$155,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		05/01/2018	\$10,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$140,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/01/2018	06/01/2019	\$1,695,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	12.50

<b>Authority:</b> Nueces Co WCID # 5			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12465	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> District-Wide Water Distribution System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning & Design	
<b>Project Description:</b> The purpose of this project is to assess the condition of 26,000 LF of existing aged and undersized PVC and asphalt concrete pipes throughout the District's service area. The District will utilize the findings of this study to prioritize replacement of broken and deficient transmission lines to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and enhance distribution throughout the service area. Also included in the project is the development of an Asset Management Plan based on the condition assessment and inventory of the water distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$120,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2018	07/31/2019	\$0.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		13.50

<b>Authority:</b> Palo Pinto WSC				
<b>PIF #:</b>	12466	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Elevated Tank and Water Distribution Lines				
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacing existing distribution lines and install an elevated storage tank.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/29/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$88,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$1,322,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,500,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	12.00

<b>Authority:</b> Parker County SUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12467	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Greenwood System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> 0.1 MG elevated storage tank to meet TCEQ storage requirements and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/30/2017	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$140,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	07/02/2018	\$910,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,200,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	3.00

<b>Authority:</b> Quitman			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12468	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Treatment Plant and Transmission Line Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Modifications to the existing WTP including treatment units and equipment. Installation of 12-in transmission line from the WTP to the ground storage tanks at Stephens Street.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$332,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$243,718.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$249,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	04/09/2018	10/21/2019	\$5,647,050.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$105,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		2.00

<b>Authority:</b> Ramirez Common School District			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12469	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Urgent Need Funding - Ramirez Common School District Clean Water			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Ramirez Common School District is in URGENT NEED of a new PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY for the health and safety of its staff and students. Historically, potable water was provided to the school from the 150' well at the church across the road, but recent samples have tested positive for coliforms, E. Coli, and unsafe levels of arsenic. For this isolated population, the development of a public water well is required by state law, and will involve land acquisition, water source protection, a source (construction of the well and other elements), transmission, storage, treatment, and other expenses based on an AWW A design.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$19,050.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		06/30/2017	\$154,100.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$68,700.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2017	08/31/2017	\$203,900.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$26,300.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Rhome			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12470	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project will focus on improving the water treatment and distribution system for the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$155,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$48,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	07/01/2018	\$647,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$850,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	147.40
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		157.40

<b>Authority:</b> Riesel			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12471	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Riesel Area Arsenic Reduction Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Secure and deliver treated water from City of Waco for dilution to less than MCL.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2019	\$410,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$600,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2019	12/31/2019	\$3,650,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	46.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	28.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	74.00



<b>Authority:</b> Rogers			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12472	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 2018 Water System Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water System Improvements Project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$127,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$180,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$2,113,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		13.50

<b>Authority:</b> Roma			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12473	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Roma WTP Replacement Improvements - Phase I			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City is addressing the need for Phase I (4 MGD) of a new water treatment plant (WTP) to serve City of Roma residents and fully comply with all water treatment regulations. The City's existing WTP was partially rehabilitated in the late 1990s and has reached the end of its useful life and requires replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,332,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/28/2018	\$500,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,445,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/29/2019	08/26/2022	\$22,097,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$25,374,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		15.00

<b>Authority:</b> Ropesville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12474	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Flouride Removal Water Treatment- Ropesville			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water treatment or purchase water from nearby community.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$117,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		06/01/2018	\$20,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	01/01/2019	\$1,010,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	21.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		36.30

<b>Authority:</b> Roscoe			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12475	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Roscoe 2018 Water Line Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water service to customers is always an important subject in a city's utility needs. TCEQ has set standards for minimum water line pipe sizes and the number of service connections that can be run from these lines. Aging infrastructure is also a factor when looking at water lines and can make them vulnerable to leaks and failures. The City of Roscoe is pursuing the implementation of upsized water lines to ensure all TCEQ regulations are met and to better serve customers that are connected to these water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$206,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$122,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	12/27/2019	\$1,838,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$2,166,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		16.50

