

**State Fiscal Year 2024  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

---

**To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.**

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Crockett			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15002	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 1015 (2010)			
<b>Project Name:</b> WWTP & Sanitary Sewer Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> WWTP and collection system experiencing overflows and TCEQ violations from dilapidated, failing equipment, and excessive I/I. Proposed project consists of Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) and sanitary sewer improvements to include: equalization basin, influent pumping, mechanical bar screen, grit collection, classification, grit pumping, aeration basin improvements, clarifiers (new and refurbished), blowers / mechanical aerators, return sludge pumping, disinfection, solids processing, digester repair, solids dewatering and processing, polymer tankage and mixing, one-time sludge removal from aeration basin, process piping, paving and miscellaneous concrete flatwork and sitework, RAS pumping, collection system I/I improvements, manhole and piping repair, smoke testing and CCTV inspection.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$608,750.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$817,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2026	01/31/2028	\$10,110,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>80.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Kingsland MUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15003	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Septage Pretreatment Facility Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project includes a new concrete septage pretreatment facility to include screening, grit removal, aeration, clarification, and sludge drying beds with a capacity of 20,000 gpd; and necessary LCRA permitted water quality controls. The facility serves as a regional disposal site for septage haulers and facilitates maintenance of OSSFs in the unincorporated Llano and Burnet County areas. Treated effluent from the facility is discharged into KMUD's Main WWTP. The solids are processed and recycled at KMUD's adjacent sludge composting facility where they are sold to the public for landscaping.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/15/2023	\$1,480,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2024	05/15/2025	\$8,100,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>25.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> East Rio Hondo WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15004	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Rio Hondo Middle School Pump Station Generator			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The RHMS Wastewater Lift Station serves the RHMS with 525 student, teachers, and administrators. There is no backup power and ERHWSO has no portable backup generator for its system. The school may be used as an emergency shelter during severe weather events. Continuous wastewater pumpage is critical. Addition of backup power and generators.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2025	01/11/2025	\$354,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>25.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Guadalupe Blanco RA			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15005	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lake Placid Spillgate Replacement and Dam Armoring			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Lake Placid Spillgate Replacement and Dam Armoring Project consists in the replacement of the two existing bear trap style crest gates at Placid Dam with new hydraulically actuated crest gates and hydraulic power unit; demolition of the existing gates and associated concrete, new structural concrete work, electrical, instrumentation, dam embankment armoring, and associated site work.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/07/2023	08/05/2025	\$12,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>31.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Guadalupe Blanco RA			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15006	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lake McQueeney Spillgate Replacement and Dam Armoring			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Lake McQueeney Spillgate Replacement and Dam Armoring Project consists in the replacement of the three existing bear trap style crest gates at McQueeney Dam with new hydraulically actuated crest gates and hydraulic power unit; demolition of the existing gates and associated concrete, new structural concrete work, electrical, instrumentation, dam embankment armoring, and associated site work.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/07/2023	08/05/2025	\$18,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>31.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> La Porte			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15007	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> 73733 Lomax Area Wastewater Lift Station Consolidation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project includes extending gravity sewer lines to eliminate nine (9) aging sanitary lift stations with a single lift station and force main for a net reduction of eight (8) lift stations. The service area affected suffers from inflow and infiltration. Construction of 1 lift station with motor controls and generator, replacement of approximately 20,000 feet of gravity sewer main, 67 manholes, approximately 8,100 linear feet of 12-inch forcemain and approximately 1,800 linear feet of 6 and 8-inch forcemain. Installation of storm sewers and paving along Coupland Drive (funded with local funds).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2023	08/01/2025	\$26,276,160.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>65.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Harlingen Water Works System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15008	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIF 14343 (2023)			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lift Station 76 System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Lift Station LS-76 and LS-75 that convey flow from western Harlingen and two regional wholesale customers are severely undersized, resulting in extensive collection system surcharge and recurrent overflows. Further upstream, five lift stations that pump directly to LS-55 via manifold force main experience an excessive range of operating pressure rendering them unable to deliver peak wet weather flows with all pumps running. A replacement of LS-75 and LS-76 with more than a 150% capacity increase is proposed to resolve their existing capacity deficiencies, and the manifolded upstream lift stations will be eliminated by installation of a trunk sewer and upgrade of LS-55 that will operate as the only lift station pumping into the existing force main. The improvements will resolve collection system surcharge, overflows, and extreme operational challenges during wet weather conditions.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$460,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2024	\$460,000.00
Design:			\$460,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/03/2025	\$20,150,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>10.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Arp				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15009	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Arp sewer plant replacement and I & I reduction project				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Site preparation, install new WWTP and appurtenances, address I & I issues in collection system. Install "permeable Asphalt"				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$455,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$465,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2025	12/30/2026	\$6,545,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$3,500,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>50.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Denison			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15010	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 15012 (2024)			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Denison Collection System Improvements Project in Disadvantaged Areas			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project includes several elements to rehabilitate the wastewater collection system and reduce extraneous flows in disadvantaged areas within the City of Denison.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$3,900,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/12/2024	01/29/2027	\$24,300,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$28,200,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>61.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lago Vista			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15011	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lago Vista Dual Purpose Wastewater Treatment Plant Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This is a dual purpose project. It includes an increase in plant capacity from 1.0 MGD to 1.5 MGD, and a change in the treatment process to switch from Type 2 to Type 1 effluent.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$3,600,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/10/2025	07/02/2027	\$23,400,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$27,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>28.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Denison			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15012	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>Related PIFs:</b>		15010 (2024)	
<b>Project Name:</b> Denison Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Denison operates the Paw Paw Wastewater Treatment Plant (PPWWTP), which provides wastewater services for most of the City's service area. This project will involve improvements to the plant headworks and the aeration basins.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$4,400,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/14/2024	01/29/2027	\$23,600,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lago Vista			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15013	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lago Vista Effluent Pond #17			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project involves the repair and rehabilitation of the City's Effluent Pond #17.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,200,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/10/2025	07/09/2027	\$9,800,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$11,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Sunbelt FWSD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15014	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Oakwilde Wastewater Treatment Facility (Oakwilde Service Area of Sunbelt FWSD)			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Seeking to secure funds for the construction portion of the Oakwilde Wastewater Treatment Facility to address the shortfall in funding originally received under TWDB Loan 73787. This facility was delayed due to escalated construction costs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/02/2024	08/03/2026	\$7,577,180.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>15.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Kemp			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15015	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Kemp Waste Water System Upgrades and Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW – Both		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Evaluate existing WWTP and determine options to upgrade, improve versus constructing a new plant. Also, clay tile pipe replacement for the collection system and lift station upgrades.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$680,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2024	\$250,000.00
Design:			\$1,460,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2025	12/31/2026	\$14,310,000.00

