

**State Fiscal Year 2017
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.

Authority: Dallas			
PIF #:	11845	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements (5 Year)			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Dallas Water Utilities' annual capital budget includes \$20M/year for the rehabilitation/replacement of existing wastewater mains citywide. This wastewater main replacement program is intended to maintain overall system age and integrity by replacing older wastewater mains. Replacement of older mains has many benefits including the reduction of inflow and infiltration, as well as reduced sanitary sewer overflows resulting from collapsed or broken pipes. This project is intended to be a 5-year program with a total construction value of \$100M dollars.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/01/2016	\$10,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2023	\$100,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Alamo			
PIF #:	11903	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New 2.5 MGD WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of construction of a new 2.5 MGD mechanical sewer plant to replace outdated lagoon system; the project includes planning, design, and construction. TCEQ is encouraging the phase out of the lagoon systems which is not the most efficient method of treating sewage. Mechanical plants are much more efficient and produce less odor which is better for the public. In the past year, the amount of rain was extremely high and produced obnoxious odors and nuisance conditions due to the lack of sunshine. The odors were so bad that the possibility of losing winter visitors and business was very high. The City of Alamo owns the property where the plant will be located. The city will prepare an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$298,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$753,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2017	01/14/2019	\$8,680,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		61.00

Authority: Albany			
PIF #:	11904	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Albany needs to replace or rehab multiple components of its collection system and WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$557,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$210,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	08/31/2018	\$4,204,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,971,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		14.00

Authority: Alto			
PIF #:	11905	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System and WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of Primary Aeration Basin, Yard Piping and Influent Lift Station. Installation of new Secondary Clarifier. Collection System Improvements. Develop Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$35,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$150,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/30/2017	06/30/2018	\$1,190,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00

Authority: Alton			
PIF #:	11906	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Drainage Master Plan			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: The City of Alton is requesting planning funds to prepare a master drainage study of the city that will help identify areas with high risk of flooding. Proposed storm system improvements will be identified and a Capital Improvement Program will be developed. Recommended improvements will include servicing of anticipated future growth. This project will also allow for the City of Alton to develop an asset management plan for their storm system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$500,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$150,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00

Authority: Alton			
PIF #:	11907	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Alton is requesting funding from the Texas Water Development Board in order to construct a wastewater treatment plant in their CCN and provide wastewater treatment for existing disadvantaged areas.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$423,500.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2017	\$455,000.00
Design:			\$786,760.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2018	02/28/2019	\$10,390,770.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,557,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		16.00

Authority: Arlington			
PIF #:	11908	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements 2017			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Arlington project for which funding is requested includes prioritized wastewater pipeline replacement segments that consist of 29 gravity segments owned by the City. The total length of pipeline replacement segments is 19,075 linear feet with pipe sizes ranging from 4 to 24 inches. The segments were noted to have high amounts of Inflow and Infiltration (I/I) and the majority of the lines have been in service for at least 30 years. The project has been divided into six areas: Circle, Six Flags, High Point, Trinity, Johnson creek, and Davis Pressure Plane Expansion. Each of these pipelines are in preliminary or final design and construction is planned for first quarter 2017 when funding will be required.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		08/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$5,512,408.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,512,408.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		110.00

Authority: Buckholts			
PIF #:	11909	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System and Drainage Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project includes the replacement of the existing wastewater treatment plant with a new, energy efficient, package plant, as well as improvements to the wastewater collection system to reduce I/I.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$327,000.00
Acquisition:			\$43,000.00
Design:			\$188,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/15/2017	12/28/2018	\$2,028,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Combes			
PIF #:	11910	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Liftstation Rehabilitation Project			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of 8 lift stations including new pumps, rails, lifting systems, electrical controls, wiring and related appurtenances			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$86,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2017	07/01/2017	\$664,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Corrigan			
PIF #:	11911	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Expand Wastewater Treatment Plant that has reached the end of its useful life and has reached 85% of treatment capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$128,600.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2016	\$9,000.00
Design:			\$167,900.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2018	03/01/2019	\$3,037,300.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Denton			
PIF #:	11912	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Denton intends to replace approximately 24, 916 linear feet of 8-inch to 30-inch wastewater mains at 11 locations within the City. The locations include the Pecan Creek Interceptor IV, Westgate Drive, North Bell Avenue, Foxcroft Circle, Victoria Drive, Emerson Lane, Thomas Street, Paisley Street, North Texas Boulevard, Rose Street, South Wood Street, East Sycamore Street, Hill Alley, Dallas Drive, Kerley Street, Kendolph Drive, and Lindsey Street.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$4,265,620.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,265,620.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		70.00

Authority: Donna			
PIF #:	11913	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Collection Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of existing wastewater collection lines. Many of the City's wastewater collection lines are constructed out of clay and are over 60 years old and are collapsing and deteriorating. Collapsed lines are causing existing manholes to fill and run over. Wastewater sewer water is backing up into household residences and manholes are spilling over and running in the streets and alleys.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	12/01/2018	\$1,130,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		70.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Donna			
PIF #:	11914	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs: 11913			
Project Name: WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand existing Wastewater Treatment Plant from 1.8 MGD to 2.4 MGD. The existing plant has reached it's treatment capacity. The plant is currently treating approximately 95% of its rated capacity and is out of compliance with TCEQ rules and regulations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$460,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	12/01/2018	\$5,500,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		100.00

Authority: Eagle Lake			
PIF #:	11915	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2016 Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate existing 0.75 MGD wastewater plant including repairs to existing mechanical screen, replace existing influent lift station pumps, replace existing RAS/WAS pumps, replace existing final clarifier equipment, replace existing diffused air system in aerobic digesters and chlorine contact chamber, install new emergency generator and other related items to the wastewater treatment plant. The project will also include replacing existing clay and concrete sanitary sewer gravity collection lines as well as rehabilitation or replacement of existing lift stations in the system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2017	\$15,000.00
Design:			\$324,375.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	01/01/2019	\$3,947,350.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	25.00

