

**State Fiscal Year 2017
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.

Authority: Vernon			
PIF #:	11847	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 16 Mile 24 Inch Well Water Transmission Line			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install a new 16 mile 24 inch PVC pipeline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2017	\$200,000.00
Design:			\$910,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2018	05/01/2019	\$9,750,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$11,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	23.50

Authority: San Saba			
PIF #:	11848	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: New 6" and 8" water mains are proposed to replace the dilapidated lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/30/2017	\$1,700,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,700,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	11849	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant No. 2 Raw Water Reservoir Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate and upgrade existing plant to current standards.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2016	12/30/2017	\$6,975,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Rotan			
PIF #:	11850	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install 14 miles of new 12-inch PVC water line to replace existing and ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$320,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2018	05/01/2019	\$3,540,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,840,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		32.50

Authority: Ralston Acres WSC			
PIF #:	11851	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply Rebuild			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Update system and move mains from private back yards to the public streets.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$134,000.00
Acquisition:			\$7,000.00
Design:			\$169,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2017	12/01/2018	\$1,180,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11852	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - East Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the east portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area caused disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.50

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11853	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of looping existing waterlines to eliminate dead ends. The district's water distribution system has many dead end lines that jeopardize the water quality for its residents. Looping these waterlines will create better water circulation and eliminate stagnant water in the lines. The project will also include the installation of new flush valves for waterlines that cannot be looped within the existing system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.50

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11854	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - West Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the west portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area cause disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.50

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11856	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - North Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the north portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area cause disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.50

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	11857	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meters, Leak Detection & Asset Mangement Plan			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the installation of water meters in the service area, purchase of leak detection equipment and instrumentation, and preparation of an asset management plan. The leak detection equipment will assist the District during the asset management planning process and conditions assessment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$5,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$165,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.50

Authority: North Runnels Co WSC			
PIF #:	11858	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement with AMR System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace meters with AMR system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$42,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	08/01/2017	\$403,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Mount Calm			
PIF #:	11859	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Proposed Well Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Due to the fact the well needing repair is the only water source for the city; it has been proposed to construct a new well of equal depth and size to replace the existing city well. This will eliminate electrical issues and repair costs, and maintain well production during construction.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2015	\$437,500.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	02/01/2017	\$1,500,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: Midway ISD			
PIF #:	11860	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Renovations			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Midway ISD will replace their water tank, renovated the main pump station and drill another well to increase water production. The main water lines will also be replaced as well as necessary connections, valves and service reconnections.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$19,175.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2016	08/01/2017	\$180,325.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Mexia			
PIF #:	11861	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City recently replaced approximately 50,000 l.f. of water mains and now seeks to replace broken/malfunctioning/unreliable water meters with AMR meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$97,400.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	09/01/2017	\$1,702,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,880,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	15.50

Authority: Melvin			
PIF #:	11862	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Radium Removal Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Melvin proposed to construct corrective treatment facilities for its existing water source using Water Remediation Technologies (WRT) Z-88 radium absorption process.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$140,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2017	06/01/2018	\$575,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	92.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	102.70

Authority: Mathis			
PIF #:	11863	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Mathis Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace undersized 2" waterlines with looped 8" water lines. The current system does not meet TCEQ Chapter 290 regulations for max. number of connections on a 2" water line and WTP improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$359,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$440,704.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2017	04/01/2019	\$2,390,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Magnolia			
PIF #:	11864	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Magnolia Water Plant No. 3			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: Construct new plant site to include new water well, ground storage tank, elevated storage tank, booster pump station, generator, and all related yard piping. Construct transmission line to tie new plant site into the system. Replace existing ground storage tank at Well No. 1 site.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$207,597.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2017	\$255,000.00
Design:			\$383,100.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2018	03/01/2019	\$5,180,100.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Lyford			
PIF #:	11865	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Source Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of two ground water wells at the water treatment plant for a new water supply source, with construction of a 1.0 MGD reverse osmosis RO membrane treatment facility to treat the brackish ground water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$400,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$4,190,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	16.50

Authority: Loop WSC			
PIF #:	11866	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Proposed Water Treatment Plant			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	09/01/2017	\$200,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	80.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	83.00

Authority: Joaquin			
PIF #:	11867	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter and Water Main Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project seeks to replace borken/malfunctioning/unreliable water meters with AMR meters and also, identify (via water leak detection survey) and replace aged water mains that continue to cause excessive water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$85,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$320,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2017	10/01/2018	\$2,340,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,745,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Groveton			
PIF #:	11868	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Proposed Water Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct water well and transmission main to supplement current TRA water supply which is seasonally inadequate for current demand, specifically during drought conditions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2017	\$100,000.00
Design:			\$225,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2018	09/01/2018	\$1,750,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		63.30

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11869	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sherman - Water Treatment Plant Expansion and Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand and upgrade water treatment plant to address additional surface water supply with facilities to serve multiple customers.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$794,774.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2015	\$0.00
Design:		05/05/2016	\$1,620,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/15/2016	07/15/2017	\$20,802,100.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11870	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lake Kiowa SUD - Transmission Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace asbestos cement pipe with polyethylene pipe (2.2 miles).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$586,841.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$489,100.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	06/30/2019	\$10,342,150.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Gorman			
PIF #:	11871	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Gorman is proposing to eliminate the old cast iron water line and replace it with PVC water lines. The City is also proposing to replace all of its service meters with new electronic read meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$111,850.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$163,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	11/01/2017	\$1,825,195.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	45.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		55.80