**Green Project:** No

---

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

---

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>51.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Runaway Bay			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15016	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Emergency Wastewater Recommendations for System Rehabilitation and Replacements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City has a history of sanitary sewer overflows and capacity restrictions throughout the collection system. This project consists of a number of collection system replacements and upgrades that address these deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$663,934.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,158,600.00
Est. Construction:	06/27/2025	06/25/2027	\$4,802,466.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>35.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Aledo			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15017	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Aledo WWTP Expansion			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Aledo WWTP will be expanding from a 0.6 MGD to a 1.2 MGD annual average daily flow treatment to prepare for wastewater flow increasing to 75% of the current permitted capacity and to meet regulations by the TCEQ.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,438,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/24/2024	02/27/2027	\$17,232,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>52.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> North Texas MWD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15018	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Muddy Creek WWTP Expansion to 12.5 MGD and Operations Building Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Muddy Creek WWTP is a regional treatment plant which serves the two cities of Wylie and Murphy as well as portions of the surrounding areas which include the Wylie NorthEast SUD (included on the map). The 12.5 million gallons per day (MGD) expansion project will increase the Muddy Creek WWTP (MCWWTP) annual average daily flow (AADF) treatment capacity from 10.0 to 12.5 MGD and implement solutions to concerns such as BOD loading capacity and insufficient disinfection. A new operations building is also included to provide additional office and laboratory space to conduct plant operations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/30/2023	\$7,521,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/23/2024	05/31/2027	\$112,715,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>30.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Shallowater			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15019	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Treatment and Collection Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City is at 75% of their permitted use and must start planning for an expansion of their wastewater treatment facility per TCEQ regulations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,231,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		10/15/2024	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$2,047,250.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/07/2025	08/01/2027	\$23,856,250.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Rayburn Country MUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15020	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Rayburn Country Clean Water			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Improvements and rehabilitation are needed throughout the system to keep up with demand and lower energy costs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$779,519.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$552,028.00
Est. Construction:	10/06/2024	05/01/2026	\$6,924,017.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$100,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Newport MUD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15021	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Newport Sanitary Sewer System Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Newport MUD is responsible for operating and maintaining the sanitary sewer system within its boundary. The sewer system and wastewater treatment plant experience increased flows in rain events due to system failures. Many parts of the sewer system have or are approaching the end of its estimated design life. The District is evaluating television inspections and preparing condition assessments of the system to identify the severity of the issues to prepare a rehabilitation schedule, which will prioritize improvements to components of the system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$426,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$812,100.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2024	10/31/2024	\$4,761,900.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>5.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Grapeland			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15022	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The plant needs upgrades/improvements that will allow improved operations and maintenance. Collection system improvements are needed to address I&I issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$370,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$750,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2025	12/31/2026	\$6,385,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>41.00</b>





**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Conroe Bay Water-Sewer Supply Corp			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15023	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 14340 (2023 Submission)			
<b>Project Name:</b> Conroe Bay 0.048 MGD WWTP			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement of 0.048 MGD wastewater treatment facility.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$54,250.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$55,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2024	04/30/2025	\$887,750.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$100,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Travis County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15024	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Travis County Wastewater System Improvements for Underserved Areas			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Within Travis County there are numerous areas that have highly inadequate wastewater systems. This application seeks to assist these underserved communities to improve their wastewater systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/26/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$1,250,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/12/2024	01/09/2026	\$4,750,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>11.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Travis County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15025	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Reclaimed Water Main to Serve New Travis County Courthouse			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Travis County is interested in extending reclaimed water service to the new Travis County Courthouse.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/26/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$500,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/10/2025	01/09/2026	\$2,850,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$3,350,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>29.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Travis County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15026	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> McNeil Road Drainage Improvements #117			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The McNeil Road Drainage Improvement is an important stormwater project that will provide needed hydraulic and water quality benefits to this area in Northwest Travis County.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/26/2024	\$22,320,000.00
Design:		05/10/2024	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/27/2025	06/26/2026	\$12,000,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$34,320,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>86.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> New Ulm WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15027	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Ulm WSC Wastewater Treatment Plant Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace the above ground package plant with a new built on site extended aeration system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$137,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2024	10/01/2024	\$1,757,500.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>56.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> North Texas MWD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15028	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Panther Creek WWTP Expansion to 15MGD			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The TCEQ discharge permit includes Interim Phase I, InterimPhase II, Interim Phase III and the Final Phase which are in increments of 5 million gallons per day (MGD) on an annual average daily flow basis.This project, for Interim Phase I, will increase capacity of the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) in Frisco, Texas from 10 MGD to 15 MGD and will increase the peak flow capacity of the plant. Interim Phase II and Interim Phase III will be doneat a later date. This application for funding covers Interim Phase I only.Electrical Improvements including backup generators are included in the scope of work.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/06/2024	\$5,677,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/23/2024	07/31/2027	\$124,520,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>18.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Glidden FWSD # 1			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15029	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>		2024	
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Sewer Line Upgrade			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace aging sewer lines			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$121,036.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2024	10/31/2024	\$1,855,167.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,270,530.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> San Marcos			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15030	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Highway 80 Wastewater Utility Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project consists of a new 6.0 MGD lift station, approximately 15,000LF of 18-inch HDPE force main and a 16-inch reclaimed water main.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$826,145.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2024	\$3,000,000.00
Design:		12/31/2024	\$800,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/28/2025	03/01/2026	\$20,500,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>48.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Comal County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15031	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Comal County Water Quality Protection Lands Program			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Comal County is interested in pursuing a program to acquire large tracts of land for the purpose of protecting the quality and quantity of its surface and groundwater resources, i.e., its springs, streams, rivers, and aquifers.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/30/2026	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/26/2024	01/30/2026	\$30,000,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$30,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>3.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Manor				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15032	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIF 72924 (2010)				
<b>Project Name:</b> East Travis County Regional Wastewater Collection System and Treatment Plant Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Trunk mains and wastewater treatment plant to serve eastern Travis County with regional, centralized sewer service.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,490,000.00
Acquisition:			\$600,000.00
Design:			\$5,955,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2025	03/01/2027	\$113,955,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$100,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>11.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Arlington			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15033	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Kee Branch and Shadow Drive Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Arlington has identified existing wastewater pipelines in close proximity to major waterways, including Kee Branch and the Trinity River. The existing pipelines are being undermined by soil erosion. The City has evaluated these two locations and proposes to construct two separate lift stations to relocate the pipelines away from the waterways thereby mitigating a release of raw wastewater.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$3,140,509.00
Est. Construction:	06/27/2025	06/25/2027	\$19,662,580.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>53.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15034	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Austin-Guadalupe Street Flood Risk Reduction Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Hyde Park neighborhood region has experienced significant structural flooding in recent years. It was heavily impacted during the Federally Declared Flood Disaster in 2015. COA's Watershed Protection Department intends to upgrade 28,000 linear feet (lf) of subsurface stormwater drains east of Guadalupe Street and west of Avenue G. In addition to the subsurface stormwater pipes, the proposed project also includes: Three new surface-level detention ponds near the Baker Center and in Adams-Hemphill Park with Green Stormwater Infrastructure for Water Quality treatment; Stream restoration using Natural Channel Design for Waller Creek downstream of detention pond; Underground stormwater detention structures around the former Baker Center; Improvements to the outfall structures at Central Park Pond and Triangle Pond just west of Guadalupe Street; and Related utility relocations throughout the project area. Waller Creek is listed on the Texas 303(d) list (originally listed in 2004) as an impaired stream (bacteria and benthics), we plan to improve water quality in the receiving stream with this project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		03/18/2025	\$3,776,828.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/15/2024	\$6,885,365.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/18/2025	11/27/2028	\$30,300,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$40,962,190.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>98.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15035	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Austin Buttermilk Creek Water Quality Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Austin Buttermilk Creek is located within a disadvantaged area and contributes flow to Walnut Creek, an impaired water body. This multi-phase green project includes water quality improvements through new stormwater controls measures (SCMs), removal of deteriorating wastewater infrastructure, and restoration of stream stability and riparian habitat. This project is nearing completion of the preliminary engineering phase.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,305,000.00
Acquisition:		02/15/2025	\$200,000.00
Design:			\$2,765,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/15/2025	12/31/2028	\$17,890,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$22,160,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>113.00</b>

# Texas Water Development Board Clean Water State Revolving Fund Project Information Form Rating Report

<b>Authority:</b> Irving			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15036	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIFs 14707(2022), 14215(2023)			
<b>Project Name:</b> North Delaware Creek Flood Protection Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The North Delaware Creek area in Irving, Texas experiences serious flooding. With the improvements described in this project plan, this serious flooding will be largely alleviated. The proposed improvements include increasing the channel capacity by lowering the flow line and replacing the existing concrete lined trapezoidal channel with vertical modular block walls and a concrete bottom. Also several undersized crossings will be replaced to provide a 100-year Level of Service.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,187,500.00
Acquisition:		09/08/2023	\$1,075,000.00
Design:			\$2,875,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/05/2024	01/10/2025	\$30,500,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

## Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>0.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Nueces Co DCD # 2			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15037	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Petronila Drainage Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project consists of a 10 Acre detention pond on the north side of Petronila, Texas to alleviate drainage and to recapture storm water runoff for agricultural irrigation. The project includes drainage ditches entering and exiting the pond.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$262,852.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2024	\$1,770,886.00
Design:			\$779,329.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2024	12/31/2024	\$2,305,680.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>40.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Nueces Co DCD # 2			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15038	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Belk Lane Drainage Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project consists of a ditch on the north side of Belk Lane behind the residential houses. The ditch will serve as an interceptor between County Road 22 ditch and County Road 67 ditch, hence relieving flooding to the Belk Lane residents.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$125,210.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2024	\$303,511.00
Design:			\$96,185.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2024	10/31/2024	\$332,023.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>35.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Keene			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15039	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project is intended to replace old sewer lines and repair lift stations to improve inflow & infiltration.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$105,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$250,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/20/2023	02/20/2024	\$1,880,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>25.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Nacogdoches				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15040	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Phase 1 Bonita/Lanana Sanitary Sewer Interceptor Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace and upgrade the existing Bonita/Lanana sewer interceptor. This project is Phase 1 of a multi-phase upgrade.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$416,000.00
Acquisition:		07/31/2025	\$1,960,000.00
Design:			\$968,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2025	03/01/2028	\$15,069,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Marshall			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15041	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Sanitary Sewer Collection System Upgrades			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitate targeted lift stations, forcemains, and failing sections of sanitary sewerline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$450,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$250,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$850,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/04/2024	08/03/2026	\$8,800,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>52.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Ennis				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15042	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Phase 3: WWTP Rehab Project				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Phase 3 Rehabilitation of the existing Oak Grove WWTP.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$399,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$650,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/02/2025	03/01/2027	\$7,490,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Groveton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15043	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Groveton Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Wastewater system and plant improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$250,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$220,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2025	08/01/2026	\$2,508,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Jefferson			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15044	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater / Sewer Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Sanitary Sewer Lift Station and Gravity Line Upgrades			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$215,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$290,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/03/2025	04/01/2027	\$3,785,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>40.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Hitchcock			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15045	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Hitchcock Collection System Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW – Collection		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Hitchcock wastewater collection system is in serious need of repair. The piping elements are largely beyond their useful life and need to be repaired or replaced entirely. The City is under an enforcement order from TCEQ for SSO violations and discharges to Highland Bayou that has significant bacteria water quality impairments. An improved wastewater collection system will reduce SSOs having a direct water quality benefit to receiving waters, including Highland Bayou which is impaired for bacteria and dissolved oxygen.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$3,881,250.00
Est. Construction:	01/26/2024	01/02/2026	\$23,465,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$27,346,250.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>86.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Katy Prairie Conservancy			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15046	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Katie Prairie Conservancy-Source Water Protection Land Acquisition Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition	
<b>Project Description:</b> KPC is interested in purchasing several properties in the Cypress Creek Drainage Basin to mitigate non-point source pollution.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/27/2024	\$18,700,000.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/12/2024	01/24/2025	\$0.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$18,700,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>63.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Jefferson Co WCID # 10			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15047	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Neches River Outfall Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW – Both		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project includes relocation of a wastewater treatment plant discharge and construction of a disinfection basin, lift station and two-mile force main due to TCEQ Enforcement/Agreed Order.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2023	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2024	11/01/2025	\$9,340,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>70.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Justin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15048	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Justin Regional Wastewater Program			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project involves connecting the entire City's wastewater to the Trinity River Authority Denton Creek Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$2,000,000.00
Design:			\$2,100,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/14/2024	06/12/2026	\$22,143,320.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>22.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Gregory			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15049	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Treatment Facility and Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Gregory owns and operates a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) that is approaching its design capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$2,755,027.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2025	\$200,000.00
Design:			\$3,656,636.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2027	11/01/2028	\$37,520,610.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$150,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Crystal City			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15050	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 8860 (2011)			
<b>Project Name:</b> Crystal City Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The city of Crystal City serves a rural disadvantaged area and is proposing to replace all lead-glued clay lines and asbestos sewer lines that are still in existence. This will allow the city to maintain compliance with TCEQ, while completing necessary repairs and replacement of wastewater collection and treatment systems. Additionally, the city is planning on expanding the treatment plant to connect to approximately 30 residents that are currently on septic systems. This extension requires replacing an existing lift station so that sewer lines can utilize gravity flow for the City to service the approximately 30 families in the area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/27/2024	01/17/2027	\$8,861,738.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>71.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Fort Worth			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15051	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Village Creek Water Reclamation Facility (VCWRF) Primary Clarifiers 1-12 Replacement & Improvement			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project is for VCWRF, a regional wastewater treatment plant, which serves the City of Fort Worth and 23 surrounding wholesale customers. The project involves the replacement and necessary improvements for primary clarifiers (PCs) at VCWRF; essentially 12 small 80 foot diameter PCs will be demolished and replaced with larger and deeper clarifiers. These small clarifiers were constructed in 1956, 1963 and 1971. In short, these are old, outdated, past their useful life, inefficient, require increased maintenance; in addition six of them are out of operation.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		06/24/2024	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2024	04/30/2027	\$81,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>70.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Gladewater			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15052	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Phase 2 Wastewater Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Upgrades to the WWTP and WW collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$245,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$290,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/04/2024	02/02/2026	\$3,210,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>41.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Mineola			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15053	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Phase 1 Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Upgrades to wastewater collection system			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$408,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$438,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2025	08/01/2026	\$4,654,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Florence			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15054	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Florence Wastewater Treatment Plant Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct new wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), new influent lift station and new wastewater influent interceptor line compliant with current Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) rules and regulations for public wastewater systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$198,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2025	\$125,000.00
Design:			\$1,398,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2025	06/01/2026	\$11,566,640.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Hays County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15055	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Hays County Water Quality Protection Land Acquisition Program			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition	
<b>Project Description:</b> Hays County Water Quality Protection Land Acquisition ProgramHays County is interested in purchasing property for the purpose of acquiring land within the recharge and contributing zones of the Trinity and Edwards Aquifers and within the watersheds of Cypress Creek, Plum Creek and the Upper San Marcos River as a strategy to mitigate additional non-point source pollution. These lands will be managed as Water Quality Protection Land.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/20/2024	\$30,250,000.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/12/2024	12/26/2025	\$0.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$30,250,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>52.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Grand Saline			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15056	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Grand Saline Wastewater Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Wastewater System Improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$245,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$150,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2024	05/01/2025	\$2,075,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Wilmer			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15057	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Outfall Force Main Emergency Replacement Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<p><b>Project Description:</b> This project is an extreme emergency because of the ongoing threat of a temporary force main potentially rupturing and causing a massive sewage overflow into the Trinity River, a source of drinking water for millions of people. The City of Wilmer, Texas was notified by the Texas Commission of Environmental Quality (TCEQ) through the City of Dallas of a reported Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) along the west bank of the Trinity River across from the Dallas Water Utilities (DWU) South Side II Wastewater Treatment Plant (SS2WWTP) on Tuesday, October 6, 2020. City of Wilmer staff investigated the site and discovered a pipe failure on the 16-inch ductile iron force main near the western bank of the Trinity River just beyond the existing concrete anchor block. City staff has installed a temporary pipeline that is very unstable and could fail at any time. This project involves the installation of a new 16-inch Force Main to replace the entire length of aged 16-inch ductile iron force main currently serving the City of Wilmer (see attached Exhibit A for project location and alignment) and replace the temporary line. This pipeline was built in 1974 and replacement of the entire force main is recommended because ductile pipe used in an aggressive environment like a wastewater force main typically has a design useful life of 20 to 40 years. The existing Wilmer pipeline has experienced catastrophic failures at various locations and is believed to be beyond its anticipated design useful life. A complete force main pipe replacement is recommended at this time.