Authority: El Paso PSB			
PIF #:	11916	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Collection System for Canutillo			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: El Paso Water Utilities proposes to construct the infrastructure to provide first time sanitary sewer to the Four Streets section of the colonia of Canutillo, Texas. Canutillo was platted in 1910. This community now has approximately 6100 residents in two areas, Canutillo Township, and Canutillo Industrial Park (which was platted in 1975). Improvements to properties over the years have generally been at the expense and effort of the homeowners. the area where the sewer will be constructed has neither water service nor sanitary sewer: residents have been using cesspools or septic tanks. The area has been tested and these test indicate that seepage from on-site septic tanks or cesspools is taking place. The seepage could be affecting the Mesilla Bolson: at least one well tested revealed coliform contamination. The area is considered low income and its area median household income (AMHI) is 66% of the Texas AMHI. EPWU received PAD funding from TWDB for this project from its EDAP Fund. We have concluded Categories A-D; A-C have been approved; we are awaiting approval of Category D. We are requesting funding to commence and complete project construction of 41 sewer connections. Please see Attachment 6.A.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	04/30/2017	\$912,246.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		73.00

Authority: Ennis			
PIF #:	11917	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Downtown Sewer Line Improvements 1			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged and deteriorated sewerlines and appurtenances within the City of Ennis collection system. Preparation and implementation of Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$410,934.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	06/01/2017	\$3,407,496.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Ennis			
PIF #:	11918	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Downtown Sewer Line Improvements 2			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of aged and deteriorated sewerlines and appurtenances within the City of Ennis collection system. Preparation and implementation of Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$125,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,003,847.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	12/29/2017	\$8,338,468.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Evant			
PIF #:	11919	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will restore the aging wastewater treatment plant's (WWTP) ability to consistently meet its permit limits. The project includes rehabilitation and upgrades to the existing treatment processes, as well as collection system improvements to reduce inflow and infiltration.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$133,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$81,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/03/2017	02/28/2018	\$1,405,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$623,100.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		36.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Fort Worth			
PIF #:	11920	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Fort Worth intends to rehabilitate and replace approximately 7,309 linear feet of 30-inch to 54-inch wastewater mains with 36-inch to 60-inch pipe at three locations within the City. The three project locations are portions of the Village Creek Parallel Interceptor System, along the northwest side of Lake Arlington.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$6,307,715.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$6,307,715.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is \geq 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		95.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Grand Prairie			
PIF #:	11921	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Grand Prairie's replacement segments within the City's collection system intends to replace approximately 23, 477 linear feet of existing 8-inch to 12-inch wastewater mains with 12-inch to 18-inch pipe in various locations within the City. The project names for the segments to be replaced are NW 23rd. Street to Roman Road, North Carrier and Hill, High School Drive, NE 5th Street and Tarrant Road, NE 19th Street, Gifford Street, Hensley Drive, Idlewild Road, Lakeview Drive, and Springdale Lane and Beltline Road.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$5,644,252.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,644,252.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		95.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Harris Co MUD # 167			
PIF #:	11922	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Meter Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Installation of "smart" water meters to meet the district's goal of water efficiency goals. This would include the preparation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	01/01/2020	\$2,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		6.00

Authority: Harris Co MUD # 208			
PIF #:	11923	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Copperfield WWTP Reuse			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Copperfield WWTP is a regional plant that serves Harris County MUD Nos. 162, 163, 179, 186,188 and 208. The plant is managed collectively by the six districts through the Copperfield Joint Operations Board (CJOB). All six MUDs will benefit from the implementation of the Project. The Project consist of tertiary treatment and storage at the WWTP site and a distribution system to supply Type 1 treated effluent for irrigation and non-potable industrial purposes. The project is currently through the schematic planning phase with funding being sought for design and construction phases. As part of this project, the asset management plan will be updated to reflect the new infrastructure. Water conservation and drought contingency plans will also be updated.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$860,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2017	12/01/2018	\$9,260,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$10,120,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		76.00

Authority: Holland			
PIF #:	11924	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project scope includes cleaning sludge from the treatment plant ponds, stabilization of pond slopes and installation of mechanical aeration.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$9,750.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$67,745.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2016	02/28/2017	\$585,505.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11925	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation 2017			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Citywide Sanitary Sewer Collection System Rehabilitation			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	01/31/2020	\$61,710,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		76.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11926	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 42" Cottonwood Creek Wastewater Interceptor			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install a 42" waste water interceptor from Cottonwood Creek WWTP to Hutto South WWTP			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,859.00
Acquisition:			\$55,019.00
Design:			\$220,077.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/02/2017	\$2,784,192.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11927	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 36" Cottonwood Creek Wastewater Interceptor			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install a 36" wastewater interceptor along Cottonwood Creek			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$30,261.00
Acquisition:			\$22,100.00
Design:			\$262,092.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$3,087,688.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11928	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Front Street Manhole Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace failing manhole located along Front Street.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,200.00
Acquisition:			\$5,100.00
Design:			\$43,849.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	10/01/2016	\$10,829.80

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11929	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lakeside Estates Wastewater Lines			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install a 12" waste water line to abandon lift station, up size a line from 12" to 15" to handle increased flow			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$31,360.00
Acquisition:			\$45,173.00
Design:			\$40,445.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$548,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11930	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: NW Force Main Installation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install a force main from Innovation Blvd to the west side of the 130 toll road.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$60,000.00
Design:			\$100,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/28/2017	02/28/2018	\$2,360,846.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		61.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Hutto			
PIF #:	11931	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Interceptor (Phase II)			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Install a wastewater interceptor from FM 685 to the business park on the north side of US 79 west of SH 130			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$526,050.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$112,575.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2016	12/01/2017	\$4,842,816.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is \geq 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		61.00

Authority: Jarrell			
PIF #:	11932	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the expansion of the existing wastewater treatment plant from 0.50 MGD to 1.50 MGD. The expansion will include improvements to the existing plant to allow for expansion to the final phase capacity of 4.0 MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$507,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	12/28/2018	\$10,968,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Kingsville			
PIF #:	11933	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The principal project consists of the expansion of the South 1.0 MGD Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) to 1.75 MGD in order to be in compliance with TCEQ Chapter 217 rules and regulations. The existing WWTP's flow is over 75% of the permitted flow. Per TCEQ Chapter 217, the City is required to start looking at the expansion of the South WWTP to be in compliance with current and future TCEQ requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$950,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,500,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/30/2018	06/30/2020	\$13,700,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Lubbock			
PIF #:	11934	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Northwest Drainage Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The areas along the Quaker Avenue corridor in the northern and northwest portions of the City of Lubbock have been historically flood prone, affecting residential and commercial structures (including a major medical district). This project will install a storm sewer network in order to drain the playa lakes down to a pre-rain condition. This helps to restore capacity within the lakes for future rainfall events in order to help reduce the risk of flooding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2016	\$0.00
Design:		08/15/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/03/2016	12/31/2018	\$35,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Mart			
PIF #:	12027	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements and WWTP Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design	
Project Description: The City's WWTP is in excess of 95% of permitted average daily flow, based on data from 3 consecutive months. The WWTP discharge is into a stream segment that is listed as a priority water body, Category 5B, for bacteria. The entire plant is hydraulically limited, and many basins are process limited such that they are not able to treat even permitted flow. The proposed project will reduce collection system inflow and infiltration (I/I), and fund improvements to the WWTP allowing it to meet current and future flows. A small portion of the proposed budget will fund drainage improvements to reduce flooding in the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$247,300.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$742,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	12/31/2018	\$5,970,800.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		60.00