Authority: Donna			
PIF #:	11872	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Rehabilitation and New Raw Water Reservoir			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of existing water treatment plant and construction of an adjacent raw water reservoir. Existing plant has deteriorated and is in dire need of rehabilitation and to make repairs due to damages sustain in recent Hurricane. A new water reservoir is needed to store water in emergencies due to the unreliability of and inability of the local irrigation district to deliver raw water during power outages or emergency construction of the water canal system. A raw water reservoir will allow pretreatment and settlement of the raw water and a reduction of the amount of chemical need for water disinfection. The addition of an inordinate amount of chemicals needed for water settlement is making the water at the plant very corrosive and the corrosive water is deteriorating the metal components of the plant treatment equipment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:		01/02/2017	\$150,000.00
Design:			\$650,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$7,750,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		16.00

Authority: Cross Plains			
PIF #:	11873	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Cross Plains proposes to replace undersized lines and loop dead end areas in their system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$134,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2017	01/01/2018	\$1,026,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Crockett			
PIF #:	11874	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: College Hill Storage Tanks and Pump Station			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: New high service pump station, ground storage tank and elevated tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2017	\$60,000.00
Design:			\$145,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2017	10/01/2018	\$2,455,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	41.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Cottonwood Shores			
PIF #:	11875	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Double Water Plant Capacity from .5 to 1.0 MGD			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing aged .5 MGD water treatment plant with .5 MGD new water treatment plant equipment. High service pumps. Upgrade raw water pumps and automatic controls at quarry site.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$385,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$330,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2017	11/15/2017	\$3,101,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$70,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Cameron			
PIF #:	11876	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Treatment Plant & Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Treatment Plant & Distribution System improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	08/30/2016	12/31/2017	\$15,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$940,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	41.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		65.50

Authority: Bronte			
PIF #:	11877	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 4 new wells, WTP expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: 4 new wells, WTP expansion, and a new treated water line to Robert Lee.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$150,000.00
Design:			\$935,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2017	07/01/2017	\$6,698,961.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$575,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	51.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		66.00

Authority: Bluegrove WSC			
PIF #:	11878	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Bluegrove Pipe Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Bluegrove WSC will replace its 4" main water line through town as well as all necessary connections, valves and meter reconnections.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$29,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$170,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Anthony			
PIF #:	11879	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Arsenic Treatment Plant and Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: It is imperative that the town construct a new potable drinking water well to keep up with town demands, rehabilitate existing wells, install a new 250,000 gallon elevated water tank to meet both pressure and storage criteria, build an arsenic treatment plant to avoid additional TCEQ violations, and address system deficiencies such as leaking water lines, install a chlorination control system, and replace failing booster stations to avoid more serious problems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$324,950.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2016	\$73,110.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$643,876.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2017	08/01/2018	\$7,449,947.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,114,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Alice				
PIF #:	11880	Program:	DWSRF	PPL Year: 2017
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Rehabilitation of 20" raw water transmission main				
Project Type: Public Water System			Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Repair/rehabilitate the 20" raw water, 22.5 mile transmission main by slip lining.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$172,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$603,750.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	05/01/2018	\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$698,625.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	3.00

Authority: 114th Street Mobile Home Park			
PIF #:	11881	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Filter System for Arsenic and Fluoride Removal			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of filter system for Arsenic and Fluoride removal.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$180,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	11.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		13.80

Authority: Wolfe City			
PIF #:	11882	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Entire Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Re-coat existing tank. Replace all existing water lines with new 6" and 8" water lines. Install one or two new wells.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$325,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$570,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2017	01/04/2018	\$7,235,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$7,317,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	25.50

Authority: Winters			
PIF #:	11883	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Winters (City) has utilized 2014 DWSRF PAD funding to evaluate options associated with development of additional water supply by installing water wells east of the City. As a result of project evaluation during the planning phase, the City has decided to modify the existing scope and focus on needed improvements at the WTP and raw water supply line. The City desires to construct a new 0.4 MG clearwell, install a new raw water control valve, update the disinfectant injection points at the WTP and replace the air release valves and install a new sample location on the supply line. The City has sufficient PAD funds remaining to design and bid the project and is now applying for construction funding. The project will be designed and ready for bidding well before construction funds will be available to complete the work.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/29/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/03/2017	09/29/2017	\$807,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	31.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		45.00

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	11884	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing waterlines in the project area with new PVC waterlines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$42,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/01/2017	\$27,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$284,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$353,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	11885	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing old and deteriorated waterlines with larger, PVC waterlines. The water system is experiencing significant water loss and low pressures in the area of the West Oak Development.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$35,200.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$558,800.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$684,266.20

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: West Tawakoni			
PIF #:	11886	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Intake Structure			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct new Water Intake Structure into deeper water. Per PER, a depth of +/-25 feet can be obtained by constructing the Intake at the proposed location. Develop Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$81,272.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2017	\$115,000.00
Design:			\$125,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2018	08/31/2018	\$1,167,750.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	11.50

Authority: Warren WSC			
PIF #:	11887	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: New AMR water meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$29,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$25,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	12/30/2018	\$217,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$271,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		4.50

Authority: Vinton			
PIF #:	11888	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Vinton Water System Improvements Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will consist of the installation of new high capacity water lines. These new lines will be able to maintain minimum pressure and fire flow. A service fee from EPWU will be needed to allow EPWU to provide adequate water storage for Vinton.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2017	\$1,609,215.00
Design:			\$1,725,785.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	09/01/2019	\$13,761,800.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	39.20
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		49.20