<b>Authority:</b> Rosebud			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12476	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Meter Replacements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City proposes to replace broken and/or malfunctioning water meters within their CCN with meters to prevent the water loss and to ensure the safety and well being of its customers. The City intends to prepare their asset management plan with assistance from TCEQ's FMT contractor.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	05/31/2018	\$711,100.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$520,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> Royalwood MUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12477	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Update and Modernize Existing Water Plants.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$151,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$174,780.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/14/2018	05/20/2019	\$1,136,070.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$375,695.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Rusk			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12478	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Rusk New Water Well			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New Groundwater Source Water Well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$35,820.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/30/2018	\$30,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$181,338.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/15/2020	08/31/2020	\$1,446,188.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	1.00

<b>Authority:</b> Rusk			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12479	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Distribution System Improvements & Storage Tank Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Install 8" Water Line on FM 343 West. Rehabilitation of Two Elevated Storage Tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$163,700.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$96,800.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/14/2020	02/14/2020	\$1,389,450.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	1.00



<b>Authority:</b> San Antonio Water System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12480	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Zarzamora Pump Station Upgrade			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Zarzamora Pump Station Upgrade.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		08/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/02/2018	01/16/2019	\$9,782,362.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Antonio Water System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12481	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Basin Pump Station Improvements, Phase 2			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Basin Pump Station Improvements, Phase 2 - Complete the rehabilitation of the pump station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		09/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/04/2018	11/04/2019	\$19,136,520.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Antonio Water System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12482	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> LaRosa Pump Station Upgrade			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> LaRosa Pump Station Upgrade - Rehabilitate the pump station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	05/14/2018	05/28/2019	\$4,997,112.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	10.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Antonio Water System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12483	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pleasanton Road Water Main Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Pleasanton Road Water Main Replacement - Replace undersized water mains.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/03/2017	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		04/28/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/10/2018	07/10/2019	\$7,010,430.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		10.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Antonio Water System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12484	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wurzbach Pump Station Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Wurzbach Pump Station Improvements - Rehabilitate a primary pump station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		09/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/22/2018	07/18/2019	\$17,333,240.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

<b>Authority:</b> San Diego MUD # 1			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12485	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System and Storage Tank Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning & Design	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project consists of the replacement of a new ground water storage tank, rehabilitation of an elevated storage tank and repair of deficient water distribution lines throughout the District's system. The project also includes the preparation and development of an Asset Management Plan for the District, which would include a water model of the existing system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$170,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2018	02/28/2019	\$0.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$1,100,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	11.00

<b>Authority:</b> Sandbranch			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12486	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Water System			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Install a water system to an existing development.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$230,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		07/31/2018	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$302,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	12/31/2019	\$2,917,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Santa Anna			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12487	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Santa Anna 2018 Water Line Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Water service to customers is always an important subject in a city's utility needs. TCEQ has set standards for minimum water line pipe sizes and the number of service connections that can be run from these lines. Aging infrastructure is also a factor when looking at water lines and can make them vulnerable to leaks and failures. The City of Santa Anna is pursuing the implementation of upsized water lines to ensure all TCEQ regulations are met and to better serve customers that are connected to these water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$122,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	04/26/2019	\$905,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,082,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		14.50



<b>Authority:</b> Santo SUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12488	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Supply Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Make an interconnect with Parker Co SUD to obtain treated water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$75,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		09/15/2017	\$55,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$49,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/15/2018	07/31/2018	\$598,500.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Smithville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12489	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Airport Waterline Extension			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Proposed project is the construction of a 16 inch water line extension to serve the City of Smithville Airport property. The project will included approximately 3800 linear feet of 16 inch water line, valves, fire hydrants and other appurtenances.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$29,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$29,250.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	09/30/2018	\$390,750.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Stryker Lake WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12490	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New water plant with ground storage tank, high service pump station and treatment. Replace aging water line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$22,015.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$120,724.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/15/2018	10/15/2018	\$917,155.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		13.50