Authority: Mathis			
PIF #:	12029	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This principal wastewater collection system project consists of the rehabilitation of Sanitary Sewer Lines including manholes rehabilitation and sewer service tie-ins throughout the City. The proposed improvements shall be constructed as per TCEQ Chapter 217 rules and regulations. This principal wastewater treatment project consists of the rehabilitation of the existing Wastewater Treatment Plant & Lift Stations. The proposed improvements shall be constructed as per TCEQ Chapter 217 rules and regulations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$364,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$401,500.00
Est. Construction:	04/03/2017		\$2,440,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Paris			
PIF #:	12030	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Remove homeowner grinder pumps. Replace with gravity sewer line and single lift station			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$94,000.00
Acquisition:		07/19/2017	\$92,000.00
Design:		02/15/2018	\$175,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/02/2018	10/01/2018	\$2,004,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,365,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Pharr			
PIF #:	12031	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Drainage Master Plan			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: The City of Pharr is applying for Planning funds to conduct a city-wide master drainage plan that will characterize and model the existing storm drainage system to identify deficiencies and propose improvements to enhance system reliability, establish storm drainage system design and planning criteria, recommend improvements needed to service anticipated future growth, and develop a Capital Improvement Program with a focus on storm water management strategies that reduce the impacts of urban runoff through low impact development techniques such as vegetated swales, bio-retention areas, and the use of porous pavements. An asset management plan for their storm sewer system will also be produced as a result of this project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,400,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$420,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		66.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Quinlan			
PIF #:	12032	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP and Collection System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Collection system rehabilitation due to the extreme amount of inflow & infiltration (I/I). Rehabilitation of lift stations due to pump sizing and condition of electrical/controls. Rehabilitation of the sludge drying beds due to inefficient drying which is causing a WWTP sludge age issue.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$178,100.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2017	\$22,000.00
Design:			\$555,250.00
Est. Construction:	04/02/2018	09/30/2019	\$7,244,650.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$6,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		71.00

Authority: Rhome			
PIF #:	12033	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Make needed improvements to the west wastewater treatment plant to be in compliance			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$205,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$72,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	07/01/2017	\$1,148,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is \geq 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		80.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Richland Hills			
PIF #:	12034	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Richland Hills intends to replace approximately 7,345 linear feet of 6-inch and 8-inch wastewater mains with 6-inch and 8-inch pipe in various locations within the City. The locations include Glenview, Alley north of Hardisty Street, Ruth Road, Alley west of Grenada Drive, Rosebud Drive, Vivian Lane, Alley north of Deborah Lane, and Oxley Drive. The City is seeking construction funding only. These pipelines have been identified through the city's prioritized condition assessment as the source of infiltration and inflow.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$1,406,034.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,406,034.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		90.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: River Oaks			
PIF #:	12035	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: On June 5, 2006, the City of River Oaks entered into an Agreement "Sanitary Sewer Overflow Outreach Initiate" due to 31 unauthorized discharges that occurred from September 1, 2003 through June 28, 2005 (Enforcement Case #29335). The City under the terms of the Agreement would be required to rehabilitate the sewer system collection mains in order to insure a reduction of risk to the public health and the environment. To date we have only been able to replace 29% of the mains on the plan (see the enclosed documentation on the SSO Agreement).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/15/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/15/2017	05/15/2018	\$6,520,176.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$6,520,176.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		35.00

Authority: Rogers			
PIF #:	12036	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System and WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of wastewater collection system to improve system reliability and reduce inflow and infiltration. Improvements to treatment works to bring plant into compliance with TCEQ rules.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$114,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$445,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$4,188,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Roma			
PIF #:	12037	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP & Lift Station Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of improvements to the City's WWTP and one of its lift stations within its collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$144,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$113,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	08/31/2018	\$1,977,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,234,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		51.00

Authority: San Benito			
PIF #:	12038	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This project includes improvements to the City's sanitary sewer collection (cleaning, repairing and/or installing new gravity mains & manholes) and pumping systems (lift station rehabilitations or replacements). A portion of this work is considered the Phase II Sanitary Sewer Overflow Initiative Improvements. An Asset Management Plan and modeling of the wastewater collection & pumping systems are proposed as a part of this funding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$255,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2017	\$7,000.00
Design:			\$670,450.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2017	08/01/2018	\$6,110,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		61.00