</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		03/08/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$905,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/11/2024	10/10/2025	\$2,872,158.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>51.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> O'Donnell			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15058	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> O'Donnell 2024 Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will include the rehabilitation of a small lift station and replacement approximately 39,000 LF of sewer collection line replacement throughout the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$444,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$857,500.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/31/2024	\$14,701,870.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$16,004,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	<b>6.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Riverside			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15059	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Riverside Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> New 0.100 MGD wastewater treatment plant to serve the City of Riverside.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$300,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$100,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2024	10/31/2025	\$2,200,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$150,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>30.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Angelina Co WCID # 4			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15060	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Improvements for Lift Station No. 1 and Lift Station No.2, Angelina County, WCID #4			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will make repairs to Lift Station no. 1 and Lift Station no. 2 of the Angelina County WCID#4.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$63,300.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$29,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2024	09/01/2025	\$207,700.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$300,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>30.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Abilene			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15061	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project includes upsizing of the 36-Inch West Interceptor within the collection system. The planned projects will improve the system capability of mitigating peak wet weather events and help to reduce the potential for collection system surcharging and corresponding sanitary sewer overflows.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,767,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$2,957,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	11/01/2027	\$54,289,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Blanco			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15062	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Blanco Citywide Wastewater System Improvements and Reclaimed Water System			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Blanco wishes to undertake several wastewater related projects.-Lift Station Replacement.-Sewer Main Replacement.-Manhole Rehabilitation-Treated Effluent Storage Pond.-Pond Berm Augmentation-Asset Management Program.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/14/2024	\$290,000.00
Design:			\$2,541,820.00
Est. Construction:	07/12/2024	07/09/2027	\$17,395,470.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$5,400,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Albany			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15063	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Albany 2024 Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Albany needs to replace or rehabilitate multiple components of its collection system and WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$695,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$440,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2024	02/03/2026	\$9,675,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$7,655,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>29.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Santa Anna			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15064	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater 2024 Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project includes replacement of aging sewer lines in the collection system, replacement of manholes, addition of manholes, and the addition of a new sewage lift station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$430,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$275,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2024	\$4,630,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$5,335,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>17.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Spur				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15065	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Spur 2024 Wastewater System Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project includes rehabilitation of existing manholes and collection lines in various parts of the system.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$375,000.00
Acquisition:			\$268,000.00
Design:			\$70,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2025	\$4,007,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$4,720,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>29.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> La Marque			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15066	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Sanitary Sewer I&I Reduction			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Perform CCTV and pipeline replacement or CIPP pipeline rehabilitation to reduce Inflow and Infiltration into the sanitary sewer system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$220,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$730,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2024	06/01/2025	\$9,050,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$10,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>66.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Woodloch			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15067	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Town of Woodloch Sanitary Sewer System Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace 15,000 LF of sanitary sewer lines that are dilapidated and in poor condition.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$90,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$70,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2024	02/28/2025	\$825,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$30,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>25.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Chico				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15068	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Chico Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> After exceeding NH <sub>3</sub> -N limits of their TPDES Permit, the City of Chico proposes to add additional treatment tankage to their existing wastewater treatment plant to achieve compliance.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$384,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$396,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2025	03/16/2026	\$4,442,500.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>30.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Big Lake			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15069	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Big Lake 2024 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Big Lake desires to replace portions of the City's wastewater collection pipelines and manholes that have reached the end of their service life and require replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$151,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$50,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2025	\$809,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,010,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>2.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lower Valley WD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15070	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Mesa Verde Subdivision Sanitary Sewer System			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project area is not currently being served by the District's sewer system. The District proposes to install lines to expand services and improve pressure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2024	\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2023	\$85,324.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	12/31/2024	\$339,514.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>12.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Monahans			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15071	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Monahans Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project includes screening, clarifier, pump station, oxidation ditch aerator, solids handling, and Electrical and SCADA improvements at the wastewater treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$470,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$463,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2023	01/31/2025	\$7,769,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$8,702,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>2.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Fort Bend Co MUD # 131			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15072	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Phase I Permanent 0.60 MGD Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Facility			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construction of Phase I permanent 0.6 MGD WWTP Facility to serve the District, FBC MUD No. 189, and BFBC MUD No. 3 to replace the existing leased package plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,305,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$4,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2025	08/24/2026	\$10,640,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>0.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Venus			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15073	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Venus Sewer Interceptor			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The existing Sanitary Sewer system has reached its maximum capacity and does not have the capacity to serve proposed developments without increasing capacity of the system. The System currently has several points where capacity requests cannot be met by the existing facilities. Some of the Trunk or Collection facilities within the system that have reached capacity			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$425,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2024	\$375,000.00
Design:			\$1,500,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2024	03/31/2026	\$22,230,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Alamo			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15074	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Tower Rd. Lift Station Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project will replace the Tower Rd. Lift Station with a new lift station at a different location. The Tower Rd. LS is one of the main LS that conveys flow to City of Alamo WWTP. This project will require constructing a new 12-inch sanitary sewer main from the existing Tower Rd. LS to the new LS, constructing the new tri-plex submersible LS at a different location, and associated force main.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$138,230.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$545,100.00
Est. Construction:	10/06/2024	08/02/2025	\$3,779,360.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Winters			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15075	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Winters Wastewater Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The existing wastewater collection system suffers from significant infiltration and inflow (I&I), pipe blockages and collapsed manholes. The City is applying for funding to help address identified problem areas and restore the integrity and reliability within the collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$322,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$153,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2026	\$2,947,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$2,500,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>31.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> East Texas MUD of Smith County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15076	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> None			
<b>Project Name:</b> Chapel Hill WWTP			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> East Texas MUD proposes to construct a .200 MGD waste water facility to replace the existing Chapel Hill ISD WWTP. The current WWTP is a TDLAP waste water facility that serves only the District's campuses along SH 64 in the Chapel Hill community. The District does not have the expertise and man power to adequately operate their plant and collection system and has requested the East Texas MUD partner with them to replace the existing WWTP and take over as the sewer provider for the area. In addition to the WWTP facility, the project will include: 5,217 LF of 6" sewer; 6,391 LF of 8" sewer; and 5,805 LF of sewer. These sewer improvements will expand sewer service to the adjacent neighborhoods and will begin the trunk of what will eventually be the Chapel Hill communities' first public sewer system. The adjacent neighborhood will serve up to 126 houses that are currently on aerobic and/or septic systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2024	\$90,625.00
Design:			\$593,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2025	01/01/2026	\$5,759,575.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>11.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Palm Valley			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15077	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Palm Valley 2024 Drainage Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The funding will be specifically used to complete three major drainage projects within the City. As illustrated in the attached Exhibit A – Recommended Drainage Improvements, two (2) drainage improvement projects have been completed or are under construction utilizing City funds. The PVDE Drainage Improvements were completed in the Spring of 2020 and the Lake #3 Improvements will be completed this summer. The Golf Course Ditch Improvements will be completed in 2022 with TWDB - Flood Infrastructure Funds.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$844,500.00
Est. Construction:	03/04/2024	01/06/2025	\$9,580,501.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>28.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Cotulla			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15078	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>		2024	
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 11091 (2016), 13939 (2022), 14302 (2023)			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Cotulla WWTP Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Influent Pump Station, Clarifier and Drying Bed Improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/02/2026	\$5,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>50.00</b>