Authority: Union WSC			
PIF #:	11889	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: La Casita Water Plant Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement and upgrades to existing water main distribution lines to address water and pressures losses. Installation of new main distribution lines and vales to improve water distribution efficiency and reduce water pressure deficiencies. Connection of existing residential and commercial water services to new water main distribution lines. Construction of a 250,000 gallons storage elevated tank. Expansion of the existing water treatment plant from 1.5 MGD to 3.0 MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$503,710.00
Acquisition:			\$10,500.00
Design:			\$338,300.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	05/01/2018	\$4,758,405.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$650,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		16.00

Authority: Troy			
PIF #:	11890	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Troy Water Well Expansion			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new water supply municipal well system. The project will also include the construction of the associated ground storage tanks, water pump station and water main installation as required to connect to the existing distribution system. This project also includes the preparation of an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$50,000.00
Design:		05/02/2016	\$155,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/15/2016	06/12/2017	\$1,830,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$250,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		42.80

Authority: Study Butte WSC			
PIF #:	11891	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace waterlines, install pressure reducing valves, install well servicing rig to reduce downtime, install chemical storage facilities and building upgrades.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$160,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		02/25/2016	\$56,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$1,040,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,256,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Smyer			
PIF #:	11892	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Smyer has four water wells. The City's well water quality does not meet water quality requirements for arsenic (water currently exceeds the MCL for arsenic). A deteriorated 4 inch water line is used to supply the water from the groundwater storage tank to the south side of the City where it connects into the distribution system. The City's 100,000 gallon water storage tank's interior coating has failed. The City does not have a connection to the water supply equipment that would facilitate the quick connection of a generator to the equipment during a loss of power event.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$44,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		04/25/2016	\$49,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/08/2016	01/01/2017	\$373,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$135,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	71.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.50
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		77.20

Authority: Santo SUD			
PIF #:	11893	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Urgent Need Water Supply Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Make an interconnect with Parker Co SUD to obtain treated water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$55,500.00
Design:			\$49,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	07/15/2017	\$598,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11894	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 34th Street Pump Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project includes the replacement of electrical switchgear, replace the chlorine gas system with on-site sodium hypochlorite generation system, upgrade the fluoridation equipment, and replace valves and yard piping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/15/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/12/2016	05/31/2017	\$14,668,080.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: San Angelo			
PIF #:	11895	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Potable Reuse Project - DWSRF Program			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In order to support current and future water supply needs, the City of San Angelo is pursuing the implementation of a potable reuse project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,810,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$11,421,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2018	12/31/2019	\$134,769,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$150,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	21.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		35.50

Authority: Royalwood MUD			
PIF #:	11896	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Update and Modernize Existing Water Plants			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$79,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$174,780.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	10/01/2018	\$1,136,070.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$375,695.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Roma			
PIF #:	11897	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Roma 2017 WTP Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City is addressing the need for a new regional water treatment plant (WTP) to serve its residents and businesses and fully comply with all water treatment regulations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,233,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2017	\$500,000.00
Design:			\$2,079,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/06/2017	02/23/2018	\$638,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$4,450,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		13.50

Authority: Parker County SUD			
PIF #:	11898	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Greenwood System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Material costs for 0.1 MG elevated storage tank to meet TCEQ storage requirements and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$65,000.00
Design:			\$140,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/05/2016	12/31/2017	\$805,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,110,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Palo Pinto WSC			
PIF #:	11899	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Elevated Tank and Water Distribution Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacing existing distribution lines which causesignificant water loss and water outages.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$68,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	08/31/2017	\$1,301,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,469,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.00

Authority: Nueces County			
PIF #:	11900	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Cyndie Park II Water Supply System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition & Construction	
Project Description: Nueces County proposes to replace distribution lines throughout Cyndie Park II (served by CP2WSC) as well as construct additional new lines to connect Cyndie Park I and The Ranch (adjacent colonia) residents. Nueces County will then construct upgrades to an existing water system (Nueces Water Supply, approx 4+ miles away) and connect CP I, CP II, and The Ranch to the new system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/31/2017	\$20,000.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	08/31/2017	\$1,564,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$50,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	49.60
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		62.10

Authority: New Ulm WSC			
PIF #:	11901	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Upgrade Water Storage and Distribution			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project includes the construction of a new ground storage tank, a new pressure tank, booster pumps, and the replacement of 2500 feet of asbestos distribution line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$208,240.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: New Deal			
PIF #:	11935	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project is to replace the deteriorated 6-inch Asbestos Cement line from the well field 3.3 miles northeast of the City with new 8-inch C-900 PVC or HDPE and to install a standpipe water storage tank in the southwest quadrant of the City. The new pipe will reduce the friction loss considerably compared to the existing line, as well as prevent leaks on the existing line. It will take approximately 18,000 linear feet of pipe to replace the deteriorated line. The standpipe will stabilize the water pressure in the southwest area of the City which is located on the west side of the interstate.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$53,300.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$89,700.00
Est. Construction:	05/30/2016	12/30/2016	\$1,063,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$692,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation PIFs Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.50

Authority: Millersview-Doole WSC			
PIF #:	11936	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Well Water Blending Facilities			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Treating well water at the source and blending with surface water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/15/2016	04/15/2017	\$478,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	479.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	45.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	527.90

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	11937	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution Upgrades			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of new water mains, valves, and meters, upgrade of existing mains.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$290,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2017	04/01/2018	\$2,740,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,300,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	11938	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: North Groundwater Well Site Rehabilitation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate well site including the replacement or rehabilitation of well and distribution pumps, well casing/screening and ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2017	07/02/2018	\$2,866,250.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	11939	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Elevated Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construct a 150,000 gallon elevated tank in the vicinity of the low pressure to offset the losses due to higher elevations in this area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	07/31/2018	\$1,430,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Lawn			
PIF #:	11940	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Treated Water Supply			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply from a wholesale supplier. New water supply with less TOC, more stable water and less precursors for DBPs. Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply. Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply and build taller standpipe in Lawn. Abandon WTP and replace old and deteriorated water lines. Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply with less TOC, more stable water, and less precursors for DBPs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$43,000.00
Acquisition:		05/31/2016	\$40,000.00
Design:		05/31/2016	\$265,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$3,252,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	27.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		67.50