Authority: San Marcos			
PIF #:	12039	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Flood Mitigation			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
<p>Project Description: The confluence of the Blanco and San Marcos Rivers have experienced repeated historical flooding. The largest recorded flood event to date on the Blanco River occurred on Memorial Day weekend in May 2015, inundating many homes in the overflow areas between the Blanco and San Marcos Rivers including the Blanco Gardens Subdivision. Development in this subdivision began as early as the 1950s with few upgrades to the original drainage and wastewater collection systems. Currently the entire subdivision is located within the FEMA regulatory 100-yr floodplain with average 100-yr flood depths of approximately 2.2 feet. The average flood depth during the May 2015 event was approximately 3.2 feet. A project to reduce flood damages in the Blanco Gardens neighborhood would also significantly reduce infiltration and inflow to the waste water system, as well as reduce the amount of non-point source pollutants that run off into the rivers. The City of San Marcos is proposing a planning study to identify a feasible solution for flood reduction, as well as implementation of the recommended solution. It is anticipated that a buyout and repurpose alternative will be the most feasible solution for implementation. Therefore, the acquisition and implementation phases of the project are based on the buyout and repurpose alternative.</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$275,000.00
Acquisition:		05/15/2017	\$52,800,000.00
Design:			\$770,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/15/2018	06/15/2020	\$7,700,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,940,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		105.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Antonio River Authority			
PIF #:	12040	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Cibolo Creek Watershed Master Plan			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: The River Authority is developing a Cibolo Creek Holistic Watershed Master Plan (total/integrated water resources management planning) for our entire Cibolo basin that will focus on Flood issues (H&H), Stream Restoration, Water quality modeling, Water quality BMPs, GIS/Mapping/Remote Sensing, Low Impact Development, MS4 Permitting, Conservation Easements, Mitigation Banking, and Nature-based Park Planning. SARA intends for the master plan to be a living documents, so it will be updated yearly. The master plan will also identify projects that are ready to be implemented once funding is available each year. A Water Quality HSPF Model will also be developed on Cibolo Watershed. The model will provide feedback on impairments and provide locations where best management practices can be implemented in order to provide a reduction in the constituents causing the impairments. See additional sheet attached.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$792,478.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$792,478.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		80.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	12041	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: E-19: Seguin Road to Nacogdoches Road Phase 1			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project will upsize approximately six miles of existing 34-inch to 48-inch gravity sewer main to sizes ranging from 54-inch to 78-inch diameter and replace two siphons in the Eastern Sewershed along Salado Creek from a point near Seguin Road running north along Salado Creek to a point north of Loop 410 near Nacogdoches Road. This main carries average daily flows of 46 MGD and a peak wet weather flow of 125 MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2016	\$0.00
Design:		06/30/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/31/2017	12/31/2018	\$21,822,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Smithville			
PIF #:	12043	Program:	CWSRF
		PPL Year:	2017
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Storm Water Improvements: 7th Street Detention			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
<p>Project Description: Problem Description & Objective Historically, during heavy rainfall events, residents along 7th Street (between Marburger Street and Faulkner Road) have experienced flooding within their homes, yards, and over the existing city street. The objective of this project is to provide a cost effective solution for flood relief to these property owners. Existing Conditions Existing terrain within the 7th Street area is extremely flat with elevations typically varying between 0.2% and 1.0%. Primary means of storm water collection is through a combination of open ditches (there is no curb and gutter along 7th Street) and a shallow underground storm sewer system that feeds into an existing 42-inch storm sewer pipe that outfalls into the Colorado River. Based on preliminary studies, the 42-inch storm sewer serves an approximate 250+ acre drainage area. During heavy rainfall events, the 42-inch storm sewer is unable to carry peak flows causing storm water runoff to back up into the storm sewer system and into the low lying areas along 7th Street resulting in flooding of yards, homes, and the city street. Proposed Solution The following options were considered when analyzing the drainage issues along 7th Street: 1. Maintain the status quo and do nothing. The flooding issues along 7th Street go back several years. For this application alone, we have documented 4 different flood events in the last 17 years. These events occurred in October 1998 (10.07 inches in 24 hours), April 2013 (4.46 inches in 6 hours), April 2015 (4.31 inches in 3 hours), and May 2015 (4.22 inches in 2 hours). This option will not solve or improve the situation. 2. Construct new underground storm sewer from 7th Street to the Colorado River. The closest place to outfall a new storm sewer is the Colorado River. This option would require a minimum box culvert size of 6 feet x 7 feet for the 10-year storm, 24-hour storm event (6.2 inches). The length required to outfall to the river is approximately 3,700 linear feet. Because of the terrain between 7th Street and the river, depth of cut to install the box culvert would likely be between 10 and 30 feet deep. Estimated cost for the installation of the box culvert alone would likely be more than \$3,000,000. This option is cost prohibitive. 3. Construct a detention pond adjacent to 7th Street. An approximate 40 acre-foot detention pond would be required to store runoff that backs up within the storm sewer collection system. Runoff that is stored in the pond would be discharged by pump into the storm sewer system once the storm event has ended and the sewer system is clear. The size of the proposed pond should mitigate up to the 10-year, 24-hour storm event. Total cost of the pond is approximately \$1,056,000. This option is the most cost feasible solution that would significantly improve existing conditions.</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		05/31/2016	\$140,000.00
Design:			\$77,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$849,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00

Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Smithville			
PIF #:	12044	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Storm Water Improvements: East Detention Pond			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
<p>Project Description: During the May 2015 Memorial Day storm event, the City of Smithville experienced significant flooding. The City received approximately 8 inches of rainfall causing extensive street flooding and structural flooding of approximately 20 homes during this event. Preliminary analysis results indicate average 100-year flooding depths ranging from approximately 2 to 4 feet in areas of town with drainage problems. To reduce flooding within the areas of the City that see continued flooding during extreme storm events, the proposed project will consist of a regional detention/retention pond and improvements to a culvert that creates significant backwater. The regional detention/retention pond will control stormwater runoff to Willow Creek, a tributary to the Colorado River, to help reduce downstream flows. The regional detention/retention pond will be a wet pond that will also reduce pollutants in the stormwater runoff and act as pre-treatment prior to discharging to Willow Creek. In addition, the culvert improvement will help to reduce floodwater elevations on Willow Creek. Reduction of flooding in these areas will also reduce Stormwater infiltration into the wastewater sewer system which is an issue during severe rainfall events. A flood planning study to identify the optimal solution for flood reduction and the implementation of the recommended solution is currently ongoing.</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$930,000.00
Design:			\$407,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2019	06/30/2020	\$2,750,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		45.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Snook			
PIF #:	12045	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements and Expansion			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: PROJECT FOR FUNDING: Wastewater treatment plant improvements and expansion on the site of the existing wastewater treatment plant. ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY: Use of treated effluent for plant wash-down. INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY: None.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$56,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$306,200.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2018	10/01/2019	\$1,941,100.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	20.00

Authority: Stephenville			
PIF #:	12047	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Eastside Sewer Project			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Expansion of the sanitary sewer collection system, opening up approximately 19,000 acres east, north and northwest of the current service area to accommodate increased demand from the growing business industry and Tarleton State University. Due to current expansions within the university, the current sanitary sewer collection system is undersized.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		08/02/2016	\$0.00
Design:		08/02/2016	\$701,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/03/2016	04/02/2018	\$10,200,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		55.00

Authority: Upper Leon River MWD			
PIF #:	12048	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Solids Handling Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project involves improving solids handling at the existing WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$138,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$41,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	05/31/2018	\$809,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		71.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Valley Mills			
PIF #:	12049	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP and Lift Station Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes an upgrade of existing processes at the City's existing WWTP, as well as replacement of two existing lift stations in the collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$144,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$135,500.00
Est. Construction:	07/03/2017	04/30/2018	\$2,586,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,866,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		51.00

