

# **State Fiscal Year 2015 Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Project Information Form Rating Report**

---

**To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl+f, and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Midway

**PIF #:** 10390      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Filtration System for Water Quality

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Construct and install filters.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	6/1/2015	\$277,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Rating: 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Fayetteville

**PIF #:** 10393

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** New Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** This project includes installation of a new water well and development & adoption of an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/1/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		2/14/2014	\$11,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2014	10/1/2015	\$357,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Kenedy County

**PIF #:** 10394

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Sarita Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The project includes installation of meters and rehabilitation of an elevated storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,883.48
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	6/1/2015	\$543,710.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10395

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Neighborhood Water Main Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replace aged water distribution lines with new plastic pipe.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	7/1/2018	\$21,932,900.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$21,932,900.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 26.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10396

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Automatic Meter Reading Program

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Install automatic meter reading devices to lower personnel and fuel costs and emissions.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2015	6/30/2018	\$715,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$715,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 6.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Harris Co WCID # 89

**PIF #:** 10397

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Groundwater Storage Tank Replacement and Facility Rehab

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project includes removal and replacement of the existing ground storage tank, rehabilitation of on-site hydropneumatic tanks, modifications and improvements to existing booster pump building, and rehabilitation of yard piping.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/28/2014	\$126,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$1,004,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Tioga

**PIF #:** 10398

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10180

**Project Name:** New Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Drill a new well approximately 1,600 feet deep into the Antlers formation to produce water with iron content below secondary limits, to replace well #2 . Improve energy efficiency with more efficient pump and motor and lower pumping head. Reduce unaccounted water usage by metering public facilities. Preparation of an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$18,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$62,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/15/2015	1/31/2016	\$865,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$762,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 6.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10400

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Meter Replacement & Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replace water meters that have exceeded their useful life.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2015	6/30/2018	\$3,300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,300,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 6.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Groveton

**PIF #:** 10403

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Construct water well and transmission main to supplement the current water supply, which is seasonally inadequate for current demand, specifically during drought conditions.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$120,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2015	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		3/1/2015	\$225,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2016	9/1/2016	\$1,750,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 14.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Diego MUD # 1

**PIF #:** 10410

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace water lines with PVC C-900 pipe.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$117,246.88
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$85,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	6/1/2015	\$808,750.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Etoile WSC

PIF #: 10411

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Well, Storage Tanks, and Booster Station Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: Filter out organics reacting with chlorine to keep disinfection byproducts to a minimum and reduce the amount of water needed to waste (ABOUT 50%-70%).

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$8,000.00
Design:			\$97,680.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2014	7/1/2016	\$2,277,435.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: **2.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Laredo

**PIF #:** 10412                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Line Rehab & Contingency Water Breaks

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** This project will help to reduce the number of water line breaks; decrease the possibility of contamination of the water distribution system; reduce the amount of unaccounted water losses; lowers the amount of water used per capita per day; and decrease the peak and average flows of the water treatment plants.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2014	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$5,465,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC  
Green Amount: \$5,455,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Laredo

PIF #: 10413

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: 24" Waterline Along IH35

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: 24" waterline along IH-35.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2017	1/1/2018	\$3,356,418.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Laredo

PIF #: 10415

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: 24" Water Transmission Line Along US59

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: 24" water transmission line along US-59.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2018	1/1/2019	\$2,958,079.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Laredo

PIF #: 10416

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: 24" Waterline Casa Verde Rd.

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description: 24" waterline west side of Loop 20 (Casa Verde Rd.).

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:		12/1/2014	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$5,070,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Laredo

PIF #: 10417

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: 24" Waterline West of IH35

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description: 24" waterline west side of IH-35.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2016	1/1/2017	\$7,430,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lake Texoma VFW Post 7873

**PIF #:** 10418

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** System Upgrades

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Radium removal from well water.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$22,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/1/2015	\$5,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2015	12/1/2016	\$722,715.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	82
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 82.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Abraxas Corporation

**PIF #:** 10420                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Filtration System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Construction and installation of filters at each well to remove radium.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	6/1/2015	\$260,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	31.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 31.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Ralston Acres WSC

**PIF #:** 10421

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Supply Rebuild

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Update system and move mains from private backyards to the public streets.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$134,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$7,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$169,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2014	12/1/2015	\$1,180,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$925,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Marcos

**PIF #:** 10426

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Distribution System Rehab - Oakridge, North LBJ

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replace existing water mains to eliminate leaks due to system age and condition and increase pipe capacity where needed to increase service pressure.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		11/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/9/2014	11/25/2016	\$3,477,250.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 23.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Union WSC

**PIF #:** 10430

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** La Casita Water Plant Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Replacement and upgrades to existing water main distribution lines to address water and pressure losses. Installation of new main distribution lines and valves to improve water distribution efficiency and reduce water pressures deficiencies. Connection of existing residential and commercial water services to new water main distribution lines. Construction of a 250,000 gallon elevated storage tank. Finally, expansion of the existing water treatment plant from 1.5 MGD to 3.0 MGD.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$503,710.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$10,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$338,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	5/1/2016	\$4,758,405.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$650,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Westphalia WSC

**PIF #:** 10434

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10957

**Project Name:** Pressure Tank and Lower Well Pump Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replace 1,800 gallon tank with a 3,000 gallon tank. The project also includes replacing and lowering the well pump.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$88,146.42

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brady

**PIF #:** 10436

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Heart of Texas Hospital Water Main Extension

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Extend a 12-inch water main with an 8-inch branch main to loop-in water distribution system to the hospital for improving water capacity and pressure requirements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/31/2015	\$6,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$67,350.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/31/2015	7/1/2015	\$731,250.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Blanket \_\_\_\_\_

PIF #: 10438 \_\_\_\_\_

Program: DWSRF \_\_\_\_\_

PPL Year: 2015 \_\_\_\_\_

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Wells, SCADA, Water Lines, Meter Replacement \_\_\_\_\_

Project Type Public Water System \_\_\_\_\_

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction \_\_\_\_\_

Project Description: Drill two new water wells; replace 2,700 LF of old, leaky water lines and old meters; and construct 1,300 LF of water lines to loop dead ends.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$115,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2016	11/1/2016	\$640,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$320,600.00 \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rosebud

**PIF #:** 10439

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The City proposes to replace broken and/or malfunctioning water meters within their CCN with meters to prevent the water loss and to ensure the safety and well being of its customers. The City intends to prepare their Asset Management plan with assistance from TCEQ's FMT contractor.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2015	7/31/2015	\$421,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$476,600.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** **10.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Malone

PIF #: 10440 Program: DWSRF PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Meter Replacement

Project Type Public Water System Project\_Phase: Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The City proposes to replace broken and/or malfunctioning water meters within their CCN with meters to prevent the water loss and to ensure the safety and well being of its customers. This will also result in water efficiency. The City intends to prepare their Asset Management plan with assistance from TCEQ's FMT contractor.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:	2/1/2015	7/31/2015	\$149,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC  
Green Amount: \$179,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Cotulla

PIF #: 10442

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Install two new wells to supplement water supply and place elevated storage in strategic locations to reinforce pressure delivery. Project also includes water meter replacements, improved grid connectivity and reliability, and miscellaneous transmission loops. An asset management plan will be done sequentially with a hydraulic model already in progress.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,064,700.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/30/2015	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$984,282.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/30/2015	12/31/2017	\$11,961,708.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$991,650.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: **23.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Cranfills Gap

**PIF #:** 10443

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace broken and/or malfunctioning water meters within the CCN to prevent water loss and to ensure the safety and well being of customers. This will also result in water efficiency. The City intends to prepare their Asset Management plan with assistance from TCEQ's Financial, Managerial, & Technical contractor.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2015	7/31/2015	\$134,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$164,600.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Central Texas WSC

**PIF #:** 10444

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Supply, Storage and Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Install 49,500 L.F. of water line following Hwy. 95 from Granger to existing line. Install 86,000 L.F. of water line following F.M. Hwy 2095 and Hwy 190 from a new water well near Hanover west to an existing water line. Install pump station and 200,000 gallon ground storage tank at well site, 200,000 gallon ground storage tank at existing water line site in Pettibone. Install pump station at existing site in Pettibone to pump water to Buckholts and Rogers. Recondition existing water well in Buckholts, provide R.O treatment plant and pump station to pump water to Rogers. Install 85,000 L.F. water line from Cameron, extending along Hwy 77 north to existing water line in Rosebud. Water well in Trinity Aquifer and water line to connect to existing. Another water well in Trinity Aquifer to Doc L. Curb water treatment plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$2,402,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/30/2015	\$750,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$2,485,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/15/2015	7/15/2016	\$19,188,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Cottonwood Shores

**PIF #:** 10445      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Plant Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Upgrade existing 0.5 MGD water treatment plant to 1.0 MGD. Add high service pumps and upgrade raw water pumps and automatic controls at Quarry Site. The City will complete an asset management plan as part of the proposed project.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$385,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$330,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/31/2015	11/15/2015	\$3,101,500.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$75,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Troy

**PIF #:** 10453      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Well Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Construct new water supply municipal well system. The project will also include the construction of the associated ground storage tanks, water pump station and water main installation as required to connect to the existing distribution system. This project also includes the preparation of an Asset Management Plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$155,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$1,625,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC  
Green Amount: \$250,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Morgan's Point Resort

**PIF #:** 10454

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water Well Facility

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Construct new water supply municipal well field system. The project will also include the construction of the associated ground storage tanks, water pump station, disinfection/treatment and water main installation as required to connect to the existing distribution system. This project will also include the preparation of an Asset Management Plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/15/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$120,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2015	9/1/2016	\$1,445,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$200,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** George West

**PIF #:** 10455

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace undersized water lines to meet TCEQ regulations on the maximum number of connections allowed. Project also includes upgrades to the water treatment plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$150,712.50
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$115,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	6/1/2015	\$1,130,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Springtown

**PIF #:** 10456

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant and System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Project includes the following: relocate the backwash recycle point ahead of the pretreatment bypass connection and polymer injection; optimize the Trident Filtration System; install isolation valves on the recycle pump station on the influent lines at the backwash ponds; install a decant weir and pump station at the sludge holding pond; install a sludge dewatering device to remove settled solids; install a solids transfer pump station; miscellaneous improvements to the chlorination system; and miscellaneous yard piping associated with the new sludge dewater system, transfer pumps, and chemical feed system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$130,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$222,560.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/31/2015	5/31/2016	\$1,835,991.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Moore WSC

**PIF #:** 10457

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water Distribution Improvements Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The Moore WSC proposes to conduct a leak detection study to identify any major leaks, an elevated storage tank to provide proper pressures to all residents, automatic meter readers, and replacement of small lines in order to provide additional services.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$370,545.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$182,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2015	4/30/2016	\$1,570,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$160,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rio Grande City

**PIF #:** 10458

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water Storage Rehab & Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Construct a new 1.5 MG elevated storage tank to provide capacity and improve area distribution pressures. Rehab the two existing storage tanks as to provide the needed maintenance and bring the tanks into compliance with TCEQ.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$228,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/30/2014	\$22,500.00
<b>Design:</b>		11/15/2014	\$137,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/15/2015	6/15/2016	\$3,112,500.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Daingerfield

**PIF #:** 10461

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Electronic Meters and Processing System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace current meters with radio read meters and install electronic computer programming to process in-house.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,601.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$49,068.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$776,434.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$731,150.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Juan