<b>Authority:</b> Valley Mills			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12491	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Valley Mills 2018 Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> In order to restore the aging infrastructure to its proper function, the City is requesting funding to help address the aging and inefficient distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$357,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		07/27/2018	\$110,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$344,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	09/03/2018	03/27/2020	\$3,112,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$3,923,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>3.00</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Vernon			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12492	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> FY17 Vernon Pipeline			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Install a new 16 mile 24" pipeline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$84,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$200,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$910,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2018	06/01/2019	\$11,120,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$12,314,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	<b>23.50</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Vinton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12493	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Vinton Water System Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will consist of the installation of new high capacity water lines in Phase II. These new lines will be able to maintain minimum pressure and fire flow. A service fee from EP Water will be needed to allow EP Water to provide adequate water storage for Vinton.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		11/30/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/29/2018	\$1,577,366.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2019	01/01/2021	\$11,205,380.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		13.00

<b>Authority:</b> West Tawakoni			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12494	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Intake Structure			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct new Water Intake Structure into deeper water. Per Preliminary Engineering Report (PER), a depth of +/-25 feet can be obtained by constructing the Intake at the proposed location. Develop Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$82,872.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		09/01/2018	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$100,531.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2019	08/31/2019	\$1,352,757.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	14.50

<b>Authority:</b> Wharton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12495	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 2018 Mayfair/Linwood Waterline Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City has a history of high water loss and frequent leaks/outages in a number of areas that still have old 2" waterlines. After completion of planning, environmental, and design the City intends to replace the 2" steel waterlines with 8" PVC waterlines improving water quality, reducing leaks/outages.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$95,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$99,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/01/2019	08/01/2019	\$852,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	14.00



<b>Authority:</b> White Oak			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12496	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Raw Water Intake			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New Pump Station and Raw Water Line. Prepare and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$240,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		01/02/2018	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$355,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/02/2018	07/01/2019	\$4,495,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	3.50

<b>Authority:</b> White Oak			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12497	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Raw Water Reservoir			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New raw water reservoir, and prepare and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$270,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		01/02/2018	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$445,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/02/2018	07/01/2019	\$6,760,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	3.50

<b>Authority:</b> Whiteface			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12498	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Elevated Storage Tank			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement of an old "witches hat" elevated storage facility.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$69,600.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	10/01/2019	\$337,250.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Wills Point			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12499	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Upgrade Water Treatment Plant			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City has been cited for no air gap for the filter to waste connection. Rehabilitation of the waste discharge pond and the addition of new filters will provide the City an opportunity to address the issue and upgrade the plant by providing additional capacity as well as allow time for filters to settle after backwash.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$140,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$300,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2018	08/31/2019	\$3,507,760.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$168,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	21.00

<b>Authority:</b> Wolfe City			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12500	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Entire Water System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Re-coat existing elevated storage tank. Replace existing water lines with new 6" and 8" water lines. Install one or two new wells.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$325,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		09/01/2017	\$570,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/01/2018	06/28/2019	\$7,235,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$8,130,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	<b>18.00</b>

<b>Authority:</b> Woodloch			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12501	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Hydrotank No. 2 Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement of the old hydropneumatic tank No. 2.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$22,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	02/01/2019	\$138,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		11.00

<b>Authority:</b> Woodloch			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12502	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Plant Improvements and Distribution System Repairs			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Improvements to the Woodloch water plant including but not limited to the demolition of existing ground storage tank, installation of new booster pumps, yard piping, recoating, and controls. Also, the repair of leaks and damages to the distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$22,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	04/01/2019	\$138,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	11.00

<b>Authority:</b> American Water			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12503	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> East Lake Buchanan Regional Water System			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> American Water proposes to construct a regional surface water treatment plant (.5 MGD initial phase) along with 90,000 gal regional storage tank, intake structure, raw water pipeline and regional transmission mains to connect adjacent groundwater systems, including Council Creek Village, under EPA enforcement of radionuclide MCLs. American Water also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		07/01/2017	\$61,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$307,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	05/31/2018	\$2,489,520.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,007,657.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	300.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		306.20