Authority: West Cedar Creek MUD			
PIF #:	12050	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Kemp Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The West Cedar Creek Municipal Utility District (WCCMUD) transports and treats the wastewater generated by the City of Kemp and is requesting the funding for construction only for five replacement segments consisting of 7,100 linear feet of 6-inch to 10-inch wastewater pipelines within the limits of the City of Kemp. Four of the five project locations that were noted to have high amounts of inflow and infiltration (I/I) and the majority of these lines have been in service for at least 50 years. The remaining segment is currently undersized to handle the existing flows from the existing population. It has a strong potential for overflowing during a storm event. The location of the segments to be replaced are the Tolosa Road Plant Entrance, Main Street from 13th Street to 17th Street, 4th Street from Main Street to Elm Street and Elm Street from 4th Street to 3rd Street, 8th Street from Master Street to Elm Street, and Commerce Street from 8th Street to 15th Street. The City of Kemp has voted to transfer its operation of the City's system to WCCMUD and WCCMUD has submitted an amendment to its CCN for this purpose.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$1,564,920.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,564,920.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: West Hardin Co CISD			
PIF #:	12051	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed West Hardin CCISD Wastewater Treatment Facility			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		06/01/2016	\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	07/01/2017	\$410,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		70.00

Authority: Wharton			
PIF #:	12052	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Levee Project			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The Corp of Engineers has developed plans and specs for construction of a Colorado River Levee System for the City. This levee system when constructed, will alleviate river flooding in the City up to about the 100yr. flooding level, currently estimated to cost about \$27M. The city will be responsible for about 35% of the cost. In the western portion of the City, water begins to back into the City at flooding levels. The City has determined to spend a portion of its cost share now by the construction of a portion of Levee Segment 2 to provide 5 year flood protection for about 400 homes. The City also will develop an asset management plans as a resource, to be used in all City water/sewer projects and planning purposes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$48,000.00
Acquisition:		06/20/2017	\$623,107.00
Design:		10/01/2013	\$94,500.00
Est. Construction:			\$4,200,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		27.00

Authority: Acton MUD			
PIF #:	12074	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs: 12075 and 12076			
Project Name: WWTP #1 (De Cordova Bend) Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The areas serviced by the AMUD's De Cordova Bend Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) are continuing to grow and expand. The WWTP expansion is necessary to treat the additional flows that will be produced due to the new developments in this area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$191,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/31/2017	\$167,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2018	06/28/2019	\$2,889,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,247,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		34.00

Authority: Acton MUD				
PIF #:	12075	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2017
Related PIFs: 12074 and 12076				
Project Name: WWTP #2 (Pecan Plantation) Expansion				
Project Type: POTW			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Existing facilities at the Pecan Plantation WWTP will need to be expanded in order to effectively and efficiently process additional flows due to growth in the system.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$92,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$177,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/02/2018	06/28/2019	\$2,064,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,333,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Acton MUD				
PIF #:	12076	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2017
Related PIFs: 12074 and 12075				
Project Name: AMUD 2017 Collection System Improvements				
Project Type: POTW			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Acton MUD is proposing to expand their sewer collection system to include several neighborhoods near Lake Granbury which are currently served by old, dilapidated, leaking septic tanks.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$388,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$739,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/02/2018	03/29/2019	\$10,273,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$11,400,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		55.00

Authority: Cisco			
PIF #:	12077	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2017 CWSRF Improvements - Construction			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Cisco (City) is addressing the need for upgrades to both its collection system and wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). Two of the City's lift stations have reached the end of their useful life and are in severely deteriorated condition, and the City's WWTP has experienced ongoing difficulty in complying with its BOD5 permit limits.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/31/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	06/30/2018	\$4,958,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,958,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		129.00

Authority: Coahoma			
PIF #:	12078	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2017 Collection System & WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes improvements to the City's WWTP and WW collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$132,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$221,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	08/31/2018	\$2,508,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,861,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		29.00

Authority: Eastland			
PIF #:	12079	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2017 Collection System & WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes an upgrade of existing processes at the City's existing WWTP, as well as replacement of an existing lift station and replacement of aging sewer lines in the collection system. Many of the existing processes at the City's WWTP are no longer capable of consistently meeting TCEQ's 210 requirements for non-potable reuse. The existing lift station has reached the end of its useful life and is in need of replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$465,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/31/2017	\$435,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2017	06/28/2019	\$6,715,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$7,615,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		69.00

Authority: Eden			
PIF #:	12080	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2017 Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: One improvement to the wastewater system that the City of Eden (City) is considering is adding a lift station upstream of their existing lift station pumps. The lift station pumps accept flows from the nearby prison and occasionally get clogged up due to debris released by the prison flows. The City would like to construct an additional lift station between the prison and the lift station pumps that is equipped with a mechanical fine screen to filter out any debris that might make their way into the sewer system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$171,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$101,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2017	07/27/2018	\$1,919,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		22.00

Authority: Forsan			
PIF #:	12081	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2017 Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the installation of a new wastewater collection system which will replace the existing OSSF facilities currently in use throughout the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$135,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$144,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2018	12/28/2018	\$2,133,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,412,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		44.00

Authority: Gladewater			
PIF #:	12082	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Rehabilitation and Improvements at WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrades and rehabilitation for the City of Gladewater WWTP. Create and implement an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$284,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	12/01/2018	\$2,168,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Hudson			
PIF #:	12083	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Expand Existing WWTP to 0.98 MGD Capacity by building two new, parallel treatment trains (each 0.49 MGD)			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		06/01/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2018	\$4,202,450.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		60.00

Authority: La Feria				
PIF #:	12084	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2017
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements and Extension				
Project Type: POTW			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed improvements will allow the City of La Feria to service an area of 4,654 acres, reduce groundwater infiltration, and reduce power consumption at the wastewater treatment plant.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,745.52
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/31/2016	\$1,715,457.00
Est. Construction:	01/30/2017	12/30/2019	\$11,596,400.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		73.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	12085	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: East End Lift Station			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Funding is requested for rehabilitation of the existing East End Lift Station and adjacent sanitary sewerlines. The aging LS is inefficient and has no reliable source of emergency power. No asset management plan is in place - project includes preparation and implementation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$281,483.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	11/01/2017	\$2,293,795.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$325,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		51.00