Authority: Kellyville-Berea WSC			
PIF #:	11941	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Water Well and Infrastructure			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new public water supply well and create and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$577,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.50

Authority: Harris Co FWSD # 47			
PIF #:	11942	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Replacement of Waterlines throughout the District			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old waterline with Class 150 c-900 PVC, installation of new AMR to help identify leaks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$222,900.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$637,130.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	10/01/2018	\$4,721,640.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,581,670.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Gustine			
PIF #:	11943	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Elevated Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of constructing a new elevated storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$97,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/30/2016	\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$418,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$270,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		12.00

Authority: Graford			
PIF #:	11944	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	08/31/2017	\$346,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$430,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Gordon			
PIF #:	11945	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WTP and Water Lines			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installing a new microfilter at the existing water treatment plant, and replacing old and deteriorated water lines throughout the City which have caused numerous water leaks. The water treatment plant has exceeded 85% of production capacity and is required by TCEQ to add more production capacity, and significant water loss is due to deteriorated and leaking raw water lines and treated distribution water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$57,600.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$1,083,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,196,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	23.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		36.10

Authority: Fort Griffin SUD			
PIF #:	11946	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Utilize the SUD's existing raw water allotment from the BRA construct a treatment plant and water lines for that purpose.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$81,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2016	\$220,000.00
Design:			\$218,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	07/15/2017	\$3,138,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	43.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	Yes	22.30
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		68.30

Authority: Forsan			
PIF #:	11947	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In order to restore the aging infrastructure to its proper function, the City is requesting funding to help replace the City's sole elevated storage tank (EST).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$49,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	05/25/2018	\$628,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Evant			
PIF #:	11948	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Evant 2017 Water Line Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Water service to customers is always an important subject in a city's utility needs. TCEQ has set standards for minimum water line pipe sizes and the number of service connections that can be run from these lines. Aging infrastructure is also a factor when looking at water lines and can make them vulnerable to leaks and failures. The City of Evant is pursuing the implementation of upsized water lines to ensure all TCEQ regulations are met and to better serve customers that are connected to these water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$28,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$19,500.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	05/25/2018	\$152,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		24.50

Authority: Etoile WSC			
PIF #:	11949	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Plant #4			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Well #4, Aerator, Filters, Storage Tanks, Booster Pumps, Water Main, & Related Work to treat organics and reduce TTHM formation, and therefore reduce amount of water currently wasted flush distribution lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$665,000.00
Acquisition:			\$8,000.00
Design:		06/30/2016	\$93,380.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$2,370,425.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	12.50

Authority: Eastland			
PIF #:	11950	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Eastland 2017 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will include the installation of new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$82,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$94,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	08/31/2018	\$894,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,070,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		13.50

Authority: Eagle Pass Water Works System			
PIF #:	11951	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Regional Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand WTP capacity, resize distribution lines and rehab storage tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$2,089,941.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2016	10/01/2019	\$50,503,410.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Dublin			
PIF #:	11952	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed project will replace water lines, add radio read water meters, and provide a new supply well.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2017	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$445,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	11/01/2017	\$4,870,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,626,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	43.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	16.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	70.30

Authority: D & M WSC			
PIF #:	11953	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Eden Water Plant Rehab			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Correct insufficient water production, insufficient water storage capacity, insufficient pump and pressure vessel capacity, and lack of asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$170,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2018	12/31/2018	\$960,435.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$125,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Cranfills Gap			
PIF #: 11954	Program: DWSRF	PPL Year: 2017	
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: City proposes to replace broken or malfunctioning water meters within their CCN			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	05/01/2017	\$170,550.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$130,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	13.00

Authority: Carbon			
PIF #:	11955	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pump Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Pump Station Improvements to increase the storage and pumping capacities to meet compliance.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$29,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	07/01/2017	\$351,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$425,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	21.00

Authority: Brookesmith SUD			
PIF #:	11956	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old water lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$151,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2017	09/01/2017	\$2,300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,531,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.80

Authority: Brookesmith SUD			
PIF #:	11957	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Purchase and install 3,045 radio read meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$48,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	04/15/2017	\$867,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$975,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.80

Authority: Brady			
PIF #:	11958	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Brady Radium Reduction Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Brady (City) is addressing the need to improve its water system as a result of violations noted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/29/2016	\$0.00
Design:		08/26/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2017	01/25/2019	\$23,434,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	263.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		279.30

Authority: Blooming Grove			
PIF #:	11959	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Water Well and GST			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new water supply well and ground storage tank and create and implement an Asset Management Plan			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$145,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	02/01/2018	\$1,145,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Barton WSC			
PIF #:	11960	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Disinfection and Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The WSC has experienced disinfection residuals less than the required minimum of 2 mg/L. The proposed project will consist of adding chloramines disinfection systems at all pump station sites and adding and/or replacing sections of water lines for a system loop to improve disinfection residuals and to address low pressure areas.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$130,000.00
Acquisition:		11/30/2016	\$35,000.00
Design:		06/30/2016	\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	07/03/2017	\$1,245,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$1,500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	46.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		66.30

Authority: Rolling Hills WS			
PIF #:	11961	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Rolling Hills 2017 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Rolling Hills system is in disrepair and desperately in need of replacement of all of the major components.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$293,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	11/30/2018	\$2,042,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,227,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	36.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	71.50