**PIF #:** 10462

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Rehabilitate and upgrade existing plant to current standards.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$310,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$3,100,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Eastland

**PIF #:** 10463

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will include the installation of new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss. Refer to Section II for detailed project description.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$86,250.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/1/2015	\$126,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2015	9/1/2016	\$770,150.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$918,900.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 21.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Donna \_\_\_\_\_

**PIF #:** 10465                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Expansion & Rehab

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Increase treatment capacity to 6.0 MGD and upgrade/rehabilitate existing treatment structures.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2015	\$350,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2016	7/1/2017	\$4,225,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	46
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 56.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** West Tawakoni

**PIF #:** 10466

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Groundwater Storage Tank, Pumps & Water Intake

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Rehab existing ground storage tank and North elevated storage tank to correct identified deficiencies. The project also includes increasing high service pump capacity to supply 20-year projected capacities; construct a new ground storage tank, and extend the water intake structure deeper into Lake Tawakoni.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$117,850.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$117,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/31/2015	9/30/2016	\$1,339,725.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Paducah

PIF #: 10469

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The proposed project will include the installation of new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$124,200.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		5/1/2015	\$213,900.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2015	9/1/2016	\$1,970,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,309,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: 21.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Roma

**PIF #:** 10470

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Regional Water Treatment Plant and Transmission Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Construct a new regional treatment facility and regional transmission system. Designed to be expanded to support multiple utilities in and around Starr County.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,363,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/30/2015	\$500,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/31/2015	\$2,126,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2016	2/28/2019	\$46,588,500.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.2
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	2.5
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 19.70

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Eules

**PIF #:** 10472                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Bear Creek Reclaimed Water System - Phase 2

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** The project will extend the existing City of Eules Reclaimed Water System, which currently serves a golf course and athletic fields. An expansion of the reclaimed water system will serve apartment complexes and developments along Bear Creek Parkway. Phase 1 of the expansion is currently under construction. This project would fund Phase 2 of the expansion.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$29,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/31/2015	\$379,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/31/2015	\$288,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2015	4/1/2016	\$2,502,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE  
Green Amount: \$2,502,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **4.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Electra

**PIF #:** 10474      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Due to the prolonged drought and diminishing supplies throughout the state as well as the Cities of Iowa Park and Wichita Falls, Electra is proposing to rehabilitate their abandoned well field as well as rehabilitate their existing filtration water treatment plant equipment. A transmission line to transport this water to their purchased water storage tank for blending is also proposed.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/1/2016	\$150,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$280,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2016	7/1/2017	\$1,890,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 22.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Smyer

**PIF #:** 10476                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Project includes installing a fluoride water treatment system, a new water well, a water line from the new well, and re-coating the ground storage tank. Locating and installing a new water well with associated disinfection system and transmission line. Preparing the 100,000 gallon water storage tank and recoating the storage tank. Providing and installing a water treatment system to reduce the Fluoride levels in the water to below the MCL. Provide and install backup power connections to two water wells and the water pump station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$44,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/1/2014	\$11,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$45,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/8/2015	1/1/2016	\$429,000.00

Green Project: Unknown  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	48.9
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 64.40

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Gustine

PIF #: 10477

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Storage Tank Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Replace ground storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$33,600.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	6/1/2015	\$178,400.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: **10.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Eden

PIF #: 10479

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Construction of a desalination system to be installed at the City's new WTP. Replacement of multiple water lines throughout the City to reduce water losses. Complete required upgrades to the City's existing groundwater wells.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$385,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		6/30/2015	\$299,000.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2016	12/31/2017	\$6,047,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,865,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 36.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Elkhart

PIF #: 10481

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: Install a new water well and pump to help alleviate insufficient water supply and low pressure. The project will also include plugging an abandoned/non-functioning water well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$44,000.00
Design:		3/1/2015	\$611,800.00
Est. Construction:			\$2,963,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** La Salle Landing WSC

**PIF #:** 10483

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Arsenic Treatment and Distribution Rehab

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Install Oxidation filter to concurrently remove iron and arsenic, install new main water line, install customer meters, install new service lines, install ground storage to allow backwash of filter, and create an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$69,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		3/7/2013	\$1,800.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	6/30/2015	\$370,800.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	29
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 42.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Stamford

**PIF #:** 10486

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will include the installation of new finished water and raw water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss. The project will also include replacement of the existing raw water pumps.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$523,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/31/2015	\$577,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2015	2/28/2017	\$11,711,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$12,812,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 13.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Bastrop

PIF #: 10487

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Automated Meters

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Advanced metering infrastructure project.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$1,043,229.85

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,043,229.85

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Webb County

**PIF #:** 10488

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Rehabilitation of the water treatment plant and water distribution system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$712,825.50
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$572,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	12/31/2015	\$7,250,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 22.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rotan

**PIF #:** 10489

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Install 14 miles of new 12-inch PVC water line to replace existing and ground storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$455,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$3,725,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,840,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 32.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Harris Co MUD # 50

**PIF #:** 10491      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:**

<b>Project Description:</b>	This project proposes to complete a detailed inspection of the Crosby-Lynchburg water plant as well as design and construct improvements to the Crosby-Lynchburg water plant, the St. Charles water plant, and increase the distribution system line size in two locations.
-----------------------------	---

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$433,032.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/30/2015	\$3,051,001.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Harris Co MUD # 50

**PIF #:** 10493                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Surface Water Conversion

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:**

Design and construct a treated surface water line from Baytown Area Water Authority to the District, and related system improvements.
---

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,101,190.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2017	7/1/2020	\$7,369,503.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:**

<b>10.00</b>
--------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Dublin

**PIF #:** 10495

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** The City of Dublin has identified 6 locations where there are leaking, uncased water lines crossing under the railroad tracks. This project includes replacing these 6 leaking water lines by boring under the railroad. The project also includes replacing other old, leaking water lines in the distribution system. The City's existing elevated storage tank is in need of repair, so it is proposed to rehabilitate the existing elevated tank. It is also proposed to construct a new 250,000 gallon elevated storage tank to provide additional elevated storage. To supplement the City's water supply, it is proposed to drill a new secondary supply well. It is also proposed to construct a pressure tank at an existing water well. Also, it is proposed to make improvements to the City's disinfection system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/2016	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$445,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2016	6/1/2017	\$4,870,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,626,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	11.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 31.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** El Sauz WSC

**PIF #:** 10498

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** San Isidro Water Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will provide first time water service to (3) Colonias with no existing water service. Approximately 400 families will be provided with first time water service and an additional 500 existing customers will also benefit from the proposed improvements. Improvements consist of the construction of two deep wells, one 150,000 gallon elevated storage tank, approximately 275,000 L.F. of 8" & 6" diameter PVC water mains and the adoption of an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$200,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		11/1/2014	\$722,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/30/2015	11/15/2015	\$7,986,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 15.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** El Sauz WSC

**PIF #:** 10499

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Automated Meter Reading Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with automatic meter-reading technology, cutting many costs for the Corporation. With the new meters the Corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the Corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$29,472.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/30/2015	11/15/2015	\$319,278.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** **10.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Carbon

**PIF #:** 10500

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Pump Station Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Pump station improvements to increase the storage and pumping capacities to meet compliance.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$45,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$29,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	7/1/2015	\$351,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$425,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Agua SUD

PIF #: 10502

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Sullivan City Water Infrastructure Upgrades

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Installation of 6" and 8" lines by regular trenching and excavation method or pipe bursting technique, whichever is deemed feasible based on the existing water lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:		5/1/2015	\$0.00
Design:		5/1/2015	\$140,000.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2015	2/1/2016	\$870,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: **10.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brookesmith SUD

**PIF #:** 10504

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace old water lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$151,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$2,300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,531,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Millersview-Doole WSC

**PIF #:** 10505

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Well Water Blending Facilities

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Treating well water at the source and blending with surface water.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/15/2014	\$40,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/15/2014	4/15/2015	\$478,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	413
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	Yes	182
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 607.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Llano

PIF #: 10507

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Valley Spring Water System

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** The proposed project would provide an alternative source of groundwater from the Hickory aquifer (near the town of Valley Springs) to supplement the City's water needs, particularly during drought conditions when flow in the Llano River becomes drastically reduced.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,529,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/30/2014	\$272,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,223,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2014	6/1/2016	\$7,844,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: **10.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Olmito WSC

**PIF #:** 10509

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Elevated Storage Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Construct new 300,000 elevated storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/2015	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$175,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/15/2015	1/1/2016	\$1,860,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lyford

**PIF #:** 10511

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10363

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Source Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Installation of two ground water wells at the water treatment plant for a new water supply source, with construction of a 1.0 MGD reverse osmosis RO membrane treatment facility to treat the brackish ground water.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$270,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$350,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	5/31/2015	\$3,460,000.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	6.5
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 16.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** D Bar B Water & WW SC

**PIF #:** 10512

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Groundwater Well Treatment/Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Evaluate alternatives and implement solution that may include either additional filtration at existing source, a new well to PWS standards, or possible interconnection.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$185,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	130
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 145.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Cameron

**PIF #:** 10515

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Treatment Plant & Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Treatment plant and distribution system improvements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$45,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$65,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/14/2015	4/15/2016	\$1,719,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$940,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	97.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 132.80

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Harris Co FWSD # 47

**PIF #:** 10516

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Waterline Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace old waterline with Class 150 C-900 PVC utilizing the most cost efficient construction method considering open-trench replacement and horizontal directional drilling. Installing a automatic water metering system will also help the District identify leaks more readily, increasing water efficiency.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$222,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$637,130.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2016	1/1/2017	\$4,721,640.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,581,670.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 6.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** La Villa

**PIF #:** 10517

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will include pump replacement and upgrades. A new elevated tank is included in the project.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$224,691.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/31/2014	\$26,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$400,254.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2015	12/20/2015	\$4,187,324.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$312,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Royalwood MUD

**PIF #:** 10518

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace old ground storage tanks with new tanks (same capacity). Upgrade motor control centers at both water plants to ensure pumps continue to operate without interruption for lack of compatible parts. Upgrade control build-ins to prevent degradation of new controls. Replace old chlorinator and chlorine buildings. Install generators at both water plants to ensure continuous operation under power failure without having to open emergency interconnect. Upgrade access to site for emergencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$151,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$174,780.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2015	3/1/2016	\$1,136,070.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$375,695.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Evant

**PIF #:** 10519

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Waterline Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** In order to address TCEQ Agreed Order and meet minimum TCEQ standards, the city must replace antiquated and leaking water distribution pipeline to eliminate severe water loss and lack of pressure. The City will install 560 LF of 6-inch and 1575 LF of 8-inch water pipeline.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$46,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2014	3/1/2015	\$154,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$200,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 5.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** El Paso PSB

**PIF #:** 10521

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed expansion will increase treatment capacity from 60 to 80 mgd allowing El Paso Water Utilities to divert and treat additional surface water from the Rio Grande Project when available (typically during the irrigation season). Optimizing their existing water rights increases the the utility's diversified water supply portfolio through expanded conjunctive management of various water supply sources.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/2016	\$817,657.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$12,757,298.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2014	3/1/2017	\$85,048,654.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$16,913,038.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **2.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Falcon Rural WSC