Authority: San Angelo			
PIF #:	12086	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Potable Reuse Project - CWSRF Program			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Enclosed herein is the above reflected application for the City of San Angelo, TX (City). In order to support current and future water supply needs, the City of San Angelo is pursuing the implementation of a potable reuse project. Effluent from the City's wastewater treatment plant will be conveyed to an advanced water treatment facility, which will include treatment with low pressure membranes, reverse osmosis and advanced disinfection. This advanced-treated water will then be delivered to the City's surface water treatment plant where it will undergo complete conventional treatment prior to being delivered to customers.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,810,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$11,421,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2018	12/31/2019	\$134,769,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$150,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		39.00

Authority: Stamford			
PIF #:	12087	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Stamford 2017 Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes replacement of an existing lift station and replacement of aging sewer lines in the collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$156,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$245,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/31/2017	05/31/2018	\$2,743,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Vinton			
PIF #:	12088	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Centralized WW Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The Village of Vinton does not have a centralized wastewater collections system and residents currently use on-site sewage facilities. The Village proposes to install a first-time sanitary sewer collection system, lift station, etc. to allow transport of the Village's sewage to El Paso's Northwest Wastewater Treatment Plant for final treatment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	01/01/2019	\$22,802,260.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		91.00

Authority: Winters			
PIF #:	12089	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 2017 Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In addition to collection system improvements, the main lift station requires upstream improvements to install screens that will filter out debris that damages the lift station pumps. The screens will help to protect the pumps and reduce time and expense required for pump repairs.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$173,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$97,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/04/2017	08/31/2018	\$2,053,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,323,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Woodloch			
PIF #:	12090	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP and Sanitary Sewer Line Replacements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of a 0.15 MGD wastewater treatment plant and replacing 15 manholes and approximately 5,050 LF of sanitary sewer line.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$448,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$203,600.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	07/31/2016	\$2,078,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	12091	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of existing BioTower treatment unitCreation and Implementation of Asset Management Plan			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$95,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2017	12/01/2017	\$1,020,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00

Authority: Agua SUD			
PIF #:	12092	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sullivan Sewer Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will provide first time sewer service to areas near Sullivan City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$170,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$600,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	02/01/2018	\$6,180,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00

Authority: Electra			
PIF #:	12093	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City has 20 households they serve with water, which do not have sanitary sewer service. They currently use septic tanks and drain fields which have failed on occasion. The City is proposing to install one new lift station, pressure, and gravity sewer lines in order to serve the existing 20 households. Also, the City is proposing to install approximately 4.5 miles of sewer line in order to eliminate 10 existing lift stations. The City is also proposing to irrigate with their WWTP effluent. They currently discharge their effluent and have TCEQ violations for exceeding their permitted parameters. The City is proposing to install a center pivot irrigation system, an irrigation holding pond, and an irrigation pipeline in order to convert their existing WWTP to a no-discharge plant. Copies of a TCEQ enforcement action and violations list is attached to this form. The City is seeking PAD+C funding for the project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$265,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$535,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2018	05/01/2019	\$5,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,122,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		46.00

Authority: Haskell			
PIF #:	12094	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The city operates an old, inefficient activated sludge WWTP that has trouble meeting effluent discharge limits. Violation information is attached to this application. Operational cost including power and sludge management continue to escalate; therefore, the city is proposing to replace the old WWTP with a new lagoon and pond system followed by irrigation for a no discharge system. Additionally, the City is seeking to replace approximately 4 blocks of dilapidated section of wastewater line along Avenue H from North 8th street to North 4th street. The City is seeking planning, acquisition, design, and construction funding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$230,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2018	\$750,000.00
Design:			\$450,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2018	07/01/2019	\$4,870,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		45.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Ranger			
PIF #:	12095	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City is proposing to abandon the existing mechanical WWTP and construct a new WWTP with a facultative lagoon, a stabilization pond, and an irrigation holding pond. A holding tank and pump station at the existing WWTP and a 12" forcemain will deliver the wastewater to the new WWTP. The new WWTP will use the effluent for beneficial use with a no discharge permit. It is proposed to construct one or more center pivot irrigation systems to irrigate with the effluent. The City is seeking construction funding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		04/05/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2016	02/01/2017	\$3,805,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,805,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		80.00

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	12096	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Collection System			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: A current EDAP funded project is funding the planning, acquisition and design of this project. This project application will fund construction only. This project will provide first time sewer service to seven separated areas located within the City of San Juan. The seven are currently using septic tanks and drain fields.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:		07/01/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	09/01/2017	\$2,285,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		52.00

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	12097	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Station Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The project will rehabilitate/replace/enlarge 6 lift stations and the construction of associated force mains to address capacity issues within the current wastewater collection system. Due to the inability of these lift stations to pump during rain events, there has been raw water spills, overcharging manholes and back ups into residences. This project application will fund construction only.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	09/01/2017	\$8,555,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Marcos			
PIF #:	12098	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Water Reuse System Expansion			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of San Marcos is seeking construction phase funding for the city's Water Reuse System Expansion Project. The project will reduce withdrawals from the Edwards Aquifer and from the San Marcos River by replacing potable water used for chill plant makeup water for Texas State University, as well as for irrigation uses by both the city and university. Both the City of San Marcos and Texas State University are committed to the goals of the Edwards Aquifer Recovery Implementation Plan (EARIP) Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) to reduce demands on the Edwards Aquifer and on the San Marcos River in order to support preservation and recovery of the habitat for endangered species in the region. Funding is requested for construction of approximately 8,900 LF of 16-in. reclaimed water transmission mains that will serve needs of Texas State University and the city; and adding a fourth 125 hp pump to meet the higher water reuse demands. During the preliminary design phase of the project, it was determined that aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) provides the greatest benefit for seasonal storage of reclaimed water and that development of ASR is feasible for this project. Development of ASR for reclaimed water storage will be addressed in a subsequent CWSRF application.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/11/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/03/2016	07/07/2017	\$4,572,260.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,641,685.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		48.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Farmersville			
PIF #:	12099	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP #3 and WW Interceptor			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Design and construct a new wastewater treatment facility. The City's two existing WWTPs are old and in very poor condition. The plant will serve customers on the east side of Lake Lavon. In addition, construct a new interceptor to deliver flow to the new regional WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2016	\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2016	\$35,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	07/01/2018	\$17,465,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		61.00

