

**State Fiscal Year 2016
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

To find information on your specific system, please press Ctrl + f , and then search for the entity's name or PIF number. If the entity's name is listed more than once, click the enter key to cycle through the other projects.

Authority: Acton MUD			
PIF #:	11082	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP #2 Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
<p>Project Description: The District is proposing to expand their wastewater treatment plant capacity from an average daily flow of 0.487 MGD to 0.82 MGD to allow service to an additional 740 connections within their fast growing service area. The principal project involves providing sewer service to residences in neighborhoods on, or near, Lake Granbury. The areas serviced by the AMUD Pecan Plantation WWTP are continuing to grow and expand. The wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) expansion is necessary to treat the additional flows that will be produced due to the new developments in this area. In an effort to be proactive, AMUD proposes to expand Pecan Plantation WWTP to accommodate the flows produced by these new connections in the collection system project. The proposed plant expansion will entail adding additional influent pump station capacity, an additional aeration basin and clarifier, sludge handling capacity, as well as the associated yard piping, electrical, controls, etc. The plant expansion will allow AMUD to continue serving their customers with high quality, reliable wastewater treatment connections within their fast growing service area.</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$86,825.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$187,450.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	09/01/2018	\$1,726,225.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$200,050.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		21.00

Authority: Arlington			
PIF #:	11083	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements 2015			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of Arlington project is comprised of prioritized wastewater collection system replacements originating from the 2009 Wastewater Master Plan and the city's ongoing program of neighborhood infrastructure renewal. Subject to city council approval each fiscal year, at least \$3.5 million is included in the annual operating budget for the Sanitary Sewer Renewal Program for the replacement of existing sanitary sewer lines in targeted neighborhoods will deteriorating sanitary sewer infrastructure. The proposed project includes replacement of approximately 21,250 LF of 6-inch and 8-inch diameter collection mains and approximately 2,730 LF of 10-inch wastewater collection mains located in four neighborhoods. These wastewater mains range in age between 40 and 60 years and were built using vitrified clay pipe (VCP) materials. Approximately 40% of the collection system in the project areas has been replaced using PVC pipe in prior capital projects. The proposed project will complete the main replacements in the four neighborhoods. Maps of the project area are attached.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/02/2016	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/15/2016	05/15/2017	\$2,398,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,398,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		81.00

Authority: Bartlett			
PIF #:	11084	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WW Sys & Drainage Sys Rehab			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater System & Drainage System Rehabilitation will consist of planning, design, and construction of replacing aged clay sewers, rehabilitate one existing lift station, replacing lift station with gravity sewers, rehabilitating the storm drainage system, and remove sludge from the oxidation pond treatment plant. Substantial cost in transportation and treatment will be eliminated by reducing infiltration/inflow which is caused by leaky sewers and ponding stormwater and eliminating the lift station operation and maintenance costs. The City will develop an Asset Management Plan as part of this project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$171,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$671,200.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	10/01/2016	\$7,157,800.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,744,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		61.00

Authority: Bruceville-Eddy			
PIF #:	11086	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WW Treatment & Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes planning, acquisition, design, and construction of a new wastewater collection system and treatment facility to serve the City of Bruceville-Eddy which currently utilizes 100% OSSF. The proposed project includes preparation of an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$165,000.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2015	\$680,000.00
Design:		07/01/2015	\$542,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	10/01/2016	\$7,613,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,450,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		62.00

Authority: Cameron			
PIF #:	11087	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP & Coll Sys Smoke Test			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: PROJECT FOR FUNDING: 1. New wastewater treatment plant on the site of existing wastewater treatment plant to replace the existing wastewater treatment plant; 2. Smoke test the wastewater collection system to identify infiltration/inflow for future repairs. ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY: Use of treated effluent for plant wash-down and for chlorination water supply. INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY: None.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$565,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$529,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	12/15/2018	\$8,906,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: Coahoma			
PIF #:	11089	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes replacement of approximately 4,500 linear feet of the City's main collection line that transports the raw sewage to the City's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). This collection line was originally constructed with the WWTP and is in constant need of repair. The operational efficiency of the WWTP is hindered by the quantity of sludge in each of the treatment basins. This project will include the removal and disposal of the sludge in each of these lagoons. The project will also include the improvements to the head works and influent pump station at the WWTP. Effluent from the WWTP is currently land applied. The project will also include the installation of additional irrigation equipment to allow the City to utilize more land for the application of effluent.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$98,325.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$223,100.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	08/01/2017	\$2,144,325.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,824,655.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		32.00