**PIF #:** 10523

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Install new water lines to eliminate leaks and reduce water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$220,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/31/2015	\$102,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2015	8/31/2016	\$1,718,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,040,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 35.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** El Paso PSB

**PIF #:** 10525

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10532

**Project Name:** Hueco Ranch Project - Land Acquisition

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Acquisition

**Project Description:** The project is a groundwater importation and source water protection project for EPWU. The project includes planning, land acquisition, design, and construction of production, treatment, and transmission facilities to pump at least 20,000 acre-feet of groundwater per year from the 47,000 acre Hueco Ranch. Water from the area will be delivered into an existing EPWU transmission pipeline on the east side of El Paso. Hueco Ranch is located approximately 20 miles east of El Paso. Source water protection will be applied to the entire ranch which provides runoff and recharge to the groundwater.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$700,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2015	\$94,000,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	9/30/2016	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Quitman

PIF #: 10527

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Treatment Plant & Distribution System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: Replace existing treatment equipment and install additional treated water line from the water treatment plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$460,605.00
Acquisition:		9/14/2015	\$272,025.00
Design:			\$866,651.00
Est. Construction:	10/14/2015	6/5/2017	\$9,222,457.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: **0.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** El Paso PSB

**PIF #:** 10532

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10525

**Project Name:** Hueco Ranch Source Water Protection

**Project Type** Source Water Protection

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Acquisition

**Project Description:** The project is a groundwater importation and source water protection project for EPWU. The project includes planning, land acquisition, design, and construction of production, treatment, and transmission facilities to pump at least 20,000 acre-feet of groundwater per year from the 47,000 acre Hueco Ranch. Water from the area will be delivered into an existing EPWU transmission pipeline on the east side of El Paso. Hueco Ranch is located approximately 20 miles east of El Paso. Source water protection will be applied to the entire ranch which provides runoff and recharge to the groundwater.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$700,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2015	\$94,000,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	9/30/2016	\$0.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	Yes	60
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	Yes	40
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 102.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Covington

**PIF #:** 10535

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Groundwater Storage Tank Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Install new 50,000 gallon ground storage tank with yard piping and controls. The project will resolve a TCEQ Notice of Violation by reducing significant water loss and providing adequate pressure. The project will increase water pressure to over 35 PSI.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2014	2/1/2015	\$165,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10536

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Bonanza Beach Water System Groundwater Treatment

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA proposes to install a treatment system to remove the radionuclides from the groundwater source.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$37,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/1/2014	\$50,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$280,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	46
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 48.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10537      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** 34th St. Pump Station Improvements Project

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** This project includes the replacement of electrical switchgear, replace the chlorine gas system with on-site sodium hypochlorite generation system, upgrade the fluoridation equipment, and replace valves and yard piping.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2014	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/15/2015	12/31/2016	\$12,410,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **0.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Weslaco

**PIF #:** 10538

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Plant & Offsite Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Project includes: adding 10 MGD plant capacity; rehabilitating failing plant components; adding raw water and high service pumps; adding a transmission main from the plant; and adding a clearwell and elevated storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$642,824.73
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$5,635,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2012	8/24/2015	\$41,540,534.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	17.5
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 40.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brushy Creek MUD

**PIF #:** 10539

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Brushy Bend Park Water System Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Complete replacement of the existing interior system. Replacement of the branch transmission line that connects Brushy Bend Park to the source of treated water. Includes construction of a new transmission main for service reliability and creation of an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/1/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/12/2014	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/11/2014	6/11/2015	\$2,400,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,400,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10540

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Spicewood Beach Water System - AMR System & Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future owner) proposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR), as well as replacing various sections of the distribution pipe to reduce water loss. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2015	7/31/2015	\$272,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$235,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10541

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Tow Village Water System - AMR System & Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future owner) propose an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR), as well as replacing various sections of the distribution pipe to reduce water loss. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2015	7/31/2015	\$161,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$138,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10542

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Lometa Regional Water System - AMR System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future owner) proposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$330,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$300,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 4.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10543

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Lake Buchanan Water System - AMR System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future owner) propose an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$225,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$195,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 6.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10544

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Paradise Point Water System - AMR System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future buyer) purposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$60,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$50,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 6.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10545

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Smithwick Mills Water System - AMR System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future owner) proposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$28,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$24,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 6.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10546

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Ridge Harbor Water System - WTP Improvements & AMR System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future owner) proposes to partially decommission the existing water treatment facilities and construct additional treatment facilities to increase capacity from 0.19 MGD to 0.23 MGD. Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$23,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2014	\$75,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2015	8/31/2015	\$540,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$60,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10548

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Sandy Harbor Water System - AMR System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (future owner) proposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$50,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$40,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Strawn

**PIF #:** 10549

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10166

**Project Name:** Emergency New Supply Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Emergency project to abandon the old existing WTP and connect to the City of Ranger's water supply.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		4/1/2014	\$28,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$77,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$1,384,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,580,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Marcos

**PIF #:** 10552

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10383

**Project Name:** Water Reuse System Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Expand the City's reclaimed water system to provide irrigation in City parks, as well as provide chill plant make-up water and irrigation of athletic fields at Texas State University. The project will reduce withdrawals from the Edwards aquifer and the San Marcos River by replacing potable water used for the same purposes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$288,176.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$2,840,954.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2014	8/1/2017	\$18,939,698.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$22,068,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 29.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10553

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10214

**Project Name:** Emergency Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Replacement and upgrades to existing water main to address water and pressure losses and to improve water distribution efficiency. Install a new 250,000 gallons elevated storage tank, and connect existing residential and commercial water services to new water main distribution lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$63,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$383,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	10/31/2014	\$3,457,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,886,800.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 5.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10554

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10255

**Project Name:** Emergency FM1425 12" Water Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Emergency project to provide water through new distribution lines to the towns of San Perlita, La Sara, Port Mansfield and the areas surrounding Raymondville which currently have pressure deficiencies. This will also alleviate water pressure issues currently experienced by these systems.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$32,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$78,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$84,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	12/31/2014	\$598,544.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Anahuac

**PIF #:** 10555

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9916

**Project Name:** WTP Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Rehabilitate the surface water treatment plant, construct a raw water holding pond, and replace cast iron water lines. The treatment plant is in poor condition and has been out of service since 2010; water lines were constructed in the late 1940s and 1950s. The City received a notice of enforcement in 2012 from TCEQ for trihalomethane violations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	12/1/2015	\$2,700,741.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	79.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 79.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Liberty

**PIF #:** 10560                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** North Groundwater Well Site Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Well field rehabilitation including possible replacement of well, distribution pumps, and ground storage tank. The only two functioning wells are overworked and showing signs of loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$74,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/1/2014	\$84,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$1,288,400.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **0.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Liberty

**PIF #:** 10561                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Elevated Storage Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:**

Construct a 150,000 gallon elevated storage tank to remedy low water pressure in the Northeast service area.
--

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$92,300.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		11/1/2014	\$70,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		7/1/2014	\$68,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	9/1/2015	\$1,045,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:**

<b>0.00</b>
-------------

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Liberty

**PIF #:** 10562                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Trinity Water Plant Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Construct new well, ground storage tank, and pumps to supplement existing malfunctioning well that produces low quality water.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$128,900.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2014	\$149,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2015	2/1/2016	\$2,067,100.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **0.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10564

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Sellers Estates - Install Pressure Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Install pressure tank to comply with TCEQ pressure and capacity rules.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$51,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10567

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10256

**Project Name:** Emergency La Feria Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Construction of a deep water well that can supply up to 1 million gallons per day is needed to supplement our dwindling supply of water due to growth and drought conditions.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$44,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$255,841.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$93,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	10/31/2014	\$927,734.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 7.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** North Alamo WSC

**PIF #:** 10568

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10257

**Project Name:** Emergency Water Tower

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Construction of a new 1 million gallon elevated storage tank is needed to meet TCEQ capacity requirements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$78,260.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$155,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$237,300.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	12/31/2014	\$2,588,800.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 5.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Grand Saline

**PIF #:** 10569

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** AMR Water Meter Installation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** This project will reduce water loss by replacing old, malfunctioning water meters with new automatic meter reading system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$50,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$385,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$470,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Orangefield WSC

**PIF #:** 10571

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Victory Gardens

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** The project would provide critical first time water service to approximately 500 low to moderate income families living within the area. This project also includes the preparation of an asset management plan. This project will alleviate the hazards faced by poorly designed water wells & septic tanks.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$50,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,140,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$4,740,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10574

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10284, 10302

**Project Name:** Emergency New Water Delivery Source

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Emergency funds requested to establish another delivery source from the Rio Grande River. The Cameron County Irrigation District #6 has an existing canal/resaca that is approximately 1/2 mile west of the ERHWSC's largest WTP. Project will include a raw water pump station and a 30-inch transmission line to the existing plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$87,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$8,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$61,040.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,749,705.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10575

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10287, 10303

**Project Name:** Emergency - Water Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Emergency funding to increase the flow of water between the east and west portions of the distribution system through installation of a new 16-inch PVC trunkline. ERHWSC is currently pursuing construction of a second well at the North Cameron Regional Water Plant to double current plant capacity. This new distribution trunkline would allow full utilization of that additional capacity.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$29,270.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$70,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$84,674.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	4/1/2015	\$955,344.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Central Bowie County WSC

**PIF #:** 10578

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** RTP - FM 561 Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Create a water line loop along FM 561. The system has difficulty maintaining chlorine residuals because of dead end lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		7/31/2013	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2013	9/1/2013	\$88,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Upper Leon River MWD

**PIF #:** 10580

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10290, 10306

**Project Name:** Emergency Water Supply

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Emergency project to develop a groundwater supply source to augment existing surface water supplies to provide additional raw water to the District in the event that the water level in Lake Proctor drops too low.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$438,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/30/2013	\$1,900,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/31/2014	\$148,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2015	9/30/2016	\$2,692,500.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	48.5
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	85.2
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 143.70

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Linden

**PIF #:** 10581                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Construct a new well with a chlorination system and ground storage, construct a new 100,000 gallon elevated storage tank, construct water lines from Well No. 6 to the elevated storage tanks, update the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system at all well and storage locations, and rehabilitate two elevated and one ground storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$174,636.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2015	11/1/2015	\$87,318.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 22.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Mathis

PIF #: 10593

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Waterline Rehabilitation

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace two-inch water lines with looped eight-inch lines. The system currently exceeds the TCEQ standards for number of connections allowed on the two-inch lines resulting in low pressure for customers.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$133,834.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$1,162,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Mathis

PIF #: 10595

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Treatment Plant Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: System improvements include replacing valves and chemical feed pumps, rehabilitating clarifiers and raw water piping, and filling in lagoons.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$118,500.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$135,845.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$1,529,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: **10.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** North Runnels Co WSC

**PIF #:** 10598

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Transmission & Distribution Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Install pump station, transmission, and distribution lines for purchased water from Bronte to reduce THM levels. Also, provide public water to 200 households around Oak Creek Reservoir.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/30/2014	\$150,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$728,219.97
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	\$5,091,780.03

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	63
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	13.8
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 76.80

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** White River MWD

**PIF #:** 10600

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9525, 10260

**Project Name:** Regional Water System Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Rehabilitation of 8 existing municipal water supply wells; construction of 10 new water supply wells; well field storage; construct emergency backup well; general plant rehabilitation; distribution system rehabilitation projects; wind turbine construction; and reclaimed water project.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$656,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/21/2021	\$1,175,200.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/21/2021	\$2,106,752.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2013	12/21/2022	\$35,780,166.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$7,300,155.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 13.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lawn