Authority: Beaver Creek WCID # 1			
PIF #:	11962	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Beaver Creek WCID#1 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The existing privately owned water wells within the Beaver Creek WCID#1 (District) service area have been deemed a health nuisance by the Department of State Health Services. After completion of the EDAP Planning Program, the District proposes to construct a first time service water system in an effort to provide a source of safe drinking water to its residents.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/31/2016	03/31/2017	\$6,486,462.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Buckholts			
PIF #:	11963	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Water Meter Replacement			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$12,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2017	11/24/2017	\$184,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$119,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		40.30

Authority: Combes			
PIF #:	11964	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Elevated Storage Tank Rehabilitation Project, Water Line Extension and water meter replacements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Storage Tank rehabilitation project, Waterline extension and water meter replacements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$97,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2017	01/02/2018	\$405,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Covington			
PIF #:	11965	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Covington 2017 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Covington's ground storage tank (GST) is in poor condition, showing signs of leaking, and the tank foundation is eroding away. Replacement of the tank is vital to maintain system operation. As an emergency response, the City is constructing a temporary ground storage tank to serve as a stopgap until funding is available to construct a permanent replacement for the GST. In addition, the existing service pumps, electrical/controls, and piping at the service pump station are aging and have become unreliable. The City is pursuing implementation of the GST replacement and service pump station rehabilitation in order to maintain adequate service for the community.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$63,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$53,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/03/2017	02/28/2018	\$709,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$70,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		12.50

Authority: D & M WSC			
PIF #:	11966	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well #6 Rehab @ Water Plant #9			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Insufficient water production and lack of an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$165,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$1,275,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Eden			
PIF #:	11967	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: City of Eden 2017 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: There are several aspects of the City of Eden's (City) water supply system that are in need of improvement. These improvements include increasing the ability of the City's Cooling Tower to lower groundwater temperatures, reducing scale formation in the new WTP and existing water distribution piping and sedimentation present in the water supply, protection of above ground well equipment against weather elements and the removal of total dissolved solids (TDS) and chlorine as well as meeting secondary drinking water standards (SDWS).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$367,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$347,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/02/2018	09/27/2019	\$8,401,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$9,115,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	82.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		95.50

Authority: Ennis			
PIF #:	11968	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Downtown Water Line Improvements 2			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Failing waterlines with insufficient valving. Frequent breakage causes loss of service, risk of system contamination, and significant water loss.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$773,690.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	12/29/2017	\$6,399,590.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Ennis			
PIF #:	11969	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Downtown Water Line Improvements 1			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Water line replacements in downtown Ennis and create and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$455,524.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$3,788,436.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,318,960.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.50

Authority: Gladewater			
PIF #:	11970	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Intake Structure and Water Storage Tank(s)			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate the existing raw water intake structure. Rehabilitate two existing elevated storage tanks. Prepare and implement an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$175,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/02/2017	02/28/2018	\$1,177,302.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	30.50

Authority: Guadalupe Blanco RA			
PIF #:	11971	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Port Lavaca Water Treatment Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Added chlorination point and mixing additions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/17/2018	\$43,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	11/17/2018	\$199,330.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	53.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	53.10

Authority: Guadalupe Blanco RA			
PIF #:	11972	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Western Canyon Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Aeration and granulated activated carbon (GAC) DPB control.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,556,685.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	01/31/2018	\$10,377,900.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	22.10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	22.10

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11973	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Front Street Waterline Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of an 8" waterline along 7,500 ft on Front Street.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$68,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/03/2016	10/03/2017	\$714,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11974	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Master Water Plan Line Installation			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install three drinking water lines to service communities and school with current low flow.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$606,720.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	01/01/2018	\$4,044,802.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11975	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Live Oak Waterline Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replace approximately 2,700 linear feet of aging waterlines made of substandard materials along Live Oak Street.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$19,233.00
Acquisition:			\$250,000.00
Design:			\$144,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2016	12/01/2017	\$552,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11976	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Quality Improvements Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: City of La Feria water treatment process improvements for water quality, electrical power efficiencies, and improved circulation.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$9,720.15
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/31/2016	\$364,505.70
Est. Construction:	01/30/2017	12/30/2019	\$2,772,935.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$503,150.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	57.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	68.90

Authority: Mason			
PIF #:	11977	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Radionuclide Treatment Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: City of Mason water supplies have radium levels above MCL and require treatment. This project will provide needed improvements to remove radium from groundwater supply.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$55,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$730,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	76.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		78.00

Authority: McAllen			
PIF #:	11978	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: McAllen Southwest Water Treatment Plant Clarifier and Filter Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of McAllen's South Water Treatment Plant utilizes two (2) treatment trains. The north Train is rated at 37 MGD. The south train is rated at 8 MGD. This project would expand capacity at the south treatment train by an additional 4 MGD. The project also includes the construction of an 18" - 24" Raw Water Supply Line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/01/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/30/2017	06/30/2017	\$6,800,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.40
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	5.50
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.90

Authority: Mertzon			
PIF #:	11979	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Mertzon 2017 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In the midst of the current historic ongoing drought, the City's water supply is rapidly running out of time. The City now only has five (5) functional groundwater wells (of the original eight), caused by continual pumping during the ongoing drought. The City has observed a steady decrease in production from its wells over the past several years, to the point that three of the original eight wells are essentially "dry" at this time. In order to support current water supply needs, the City of Mertzon is pursuing implementation of two major project components, including construction of a new supply well and a treatment system to address the City's groundwater quality issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$216,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/31/2017	\$94,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/02/2018	03/29/2019	\$2,054,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,364,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Raywood WSC			
PIF #:	11980	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Proposed Automatic Read Meters			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing water meters with new automatic read meters.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$24,100.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$26,500.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$185,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$236,100.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	2.50