# Texas Water Development Board Clean Water State Revolving Fund Project Information Form Rating Report

<b>Authority:</b> Harlingen Water Works System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15079	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> AMI Water Meter Upgrade Project Phase 1			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> HWWS's most recent water loss audit reported that many of the mechanical water meters in HWWS's water system under-report actual customer consumption resulting in significant revenue losses. Phased replacement of mechanical meters with smart meters that are equipped with advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) that have much higher long-term accuracy will greatly reduce apparent losses, increase water conservation through customer awareness of consumption via abnormal consumption notifications and improved engagement technology platforms.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2024	10/03/2025	\$16,765,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$13,972,760.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>35.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Red River Authority			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15080	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Arrowhead Ranch Estates Sewer Plant Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project will replace the existing 30,000 GPD package wastewater treatment plant, along with piping and appurtenances.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$60,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2024	02/01/2025	\$550,000.00

**Green Project:** No

---

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

---

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>5.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Stinnett				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15081	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Stinnett New Wastewater Treatment Plant				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The existing wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) serving Stinnett has reached the end of its useful life. Stinnett is proposing construction of a facility utilizing lagoons for treatment of domestic wastewater.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$326,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$512,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2024	11/01/2025	\$4,448,480.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>45.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Pearsall			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15082	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pearsall Wastewater Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project includes providing sanitary sewer service to homes and businesses on the east side of I-35 business road, along with two new lift stations and a force main. Completion of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/29/2023	\$806,000.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/02/2026	\$7,830,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>32.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Huntsville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15083	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> NB Davidson Wastewater Treatment Plant			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> NB Davidson Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation and Process Improvement			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$740,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$3,140,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/25/2024	09/25/2025	\$50,905,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>15.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Redwater			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15084	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater (Sewer) System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Upgrades to the Existing WWTP and Collection System			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$335,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$340,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/02/2024	12/01/2026	\$5,065,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lower Valley WD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15085	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Cuadrilla Wastewater Collection System			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project area has two components. First, the proposal is to replace old existing sewer lines that services 52 residents. Second, the proposal is to install and connect 24 new residents to the new collection system and expand those services this will decommission those septic tanks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2024	\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2023	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	12/31/2024	\$3,945,832.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>13.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lower Valley WD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15086	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Celum Road Sanitary Sewer System			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project's goal is to connect the current population which is currently on a septic system to the District's sanitary sewer system. The District proposes to install 2,369 L.F. of new 8" PVC along with nine 48" manholes. This sewer system is expected to connect to 9 total 4" PVC sewer service lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$150,633.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	12/31/2024	\$1,158,865.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>13.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lower Valley WD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15087	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> North Loop Sanitary Sewer System Extension to Fabens WWTP			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project is in the planning phase. This project would be essential to connect the current and future system to the new proposed waste water treatment plant in the Fabens area on property owned by the District. The project consists on approximately 30,500 LF of 15" in sewer lines including 61 manholes and 1 lift station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2025	12/31/2025	\$36,815,760.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>11.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lower Valley WD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15088	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Valle Bonito Sewer Collection System			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Valle Bonito is located approximately 2,500 feet from the intersection of Alameda and Denton Road. This project area consists of 2 subdivisions: Valle Bonito and Las Misiones. They are both across the street from one another. They are also next to Clint High School. There are approximately 161 properties that will be benefited from the wastewater line extensions in order to be able to service existing residents within this area with approximately 145 yardlines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$125,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	12/31/2024	\$5,314,030.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>11.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Bonham			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15089	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIF# 12570 Proj#73808			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Bonham - 2024 TWDB CWSRF Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Installation of approximately 19,000 LF of gravity sewer line, manhole and associated appurtenances. (Bid schedules 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 & 9). The wastewater lines being replaced by this project are failing and have exceeded their useful life. The existing lines are clay tile pipe which have failing joints and require labor intensive maintenance. Clay tile pipe has also been known to be a source of infiltration into sanitary sewer collection systems. By replacing several of the existing collection lines with PVC, the City will be able to remove infiltration and create capacity to facilitate demand of future population growth. Additionally, the city will be implementing an asset management plan as part of the project to better manage the collection system components. The project has already gone through design phase and is ready for bid upon approval of funding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	04/30/2025	\$9,191,274.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>66.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Streetman				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15090	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> New Wastewater Treatment Plant				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Project consists of constructing a new WWTP to replace the existing WWTP due to age and structural condition.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$336,950.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$49,600.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$496,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/01/2026	08/01/2027	\$7,084,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>22.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Tenaha			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15091	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Tenaha -- Wastewater System Improvements and Upgrades			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Wastewater System Improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$275,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2024	05/01/2025	\$2,250,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>40.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Bandera			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15092	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Treatment Plant Relocation Outside of the Floodway			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Relocation of the City of Bandera's wastewater treatment plant outside of the FEMA regulatory floodway. The proposed project would include construction of a new wastewater treatment facility and associated conveyance from the existing site to the proposed location of the new facility. Project also includes preparation of an asset management plan for the wastewater collection and treatment system including condition assessment of wastewater critical infrastructure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$978,600.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2023	\$650,000.00
Design:			\$1,508,080.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2023	08/31/2026	\$12,242,880.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>61.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Pflugerville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15093	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Interceptors and System Planning			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement of two existing interceptors, update to the city's Wastewater Master Plan, and a Downtown Wastewater Utility Study.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,250,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2024	\$350,000.00
Design:			\$1,380,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	01/01/2026	\$7,100,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>5.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Pflugerville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15094	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Cottonwood West Lift Station and Interceptor			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Lift station and interceptor serving the southern portion of the Cottonwood West Basin.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,000,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2024	\$500,000.00
Design:			\$8,050,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	01/01/2027	\$41,250,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>5.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Military Highway WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15095	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Military Highway Water Supply Corporation - Lift Station Rehab Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Military Highway Water Supply Corporation rehabilitation project includes 10 existing lift stations throughout MHWSC service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$163,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/04/2024	\$215,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2024	03/03/2025	\$2,878,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$400,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Wharton				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15096	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Waste Water Treatment Plant 1 (WWTP 1) Replacement & Rehabilitation				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement and rehabilitation of components of WWTP 1 that have exceeded design service life to avoid possible eventual contamination of the Colorado River.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$190,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$433,500.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2023	10/01/2025	\$2,935,500.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>26.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Danbury				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15097	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 14260 (2023)				
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Facilities & System Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City has a deteriorating WWTP and collection system with many critical components in a non-functional condition. The City is treating wastewater to minimum acceptable conditions without redundancy on several components.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$235,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,625,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	12/31/2025	\$6,290,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>66.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Pflugerville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15098	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> SFY 2024 Wastewater System Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitation of collection system infrastructure in several locations to bring the system up to current design standards and improve system efficiency.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,649,900.00
Est. Construction:	06/03/2024	06/01/2026	\$8,250,100.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>5.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Roaring Springs			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15099	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Roaring Springs CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> For this project, Roaring Springs will be replacing wastewater sewer lines an rehabilitating the wastewater systems irrigation discharge system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$289,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$158,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2025	\$1,093,500.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,540,500.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>35.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Orangefield WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15100	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> ORANGEFIELD WATER SUPPLY CORP WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE POINT RELOCATION PROJECT			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Relocate existing orangefield wsc wastewater treatment plant effluent outfall into Cow Bayou and relocate to the Sabine River			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$130,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		07/13/2023	\$550,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	01/03/2024	09/18/2024	\$4,420,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>53.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Del Rio			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15103	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 12343 (2018)			
<b>Project Name:</b> Northside Sewer Line Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Del Rio, Silver Lake Wastewater Treatment Plant's (SLWWTP) influent is conveyed by a series of large diameter sewer interceptors and smaller diameter sewer collector lines and service laterals which comprise the Silver Lake WWTP service area. The largest incoming main both in size and contributing flow is the Northside Sanitary Sewer Outfall Line. The existing sewer line is in poor condition and is currently at capacity. The proposed project is scheduled to replace the aging infrastructure and provide additional capacity for future growth. northern and southern most segments of the Northside Sanitary Sewer Outfall Line were placed into operation over 40 years ago, while the more central segment of the main was placed in service in 1975.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		07/01/2023	\$503,268.00
<b>Design:</b>		10/31/2023	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	04/01/2024	04/01/2026	\$17,307,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$6,500,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>11.00</b>