**PIF #:** 10601

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9625, 10261

**Project Name:** New Water Supply

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Abandon WTP and construct new treated water supply; build taller standpipe; replace old and deteriorated water lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/30/2013	\$65,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2013	\$307,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$4,427,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	84.1
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 111.10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** West

**PIF #:** 10602

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10332

**Project Name:** RTP Water Tank Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Project to rehabilitate two existing water storage tanks, one elevated and one ground. If not already in place, this project will institute an asset management program.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$41,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/18/2014	4/18/2015	\$410,000.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Edcouch

PIF #: 10607

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Meter Replacement

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replacing the existing water meters with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) technology, cutting many costs for the City. With the new meters the City will be able to quickly identify water line problems from the central metering program located at the corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis. Planning of an asset management plan will take place as well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$28,992.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$594,114.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$633,106.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10609

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10082

**Project Name:** Villa Nueva Transfer of Water Services

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Construction of new water infrastructure, including main lines and metered service lines. As part of a negotiation with Military Highway Water Supply Corporation (MHWSC), BPUB will be adding water customers currently served by MHWSC from areas in Northwest Brownsville and along US HWY 281 in the Villanueva Colonia area.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,743,221.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10610

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10083

**Project Name:** Ted Hunt to Robindale 16" Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** This project will connect an existing 16" waterline with a main to create a loop that would correct pressure problems in the northern area of town. This area has low pressure due to constant population growth without the infrastructure needed to compensate.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/31/2014	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/31/2014	12/31/2014	\$279,748.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 26.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10611

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Line Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** This project consists of the installation of a 16" waterline and a 24" waterline that extend the BPUB's water system from a water tank on Martina Road to the Rio Del Sol Subdivision on the most northern end of the City of Brownsville. The purpose of this project is to increase pressures and flows to the distribution lines in the northern areas of Brownsville and to provide new service capabilities from the Martina Rd. Elevated storage tank to the Rio Del Sol Subdivision. The project increases the distribution capacity and addresses chlorine residual concerns to the northeast areas of Brownsville.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$400,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$363,401.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/31/2015	5/31/2016	\$3,017,047.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 26.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Sweetwater

**PIF #:** 10612

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Upgrade WTP & New Storage

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The City will upgrade the membranes at the City's water treatment plant because they are currently not compliant with the new LT2 DIT regulations. Construction of a new elevated storage tank is needed to improve system pressure and volume because the City has difficulty in maintaining equal pressure and volume throughout its distribution system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$303,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/1/2014	\$388,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	6/30/2016	\$7,366,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 13.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10613

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10087

**Project Name:** Hwy 77 Waterline Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** This project consists of the installation of a 24" waterline, along Hwy 77 that will loop existing water infrastructure in order to increase pressures and flows to the distribution lines in the northern areas of Brownsville. Due to the constant growth in areas of the northern part of the City of Brownsville, several areas need to be looped in order to increase pressure.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		2/27/2013	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/15/2013		\$1,079,523.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	6.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 8.80

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Weslaco

**PIF #:** 10614

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9959

**Project Name:** Airport Dr. Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of existing 16" asbestos water line to reduce water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$12,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$456,355.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$498,355.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 11.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Weslaco

**PIF #:** 10615

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9960

**Project Name:** 8th Street Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of existing 8" cast iron water line on 8th Street to reduce water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$156,350.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$171,350.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 13.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Weslaco

**PIF #:** 10616

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9961

**Project Name:** Water Well Distribution System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** A new well to supplement existing system to address potential drought issues.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$335,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$3,350,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$300,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10618

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Kickapoo Meadows - Install Pressure Tank and Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Install pressure tank and replace well to resolve system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$86,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 5.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10619

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** West Oak Phoenix - Install Well, Pressure and Storage Tanks

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Install water pressure tank and replace well to resolve system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$192,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Cushing

**PIF #:** 10621

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10036

**Project Name:** New Elevated Storage Tank & Pump Station

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** New 100,000 gallon elevated storage tank and pump station are needed to replace aging infrastructure that is in poor condition. An asset management plan will also be designed and implemented to coordinate future infrastructure needs.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$72,540.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/15/2015	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$126,225.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$1,117,665.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$300,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** D & M WSC

**PIF #:** 10623

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10038

**Project Name:** F.R. Lewis Road - New Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Install new well, high service pump station, a pressure tank, and ground storage tank to alleviate insufficient water and storage capacity. This project will also design and implement an Asset Management Plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$91,282.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$138,847.50
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	12/31/2016	\$1,159,633.75

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lilbert-Looneyville WSC

**PIF #:** 10624

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10039

**Project Name:** Wade Road Treatment Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** New well, 30,000 gal. GST, pressure tank, and asset management plan to increase water supply and pressure.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,620.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$28,116.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$880,578.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$175,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** D & M WSC

**PIF #:** 10625

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10040

**Project Name:** New Well and Water Plant - Eden Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Install new well and pumps, and rehabilitate the existing well and ground storage tank to alleviate insufficient water and storage capacity and low water pressure.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,400.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$103,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$986,950.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$50,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10627

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Green Power Source

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Installation of three 100 kW wind turbines and 45 solar power LED lights to offset the electrical demand for the water plants, and thirteen 1-kW hybrid green power sources to power the SCADA system and Automated Meter Reading (AMR) network. This system will increase the reliability and security of the water system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$253,060.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$564,180.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$6,456,728.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$7,220,101.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lilbert-Looneyville WSC

**PIF #:** 10628

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10043

**Project Name:** Install new 6-inch water lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Install 6-inch lines system-wide and an asset management plan to address system deficiencies & provide looping.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$65,787.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$95,276.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$843,720.16

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Matador

**PIF #:** 10629

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9893

**Project Name:** New Well & Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replacement of deteriorated water transmission and distribution lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$45,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$65,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	4/30/2014	\$620,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Bluff Dale WSC

**PIF #:** 10630

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9892

**Project Name:** New Well & Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Installation of a second well that will allow the continual distribution of water.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		5/1/2013	\$6,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$6,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2013	8/1/2013	\$264,020.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Juan

**PIF #:** 10631

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9730, 10178

**Project Name:** WTP #2 Pre-treatment Basin Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Elevate pre-treatment basin bottom to higher level to bring the basin bottom out of the existing ground water level and replace existing synthetic liner with an earthen type constructed liner. Mixture of ground and surface water is causing disinfection and treatment difficulties.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>		3/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2015	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$4,820,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Donna

**PIF #:** 10632                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10179

**Project Name:** Pre-treatment Basin

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** New raw water pre-treatment basin will allow existing WTP to provide raw water for treatment when the local irrigation district has problems with pumping/canals & would provide pre-settlement of water prior to treatment. City is currently adding an inordinate amount of chemicals to settle raw water, causing the water to become extremely corrosive, subsequently causing plant mechanism deterioration. City is already spending an inordinate amount of money replacing clarifier mechanisms.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	6/1/2016	\$3,175,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	40
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 50.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Siesta Shores WCID

**PIF #:** 10634                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Tank Repairs & Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Propose to repair all rust spots of standpipe and sandblast interior, coat and paint both interior and exterior. Upgrade any deficient regulations. Propose to replace ground storage tank with new tank next to existing one at plant and demolish old tank that has deteriorated. Includes bypass piping.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/31/2013	\$40,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/15/2013	11/15/2013	\$450,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority Ralls** \_\_\_\_\_

**PIF #:** 10636

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Meter and Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Install/retrofit existing meters with automatic readers, as well as replace problematic (leaking) distribution lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$45,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$471,396.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$586,396.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Vinton

**PIF #:** 10638

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10186

**Project Name:** System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Installation of new high capacity water lines. These new lines will be able to maintain a minimum pressure. A service fee will be needed to allow EPWU to provide adequate water storage for Vinton. Currently, Hillside Water Works and Vinton Hills Alegre, do not have enough capacity to meet the minimum pressure. Hillside Water Works has also received numerous TCEQ violations for high arsenic levels. The new proposed system will tie into the EPWU system to provide Vinton's first public water system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/1/2015	\$2,009,215.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2015	\$1,562,153.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2016	6/1/2018	\$15,174,425.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	39.2
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 49.20

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10639                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** English Acres - Upgrade Water Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Construct new well, ground storage tank, and booster pump to alleviate deficiencies and come into compliance with TCEQ capacity rules.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			
<b>Design:</b>			
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$20,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Buffalo Gap

**PIF #:** 10642

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10316

**Project Name:** Water Line Relocation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace approximately 8,200 lf of water line and associated appurtenances.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2013	\$26,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013	12/1/2013	\$374,000.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Laredo

**PIF #:** 10643

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10315

**Project Name:** Automated Meter Readers

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** The system will lower its losses from 11% to 10% through installation of radio read meters.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/14/2013	5/14/2014	\$11,701,058.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount:                     \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 1.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Swea Gardens Estates Water Utility

**PIF #:** 10644                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10320

**Project Name:** Interconnect to Supply Water

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Install an interconnect with the City of Houston to provide treated purchase water directed into the distribution system pressured by the water provider.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$6,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$17,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$24,414.75
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2013	1/1/2014	\$194,079.75

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_  
Green Amount:                     \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brookesmith SUD

**PIF #:** 10646

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10319

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Purchase 3,045 radio read meters to be installed by the Owner. This will allow for less vehicle use and manpower and increased system efficiency through increased meter accuracy reducing water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/1/2013	\$48,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	4/15/2014	\$867,000.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Pedro Estates Water, LLC

**PIF #:** 10647

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10337

**Project Name:** Water Well Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Drill a new well meeting TCEQ regulations and requirements for a public water well. Follow an engineered plan for cemented casing to seal off entry of contaminants to depths determined by geophysical logging when the well is drilled. Initiate asset management plan and training.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$240,281.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	43.33
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 43.83

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10650

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Lake Kiowa SUD Water Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace all asbestos cement pipe with polyethylene pipe and provide distribution system with needed storage.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$213,741.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$193,306.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	11/6/2015	\$2,918,136.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Twin Buttes Water System Inc.