Authority: Gustine			
PIF #:	12101	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Station Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of making improvements to four existing lift stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/30/2016	\$31,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	05/02/2017	\$194,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$270,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: Dublin				
PIF #:	12102	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2017
Related PIFs: 12103				
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements				
Project Type: POTW			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of existing, deteriorated clay tile sewer lines				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$110,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/01/2016	\$184,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2017	09/30/2017	\$3,205,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		76.00

Authority: Dublin			
PIF #:	12103	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater treatment plant improvements including sludge removal, berm reconstruction, effluent spray irrigation equipment, and other plant improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/01/2016	\$86,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2017	09/30/2017	\$879,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		85.00

Authority: Eagle Pass			
PIF #:	12104	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP & System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: 1. Rehabilitate the existing wastewater treatment plant and add grit removal to improve operational efficiency2. Elimination of the Thompson Lift Station by installing a gravity line3. Develop a hydraulic model of the sewer system			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$296,125.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$595,125.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	06/01/2019	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Eagle Pass			
PIF #:	12105	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand an existing lift station to resolve on going problems related to reliability and maintenance. Rehabilitate portions of the collection system that are experiencing failures due to old and degrading pipes and manholes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$256,534.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,467,946.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2018	09/01/2019	\$16,215,460.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		21.00

Authority: Graford			
PIF #:	12106	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Manhole Replacements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace manholes to reduce inflow and infiltration in the sewer collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/30/2016	\$27,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2017	04/04/2017	\$143,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$215,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.00

Authority: Laguna Madre WD			
PIF #:	12107	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Port Isabel WWTP Phase I Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The Port Isabel Wastewater Treatment Facility is required to meet more stringent effluent limitations for Total Copper, Total Zinc, and Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) limit 100% by July 2016. The proposed construction improvements will resolve the stricter effluent limitations and develop a high quality secondary effluent to improve overall water quality and reduce operational expenses for future advanced treatment systems. System improvements will restore an aged facility that was originally constructed in 1974.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/31/2016	\$0.00
Design:		07/31/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/10/2016	04/10/2018	\$10,077,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$6,875,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		64.00

Authority: Sulphur Springs			
PIF #:	12108	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Upgrade			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City's Wastewater Treatment Plant has a history of violations due to overloading of the plant's organic capacity. This project is to expand that capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/11/2016	\$1,200,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2018	\$17,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,550,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		31.00

Authority: Wolfe City			
PIF #:	12109	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection and Treatment System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of planning, design and construction phases for improvement to the City's entire collection system and wastewater treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$225,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/31/2016	\$408,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2017	01/04/2018	\$4,367,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$100.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		73.00

Authority: Brady			
PIF #:	12110	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Replacement & New Gravity Trunk Line			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: WWTP Replacement Project including replacing incoming gravity sewer V.C.P. trunk line. Existing WWTP was placed into service circa 1963 era with minimal beneficial improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2019	\$17,435,200.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		46.00

Authority: Comanche			
PIF #:	12111	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace existing sewer line to mitigate inflow and infiltration.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/31/2016	\$22,400.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$299,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$372,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	Yes	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: Harris Co FWSD # 47			
PIF #:	12112	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: WWTP Improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$124,200.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	10/01/2018	\$807,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$146,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Rosebud			
PIF #:	12113	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater System Improvements			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$59,433.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$147,732.00
Est. Construction:	10/02/2017	10/01/2018	\$633,093.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$434,700.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		16.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Royalwood MUD			
PIF #:	12114	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: General Upgrade and Improvements to WWTP			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$96,080.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$94,500.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	01/01/2018	\$614,250.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		31.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Falfurrias			
PIF #:	12115	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WW System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: he City of Falfurrias is seeking PAD funding to make improvements to both its wastewater collection system and its WWTP. Targeted improvements to the collection system include the repair or replacement of 8 out of the 11 lift stations. Planned collection system improvements also include the replacement of the constantly breaking 13,300 l.f. of 12" force main that connects the Clancey lift station to the WWPT. Also there is 9,250 l.f. of old concrete and clay pipe needing evaluation for repair or replacement. Finally, the WWTP, needs repair at the clarifiers, addition of drying beds, and the installation of a headworks.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$251,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$167,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2017	02/28/2018	\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$285,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Harris Co WCID # 36			
PIF #:	12116	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Permitting and construction of wastewater treatment plant will allow the District to be in full control of all elements of it's wastewater system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$141,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$788,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	01/01/2019	\$10,176,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	12117	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of manholes & collection lines to reduce infiltration/inflow. Also included in project is rehabilitation of lift stations and effluent reuse. Funds are being requested for construction.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$768,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	02/01/2018	\$5,081,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	12118	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Dos Rios Electrical Rehabilitation Phase I			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Replace aging electrical equipment at Dos Rios WRC.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/31/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	08/31/2016	12/31/2017	\$14,633,300.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Sonora			
PIF #:	12119	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Phase 3 Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This is the 3rd phase of wastewater collection system improvements and is necessitated from TCEQ enforcement action dated 10/15/2009 which will address Items 21 - 24 of the enforcement agreement and rehabilitate collection lines that have exceeded their useful life.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$358,735.00
Acquisition:			\$50,000.00
Design:			\$217,865.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	05/31/2018	\$4,623,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		31.00

Authority: Strawn			
PIF #:	12120	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Sewer collection line replacements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2017	06/01/2017	\$326,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$405,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Whitney			
PIF #:	12121	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Master Plan			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: Whitney Wastewater System Master Plan			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2017	07/31/2017	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Bruceville-Eddy			
PIF #:	12122	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Wastewater Treatment & Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes planning, acquisition, design, and construction of a new wastewater collection system and treatment facility to serve the City of Bruceville-Eddy which currently utilizes 100% OSSF. The proposed project includes preparation of an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$165,000.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2016	\$680,000.00
Design:		07/01/2016	\$542,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2017	\$7,613,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,450,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		62.00

Authority: Cameron			
PIF #:	12123	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP & Smoke Testing of Collection System			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: PROJECT FOR FUNDING: 1. New wastewater treatment plant on the site of existing wastewater treatment plant to replace the existing wastewater treatment plant; 2. Smoke test the wastewater collection system to identify infiltration/inflow for future repairs. ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY: Use of treated effluent for plant wash-down and for chlorination water supply. INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY: None.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$565,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$529,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/30/2016	12/30/2017	\$8,906,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is \geq 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Huntington			
PIF #:	12124	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Renovation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Renovate and expand the City's WWTP. Construct new clarifiers and a chlorine contact chamber; and expand the aeration basin and blower size. The project will bring the WWTP into compliance with TCEQ standards and allow abandonment and diversion of flow from an industrial WWTP owned by Lufkin Industries.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:		06/01/2016	\$176,300.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	06/01/2018	\$1,992,750.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		100.00