Authority: Conroe			
PIF #:	11090	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: South WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: This new wastewater treatment plant will be designed to treat an average daily flow of 6 million gallons per day (MGD). The treatment plant will utilize specific, targeted design aspects to approach overall power consumption in a much more conservative manner than typical treatment plants. These creative and innovative design solutions are intended to reduce overall power consumption by drastically reducing the demands from aeration blowers, the equipment that is single-handedly responsible for a majority of the power consumption in wastewater treatment plans in the United State. In addition to notably conserving power usage, the design for this wastewater treatment plant also allows for power generation through consumption of the gases produced in the treatment process. In other words, not only will this wastewater treatment plant target using drastically less power, it will also target generating some of its own power to offset part or al of the remaining demand.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:		07/31/2014	\$4,800,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2016	07/01/2019	\$52,500,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$8,360,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		55.00

Authority: Cotulla			
PIF #:	11091	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WW Treatment & Collection Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project would include the planning, design and construction of a new sewer line as well as rehabilitation of existing sewer lines. The project would also include the planning, design and construction for the expansion of the existing wastewater treatment plant; as well as planning, acquisition, design and construction for a new wastewater treatment facility.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$169,400.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$598,000.00
Design:		02/01/2016	\$1,674,400.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2017	02/01/2019	\$17,581,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Dell City			
PIF #:	11092	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Land Application System			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Increase irrigation capability at the City's WWTP from 1 to 74.6 acres.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2014	\$28,750.00
Design:			\$74,163.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	09/01/2015	\$489,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		55.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: El Campo			
PIF #:	11093	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Reuse Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construction of previous reuse planning and design project which conducted a planning study to identify the potential and alternatives for providing reclaimed water to potential customers; and design improvements to the WWTP to make Class I effluent reuse feasible. Alternatives were: pumping effluent to the proposed LCRA Lane City above-ground reservoir; pumping effluent directly to farmers/irrigators; and pumping effluent to a land-spreading site to enhance aquifer recharge. When implemented the City could eliminate discharge to Tres Palacios Creek.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	06/01/2016	\$1,179,431.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: D & M WSC			
PIF #:	11094	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Station/Force Main			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: D & M WSC seeks PAD-C funding to rehabilitate/upgrade the Press Road Lift Station and construct a force-main to transport the community wastewater to the City of Nacogdoches for processing. This arrangement would allow D & M WSC to abandon/close the current WWTF and simply maintain the sanitary sewer collection system that currently serves 268 total connections vs. 1881 total water system connections. See "explanatory sheet" attached before the Disadvantaged Community Worksheet.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$54,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$567,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		31.00

Authority: Del Rio			
PIF #:	11095	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This project was partially funded under 73639, this application is for the remaining work effort. To correct a history of operational problems in the collection system including blocked and collapsed lines and overflowing lift stations, and areas utilizing septic systems, the proposed project will correct these issues through improvements to the network. This will include replacing collection pipes identified as having a history of failure or likely to fail in the near future, providing service to areas currently without centralized sewer service, and the elimination of lift stations that have a history of overflow problems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$262,961.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2015	\$1,374,569.00
Design:			\$5,498,273.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	05/30/2018	\$72,164,835.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Huntington			
PIF #:	11096	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Renovation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Renovate and expand the City's WWTP. Construct new clarifiers and a chlorine contact chamber; and expand the aeration basin and blower size. The project will bring the WWTP into compliance with TCEQ standards and allow abandonment and diversion of flow from an industrial WWTP owned by Lufkin Industries.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$176,300.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015		\$1,992,750.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		70.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Los Fresnos			
PIF #:	11097	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection Rehabilitation & Extension			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate lift stations, purchase standby generators and automatic transfer switches, and replace deteriorated and undersized vitrified clay pipes to reduce infiltration and inflow and improve performance. Also install collection facilities to provide first time service to existing development east of the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$223,623.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$894,495.00
Est. Construction:	10/21/2014	04/01/2015	\$7,060,121.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		42.00