**PIF #:** 10651      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Emergency Interconnect and Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Provide adequate supply to the system by providing an interconnect with the City of San Angelo water system. It will also allow for more control in treatment and quality.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$2,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		2/15/2013	\$35,764.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	5/14/2014	\$308,035.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	17.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **19.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Weslaco

**PIF #:** 10665

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Reservoir Acquisition

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition

**Project Description:** Acquire property adjacent to existing water treatment plant for expansion and additional storage.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$832,320.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10667      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Loma Linda - Upgrade Water System

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Install ground storage tank and booster pump to resolve system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$51,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **0.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10669

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Denton Estates - Upgrade Water System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Install well, ground storage tank, and booster pump to resolve system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$117,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Harris Co WCID # 36

**PIF #:** 10672

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9973

**Project Name:** Water Facility and Line Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of aged, deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems and the development of an Asset Management Program.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>		10/20/2009	\$305,873.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/10/2013	\$609,498.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	1/1/2017	\$4,084,629.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$876,200.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 14.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Kendleton

**PIF #:** 10676

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Replacements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Water system line replacements, water line extensions to unserved areas and water meter replacement.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$889,900.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$30,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Point

PIF #: 10679

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: AMR smart water meter system

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Replace the system meters with AMR smart meters to improve detection of water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$48,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$35,600.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$346,100.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$429,700.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: **12.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** McAllen

**PIF #:** 10680

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** McAllen Geowater

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Produce 6 MGD water source using geothermal energy/pressure to provide an alternative water source.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$250,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/1/2013	\$200,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$850,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013	10/31/2014	\$15,130,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$16,430,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.4
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 5.40

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Elsa

PIF #: 10682

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Treatment Plant Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Water treatment plant improvements, including chlorination, lagoon pumping/piping, and storage tank repair.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$88,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		4/30/2014	\$109,000.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2014	9/30/2015	\$1,223,750.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$47,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10684

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Plaza 290 - Install Pressure Tank and Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Install water pressure tank and replace well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$2,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/31/2014	\$95,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 5.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10685

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9990

**Project Name:** Cooley Point - Install Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Replace well to resolve system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$86,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 15.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Texas Water Company

**PIF #:** 10687                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Boyd Acres Water System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Construct an interconnect line to the Town of Colony to address capacity issues.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$24,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$75,800.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Dilley

PIF #: 10690

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Well and Storage

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: Install a new water well, treatment, ground storage, elevated storage, high service pumps, and pipelines to replace old well/pump and other deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$220,000.00
Acquisition:			\$60,000.00
Design:			\$450,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$4,070,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Jarrell

**PIF #:** 10691      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Acquisition

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Acquisition

**Project Description:** DWSRF funds will allow the City of Jarrell to purchase a water system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$2,060,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/7/2010	
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2010	3/31/2011	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **0.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** La Feria

**PIF #:** 10702

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Desalination Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Build a new water desalination plant to treat brackish and salt water. Due to exceptional drought conditions new water sources are needed to meet the community's demands. An emergency disaster proclamation has been issued by the Governor of Texas due to prolonged historic drought conditions.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$414,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		4/30/2014	\$727,720.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/30/2014	9/30/2015	\$4,951,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	5.9
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 16.90

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Benito

**PIF #:** 10703

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Water System Improvements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/10/2013	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$470,010.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$4,570,402.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Swift WSC

**PIF #:** 10705

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10029

**Project Name:** Upgrade 6" lines to PVC

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Install approximately 21,000 linear feet of new 6" PVC lines to replace aging and decaying asbestos cement pipe within system and prepare an asset management plan to coordinate future infrastructure needs.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$56,296.50
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$54,663.13
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$484,017.13

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$619,977.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Canton

**PIF #:** 10708

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Treatment plant improvements include backup power and head pumps. A new transmission line is also needed to feed a new elevated storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$116,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$154,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	9/30/2016	\$1,535,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lilbert-Looneyville WSC

**PIF #:** 10709

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10033

**Project Name:** Install new 3 & 4-inch water lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Install new water lines to replace deteriorating lines, line looping, and establish an asset management plan to address system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$65,374.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$93,381.75
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$826,852.95

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rusk

**PIF #:** 10710

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10034

**Project Name:** Distribution System Upgrades - SW Loop

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Install 16,250 LF of 10" water line, 18 Fire Hydrants, 6 Air Release Valves, 7 Gate Valves, and 3 Road Bores to address insufficient line sizing and design. Implement an Asset Management Plan to coordinate future infrastructure needs.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,290.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$70,081.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$620,535.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** East Rio Hondo WSC

**PIF #:** 10712

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Alternative raw water source

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** New raw water pump station and transmission line to establish a new connection to an irrigation district. The new source is needed to replace the current source which is expected to run out in mid-2013. This project is needed to avert potential disaster due to ongoing extreme drought. Auto-read water meters with leak detection are also needed to replace current meters.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$272,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$495,172.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/15/2014	12/31/2014	\$6,583,376.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$5,384,150.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 13.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Craft-Turney WSC

**PIF #:** 10713

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10046

**Project Name:** New Well and Water Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** New well and treatment plant, ground storage tank, pressure tank, water lines, and asset management plan to address insufficient water supply, storage, pressure, and system looping.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$111,660.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$196,715.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$1,674,185.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Craft-Turney WSC

**PIF #:** 10716

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10049

**Project Name:** AMR/AMI metering system

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Install new automatic meter-reading system and develop asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$110,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$1,080,500.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$968,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Jefferson

**PIF #:** 10717

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10050

**Project Name:** Water Facilities Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Rehabilitate 3 storage tanks, install a pressure tank, mixer, and generator. Create an asset management plan to address degrading storage, lack of elevated storage in 2nd pressure plane, and the lack of water changeover in the standpipe.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$77,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$146,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2016	10/1/2016	\$1,370,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,115,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 11.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Jefferson

**PIF #:** 10719

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10052

**Project Name:** Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace water lines and create an asset management plan to address the aged and degraded system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$187,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$300,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2016	10/1/2016	\$3,095,580.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,558,080.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 11.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Atlanta

PIF #: 10721

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Facilities Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Install a new ground storage tank, rehabilitate another ground storage tank, rehabilitate both elevated storage tanks, install new water line with in-line meters, install new high speed pumps, and create an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$123,500.00
Acquisition:		4/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$234,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2015	6/1/2016	\$2,395,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$578,088.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: 11.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Dell City

**PIF #:** 10723

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10056

**Project Name:** Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Install new Reverse Osmosis water treatment facility. Currently, Dell City has an osmotic system that is outdated and is no longer in use. Due to the age of the system, replacement parts are difficult to locate.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$52,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$161,275.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2014	11/1/2014	\$815,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rio WSC

**PIF #:** 10725

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with AMR water meter technology, cutting many costs for the corporation. With the new meters the corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the City office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center, therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$43,551.81
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$890,299.70

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$938,851.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 11.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** La Grulla

**PIF #:** 10726

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will involve replacing the existing water meters with automatic meter-reading technology, cutting many costs for the City. With the new meters the City will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the city office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$73,372.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$1,499,887.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$1,578,259.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **0.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Falcon Rural WSC

**PIF #:** 10731

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replacing the existing water meters with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) technology, cutting many costs for the corporation. With the new meters the corporation will be able to quickly identify waterline problems from the central metering program located at the corporation office. All monthly readings will be taken from the central programming center therefore cutting the need to send out meter readers on a daily basis. P of an asset management plan will take place as well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$34,883.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/10/2014	9/10/2015	\$819,947.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$854,829.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10734

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Leak Detection and Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** The implementation of a third phase of leak detection and improvement projects in conjunction with the replacement of aging water meters. Specific project elements include conducting leak detection and improvements over 656 miles of the service area and the replacement of 9,714 water meters that were installed between 2003 and 2005 as part of the BPUB's maintenance program aimed at reducing overall municipal water demand.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013	10/1/2014	\$1,811,668.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$1,881,677.92

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **10.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Grand Saline

**PIF #:** 10735

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water Well, Water Lines and Generators

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of aged, deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems, and the development of an Asset Management Program.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/1/2013	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$317,810.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$1,769,190.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$695,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 13.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Harris Co MUD # 148

**PIF #:** 10736

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of aged, deteriorated water lines and inoperable valves with a history of problems and the development of an Asset Management Program.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$21,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$56,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	1/1/2015	\$728,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$966,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 4.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Seymour

**PIF #:** 10739

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Construct additional water supply system from Miller Creek Reservoir water plant to correct insufficient supply, and construct evaporation ponds for reverse osmosis brine to reduce selenium discharge from plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/1/2013	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$555,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/15/2013	4/15/2014	\$5,398,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	59.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 59.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Spur

**PIF #:** 10740      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace old, dilapidated distribution system piping and valves to reduce line breaks and increase pressure. The system has documented problems with low water pressure and line breaks.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$35,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/24/2014	\$177,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/15/2015	12/15/2015	\$1,866,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,078,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	Yes	5
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 26.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10742

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Van Alstyne - Disinfection Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Upgrade disinfection system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$23,404.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$11,753.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	4/1/2014	\$121,322.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Eden

PIF #: 10746

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Desalination System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Construction of a desalination system to be installed at the City's new water treatment plant. The City is in noncompliance of secondary standards for its groundwater supply, primarily for Total Dissolved Solids and chloride. Both concentrations in the City's groundwater violates the Maximum Contaminant Levels.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$222,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2014	\$107,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2015	6/1/2016	\$2,302,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$326,795.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: **13.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** La Joya

**PIF #:** 10750

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Line Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Installation of 32,811 feet of 8" PVC pipe, an 8" gate valve, a 4" fire hydrant valve, and a 2" flush valve are needed to alleviate inadequate water pressure. Also an Advanced meter reading infrastructure (AMI) system with leak detection will be installed throughout the potable water distribution system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$30,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$298,718.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$2,773,696.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$988,848.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Maxwell WSC

**PIF #:** 10751

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** PWS Conversion to AMR Metering

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replace old water meters with new Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system and purchase leak detection equipment. The system is currently experiencing high water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$410,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$410,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Graham

**PIF #:** 10753

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Storage Additions

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Increase plant storage capacity from 1 MG to 2 MG to meet minimum capacity requirements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$48,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$1,882,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Graham

**PIF #:** 10754

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Plant expansion, Storage and Line Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Plant expansion and rehabilitation to provide 10 MGD of capacity. Increase pumping capacity and plant storage capacity. Install transmission line & replace aging lines. These improvements will bring system into TCEQ compliance.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$415,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$16,185,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,500,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	11.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 32.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Graham

**PIF #:** 10755

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Water transmission line from water treatment plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$11,900,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 30.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Abilene

PIF #: 10756

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: TTHM Removal

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Implement trihalomethane precursor removal and stripping proceses at the city's water treatment plant to lower TTHM in the finished water.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$245,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		9/1/2013	\$460,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	3/31/2016	\$11,478,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	21.8
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 22.80

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Groesbeck

**PIF #:** 10762

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Acquire an off channel rock quarry to use as an additional water source. The City will construct a new pump station and pipeline in order to transmit the water from the quarry to Lake Groesbeck. Will also complete an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$358,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$616,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	12/31/2016	\$9,278,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Westbound WSC

**PIF #:** 10763

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Wells & Water Treatment Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Install a water softener at the existing well field and develop four wells in a proposed new well field.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$218,095.24
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	2/28/2016	\$1,761,904.76

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	1.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 1.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Knox City

**PIF #:** 10766

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10321

**Project Name:** Groundwater Well Development

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Three public water supply wells and a transmission line will be constructed to blend well water with the purchased water from NCTMWA.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$261,497.75
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$984,602.50

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Anahuac

PIF #: 10770

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Line Replacement

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: Replace water lines and install fire hydrants.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$46,000.00
Acquisition:			\$11,000.00
Design:			\$100,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/15/2014	1/15/2015	\$459,965.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$418,965.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10771

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Van Alstyne - Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of water lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$83,804.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$69,471.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$927,410.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,080,685.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Merkel

**PIF #:** 10772                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New elevated storage tank

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Construct a new 250,000 gallon elevated tank and demolish the old tank that currently has several TCEQ violations: 290.43 ( c )(B)-deterioration of interior and exterior coating; 290.43 ( c ) (2) inadequate diameter for roof hatch; 290.43 ( c ) (3)- Overflow pipe does not extend to the ground.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$63,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$897,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Snyder