<b>Authority:</b> American Water			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12504	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> South Silver Creek I, II, III Water System - East Lake Buchanan Regional Water System			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> American Water proposes to construct a regional surface water treatment plant (.5 MGD initial phase) along with 90,000 gal regional storage tank, intake structure, raw water pipeline and regional transmission mains to connect adjacent groundwater systems, including South Silver Creek I,II,III, under EPA enforcement of radionuclide MCLs. American Water also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$16,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		07/01/2017	\$48,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$240,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	05/31/2018	\$1,943,040.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$786,500.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	131.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		134.30

<b>Authority:</b> American Water			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12505	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> South Council Creek II Water System - East Lake Buchanan Regional Water System			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> American Water proposes to construct a regional surface water treatment plant (.5 MGD initial phase) along with 90,000 gal regional storage tank, intake structure, raw water pipeline and regional transmission mains to connect adjacent groundwater systems, including South Council Creek II, under EPA enforcement of radionuclide MCLs. American Water also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$13,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		07/01/2017	\$40,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$202,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2017	05/31/2018	\$1,639,440.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$663,579.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	260.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		266.20

<b>Authority:</b> West Oaks Phoenix Water Supply			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12508	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The West Oaks Phoenix Water Supply (WOP) is in regulatory compliance. There is a desperate need for a new Water Well, Ground Storage Tank, Pressure Tank & Booster Pumps.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2017	07/31/2018	\$245,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	22.50

<b>Authority:</b> Green Acres Mobile Home Park			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12509	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Green Acres Arsenic removal			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Acquire and obtain Arsenic remedy to comply with TCEQ MCL and complete asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$11,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$23,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/01/2017	11/30/2018	\$164,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	38.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		39.00

<b>Authority:</b> Cooley Point			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12510	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Distribution Line Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace deteriorated 2" lead pipes with properly sized PVC piping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/04/2019	03/15/2019	\$138,780.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

<b>Authority:</b> Aero Valley Water Service			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12511	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Waterline, Meters, & Storage Tank			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construction of 8" water line, water meter & service, fire hydrants, & 15,000 gal storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		09/28/2018	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$1,506,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	12.50

<b>Authority:</b> Donna			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12529	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Water Treatment Plant Rehabilitation and New Raw Water Reservoir			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitation of existing water treatment plant and construction of ad adjacent raw water reservoir. Existing plant has deteriorated and is in dire need of rehabilitation and to make repairs due to damages sustain in recent Hurricane. A new water reservoir is needed to store water in emergencies due to the unreliability of and inability of the local irrigation district to deliver raw water during power outages or emergency construction of the water canal system. A raw water reservoir will allow pretreatment and settlement of the raw water and a reduction of the amount of chemical need for water disinfection. The addition of an inordinate amount of chemicals needed for water settlement is making the water at the plant very corrosive and the corrosive water is deteriorating the metal components of the plant treatment equipment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$75,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		02/28/2018	\$150,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$650,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/12/2018	02/19/2019	\$7,750,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		16.00

<b>Authority:</b> Lakeview Hills POA			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12530	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Water Well			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Drill new water well to replace 49 year old current water well (drilled in 1968).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		04/01/2017	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$6,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2017	11/01/2017	\$45,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00



<b>Authority:</b> FHLM Regional WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12533	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> FHLM Regional Water System Development - Phase 1 City of Waco Supply for Arsenic Remediation			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The FHLM Regional WSC includes a number of Public Water Supply members with excess levels of arsenic in their groundwater sources. The FHLM WSC proposes to purchase treated water from the City of Waco to blend with the arsenic contaminated sources.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2018	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$65,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/31/2018	12/31/2018	\$11,855,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	139.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		141.80

<b>Authority:</b> Mount Calm			
<b>PIF #:</b>	12534	<b>Program:</b>	DWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2018
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 2017 Water System Improvements - Well No.1 Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> Public Water System		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City's groundwater well is structural unsound from corrosion of the casing over the years. A new deep well will be constructed at the well site and the existing well will be plugged and abandoned. A new well will provide the City a reliable water source for many years.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$29,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		01/15/2018	\$15,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2018	08/01/2018	\$2,206,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00