Authority: Del Rio			
PIF #:	11098	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed WWTP Improvements will repair and replace failing equipment where facility operations were recently taken over by the public works department.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$34,320.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$315,744.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	05/01/2017	\$4,144,140.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: McAllen			
PIF #:	11099	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9440			
Project Name: Sprague Sewer			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: A 24 to 48-inch trunk sewer that will convey wastewater from unsewered portions of McAllen and Agua SUD CCNs to the City's North WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$400,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2013	\$3,800,000.00
Design:			\$1,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2013	07/01/2014	\$17,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		68.00

Authority: Dublin			
PIF #:	11100	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WW Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replacement of existing, deteriorated clay tile sewer lines to mitigate inflow & infiltration and extension of service into new areas.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$110,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$184,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2016	09/30/2016	\$3,205,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		96.00

Authority: Dublin			
PIF #:	11101	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Wastewater treatment plant improvements including sludge removal, berm reconstruction, effluent spray irrigation equipment, and other plant improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$86,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2016	05/01/2016	\$879,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		90.00

Authority: Eagle Pass			
PIF #:	11102	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Regional WW System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: 1. Rehabilitate the existing wastewater treatment plant and add grit removal to improve operational efficiency2. Elimination of the Thompson Lift Station by installing a gravity line3. Develop a hydraulic model of the sewer system			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$296,125.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$595,125.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2019	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Eastland			
PIF #:	11103	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Eastland Treatment and Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the upgrade of existing treatment processes (including enhancing the biological treatment process and upgrading the UV disinfection process to maintain compliance with non-potable reuse requirements) at the City's WWTP, as well as replacement of existing collection system collection pipes and force mains and a lift station which have reached the end of their useful lives. Refer to Section II for a detailed Project Description. Refer to Section III for documentation on non-compliance.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$151,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$444,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$5,548,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,607,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		33.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Forsan			
PIF #:	11104	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sewer Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the installation of a new wastewater collection system which will replace the existing OSSF facilities currently in use throughout the City. The proposed collection system will flow to a new WWTP currently under construction which will be owned and operated by Forsan ISD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$109,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$243,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	02/01/2018	\$2,158,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Graford			
PIF #:	11105	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Manhole Replacements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of making improvements to the collection system by replacing approximately 20 manholes throughout the City which are known to cause inflow and infiltration. The proposed project phases would include planning, design and construction.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/30/2015	\$27,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2016	04/04/2016	\$143,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$215,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Gustine			
PIF #:	11106	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Station Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of making improvements to 4 existing lift stations within the City's collection system. The improvements would include full rehabilitation of the lift stations i.e. new wet well basins, pumps, controls/electrical, fencing, etc. The proposed project phases would include planning, design and construction.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/30/2015	\$31,000.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2016	05/02/2016	\$194,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$270,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Haskell			
PIF #:	11107	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pond and Irrigation WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The city operates on old, inefficient activated sludge WWTP that has trouble meeting effluent discharge limits. Operational costs including power and sludge management continue to escalate; therefore, the city is proposing to replace the old WWTP with a new lagoon and pond system followed by irrigation for a no discharge system. The City is seeking planning, acquisition, design, and construction funding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$215,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$200,000.00
Design:			\$435,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2017	07/01/2018	\$4,650,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Houston			
PIF #:	11108	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation 2016			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The project provides for rehabilitation/replacement of existing wastewater collection systems citywide, including: sanitary sewer rehabilitation by slip lining and pipe bursting methods, Cured-in-Place Pipe method, or sanitary sewer cleaning and televised inspection in support of rehabilitation. This project is required by TCEQ Agreed Order. It reduces sanitary sewer overflows in the collection system and optimizes its performance through the replacement and rehabilitation of sewer lines, which contribute to significant inflow and infiltration.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/01/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	01/31/2019	\$62,700,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		56.00