Authority: Rhome			
PIF #:	11981	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project will focus on improving the water treatment system for the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$155,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$48,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2017	08/01/2017	\$647,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	83.40
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		83.40

Authority: Rogers			
PIF #:	11982	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project will include replacement of existing water lines and installation of a new well, storage and pumping facilities.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$147,000.00
Acquisition:			\$35,000.00
Design:			\$492,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	12/13/2017	\$5,157,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$30,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	43.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	56.30

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11983	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Zarzamora and LaRosa Pump Station Upgrade			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Zarzamora and LaRosa Pump Station Upgrade.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2017	12/31/2018	\$7,105,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Sansom Park			
PIF #:	11984	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sansom Park Water Infrastructure Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: New tanks, pumps, wells, buildings, distribution, treatment			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$925,866.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2016	04/01/2019	\$5,786,560.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	46.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	7.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		64.00

Authority: Santa Rosa			
PIF #:	11985	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace water meters city wide.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$72,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	07/01/2017	\$250,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		16.00

Authority: Valley Mills			
PIF #:	11986	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Valley Mills 2017 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In order to restore the aging infrastructure to its proper function, the City is requesting funding to help address the aging and inefficient distribution system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$423,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$378,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/02/2018	03/29/2019	\$2,876,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$3,677,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: West Wise SUD			
PIF #:	11987	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: West Wise 2017 Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Given the condition of the District's existing WTP and ongoing challenges in meeting DBP-2 requirements, it is anticipated that the primary focus of this project will be to implement construction of a new WTP, which will need to be capable of meeting and exceeding treatment requirements under current LT-2 and DBP-2 criteria while also being capable of meeting anticipated further tightening of these requirements in the future.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$592,000.00
Acquisition:			\$728,000.00
Design:			\$605,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/02/2018	09/27/2019	\$13,942,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$15,139,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	144.20
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		146.70

Authority: Woodloch			
PIF #:	11988	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Plant Improvements and Fire Hydrant Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Improvements to the Woodloch water plant including but not limited to the demolition of existing ground storage tank, installation of new booster pumps, yard piping, recoating, and controls. Also, the replacement of three old fire hydrants and installation of seven new fire hydrants.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$22,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$138,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		12.00

Authority: Woodloch			
PIF #:	11989	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Hydrotank No. 2 Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of the old hydropneumatic tank No. 2.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$22,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	01/01/2018	\$138,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	12.00

Authority: Woodloch			
PIF #:	11990	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Hydrotank No. 1 Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of the old hydropneumatic tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$45,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	01/01/2018	\$115,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	12.00

Authority: Agua SUD			
PIF #:	11991	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Agua SUD Capital Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes a 4.5 mgd conventional water treatment plant with a water reservoir, upgrade of distribution lines, 1.5 mgd elevated storage tank and upgrade to the irrigation district water pumping system from 1.5 mgf to 6 mgd.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$750,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2017	\$2,000,000.00
Design:			\$1,400,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2017	06/01/2019	\$36,000,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	58.10
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		70.10

Authority: Aurora			
PIF #:	11992	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Groundwater Well & Elevated Storage Tank			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In order for the City of Aurora to have their own independent water system, they propose to drill a new 80 GPM well in the Trinity Aquifer, construct a 50,000 gallon elevated storage tank, 12-in. raw water line, treatment unit, 12-in. transmission line and telemetry. The City also plans to develop an asset management plan for this new groundwater system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$880,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.50

Authority: Axtell WSC			
PIF #:	11993	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Axtell WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner o Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Axtell WSC's average day demands; Axtells WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$120,000.00
Design:			\$190,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$3,055,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$69,200.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	48.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		54.00

Authority: Ballinger			
PIF #:	11994	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new raw water supply line from Lake Fort Phantom to the City of Ballinger WTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$190,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2017	\$750,000.00
Design:			\$2,410,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2017	08/01/2018	\$26,650,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	44.80
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	Yes	80.80
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	137.60

Authority: Birome WSC			
PIF #:	11995	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Birome WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Birome WSC's average day demands; Birome WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$60,000.00
Design:			\$50,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$1,590,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,780,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	44.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: Buena Vista WS			
PIF #:	11996	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR System & Interconnect			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Corix proposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also proposed constructing a 10-inch pipeline to interconnect the system to the Corix Lake Buchanan Water System to address Buena Vista Water System's numerous TCEQ violations. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this water system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$200,000.00
Design:			\$70,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	01/31/2017	\$500,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$50,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	47.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		53.30

Authority: Clarksville			
PIF #:	11997	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply Planning			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: To address the future loss of water supply, Clarksville will study supply options consisting of a new reservoir, connection to adjacent systems and drilling additional wells. Clarksville also has excessive loss rates and requires a water loss study.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$125,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$50,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	15.30

Authority: Coke County WSC			
PIF #:	11998	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Supply Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Develop new well field for water supply. Install supply line from new well field to existing system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$165,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2017	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$335,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2018	02/01/2019	\$2,950,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	101.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	104.40

Authority: Comanche County WSC			
PIF #:	11999	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR Meter System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Installation of an AMR metering system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	08/01/2017	\$255,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$325,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40.50
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.50

Authority: Commodore Cove ID			
PIF #:	12000	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Reverse Osmosis Treatment			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: A reverse osmosis system will allow CCID to lower the TTHMs levels to comply with TCEQ standards. This will also lower the TDS levels, which CCID is borderline on at this time.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$135,000.00
Design:			\$20,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	11/01/2016	\$35,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	75.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	78.40