# Texas Water Development Board Clean Water State Revolving Fund Project Information Form Rating Report

<b>Authority:</b> Junction			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15104	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Junction Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City has a history of high E-coli and algae concentrations in the effluent discharge water. Improvements to add chlorination and moderate nutrient levels would help the City better stay within their TCEQ Discharge Permit. The WWTP is also in need of general rehab and improvements. The bar screen, headworks, and effluent flow measuring device need to be refurbished. City-Wide Sanitary Sewer Asset Management Plan			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$93,500.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2023	08/01/2025	\$461,500.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>31.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> DeLeon			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15105	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 14266 (2023), 13954 (2022), 13290 (2021)			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project consist of replacing old, deteriorated clay sewer lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$60,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		07/31/2023	\$94,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/31/2023	07/31/2024	\$1,062,500.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,216,500.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>50.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Angleton DD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15108	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitating the Oyster Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant. Repair/replace wastewater collection lines. Rehabilitate five of the City's wastewater lift stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,560,605.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$4,578,342.00
Est. Construction:	09/30/2025	12/31/2030	\$28,381,050.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>41.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Moulton			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15109	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> North Wastewater Treatment Plant Replacement Plant Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Abandonment, Demolition, and Relocation of the North WWTP			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$130,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$910,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2025	06/01/2026	\$7,160,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Alba			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15110	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Waste Water System Improvements to Improve I & I			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Conduct smoke testing and target critical deteriorated sewerlines that are contributing to the City's high inflow and infiltration problems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$230,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$105,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2025	07/01/2026	\$1,175,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>40.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Dallas			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15111	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 2023 PIF 14209			
<b>Project Name:</b> Sanitary Sewer Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Dallas Water Utilities' annual capital budget includes \$20M/year for the rehabilitation/replacement of existing wastewater mains citywide. This wastewater main replacement program is intended to maintain overall system age and integrity by replacing older wastewater mains. Replacement of older mains has many benefits including the reduction of inflow and infiltration, as well as reduced sanitary sewer overflows resulting from collapsed or broken pipes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/25/2024	09/30/2026	\$20,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Fort Worth			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15112	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Mary's Creek Water Reclamation Facility			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Fort Worth plans to construct the Mary's Creek Water Reclamation Facility (MCWRF) by summer of 2028 to serve the growing population in the western part of the City. The 10 MGD greenfield facility will allow the City to expand its reuse program to the west side of Fort Worth. The MCRWF will also relieve the existing interceptors and defer expansion of the Village Creek Water Reclamation Facility (VCWRF).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		05/04/2026	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/02/2025	11/06/2028	\$208,000,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$112,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>33.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Barton Creek West WSC			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15113	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Barton Creek West Wastewater Collection and Treatment Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project calls for major improvements to the existing wastewater treatment plant and the wastewater collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,360,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/12/2024	07/11/2025	\$10,050,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$6,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>10.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Guadalupe Blanco RA			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15114	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Stein Falls WRF Expansion to 1.9 MGD and Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will facilitate the expansion of GBRA's Stein Falls Water Reclamation Facility (WRF) and increase capacity in the Stein Falls collection system in Guadalupe County.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$213,000.00
Acquisition:			\$150,000.00
Design:		11/17/2023	\$1,709,980.00
Est. Construction:	11/20/2023	02/01/2026	\$42,499,800.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>51.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Millsap			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15115	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Wastewater System			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Project consists of installing a new wastewater system for the City of Millsap. The City currently does not have a wastewater collection or treatment system. The existing residents have private septic systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2024	\$350,000.00
Design:			\$438,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2023	12/01/2023	\$7,834,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$8,692,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>46.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Alma			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15116	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Construct a new wastewater treatment plant and collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$270,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		09/30/2024	\$135,000.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$360,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	04/01/2025	06/30/2026	\$3,510,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>12.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Log Cabin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15117	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Log Cabin's Waste Water Treatment Plant Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Log Cabin operates an activated sludge wastewater treatment plant. The plant is experiencing a high quantity of sludge throughout the plant treatment processes and failure to maintain proper aeration within the treatment processes. The yard piping between the treatment units (fiberglass tanks) is experiencing failure and leaks. The flow equalization tank is in need replacing the existing pump and adding an additional pump (backup). The proposed project includes; plant rehabilitation of the wastewater preliminary treatment, wastewater primary treatment, wastewater secondary treatment, with improving the efficiency and quality of the treatment process. Also, the construction of two drying beds to aid with the sludge disposal is necessary. The rehabilitation of the wastewater treatment plant processes includes additional following processes.1.Wastewater preliminary treatment (additional processes with preliminary treatment)2.Wastewater primary treatment (repairs and sludge removal)3. Wastewater secondary treatment (repairs and sludge removal)4 New yard piping between preliminary treatment units and multiple tanks (fiberglass) within the plant (Flow equalization aeration, clarifier and disinfection)5. Construction of two drying beds			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$85,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	12/20/2025	\$465,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>