Authority: Orange Co WCID # 2			
PIF #:	11109	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sabine River Outfall			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construct a 3.5 MGD lift station and 5,000 feet of force main to allow the District's WWTP to discharge directly to the Sabine River. The current discharge is to Adams Bayou, a tributary of the Sabine River. Also construct a new chlorine contact chamber a			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$219,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$168,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2013	09/01/2014	\$2,204,424.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		11.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Port Arthur			
PIF #:	11110	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Main WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new wastewater treatment plant to replace the City's Main WWTP. Preliminary analysis indicates an average daily flow of 15 MGD (current is 9.2) and a peak flow of 75 MGD (44.8). The existing WWTP and lift station will be demolished, structures removed or abandoned in place and the property rerecorded.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$495,200.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2014	\$1,295,000.00
Design:			\$5,481,750.00
Est. Construction:	02/06/2015	09/15/2019	\$81,211,375.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$77,211,375.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		31.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Joaquin			
PIF #:	11111	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: Project proposes to demolish the existing WWTP package treatment units and replace with new WWTP package treatment units. Existing units are in excess of 25 years old and beyond their useful life. Existing metal structures are rusting and beyond rehabilitation. Additionally the City installed a reverse osmosis (RO) water treatment system for existing water wells and the waste stream generated is approximately 50,000 gpd which is driving the WWTP effluent above 75% of the permitted flow and causing treatment issues.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$85,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$275,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2017	04/01/2018	\$3,555,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		55.00

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11112	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer Improvements for South FM 506 & US 281			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The City of La Feria proposes to extend their sanitary sewer service along FM 506 south of the Arroyo Colorado to appoint approximately 2,860' north of US 281. In efforts to accomplish this project two lift stations with approximately 20,000 linear feet of collection line and 18,000 linear feet of force main will be required to collect and pump the sanitary sewer to the existing WTP Plant at south Rabb Rd.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$63,000.00
Acquisition:			\$20,000.00
Design:			\$303,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/15/2016	12/31/2016	\$3,506,250.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		83.00

Authority: La Joya			
PIF #:	11113	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Replacement - Additional Construction Funds			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City received funding for a replacement WWTP under Project 72022. Bids came in higher than anticipated and the City needs additional funds. The City of La Joya is constructing a 1.2 MGD AERO MOD based wastewater treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2015	06/01/2017	\$8,630,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		60.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Ranger			
PIF #:	11114	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9126			
Project Name: Facultative Lagoon WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Replace the City's mechanical WWTP at a new site with a new facultative lagoon, stabilization pond and irrigation holding pond. A holding tank & pump station would be constructed at the existing WWTP and a 12" forcemain would deliver wastewater to the ne			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2012	\$350,000.00
Design:			\$470,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2012	06/30/2013	\$3,480,079.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		75.00

Authority: La Porte			
PIF #:	11115	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lomax Area WWLS Consolidation			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The Lomax Area Wastewater Lift Station Consolidation Project request includes funding for planning, acquisition, design and construction. The project scope includes extending gravity sewer to replace 9 existing sanitary lift stations with a single lift station/force main. Net reduction of 8 lift stations. Taking the 8 lift stations off line is accomplished with the construction of 4-mile gravity sewer. The project also includes reconstructing a 10th lift station, lift station #23, that cannot be served by gravity due to a large oil & gas pipeline corridor. (See Appendix A for the Preliminary Engineering Report), Finally, there project will include flow metering to plan for inflow/infiltration considerations with this project and for future in-house and contracted maintenance efforts.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$233,075.00
Acquisition:			\$100,000.00
Design:			\$1,050,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/02/2017	01/02/2019	\$9,251,925.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$9,904,069.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		35.00

Authority: Laguna Madre WD			
PIF #:	11116	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Port Isabel WWTP Phase I Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The District completed a Master Plan for both Port Isabel WWTF and Water Reclamation and Reuse. Design phase will be completed within the next 6-9 months using local funds, and the District intends to proceed with construction of Phase I wastewater treatment improvements in FY 2016. The improvements include one additional blower, replacement of the aeration basin and digester diffusers and air piping, new RAS/WAS pump station, and electrical system overhaul. Modifications to the existing aeration basins will meet water quality requirements for a future advanced water treatment facility and comply with discharge standards for proposed outfall relocation to the Port Isabel Channel.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$669,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/07/2016	09/06/2017	\$5,146,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,162,770.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Los Fresnos			
PIF #:	11117	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Planning, Design, Construction of Improvements to WWTP Headworks, including new bar screen and grit removal system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$121,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$1,095,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		30.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: North Fort Bend WA			
PIF #:	11118	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Grand Lakes Reclaimed Water System			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The Grand Lakes Reclaimed Water System will treat the effluent from the Grand Lakes Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant to Type I Standards and distribute the water throughout Grand Lakes MUDs 1,2 and 4 for irrigation of green spaces and to maintain levels in the amenity lakes throughout the MUDs. This system will supply 0.59 million gallons of water per day through approximately 52,000 linear feet of purple pipe. The planning phase has been completed, and all land is secured. The detailed design phase and construction will begin once funding is secured.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$10,880,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$10,880,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		77.00