PIF #: 10773

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** The proposed project is to drill a brackish well near Snyder and construct a 1.0 MGD desalination plant with injection wells. The City of Snyder provides water to numerous systems in the area, as well as the citizens of the City of Snyder. The City purchases water from CRMWD and receives water from Lakes Thomas and Ivy, which are both currently extremely low. As a regional water supplier the City is looking to increase supply. The groundwater in the Snyder area is brackish.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$100,000.00
Design:			\$885,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$6,815,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	26.4
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: **26.40**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Snyder

PIF #: 10774

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** The proposed project consists of 10 water wells in northern Mitchell County. The City of Snyder provides water to numerous systems in the area as well as the citizens of the City of Snyder. The City purchases water from CRMWD and receives water from Lakes Thomas and Ivy, which are both currently extremely low. As a regional water supplier the City is looking to increase supply. The groundwater in the Snyder area is brackish.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$125,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,150,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$9,800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	26.4
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: **26.40**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Rising Star

PIF #: 10776

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace 7,000 feet of asbestos cement and ductile iron pipe with C-900 PVC water main. The asbestos concrete (AC) pipe for the main distribution line has become so brittle it is very hard to repair. Frequent leaks in this line have caused pressure losses in the system. There is ductile iron pipe mixed with AC pipe at several points in the system. The ductile iron pipe has become so rusted that debris from the pipes travel through the system into the houses.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/30/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$221,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2014	11/30/2015	\$1,147,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: **22.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Paint Rock

**PIF #:** 10777

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Construct a new microfiltration water treatment plant to replace the current antiquated plant that has a failing roof, an inadequate electrical system, and a building that is in disrepair.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$349,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$1,336,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	75.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 85.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Anson

**PIF #:** 10778                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** The city plans to re-pipe four clearwells with new piping and valves and provide a by-pass for redundancy which the system does not currently have. The city also plans to provide a building around the clarifier and filter structure. The City of Anson has four 100,000 gallon clearwells at their WTP. The piping and valves between them as well as one of the high service pump structures is over 40 years old. Secondly, the current clarifier and filter structure are exposed to blowing dirt and debris causing turbidity issues in the City's treatment process.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$152,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	8/1/2016	\$927,600.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Baird

**PIF #:** 10781

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** New WTP and Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace the old water treatment plant with a new 1.0 MGD microfiltration or ultrafiltration water treatment plant. This plant will allow the city to meet TCEQ supply and treatment requirements and it will eliminate the current TCEQ violations. Also, replace the 50 year old cast iron raw water transmission line with a new PVC raw water line. The city has experienced significant water loss due to leaks in the old raw water line.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		7/1/2015	\$610,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2015	10/30/2016	\$4,225,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$456,650.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	20
Consolidation Factor	Yes	49.1
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 71.10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Gorman

**PIF #:** 10782

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10156

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Drill new water well and build a new 100,000 elevated tank to replace an existing 75,000 gallon elevated tank which has lead based paint and is in a state of disrepair. Additional water is needed for new connection requests from the City of Desdemona.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$15,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/30/2014	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$328,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	\$1,707,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	131.2
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 141.20

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brady

**PIF #:** 10783

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9638, 9198, 10157

**Project Name:** Radium Reduction Groundwater Treatment

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Radium reduction groundwater treatment improvements for meeting USEPA Compliance rules for radionuclides in drinking water.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$44,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/31/2013	\$1,064,052.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	\$8,719,397.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$400,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	199.1
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** **211.10**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Colorado City

**PIF #:** 10784

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Wells, Elevated Tank, and Water Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Drill 14 new water wells east of Colorado City, build new elevated storage tank, and install 14 miles of 8-inch through 16-inch water line from the new wells to the existing supply line. The City has implemented water rationing since summer 2010 in an attempt to keep the city from running out of water. In 2010 the capacities of two wells in the Perkins well field dropped enough that they can no longer be used; the East well field was operated 24 hours a day for 3 consecutive months just to keep up with demand. The city has reached its water supply limit and needs additional wells.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$800,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	5/1/2016	\$9,050,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 22.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Gustine

PIF #: 10785

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Tank Rehab

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitate existing 30,000 gallon storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$35,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		1/31/2014	\$9,600.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$97,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$142,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Study Butte WSC

**PIF #:** 10786

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace water lines, install pressure reducing outages, inadequate chemical storage facilities valves, install well servicing rig to reduce and inadequate housing for plant equipment. Install chemical storage facilities and building upgrades to address system deficiencies, in downtime.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$160,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		2/26/2013	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		2/25/2013	\$56,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2013	12/31/2013	\$1,040,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,256,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Parker County SUD

**PIF #:** 10787

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Greenwood System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Material costs for 0.1 MG elevated storage tank to meet TCEQ storage requirements and reduce water loss.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$55,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2014	\$10,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$75,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$110,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$250,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Palo Pinto WSC

**PIF #:** 10788

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Elevated Tank and Water Distribution Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacing existing distribution lines which cause significant water loss and water outages.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$68,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$1,301,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,469,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Willow Park

**PIF #:** 10789

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10163

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replace existing old and deteriorated waterlines with larger, PVC waterlines. The water system is experiencing significant water loss and low pressures in the area of the West Oak Development.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$35,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>		2/1/2014	\$558,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$684,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** West Tawakoni

**PIF #:** 10790

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Collection System Improvement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace existing 2-inch lines with 6 inch lines and install fire hydrants.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		3/1/2014	\$162,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$2,042,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,274,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Alice

**PIF #:** 10791                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Wells

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** This project would add 19 wells along the course of the 20" raw water transmission main and would add approximately 25.36 acre- feet of water/day or 9,257 acre- feet per year to the City's potable water. With the drought the past two years and with increased commercial and industrial development, it is increasingly important to provide additional resources to the City's potable water. This project implements recommended water management strategies in the 2012 State Water Plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$2,662,537.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/15/2016	\$479,101.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,552,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$0.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lone Oak

**PIF #:** 10792

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** New WTP & Distribution Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Construction of new water plant and replacement of distribution lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		6/1/2014	\$60,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/31/2014	\$90,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	3/1/2016	\$1,245,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$150,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** West Odessa WSC

**PIF #:** 10793

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water Distribution System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** The WSC is proposing to construct a 12" treated water transmission pipeline from Odessa. The WSC is also proposing to construct a distribution system with an elevated tank and a pump station. The Corporation has an unserved population that either hauls water or depends on shallow wells which have poor quality and low quantity.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$357,331.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		7/1/2014	\$100,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,142,669.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	5/1/2016	\$8,900,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Eagle Pass

**PIF #:** 10795

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9621, 10171

**Project Name:** Regional Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replacement of undersized water lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$488,439.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$6,072,236.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	5/31/2017	\$57,758,450.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,130,055.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 22.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Graford

PIF #: 10796

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water Lines

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace existing old, deteriorated and leaking water lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2013	\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	8/31/2014	\$346,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$430,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: **10.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Wiedenfled Water Works

**PIF #:** 10797

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9883

**Project Name:** New Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Drill new well into the Trinity Aquifer.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/31/2013	\$25,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2013	6/1/2013	\$325,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 8.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Plains

**PIF #:** 10798

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9889

**Project Name:** Arsenic Treatment

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Provide precipitation treatment and activated alumina treatment to lower arsenic and floride levels.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/1/2013	\$250,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/2/2014	6/2/2014	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	70.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 70.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Hico

**PIF #:** 10799

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9890

**Project Name:** Distribution System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replacement of waterlines, deteriorated ground storage tank and aging water meters to address low water pressure issues.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$81,215.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$219,009.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$2,731,561.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,100,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 11.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Antonio Water System

**PIF #:** 10800                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Hotwells Water Main Replacement Phase I

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replacement of approximately 60,000 l.f. of 6-inch to 12-inch water main.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$3,490,199.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,490,199.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Borger

**PIF #:** 10801      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Water Well Field

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Augment existing primary well field into adjacent water rights area owned by City to increase production capacity and dilute water produced by the wells having high chlorides. Increased production will allow the system to operate below the 85% threshold required by TCEQ.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$893,100.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$3,303,200.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	2/15/2015	\$31,400,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **2.00**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Upper Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10802

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Raw Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** In order to use the existing raw water system, 6.5 miles of 36" pipeline requires replacement, a condition assessment will be made on 22 miles of 33" pipeline, raw water will have to be conveyed to the intake structure, and the raw water pump station requires rehabilitation. Originally constructed in 1968, the raw water supply system from E.V. Spence Reservoir to the City of San Angelo has been out of service for approximately 20 years due to numerous failures in the supply pipeline. This raw water source is required to meet water demands.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,100,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/1969	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/25/2012	\$1,250,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2016	6/1/2017	\$16,790,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	47.8
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 50.80

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Kosse

PIF #: 10803

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: Drill two wells, construct a water plant, pressure/pumping facilities, and storage facilities, and distribution lines to remove dependency from WSC. The City purchases water from Tri-CountyWSC which contains arsenic.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$286,400.00
Acquisition:		5/31/2013	\$54,000.00
Design:		8/31/2013	\$108,600.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2013	12/15/2014	\$2,027,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10804

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10194

**Project Name:** Groundwater Facility & Re-Pump Station Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Evaluate electrical systems & install redundant electrical power. Rehab or replace distribution pumps, motors, valves and piping at various facilities. Make improvements as necessary at Re-Pump Stations in order to provide efficient and reliable water service. Ground Water Facilities and Re-Pump Stations have electrical, pumping, and piping deficiencies, which are causing the system to be inefficient and unreliable.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/15/2014	1/31/2017	\$8,800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** **5.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10805

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Sherman - Texoma Pkwy Waterline Replacement Phase V

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of 3,500 lf of existing 12 inch water main on the west side of Texoma Highway.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$37,978.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$26,280.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	12/1/2014	\$336,720.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$400,978.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	20
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 22.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10806

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Distribution System Pump Station Rehab

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Evaluate electrical systems & correct necessary deficiencies. Rehab or replace distribution pumps, motors, valves and piping at various facilities. Make improvements as necessary at Pump Stations in order to provide efficient and reliable water service. Pump Stations have electrical, pumping, and piping deficiencies, which are causing the system to be inefficient and unreliable.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/15/2014	1/31/2017	\$5,500,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 5.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10807

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Storage Tank Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Rehabilitate existing tanks, including replacement of cone roof, roof rafters, interior columns and supports with prefabricated aluminum dome roof structure. Install new appurtenances. Apply protective coating. Install new tank as necessary. Water storage tanks are in deteriorated condition.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/31/2014	1/31/2017	\$8,800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10808

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Well Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Rehabilitate ground water wells. Ground water wells are experiencing decreased production capacity.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/31/2014	1/31/2017	\$6,600,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Mexia

**PIF #:** 10809

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** AMR Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Replacement of deteriorated water meters.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		4/1/2014	\$97,400.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$1,702,600.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$1,880,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 15.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Clarendon

**PIF #:** 10810

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Elevated Storage Tank & Waterline Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replacement of cast iron mains with PVC and construction of an elevated tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$65,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$150,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$2,250,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Houston

PIF #: 10811

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Ground Water Well Replacement

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Drill a replacement ground water well within the same easement area. Ground water wells have reached the end of their useful life and are unable to be rehabilitated further.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	7/31/2014	1/31/2017	\$8,250,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Marshall