Authority: Dallas			
PIF #:	12001	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution System Improvements (5 Year)			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: DWU's water main replacement program for rehabilitation or replacement of approximately 40 miles of small diameter water mains annually. The goal has been established in an effort to reduce main breaks throughout the system; thereby reducing maintenance costs, water losses and impacts to the public.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$20,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2023	\$200,000,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Dario V. Guerra, III, dba Derby Ing.			
PIF #:	12002	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Derby Water Well			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new well at a suitable location to replace the existing water well and also to build redundancy in the system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$22,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$10,000.00
Design:			\$18,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$150,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	20.00

Authority: Domino			
PIF #:	12003	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Distribution Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: To address the system deficiencies, the water tower will be repaired/painted and the southern loop to the water system will be added. In some areas the city has a new water line on one side of the road and an old line on the other. All houses will be placed on the newer lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$53,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$430,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: EOL WSC			
PIF #:	12004	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve EOL WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet EOL WSC's average day demands; EOL WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$135,000.00
Design:			\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$3,425,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,880,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	58.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		64.00

Authority: Eagle Lake			
PIF #:	12005	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2016 Water Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of installation of new water lines as well as replacing existing undersized lines in order to improve fire flows throughout the City of Eagle Lake. Approximately 10,000 linear feet of 12" PVC water line, 15,000 linear feet of 8" PVC water line, 17,000 linear feet of 6" water line as well as new fire hydrants, valves, fittings and other appurtenances will be included in this project. Also included in the project, are improvements to the City's water production infrastructure, to include replacing an existing motor on an existing water well, recoating the existing elevated storage tank, replacing the existing ventilation system in the chlorination rooms, recoating the existing booster pump station piping, and other miscellaneous improvements to the water production infrastructure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2017	\$45,000.00
Design:			\$284,200.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	01/01/2019	\$4,215,800.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: El Paso PSB			
PIF #:	12006	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Providing a First Time Potable Water System for the Canutillo Colonia			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: El Paso Water Utility proposed to construct a potable water system for the homes of the residents of the "Four Streets" section of the Canutillo Colonia, to bring fresh water that meets health standards. This Canutillo area's current "systems" are all privately owned. Testing has determined that there are cases of contamination of the local well water from area wastewater systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	04/30/2017	\$885,369.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		17.30

Authority: Eldorado			
PIF #:	12007	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR Meter System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing meters with an AMR metering system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$72,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	08/01/2017	\$633,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$775,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	1.00

Authority: Gholson WSC			
PIF #:	12008	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Gholson WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Gholson WSC's average day demands; Gholson WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$180,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$180,000.00
Design:			\$180,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$4,500,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,040,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.50

Authority: H & H WSC			
PIF #:	12009	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve H&H WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet H&H WSC's average day demands; H&H WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$120,000.00
Design:			\$190,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$3,055,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,460,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		6.00

Authority: Harris Co MUD # 167			
PIF #:	12010	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Installation of "smart" water meters to meet the district goals of water efficiency goals. This would include the preparation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	01/01/2020	\$2,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$2,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.50

Authority: Haskell			
PIF #:	12011	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: AMR Meter Replacement			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing water meters with an automatic meter reading (AMR) system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$71,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	08/01/2017	\$759,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$900,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	53.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		55.50

Authority: Loving WSC			
PIF #:	12012	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pipeline and Storage System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing 2-inch and 1-inch pipelines with PVC piping. Replace one existing ground storage tank with new tank that matched height of remaining tank. Adjust height of 2nd hydrotank to match original tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:		12/30/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$56,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$575,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Leroy-Tours-Gerald WSC			
PIF #:	12013	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve LTG WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet LTG WSC's average day demands; LTG WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$75,000.00
Design:			\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$1,960,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,200,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	58.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		64.00

Authority: Lueders			
PIF #:	12014	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Waterline, Disinfection, And Meter System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes 3,000 l.f. of waterline to serve five new customers, a new disinfection and tank mixing system, and an automatic meter reading system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$324,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$80,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	53.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		83.30

Authority: M S WSC			
PIF #:	12015	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve MS WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet MS WSC's average day demands; MS WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$30,000.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$840,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$970,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	34.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		47.50

Authority: Melvin			
PIF #:	12016	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pump Station Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City will replace the pumps, and add necessary valves, meters and other fixtures, as well as the piping assembly in the pump station. The City will also replace distribution main throughout town.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$17,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2017	08/01/2018	\$178,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	92.70
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		102.70

Authority: Mount Calm			
PIF #:	12017	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Mount Calm, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Mount Calm's average day demands; Mount Calm's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$30,000.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$840,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$19,400.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		26.00

Authority: Prairie Hill WSC			
PIF #:	12018	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Prairie Hill WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Prairie Hill WSC's average day demands; Prairie Hill WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$85,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$105,000.00
Design:			\$165,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$2,685,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,040,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	64.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		72.50

Authority: Pure WSC			
PIF #:	12019	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Pure WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Pure WSC's average day demands; Pure WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$30,000.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$840,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$970,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		6.00

Authority: R M S WSC			
PIF #:	12020	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve RMS WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet RMS WSC's average day demands; RMS WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$85,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$105,000.00
Design:			\$165,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$2,685,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,040,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	24.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: River Oaks			
PIF #:	12021	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: River Oaks Water Infrastructure Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The majority of the city's water lines were installed in the 1940's and the 1950's that consisted mostly of cast iron, galvanized iron and asbestos cement. The lines are old and deteriorating that causes leaks and poor water quality due to the rust and corrosion associated with cast iron and galvanized piping. The City's water plan was upgraded back in 1993 and is in need of emergency water plan project to rebuild the Clarifier.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/15/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/15/2017	05/15/2018	\$7,641,853.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$7,641,853.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		17.00