Authority: Paris			
PIF #:	11119	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project will include conceptual design, planning, preliminary design, final design, bidding, construction and start up phases. The project is to serve the City of Paris and possibly other regional entities with an upgraded and improved WWTP. The City of Paris owns and operates a 7.25 mdg WWTP that is over 60 years old. Almost every process at the facility is in need of major rehabilitation due to age of the infrastructure. The City is taking a proactive approach to replace and rehabilitate the process before effluent violations occur. The project will include a new headworks facility with fine screening and grit removal, a new activated sludge process with fine bubble diffusers and efficient blowers, a new RAS/WAS pumping facility, new clarifiers, improvements to the disinfection system, new sludge handling equipment, new digesters and new sludge processing equipment. The new facility will also be designed to upgrade as needed for future expected nutrient limits. As part of this project we will also develop an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,500,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$6,500,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2017	12/01/2020	\$70,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$10,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		47.00

Authority: Wimberley			
PIF #:	11120	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9755,9756			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construct a wastewater treatment system to serve the downtown area and the Wimberley Nursing Home. Decommission an existing package WWTP and construct a new extended aeration activated sludge WWTP. Wastewater service is currently via septic systems which are deteriorating, limiting businesses from offering restroom service and potentially impacting groundwater quality and water quality in Cypress Creek.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/01/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2013	05/01/2014	\$4,456,800.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,337,040.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		72.00

Authority: Wimberley			
PIF #:	11121	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9754,9756			
Project Name: New WW Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new wastewater collection system to serve the downtown area and the Deer Creek of Wimberley Nursing Home. The system will consist of gravity sewers, force mains, 3 new lift stations, and modifications to the lift station currently serving the nursing home.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2012	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2013	05/01/2014	\$2,527,440.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		42.00

Authority: Pharr			
PIF #:	11122	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Indirect Potable Reuse			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
<p>Project Description: The project consists of utilizing the City reclaimed water from the Pharr WWTP to augment the City's Raw Water Supply. This is commonly referred to as indirect potable reuse. This would consist of diverting approximately 4-mgd of the current total plan flow (6-mgd) and treating it to near drinking water standards. It also requires the addition of a buffering pond to store the treated reclaimed water and pump station to convey treated reclaimed water to the Raw Water Storage Pond. The Raw Water Storage Pond is located about a quarter mile from the Pharr WWTP just east of the Pharr WTP. This strategy was presented and approved by TWDB in a Water Reuse Priority and Implementation Plan Report prepared in September 2011 and it was also included in the Region M Water Planning Group Plan. This project also includes building a new 20-MGD raw water reservoir approximately 2.25-miles from water treatment facility. This will add additional emergency storage. Currently, the City has a single raw water reservoir with a storage capacity of 70-MGD. Using an average daily demand of 10-MGD; the City has 7-days of storage. The additional 20-MG will add two additional days of emergency storage. A booster pump and transmission will be required to transfer stored water to the water treatment plant. This project will also include developing an asset management plan that will ultimately be adopted by the City's governing body (Pharr City Commission)</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$328,502.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$8,610,790.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	01/01/2019	\$34,443,159.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$38,316,860.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		73.00

Authority: Round Top			
PIF #:	11123	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sand Filter Bed Addition			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Construction	
<p>Project Description: The proposed project is for the installation of two sand filter beds that will increase the hydraulic capacity from 13,000 gpd to 27,000 gpd. Adequate treatment unit operations are in place and the filter beds are the only components needed for the expansion. The treatment plant consists of equalized dosing, extended aeration, coarse filtration, sand filtration and a chlorine contact basin. The treatment plant receives flows from a Septic Tank Effluent Pump pretreatment system. Wastewater is conveyed to the treatment plant by a small diameter (three-inch) pressure sewer. There are 20 businesses and 5 residences connected to the plant. The system was financed with Hotel Occupancy Tax Funds and accordingly the initial connections were exclusively businesses. Restructuring of the finances has allowed residential customers and 5 connections have been made. The remainder of the residences in town are served by septic tanks. Additionally, there is an elementary school in town serviced by a septic tank system. The Town of Round Top recently passed an ordinance requiring residential customers to connect to the Town's wastewater system when their septic tank systems fail. Accordingly, there will be additional residential customers requiring treatment capacity and possibly the school. The current non-peak flow to the plant is about 7,000 gpd. However, during the antique show season, flows approach the permitted limit of 12,000 gpd. Round Top proposes to install two filter beds to increase their hydraulic capacity to 27,000 gpd. The additional capacity will be adequate to connect 25 residential connections and the school as needed and provide some capacity for peak conditions. Round Top will develop an asset management plan to provide a means to manage a functional treatment facility.</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$105,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$100,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		27.00