**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Bayview MUD				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15118	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Bayview MUD Wastewater System Improvements Project.				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Bayview MUD Wastewater System is deteriorating and requires certain elements to be completely replaced.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,178,175.00
Est. Construction:	01/12/2024	07/11/2025	\$7,464,500.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$8,642,675.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>5.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Harlingen Water Works System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15119	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIF 14343 (2023)			
<b>Project Name:</b> Little Creek Interceptor Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Little Creek Interceptor (LCI), HWWS's primary sewer conveying wastewater from 34 sewersheds to the WWTP, experiences severe overloading resulting in collection system overflows during heavy rainfall. Lift Station LS-19 upstream of the LCI has insufficient depth relative to its influent sewers resulting in extensive surcharge within its sewershed even in dry conditions. The undersized trunk sewers into which LS-19 and LS-20 discharge are overloaded during peak wet weather. A deeper, larger, complete replacement of the LCI will resolve its overloading, and proposed sewers connecting to the LCI will eliminate LS-2, 19, 20, 23, and 50 along with surcharging and overflows in their sewersheds and downstream sewers. LS-9 will be upgraded and its force main re-routed to the LCI to relieve overloading in LS-7 and LS-9's sewershed.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/06/2024	05/05/2025	\$31,835,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>10.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Mason				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15120	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Mason 2024 CWSRF Wastewater Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will include an installation of a new lift station, rehabilitation of seven (7) existing lift stations, and about 5,000 LF of sewer collection line replacement throughout the City.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$590,554.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$732,800.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2025	\$9,500,006.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$10,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>31.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Miles			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15121	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater System Evaluation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Miles (City) owns and operates a WWTP that consists of an Imhoff Tank and lagoon system. The effluent from the WWTP is currently land applied at a nearby site via a TLAP permit. The WWTP is in need of upgrade and/or replacement and the City wants to evaluate improvements needed to the WWTP and its collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$300,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/27/2025	\$0.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$300,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>29.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Harlingen Water Works System			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15122	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIF 14344 (2023)			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Treatment Plan #2 Improvements Project			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The integrated influent lift station and headworks at HWWS's WWTP are undersized, have hydraulic design flaws that cause surcharging in the upstream collection system, and are ineffective at removing grit. The peaking factor for actual flows exceed the WWTP's rated peaking factor by 40% or more. The proposed project includes an upsized replacement of the influent lift station with greater depth, an above-ground headworks with greater capacity and upgraded grit removal, and a new flow equalization basin to manage excess peak flows.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/29/2023	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/03/2025	\$33,315,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>30.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Pecos			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15123	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Pecos City Phase II WWTP			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Improvements to the existing wastewater treatment plant by installing a new biological nutrient removal (BNR) system and Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) system to meet discharge water quality requirements and capacity requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		09/04/2023	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/06/2023	11/03/2025	\$40,158,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$40,158,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>113.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Loraine			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15124	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Loraine 2024 CWSRF Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project will include improvements to two existing lift stations within the system and improving undersized/deteriorated collection lines. It will also include sludge removal and repair of the WWTP lagoons and irrigation disposal equipment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$353,000.00
Acquisition:			\$30,000.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2025	\$3,327,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$2,700,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>27.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Seminole			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15125	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Seminole 2024 Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project includes rehabilitation of existing lift stations and appurtenances. Additionally, this Project will incorporate a new reuse system which will be utilized to offset potable water usage in City parks. This project will also include the installation of an AML metering system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$635,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$735,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2025	\$10,400,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$6,160,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>27.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Upper Leon River MWD			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15126	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> ULRMWD 2024 Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project will include the addition of redundant clarification to provide operational flexibility for maintenance and upgrades to the solids handling and dewatering systems to provide alternative solids disposal options at the existing WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$753,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$415,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	06/30/2025	\$7,814,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$8,982,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>33.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> San Angelo			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15127	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> San Angelo 2024 WWTP Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City intends to complete an upgrade to its existing WWTP to prepare for an upcoming potable reuse project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,734,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$3,978,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2023	12/31/2026	\$75,695,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$81,407,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>59.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Brownwood			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15128	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Brownwood 2024 Bowie Lift Station Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Brownwood (City) aims to improve its wastewater system by replacing the existing Camp Bowie Lift Station (LS) at the existing Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). The proposed improvements will include the installation of a new LS, course screen, Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system, and electrical system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$502,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$900,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2024	12/31/2026	\$11,633,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$400,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Snyder			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15129	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Snyder 2024 Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Snyder (City) aims to enhance its wastewater system by improving components of their wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and wastewater collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$429,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$479,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2024	06/01/2026	\$11,536,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$12,444,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>28.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Ballinger			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15130	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Ballinger 2024 Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project includes upgrade of multiple lift stations within the collection system and emergency power generators at each lift station and the WWTP. The planned projects will improve the system capability of mitigating peak wet weather events and help to reduce the potential for collection system surcharging and corresponding sanitary sewer overflows.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$824,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$587,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2025	\$10,258,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Slaton				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15131	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> Slaton 2024 Wastewater System Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City of Slaton is proposing to replace the existing force main from the main lift station to the WWTP as well as to install a permanent onsite generator for the main lift station. The City is also proposing the replacement of aging collection line and manholes in the collection system.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$433,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$577,500.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2023	07/31/2024	\$10,937,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$11,948,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>43.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Crockett Co WCID # 1			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15132	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> CCWCID #1 New WWTP Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project will include the implementation of an effluent-water irrigation disposal system for the existing pond wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) under a new T-LAP permit at a location estimated to be within 6-miles of the WWTP on property to be acquired for this purpose.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$402,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2024	\$100,000.00
Design:			\$185,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	03/01/2026	\$4,018,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$4,705,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>16.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Stamford			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15133	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Stamford 2024 Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project includes screening, clarifier, pump station, oxidation ditch aerator, solids handling, and Electrical and SCADA improvements at the wastewater treatment plant and wastewater collection system improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$600,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,012,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2024	11/01/2025	\$16,988,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC  
**Green Amount:** \$18,600,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>21.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Grandview			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15134	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Collection system improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$120,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$200,000.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	08/31/2023	08/30/2024	\$2,665,200.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$2,204,520.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
	<b>Rating:</b>	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Lindsay			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15135	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Lindsay Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> 0.2 MGD Activated Sludge Treatment Plant			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$1,215,500.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$522,500.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	12/31/2023	12/31/2024	\$5,737,500.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>51.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Graford			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15136	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Manhole Replacement, Sewer Leak Testing and Sewer Line Replacement			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Leak test existing sewer lines and replace existing sewer lines and manholes to reduce inflow and infiltration into the sewer collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$36,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/26/2024	06/23/2023	\$273,600.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$369,600.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Grandview			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15137	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Wastewater treatment plant improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$908,694.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$1,308,948.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/01/2024	03/01/2023	\$19,106,550.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$21,234,192.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>15.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Greater Texoma UA				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15138	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>				
<b>Project Name:</b> GTUA/City of Valley View Wastewater System Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> GTUA/City of Valley View proposed project includes the reconstruct/upgrade of the current Wastewater System and Wastewater Treatment Plant.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		04/30/2023	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	03/14/2025	\$15,542,460.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$4,000,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>41.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Los Fresnos			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15139	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Los Fresnos Wastewater Collection System Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Proposing wastewater improvements which include rehabilitation of existing wastewater infrastructure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$329,000.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	02/20/2024	02/20/2025	\$5,538,618.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>46.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Strawn			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15140	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replacement of manholes, smoke testing, main lift station improvements, Wastewater treatment Plant improvements, and			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2024	07/31/2023	\$350,500.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>20.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Greater Texoma UA			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15141	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> GTUA/City of Lavon WWTP Rehab			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Project consists of expansion of the Bear Creek WWTP to the maximum permitted capacity of 0.750 MGD from the current 0.500 MGD to address TCEQ permitting requirements through construction of new treatment processes and rehabilitation of existing infrastructure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$525,480.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$352,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2024	01/30/2025	\$4,198,687.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Springtown			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15142	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Springtown Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project includes smoke testing, I/I study and manhole rehabilitation.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	03/08/2024	03/14/2025	\$1,050,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$1,050,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>36.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Victoria Co WCID # 2			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15143	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Victoria County Water Control Improvement District No.2 is planning to add to it's existing Wastewater Treatment Plant to better serve the community and allow for future growth of the district. The expansion will also allow for the replacement and service of the existing plant parts while still being able to service the community and meet all of the TCEQ requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$100,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	12/31/2024	\$440,000.00

<b>Green Project:</b>	No
<b>Green Amount:</b>	\$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>51.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Alpine			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15144	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project consists of improvements at the City's wastewater treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$350,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/04/2024	12/31/2024	\$5,220,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Moran			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15145	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Clay sewer line replacement			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$35,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/04/2024	12/31/2024	\$435,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$350,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>25.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Ingleside			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15146	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIFs 12254(2018), 12231(2017), 9147(2012)			
<b>Project Name:</b> New Wastewater Treatment Plant			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Additional funds are being requested for the construction, and commissioning of a new wastewater treatment facility and decommissioning of the existing facility for the disadvantaged community of the City of Ingleside. As we've progressed through the design phase we've refined the proposed design, that, in addition to the unprecedented rise in inflation has left a funding shortfall from the funds previously committed. Major components of the project will consist of an automatic bar screen, grit removal, fine bubble aeration, double clarifiers, sludge thickener, chemical disinfection, a belt filter press, sludge drying beds, high efficiency blowers, generator, new office & lab building and the decommissioning and removal of the existing treatment plant. Through this project the City strives to reduce energy consumption and allow for more efficient operation of the wastewater treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		05/31/2023	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	06/01/2024	06/02/2026	\$10,000,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$900,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	Yes	10.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>10.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Mount Vernon			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15147	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City Wide Collection System and Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project includes rehabilitation of old and deteriorating portions of the sanitary sewer collection system to reduce I&I and improve service to its customers. The WWTP improvements includes adding treatment capacity to maintain compliance with 30 TAC 305.126(a) 75/90 rule, construction of tertiary treatment units, and improvements to the solids treatment units to improve the effluent quality.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/15/2025	\$0.00
Design:			\$1,261,688.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2025	07/01/2026	\$6,310,147.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>16.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Valley MUD # 2			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15148	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Valley MUD #2 New Wastewater Treatment Plant			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project includes the design and construction of a new Wastewater Treatment Plant, to provide a solution to growing wastewater flow requirements. The Valley MUD # 2 Rancho Viejo WWTP, which was constructed in 2005 with a permitted capacity of 0.4 MGD average flow, is presently reaching the TCEQ 75% flow threshold. At 75% flow capacity, the TCEQ requires Owner to pursue site selection, permitting, and design for a new WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$700,000.00
Acquisition:		01/31/2024	\$250,000.00
Design:			\$900,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2025	07/01/2026	\$23,400,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>50.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Presidio County			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15149	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Presidio County Wastewater System Improvements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Presidio County has numerous needs for wastewater systems and flood control improvements within the County. This project contemplates making significant improvements to these wastewater facilities and flood control facilities for this seriously underserved community.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,500,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/26/2024	01/10/2025	\$12,200,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>61.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> East Texas MUD of Smith County					
<b>PIF #:</b>	15150	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> PIF 13186 (2020)					
<b>Project Name:</b> East Texas MUD Friendline Road Sewer Replacement					
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction		
<b>Project Description:</b> East Texas MUD is proposing to replace failing concrete sewer mains along and near Friendline Road. The sewer mains are various sizes including 6", 8", 15", and 18" gravity sewer. The work also includes constructing two lift stations and replacing force main. The project will also include rehabilitating one lift station and adding stand by power at the remaining lift stations.					