Authority: Joaquin			
PIF #:	12125	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project proposes to demolish the existing WWTP package treatment units and replace with new WWTP package treatment units. Existing units are in excess of 25 years old and beyond their useful life. Existing metal structures are rusting and beyond rehabilitation. Additionally the City installed a reverse osmosis (RO) water treatment system for existing water wells and the waste stream generated is approximately 50,000 gpd which is driving the WWTP effluent above 75% of the permitted flow and causing treatment issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$85,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$275,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2018	04/01/2019	\$3,555,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		70.00

Authority: Kennard			
PIF #:	12126	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed project will rehabilitate existing wastewater including removal of sludge from existing ponds to restore original treatment capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/01/2017	\$610,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Los Fresnos			
PIF #:	12127	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Planning, Design, Construction of Improvements to WWTP Headworks, including new bar screen and grit removal system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$121,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	01/01/2018	\$1,095,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Pineland			
PIF #:	12128	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Proposed project is for Planning and Design of replacement of the City of Pineland's existing WWTP. The existing WWTP has been in operation for approximately 23 years and is reaching the end of its useful life. The City has also been treating industrial wastewater from a nearby industrial facility and improvements are required to continue treatment of municipal and industrial wastewater.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$113,500.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2018	03/01/2019	\$1,516,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Vernon			
PIF #:	12129	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project in the IUP includes improvements to the City's WWTP. The plant aged and almost every plant is need of rehabilitation or replacement. The attached Notice of Violation list shows that the plant has had instances in the past few years of failing to meet permit limits. This is due to the dilapidated state of many plant components. The City is proposing, to rehabilitate both the primary and secondary clarifier, add a second primary clarifier, replace headworks units including, grit removal and bar screen, rehabilitate the main lift station, rehabilitate the existing sand filers, replace the belt press and rehabilitate and add control and automation processes throughout the plant. The City is also proposing to install 8 miles of treated effluent line from the WWTP for beneficial reuse.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2018	\$260,000.00
Design:			\$1,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2018	06/01/2019	\$10,220,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00

Authority: Yoakum			
PIF #:	12130	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Phase 4 Sewer Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Sanitary Sewer Collection system replace and rehab. The project is a continuation of current project, but includes portions of system originally planned for rehab and rehabilitation that were dropped from the current project. Project includes area and design originally approved for construction by TWDB.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	04/01/2020	\$665,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is \geq 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Yoakum			
PIF #:	12131	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: East Side Trunk - Rehab/Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Sanitary Sewer Collection system replace and rehab. The project will replace a gravity line that receives the flow from the force main out of a recently list station. Its replacement would correct a capacity problem that results in back flow during times of wet weather and heavy flow.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$25,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2019	04/01/2020	\$410,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	12132	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to replace old and deteriorated sewer collection lines and manholes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$28,800.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$477,200.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$596,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: North Fort Bend WA			
PIF #:	12140	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Grand Lakes Reclaimed Water System			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The Grand Lakes Reclaimed Water System will treat the effluent from the Grand Lakes Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant to Type I Standards and distribute the water throughout Grand Lakes MUDs 1,2 and 4 for irrigation of green spaces and to maintain levels in the amenity lakes throughout the MUDs. This system will supply 0.59 million gallons of water per day through approximately 52,000 linear feet of purple pipe. The planning phase has been completed, and all land is secured. The detailed design phase and construction will begin once funding is secured.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$10,880,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$10,880,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
	Rating:	

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	12167	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Manadas Creek WWTP 4.75 MGD			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The construction of the 4.75 MGD Manadas Creek WWTP in Northwest Laredo will provide service to the Mines Road and Northeast areas as well as relieve the overloaded conditions of the existing 24" wastewater line on Mines Road and the 36" wastewater line on IH35.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$3,000,000.00
Design:		07/07/2015	\$4,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/30/2017	06/30/2019	\$47,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		35.00

Authority: Farwell			
PIF #:	12169	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install a modular WWTP to treat water for land application. A portion of the water may be treated for potable reuse.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$110,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$712,500.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Savoy			
PIF #:	12170	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: PADC of a proposed treatment plant improvement project that consists of rehabilitating an existing WWTP including new clarifier(s), aeration basin(s), and sludge handling facilities, required site work, piping and equipment, and modifications to the facility as required.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$115,250.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$200,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2018	12/15/2018	\$2,619,750.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: El Paso PSB			
PIF #:	12171	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Jonathon Rogers Conservation and Storage			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct facilities to capture and store excess river allotments and stormwater.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,215.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,450,462.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	06/30/2020	\$17,200,190.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$18,695,870.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		58.00

Authority: Sienna Plantation MUD # 1			
PIF #:	12172	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Sienna Plantation MUD #1 North Regional WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Design and Construct WWTP & Lift Station			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		06/15/2016	\$2,008,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	06/01/2018	\$12,270,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,300,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		53.00

Authority: Sienna Plantation MUD # 1			
PIF #:	12173	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Sienna Plantation MUD #1 South Regional WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Design and construct new WWTP and lift station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/01/2016	\$2,929,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	09/01/2018	\$23,227,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,700,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		68.00

Authority: Blanco			
PIF #:	12179	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Plan, design, and construct a new 0.225 MGD conventional WWTP to replace the City's existing lagoon system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$224,904.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$269,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2017	12/01/2018	\$2,964,915.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: San Antonio River Authority			
PIF #:	12180	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Martinez IV WWTP and Outfall			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Design & construction of a new WWTP			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	04/30/2018	\$8,300,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		46.00

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	12181	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2017		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Emergency Flood Damage Reduction Projects			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Houston has experienced severe flooding over the 2015 Memorial Day weekend and as recent as April 2016 (commonly referred to as the Tax Day flood). Residents have voiced concern over the lack of progress in completing active federal flood damage reduction projects which have experienced delays due to the cost sharing aspects between the local sponsor, Harris County Flood Control District, and the federal government (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). The City is seeking funds to help expedite the first of three federal flood damage reduction projects. This request is for the Brays Bayou with the intent to seek additional funding for Hunting and White Oak Bayous in the future.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2019	\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2019	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	01/01/2022	\$46,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		65.00