**PIF #:** 10812

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10205

**Project Name:** New 8" Waterlines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Extension of an 8-inch PVC water line to provide looping and address delivery deficiencies. Implement asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$103,185.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/15/2015	\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$266,681.25
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$2,361,341.25

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Marshall

**PIF #:** 10813

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10206

**Project Name:** AMI/AMR Meters & Infrastructure

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Installation of an Automatic Meter Reading and leak detection system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$178,725.60
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$612,909.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2016	10/31/2016	\$5,247,001.60

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$4,292,520.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10814

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Sludge Process & Surge Basin Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Add thickened sludge holding tank for Plant 1 & 2. Install sludge collection system for surge basin. Separate Plant 1 & 2 thickened sludge flow from Plant 3 unthickened flow to increase sludge percentage into sludge dewatering facilities. Increase volume for surge basin backwash. Sludge thickening is inefficient and filtration operations are unreliable. Polymer dosage for dewatering process is high.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/16/2014	1/31/2017	\$12,650,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10815

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Chemical Feed Sys & Bulk Storage Tank

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Install bulk storage tanks for lime, caustic, aluminum sulfate, powder activated carbon & ammonia. Rehab chemical feed system. Modify chemical loading & unloading areas. Chemical storage capacity is inadequate and unreliable at East Water Purification Plant No. 1.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/23/2014	1/31/2017	\$9,735,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Houston

**PIF #:** 10816

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Switchgear Rehab/Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Rehab or replace switchgears at East Water Purification Plant No. 3. Switchgears at East Water Purification Plant No. 3 are old and near failure. This is a critical component for the safe operation of the plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/31/2015	1/31/2017	\$8,250,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Brownsville

**PIF #:** 10817

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Plant 1 & 2 Filter Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Update and replace filter media and underdrains. Replace surface wash system and update electrical systems to address excess turbidity and aging system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/13/2013	\$670,869.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/27/2014	8/31/2014	\$4,102,960.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rockdale

**PIF #:** 10818

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Construct/improve the Mill Street Central Treatment Facility to meet higher demand and to increase water pressure throughout system. Also, implement an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/1/2013	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$222,700.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	2/2/2015	\$2,747,300.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 25.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Tahoka

**PIF #:** 10819

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Replace 60,000 l.f. of waterline with HDPE or PVC pipe and construction of a 1.5 mg ground storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/7/2013	12/21/2014	\$3,116,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,810,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Alice

**PIF #:** 10820

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10217

**Project Name:** Raw Water Transmission Main Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Rehabilitation of the 22.5 mile, 20-inch transmission main by slip lining.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$51,750.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$51,750.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$310,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$414,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 3.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rio Grande City

**PIF #:** 10821

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Meter Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Replace existing broken/malfunctioning water meters with 100% lead-free smart meters with built in leak detection. Install AMR system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$257,630.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/6/2014	10/31/2014	\$3,301,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$3,558,330.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Live Oak Hills Subdivision

**PIF #:** 10822

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9888

**Project Name:** Radium Treatent

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Install a radium removal system with plumbing and a building to house it.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/30/2013	12/31/2014	\$100,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	85.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 85.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Burnet

**PIF #:** 10823

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 8480, 9900, 10220

**Project Name:** Sherrard Street Water Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Distribution system improvements to address system pressure.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2010	4/1/2011	\$1,265,000.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$1,375,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	1
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	1

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Robert Lee

**PIF #:** 10824

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9809, 9211, 10221

**Project Name:** Emergency Pipeline & Long-Term Plan

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** New wells, transmission line for purchased water, SWTP upgrades, new intake and replace meters.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	3/1/2011	9/30/2011	\$440,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	3/1/2011	9/30/2011	\$375,000.00
<b>Design:</b>	8/1/2011	3/30/2012	\$665,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2012	10/30/2013	\$9,775,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$224,953.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	201.7
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	Yes	129.9
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 359.10

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Bronte

**PIF #:** 10825

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFs:** 9840, 9110, 10222

**Project Name:** Regional Water Service

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Four new wells, raw water transmission lines, treatment plant expansion, finished water transmission lines and new standpipe.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	1/1/2012	6/30/2012	\$40,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	1/1/2012	6/30/2012	\$150,000.00
<b>Design:</b>	1/1/2012	6/30/2012	\$935,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2012	6/30/2013	\$6,698,960.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$576,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	263.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 287.80

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Menard

**PIF #:** 10826

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9160, 9896, 10223

**Project Name:** SWTP & Well Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** New WTP, new wells and well rehabilitation.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	3/1/2011	9/1/2011	\$350,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	9/1/2011	10/1/2011	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>	10/1/2011	4/1/2012	\$440,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$5,075,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$224,886.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	140
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 165.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Riesel

**PIF #:** 10827

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9884

**Project Name:** Arsenic Treatment

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Arsenic Treatment.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$120,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$78,700.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,023,300.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	50
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 50.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Honey Grove

**PIF #:** 10828

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9222, 10229

**Project Name:** Distribution Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Distribution system improvements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>	1/1/2012	2/1/2012	\$75,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>	2/1/2012	3/1/2012	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>	2/1/2012	10/1/2012	\$200,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2013	8/1/2013	\$5,534,450.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 22.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lake Palo Pinto Area WSC

**PIF #:** 10829

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9490, 9897, 9648, 10230

**Project Name:** Water Treatment Plant Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Surface water treatment plant expansion, booster disinfection, and new elevated storage tank.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>		9/24/2013	\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	\$1,624,060.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$883,440.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Beaumont

**PIF #:** 10830

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9891

**Project Name:** Dishman Rd 30" Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Extend a 36-inch diameter water transmission line from the Water Plant on Pine Street to the new 2 million gallon elevated storage tank on Dishman Road.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/2/2013	\$200,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/23/2012	\$297,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2013	4/1/2015	\$8,800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Castroville

**PIF #:** 10831

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9299, 9899, 9655, 10233

**Project Name:** Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Water line replacement project.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>	2/1/2012	6/1/2012	\$334,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2012	7/1/2013	\$2,039,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Hondo

**PIF #:** 10832

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9377, 9378, 10235

**Project Name:** Water Line & Storage Tank Rehabilitation

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** The proposed project will replace approximately 4.5 miles of aging water line to reduce water loss. Also replace the City's North Elevated Storage Tank (EST); rehabilitate the City Yard EST and Golf Course GST; and demolish the Spatz Road GST and high service pump station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$139,060.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$434,562.50
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2013	9/1/2013	\$3,945,827.50

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Reklaw

PIF #: 10847

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: 9743, 10267

Project Name: New Water Well

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Drill new water well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	8/15/2014	1/15/2015	\$957,100.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating: **20.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Amarillo

**PIF #:** 10849

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9757, 10269

**Project Name:** Arden Road Transmission Line

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Design phase and construction services of a proposed 36-inch transmission main from the City of Amarillo's Osage Water Treatment Plant south and west to the City of Amarillo's Arden Road Pump Station (approximately 7.63 miles). Project includes additional pump and 2.5 million gallon ground storage tank at the Arden Road Pump Station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/31/2013	\$224,182.00
<b>Design:</b>		3/31/2013	\$1,110,687.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2013	12/31/2014	\$17,361,314.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Graham

**PIF #:** 10853

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Transmission and Distribution Line Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Install additional transmission line from plant to distribution system and replace aging lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$48,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2013	\$255,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/15/2013	5/30/2015	\$1,845,000.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	Yes	10
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 21.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10854

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Bells - Paluxy Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Drill and complete a new 300 gpm "Paluxy" formation water supply replacement well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$64,948.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		8/1/2013	\$5,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$69,980.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$1,067,896.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Central WCID

**PIF #:** 10855

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Water system improvements include replacing asbestos cement distribution lines, well repair and improvement, and new ground storage and pressure tanks. The water system exceeds asbestos Maximum Contaminant Levels, the wells are in poor condition, and the water system does not meet TCEQ requirements for minimum storage capacity.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$33,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/31/2013	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$201,650.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$1,788,550.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	42.9
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 42.90

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10856

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Lake Kiowa SUD - Water Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace 20 miles of old asbestos cement pipe that is in poor condition.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$597,352.58
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2014	\$490,260.92
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/30/2014	6/30/2015	\$7,504,074.81

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$8,591,688.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10857

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Lake Kiowa SUD - Water Supply Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Drill a supplemental well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$130,932.50
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		3/31/2014	\$64,524.70
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/30/2014	3/15/2015	\$992,807.80

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Twin Buttes Water System Inc.

**PIF #:** 10858                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water System Interconnect and Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Acquisition

**Project Description:** Twin Buttes is developing an alternative water supply through the construction of an interconnection with San Angelo. Due to drought water production at their only water well is in decline and the system experiences periodic outages. They have supplemented water supply by trucking it in but this is costly and water quality is variable.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		1/1/2014	\$11,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		11/25/2013	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	7/15/2014	\$285,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC  
Green Amount: \$100,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 11.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority Munday

PIF #: 10859

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Groundwater Well Development

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: A public water supply well and a transmission line will be constructed to blend well water with the purchased water from NCTMWA.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$3,000.00
Acquisition:		10/1/2013	\$5,000.00
Design:		10/1/2013	\$72,700.00
Est. Construction:	2/1/2014	5/1/2014	\$379,300.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating: 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority O'Brien

PIF #: 10864

Program: DWSRF

PPL Year: 2015

Related PIFS: 10388

Project Name: Water System Improvements

Project Type Public Water System

Project\_Phase: Construction

**Project Description:** This project includes reconstructing the chlorine dispensing and liquid ammonium nitrate systems, ground pump replacement or repair, and a meter for the city's stand pipe. The project also includes water meter replacements, pump station electrical rehabilitation, and a service pump replacement.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$142,846.97

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	#####
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 73.90

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10865      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Hills of Briar Oaks - Install Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Replace well to comply with TCEQ pressure, capacity, and contaminant rules.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$64,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$80,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$810,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Hazy Hills WSC

**PIF #:** 10866

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Well and Treatment System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** Drill a new well to meet TCEQ pumping capacity requirements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$94,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Haskell

**PIF #:** 10867

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10330

**Project Name:** Emergency Well Development

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Three public water supply wells and a transmission line will be constructed to blend well water with the purchased water from NCTMWA.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/1/2013	\$50,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		1/1/2014	\$160,135.26
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$1,184,864.74

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Howard WSC

**PIF #:** 10871

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Connection to Nash Forreton WSC

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Interconnection to purchase water from Nash Forreton WSC.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$5,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$227,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	21.3
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 21.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10872

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Buena Vista AMR System & Interconnect

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (proposed owner) proposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also proposes constructing a 10-inch pipeline to interconnect the system to the LCRA-Corix Lake Buchanan Water System to address Buena Vista Water System's numerous TCEQ violations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/1/2014	\$200,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		9/1/2014	\$70,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2014	1/31/2015	\$500,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$130,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	73.5
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	17.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 94.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** East Lake Buchanan Regional WS

**PIF #:** 10873                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** New Regional Water System

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Corix proposes to construct a regional surface water treatment plant (0.5 MGD initial phase) along with 90,000 gallon regional storage tank, intake structure, raw water pipeline and regional transmission mains to connect adjacent groundwater systems experiencing similar radionuclide issue.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$50,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/1/2015	\$150,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		3/1/2015	\$750,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/1/2015	7/31/2016	\$6,072,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC  
Green Amount: \$607,200.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	234.4
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 236.40