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2024	\$43,000.00
Design:			\$610,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2025	01/01/2026	\$6,257,270.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>1.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Port Lavaca			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15151	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Port Lavaca Lynns's Bayou Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The project is needed to address a current non-compliance issue with the TCEQ. The WWTP is currently at 90% capacity; TCEQ has notified Port Lavaca that expansion is required. The intention of this project is to expand the current 2 MGD Facility to a 4 MGD facility to meet the growing demands.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$64,527.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,688,683.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2024	09/30/2026	\$31,857,550.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>100.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Pasadena			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15152	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> City of Pasadena Sanitary Replacement Program			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Replace existing sanitary sewer system			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$7,466,168.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$10,513,090.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2025	05/31/2028	\$100,124,600.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Houston			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15153	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Lift Station Renewal and Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitation of existing wastewater lift stations (LS) within the City's Combined Utility System. Aging facilities require renewal or replacement of core components (electrical, mechanical, structural, flow control and monitoring) to restore designed function and performance. Rehabilitation of LS addresses direct and contributing factors to sanitary sewer overflows, and is a component of the Consent Decree entered into by the City, US Dept of Justice/EPA and State of Texas/TCEQ to address unpermitted SSOs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2024	06/30/2027	\$44,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>30.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Houston			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15154	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Force Main Renewal and Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW – Collection		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitation/replacement of existing wastewater force mains (FM) within the City's Combined Utility System. Aging facilities require renewal or replacement to restore designed function and performance. Rehabilitation of FM addresses direct and contributing factors to sanitary sewer overflows, and is a component of the Consent Decree entered into by the City, US Dept of Justice/EPA and State of Texas/TCEQ to address unpermitted SSOs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2024	06/30/2027	\$44,000,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>30.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15155	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Walnut Creek WWTP Expansion to 100 MGD			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Walnut Creek WWTP Expansion to 100 MGD			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		09/30/2022	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	07/01/2024	04/30/2027	\$65,335,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>60.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15156	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 15157			
<b>Project Name:</b> Upper Harris Branch Interceptor – Phase 1			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Upper Harris Branch Interceptor is a 2-phase 23,000-LF large diameter wastewater interceptor project that will provide permanent relief to an aging and under-capacity Dessau WWTP and extend service into the rapidly developing Northeast region of Austin.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2024	\$0.00
Design:		12/01/2023	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2024	06/01/2028	\$28,144,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>10.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15157	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 15156			
<b>Project Name:</b> Upper Harris Branch Interceptor – Phase 2			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Upper Harris Branch Interceptor is a 2-phase 23,000-LF large diameter wastewater interceptor project that will provide permanent relief to an aging and under-capacity Dessau WWTP and extend service into the rapidly developing northeast region of Austin.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>		06/01/2024	\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		06/01/2024	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	10/01/2024	06/01/2028	\$31,159,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>10.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15158	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Hornsby Bend BMP Process Ammonia Removal Facility			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Side-stream Ammonia Removal Facility will be built to significantly reduce the high ammonia load by 80 to 90%.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		08/19/2022	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/02/2023	12/31/2025	\$14,072,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>15.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15159	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 15160			
<b>Project Name:</b> Walnut Creek WWTP Primary Clarifier and Flow Equalization Basin Rehab			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Make improvements to Primary Treatment Complex (PTC) No. 1 and No. 2 at Walnut Creek WWTP			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
<b>Planning:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Acquisition:</b>			\$0.00
<b>Design:</b>		03/31/2022	\$0.00
<b>Est. Construction:</b>	11/01/2023	08/31/2026	\$53,273,000.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00





**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Austin				
<b>PIF #:</b>	15160	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF	<b>PPL Year:</b> 2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b> 15159				
<b>Project Name:</b> Walnut Creek WWTP Headworks Improvements				
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW			<b>Project Phase:</b> Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitate and make improvements to Headworks 1 (preliminary treatment) at Walnut Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP).				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		09/30/2021	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2024	04/30/2027	\$81,416,000.00

**Green Project:** No

---

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

---

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Meadow Lake WCID # 1			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15161	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Meadow Lake Nolte Dam Rehabilitation			
<b>Project Type:</b> Nonpoint Source		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> Rehabilitate Meadow Lake Nolte Dam bringing the dam in compliance with today's safety standards. Works will include foundation stability and replacing the aging spillway gates with modern and automated gates.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$981,319.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2024	\$0.00
Design:			\$1,127,632.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2024	03/31/2025	\$18,011,180.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>15.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Trinity River Authority			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15162	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Chambers Creek Wastewater Regionalization Study			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning	
<b>Project Description:</b> The Chambers Creek Wastewater Regionalization Study will evaluate the feasibility of a regional wastewater collection and treatment system to meet current and anticipated future wastewater treatment and water quality needs in an area of high growth. The proposed regionalization in the Chambers Creek study area encompasses both incorporated and unincorporated areas.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$495,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2033	12/31/2033	\$0.00

**Green Project:** No

**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>56.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Von Ormy			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15163	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> CITY OF VON ORMY SEWER PROJECT			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Acquisition Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The city residents currently utilize septic systems for their sanitary requirements. The city is planning to build a centralized collection system to transport sewer to the nearest manhole operated and maintained by San Antonio Water System.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,900,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2024	\$3,700,000.00
Design:			\$2,900,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2024	06/30/2026	\$32,700,000.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>46.00</b>

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Jacksonville			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15168	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
		<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Double Creek WWTP Upgrade & Expansion			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> P A D & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The proposed project consists of the upgrade and expansion of the City's Double Creek WWTP to increase capacity and willalso include an equalization basin for excess flows. The plant has exceeded the 90% flow limit for over three (3) yearsand has been cited by the TCEQ and is also under enforcement for collection system overflows. The City closed an existingwastewater treatment plant several years ago and has not replaced the lost capacity from that plant closure.The preparation of an Asset Management Plan is also included as part of this project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$650,000.00
Acquisition:		01/15/2024	\$275,000.00
Design:			\$780,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2024	12/01/2025	\$10,190,000.00

**Green Project:** Yes-BC

**Green Amount:** \$25,000.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00

**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	20.00
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.	No	0.00
<b>Rating:</b>		<b>81.00</b>



**Texas Water Development Board  
Clean Water State Revolving Fund  
Project Information Form Rating Report**

<b>Authority:</b> Runaway Bay			
<b>PIF #:</b>	15185	<b>Program:</b>	CWSRF
<b>PPL Year:</b>	2024		
<b>Related PIFs:</b>			
<b>Project Name:</b> Emergency Wastewater Recommendations for System Rehabilitation and Replacements			
<b>Project Type:</b> POTW		<b>Project Phase:</b> Planning Design & Construction	
<b>Project Description:</b> The City has a history of sanitary sewer overflows and capacity restrictions throughout the collection system. This project consists of a number of collection system replacements and upgrades that address these deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$663,934.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,158,600.00
Est. Construction:	06/27/2025	06/25/2027	\$4,802,466.00

**Green Project:** No  
**Green Amount:** \$0.00

**Project Rating:**

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
All Proj. - Entity previously received TWDB planning, acquisition, and/or design funds for this project.		
	<b>Rating:</b>	