Authority: Ross WSC			
PIF #:	12022	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Ross WSC, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Ross WSC's average day demands; Ross WSC's existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$160,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$165,000.00
Design:			\$180,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$4,130,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$4,635,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		3.50

Authority: San Benito			
PIF #:	12023	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment and Distribution System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Water Treatment Plant No. 1 Rehabilitation - New Pumps, Piping, Filter Media, Controls, Chemical Feed Systems, Ray Water Intakes and Lab Building. Water Treatment Plan No. 2 Retrofit - New Pretreatment Facilities, Membrane Filtration & Treatment Systems, Yard Piping and Related Sitework & Electrical improvements. New Generator for WTP No. 2.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		10/31/2017	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$1,125,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2018	12/10/2019	\$10,028,380.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	60.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		70.30

Authority: Toyah			
PIF #:	12024	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Toyah Ground Water Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Convert from groundwater to well water. Urgent Need and small systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2016	08/01/2016	\$165,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	32.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	52.30

Authority: Waco			
PIF #:	12025	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Waco AMI and Leak Detection			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project will implement advanced metering infrastructure and acoustic leak detection to the retail and wholesale customers of the City of Waco			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	09/30/2017	\$15,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC
Green Amount: \$15,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
	Rating:	13.50

Authority: Wharton			
PIF #:	12026	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Well Project			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Wharton is developing a 50 year Water Supply. This includes development of a new well field just across the Colorado River. This project will construct a new municipal water well and storage tank on the City Airport property, and construct the pumping system and pipeline to connect the new water well with the City's existing water supply system. The City will develop an asset management plan to use as a resource in all City water/sewer projects and planning purposes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$170,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2017	\$1,090,000.00
Design:			\$550,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$5,510,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Chandler			
PIF #:	12028	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New and Existing Water Well Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: New Ground Storage, high service pump station, Hydropneumatic tank, and disinfection system to serve new water well. Rehabilitation of Existing Water Well, Ground Storage Tank, and High Service Pump Station at existing well at Sportsman's Paradise.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$60,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	06/30/2018	\$660,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Bulverde Christian School of Bulverde			
PIF #:	12042	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Connection to CCN 10692			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Convert PWS 460201 to a customer of CCN 10692.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	02/01/2017	\$59,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Moores Water System			
PIF #:	12046	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: FHLM Carrizo-Wilcox Regional Groundwater System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: FHLM WSC proposes to construct a regional groundwater system Falls, Hill, Limestone and McLennan Counties to serve Moores Water System, as well as connect 12 other groundwater systems that participated in the TWDB-FHLM Regional Water Study. This regional project involves drilling a well field (2.54 MGD) in the southwest corner of Limestone County in outcrop of Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer; constructing regional transmission lines, booster pump stations and ground storage tanks from the well field along FM-39 and Hwy. 84 to serve these entities. This regional supply would be used to meet Moores Water System average day demands; Moores Water System existing wells that exceed the arsenic MCL would only be used for their water demands greater than average day. FHLM WSC also plans to develop an asset management plan for this regional system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2016	\$30,000.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$840,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$970,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	42.60
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		48.60

Authority: Shallowater			
PIF #:	12054	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install GE Electrodialysis Reverse Osmosis (EDR) System.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$75,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/18/2016	05/01/2017	\$1,725,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	69.30
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	69.30

Authority: Edinburg			
PIF #:	12055	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Raw Water Canal Pump Structure			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expansion of the West WTP from 8.0MGD to 16MGD, an expansion of 8.0MGD, will provide a total treatment capacity of 25.99MGD with a required treatment capacity of 17.64MGD. The production capacity will be at 67.8%. The expansion will also include a 2.0MGD clearwell/ground storage tank.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$118,575.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		06/05/2016	\$99,965.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2016	08/18/2017	\$5,061,425.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.20
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	5.20

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	12056	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Waterline Extensions & Booster Pump Stations			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Extend or enlarge existing waterlines to provide service to additional areas within the city limits and install booster pump stations to improve pressure between water planes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	07/31/2018	\$6,311,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
	Rating:	0.00

Authority: Bluegrove WSC			
PIF #:	12057	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water System Improvements			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Bluegrove WSC will replace its 4" main water line through town, replace antiquated meters, updated aging portions of the system. Bluegrove WSC will also purchase the land for its well field.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:		08/01/2017	\$65,000.00
Design:			\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2016	08/01/2017	\$155,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		2.50

Authority: Whitharral W & SSSC			
PIF #:	12058	Program:	DWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Treatment System			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install treatment system to remove nitrate and fluoride to below drinking water MCLs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$25,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/06/2017	10/02/2017	\$275,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	77.90
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.50
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		80.40

Authority: Nueces Co WCID # 5			
PIF #:	12133	Program:	DWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Line Replacement - South Service Area			
Project Type: Public Water System		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the replacement of aged and undersized PVC and asphaltic concrete pipe (ACP) in the south portion of the District's service area. Broken water lines in the service area cause disruption for customers and results in increased water loss. New PVC distribution lines will be designed and constructed to reduce water loss, improve water pressure and distribution throughout the service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$8,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$13,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$179,000.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0.00
Population Factor	No	0.00
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.00
Consolidation Factor	No	0.00
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor		
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor		
SWP - Affordability Factor		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.50
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.50