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lower Colorado RA

**PIF #:** 10874

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Croix Quail Creek Water AMR System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** LCRA (current owner) - Corix (proposed owner) proposes an area-wide replacement of existing meters with an automatic meter reading system (AMR). Corix also plans to develop an asset management plan for this system after the STM process is finalized.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$15,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$13,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 1.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Royal Oaks Apartments

**PIF #:** 10875

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Fredericksburg City Connection

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** P A D & Construction

**Project Description:** Connection with the City of Fredericksburg, which is one mile away, to address nitrate issue.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$4,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		5/28/2014	\$2,000.00
<b>Design:</b>		5/28/2014	\$7,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	5/28/2014	7/28/2014	\$32,000.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 2.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Midland County UD

**PIF #:** 10876

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Utility District and Water Distribution System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** The proposed project will create a utility district for the County of Midland, southeast of the City of Midland. The Midland County Utility District will provide first time adequate water services to residents in this area.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$400,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$9,979,622.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>			\$116,476,046.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** New Ulm WSC

**PIF #:** 10877

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9806, 9920

**Project Name:** Upgrade Water Storage and Distribution

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** This project includes the construction of a new ground storage tank, a new pressure tank, booster pumps, and the replacement of 2,500 feet of asbestos distribution line.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$63,805.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	6/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$408,160.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10880                      **Program:** DWSRF                      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10085

**Project Name:** English Acres Water Plant

**Project Type** Public Water System                      **Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Upgrade the water system including new chlorine system, well meter replacements and repairs, replace water storage tank and accessories, prepare monitoring plan, prepare drought contingency plan, and prepare plant operations manual. These improvements are needed to meet TCEQ regulations and correct chlorination deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$64,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/30/2013	\$80,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$810,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE  
Green Amount: \$50,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Holly Huff WSC

**PIF #:** 10882

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFs:** 10375

**Project Name:** New Well

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Drill new 200 GPM well.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$20,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2015	6/1/2015	\$205,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greater Texoma UA

**PIF #:** 10883

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10379

**Project Name:** Van Alstyne CGMA Connection

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Connect to the Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance distribution system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$210,860.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		2/1/2015	\$72,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$197,100.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	3/1/2016	\$2,805,604.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** El Campo

**PIF #:** 10884

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9976

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Acquisition & Design

**Project Description:** The City of El Campo intends to replace the existing asbestos cement and cast iron 6-inch water lines beneath US Hwy 71 with a new 12-inch PVC line to be located behind the curb and outside the TxDOT maintained pavement. The existing 6-inch line is undersized and experiences frequent leaks causing TxDOT pavement failures and traffic congestion on Hwy 71. In addition to the longitudinal line replacement, the City will replace all lateral lines, valves, and services beneath Hwy 71. These lateral lines range in size from 2 to 10 inches. In addition, all fire hydrants, valves and leads will be replaced along the route.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$145,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$25,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$285,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/1/2013		\$3,570,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Port Arthur

**PIF #:** 10885

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Water Line Project

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Design

**Project Description:** Replace water lines to reduce leaks and increase pressure.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,371,297.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2015	3/1/2017	\$9,804,939.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$7,894,476.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 13.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Anthony

**PIF #:** 10886

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9988

**Project Name:** Arsenic Removal & System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Water treatment improvements, including arsenic removal, new tank, replacement of lines, and new meters/pumps.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$95,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		9/1/2013	\$73,110.00
<b>Design:</b>		8/1/2013	\$855,009.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$5,263,367.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$464,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	50
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 73.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10887      **Program:** DWSRF      **PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Preston Club Water Well

**Project Type** Public Water System      **Project\_Phase:** Planning

**Project Description:** Replace well to address system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$3,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$125,000.00

Green Project: No  
Green Amount: \_\_\_\_\_ \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	15
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 25.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Lass Water Company

**PIF #:** 10888

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9993

**Project Name:** Denton Estates Water Meters

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Install water meters to address system deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$26,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 0.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Cyndie Park II WSC

**PIF #:** 10889

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10057

**Project Name:** Cyndie Park Water Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Upgrade the water system with a new chlorine system; new well and well meter; replacement water storage tank and accessories; and preparation of a drought contingency plan, plant operations manual, and monitoring plan. The water system currently lacks appropriate chlorination facilities and their water has exceeded allowed levels for arsenic, total dissolved solids, and chloride, as well as numerous other violations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$54,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/30/2013	\$70,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$1,360,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$30,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	60
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 82.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Derby ING

**PIF #:** 10890

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10058

**Project Name:** Derby Water System Upgrade

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Upgrade the water system including new chlorine system, well repair and well meter replacement, replace water storage tank and accessories, prepare monitoring plan, prepare drought contingency plan, and prepare plant operations manual. These improvements are needed to meet TCEQ regulations and correct chlorination deficiencies.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$36,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		12/30/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		12/30/2014	\$18,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2015	6/1/2016	\$140,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$10,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 20.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Sol Y Mar WS

**PIF #:** 10891

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10072

**Project Name:** Nitrate Removal System

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** This project will install two booster pumps, two mechanical meters, two water softeners, and finally two nitrate removal systems to bring the system into TCEQ & EPA compliance. Sol Y Mar has been under enforcement action by both TCEQ and EPA for having high nitrates in its system. EPA has given the water system 18 months to fix the problem.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$19,300.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$28,600.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/1/2013	2/1/2014	\$150,800.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	45
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 47.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Upper Leon River MWD

**PIF #:** 10892

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9626, 10104

**Project Name:** Treatment & Pump Station Upgrades

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replace the existing conventional filters at the water treatment plant with a new membrane filtration system. Upgrade existing transfer pump stations with new low-voltage pumps, motors and motor control centers.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>		9/19/2013	\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		7/31/2013	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	3/31/2016	\$14,031,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$6,100,500.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	48.5
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	Yes	100.6
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 161.60

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** New Deal

**PIF #:** 10894

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9618, 10113

**Project Name:** Transmission Line & Standpipe

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Replace line with new 8-inch piping, and install a new 138,000 gallon standpipe (storage tank). The existing asbestos cement pipeline has deteriorated and the leaking line has become a health issue. This will also correct low water pressure in the southwest section of the City.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		4/15/2014	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/15/2015	7/15/2015	\$1,033,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$692,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 12.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** La Joya

**PIF #:** 10896

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10118

**Project Name:** Treatment Plant Expansion

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Expand water treatment plant to alleviate inadequate water treatment capacity, install a new SCADA system, and install green power infrastructure including two 100KW wind turbines and 11 solar LED lights. These units will provide cost savings and reduce the utility's carbon footprint. The SCADA system will combine health monitoring and automatic meter-reading equipment with advanced power systems monitoring, physical security, and network cyber security.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	2/1/2014	10/1/2014	\$6,469,080.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,450,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Winters

**PIF #:** 10897

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10134

**Project Name:** Alternative Water Supply

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Develop an alternative groundwater supply, requiring a raw water transmission system to transfer water to the City's water treatment plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		3/31/2014	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		6/30/2014	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	4/1/2015	4/30/2016	\$1,018,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	31.5
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 43.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Texas State Technical College

**PIF #:** 10898

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:**

**Project Name:** Distribution System Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace cast iron, calcified pipes with smaller pipes to provide adequate service and stop nitrification episodes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$470,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$730,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	3/1/2014	3/1/2016	\$7,300,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$100,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 22.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** San Saba

**PIF #:** 10899

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10148

**Project Name:** Water System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** New 6" and 8" water mains are proposed to replace the dilapidated lines. Multiple existing 6" and 8" water mains located throughout the city need replacement. These lines are composed of cast iron which is over 70 years old. The lines are badly deteriorated causing frequent leakage and line breaks.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$10,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$290,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$1,700,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$295,379.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	55.3
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 65.30

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Los Fresnos

**PIF #:** 10904

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10211

**Project Name:** SWTP Expansion & Distribution Lines

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Expand Water Treatment Plant to 2.5 MGD - increase treatment, filtration, and pumping surface water to the public distribution system to address overall capacity. Replacement of 4" distribution lines to address low pressure. Replacement of existing fire hydrants to address water loss. Prepare an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$296,442.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,155,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/21/2014	4/1/2015	\$10,726,443.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$420,000.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	0.5
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 23.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Harris Co FWSD # 1A

**PIF #:** 10905

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10293

**Project Name:** Distribution Line Replacement

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning & Design

**Project Description:** Replace distribution system in four phases and rehabilitate elevated storage tanks (EST). The entire distribution system is original, exceeding 50 years in age. A significant amount of the distribution system is steel petroleum industry pipe that was provided by area refineries. The line sizes do not meet the current state criteria and do not offer fire protection in most areas of the district. Both EST's have been cited by the TCEQ for Notice of Violations for the maintenance issues requiring significant repair and recoating.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$68,179.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,137,223.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	1/1/2016	3/1/2017	\$5,901,958.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,685,888.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	12.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	2.5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 26.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Ladonia

**PIF #:** 10906

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Name:** Distribution Lines & System Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Construction

**Project Description:** Install new water distribution lines to address water loss of 30% associated with aging abestos-cement lines. Rehabilitate existing elevated storage tank and recoat to address excessive rusting.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$219,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$175,800.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	8/1/2014	8/1/2015	\$1,966,800.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	22.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** **32.50**

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Rio Hondo

**PIF #:** 10907

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 9981

**Project Name:** Emergency Water Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Rehabilitation of the treatment plant, replacement of distribution lines, replacement of meters, and new pumping system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$460,950.99
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$243,500.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$518,295.31
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/15/2014	10/15/2015	\$2,371,418.50

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$5,309,758.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	30
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	Yes	2.5
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 44.50

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Bandera Co FWSD # 1

**PIF #:** 10908

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10064

**Project Name:** Emergency - Alternative Water Source

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Planning Design & Construction

**Project Description:** Emergency construction of a new well, storage & pumping facilities, and lines to tie into the existing system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$52,450.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$89,798.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$1,075,710.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	Yes	10
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	No	0
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

**Rating:** 10.00

**Texas Water Development Board**  
**Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan**  
**Project Information Form Rating Report**

**Authority** Greenbelt MIWA

**PIF #:** 10933

**Program:** DWSRF

**PPL Year:** 2015

**Related PIFS:** 10095

**Project Name:** Water Supply Improvements

**Project Type** Public Water System

**Project\_Phase:** Acquisition Design & Construction

**Project Description:** A wellfield, supplying up to 3 MGD, will be constructed on the North Ogallala Aquifer. This wellfield will be connected to the GMIWA treatment plant with a new, 16-inch pipeline approximately 15-miles long.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$1,570,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$770,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	7/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$7,660,000.00

Green Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Green Amount: \$0.00

**Project Rating**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Combined Rating, Health and Compliance, and Primary Compliance Factors	No	0
Population Factor	No	0
Secondary Compliance Factors	No	0
Consolidation Factor	Yes	32
SWP - System Vulnerability Factor	No	0
SWP - Ability to Implement Best Management Practices Factor	No	0
SWP - Affordability Factor	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past five years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project involve the use of reclaimed water?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address a specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Project implements elements contained in a state or regional water plan, watershed protection plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

**Rating:** 44.00