Authority: Wimberley			
PIF #:	11124	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9754,9755			
Project Name: Subsurface Irrigation System for WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construct a subsurface irrigation system to serve the Blue Hole Regional Park.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		03/01/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2013	05/01/2014	\$480,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$144,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		46.00

Authority: Buda			
PIF #:	11126	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9829			
Project Name: Hillside Terrace Collection System			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the planning, acquisition, design, and construction of a centralized sewage construction system to connect all of the existing residential septic systems in the Hillside Terrace subdivision. The proposed collection system will discharge into a proposed lift station and will be pumped through a proposed force main that will discharge into the City of Buda's existing collection system. The sewage will then be conveyed to the City's existing treatment plant for processing. The subdivision is outside the City's water service area and is considered to be a nonpoint source contributor of pollution to the impaired water body of Plum Creek.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/10/2014	01/10/2015	\$4,380,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		72.00

Authority: Scottsville			
PIF #:	11127	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: First Time Sewer Service			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: The City of Scottsdale seeks PAD-C funding to install a new sewer collection and transfer system in a previously un-served section of the City. The system will consist of new gravity lines, a new lift station, and forcemain piping to transfer the waste to the City of Marshall WWTP for processing. City intends to utilize funds for development of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:		11/01/2015	\$15,000.00
Design:			\$95,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	10/30/2016	\$1,201,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		22.00

Authority: Sulphur Springs			
PIF #:	11128	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Upgrade			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Sulphur Springs has contracted with Garver to complete a Conceptual Design Report by April, 2015, which will lead to design and rehabilitation of the City's wastewater plant including expanded capacity. The city will be seeking funding for both design and construction for this project. As a conceptual report is completed, any innovative or alternative technology to be used will be identified and communicated to the TWDB. During the project, the City of Sulphur Springs will prepare an Asset Management Plan for the newly rehabilitated Water Treatment Plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,200,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	12/01/2017	\$17,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,550,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		46.00

Authority: Weatherford			
PIF #:	11129	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Holland Lake Creek Stormwater Project			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project is a direct result of a Holland Lake Creek Watershed and Stream Geomorphic Study. The study recommends systematically acquiring the 100 year flood zone as a riparian protection corridor and stabilizing portions of Holland Lake Creek to reduce erosion and sedimentation entering the West Fork of the Clear Fork of the Trinity River. The project includes the acquisition of about 11 acres of flood plain, the design and construction of pool and riffle structures to slow water velocities that will prevent further erosion and stream degradation. The design and construction of a modular block wall for a partial reclamation of the 100 year flood plain adjacent to existing homes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		02/27/2016	\$1,132,124.00
Design:			\$444,453.00
Est. Construction:	05/30/2016	12/30/2016	\$2,476,100.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,608,124.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		45.00

Authority: Wolfe City			
PIF #:	11130	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection and Treatment Systems Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of planning, design and construction phases for improvement to the City's entire collection system and wastewater treatment plant. The improvements would include replacing sewer lines, replacing three lift stations, and making improvements to the wastewater treatment plant. The improvements to the plant would include installing aerators, renovating the three sludge drying beds, repairing the outlet structure and building improvements. The City is under an enforcement action with the TCEQ for the WWTP. See the attached enforcement action documents. The proposed improvements are intended to address the enforcement action issues at the WWTP. The City also plans to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$225,000.00
Acquisition:		08/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:		08/31/2015	\$408,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/04/2016	01/04/2017	\$4,367,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		44.00

Authority: Brady			
PIF #:	11131	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Replacement and Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Construction	
<p>Project Description: The City of Brady has identified an urgent need to implement a full replacement of the city's existing 1.1 MGD WWTP, which is in an advanced state of deterioration. The plant was put into service in 1963, and it has only undergone one significant improvement in 1989. The plant currently sits in the 100-yr floodplain. Plant operators are forced to work in antiquated, inefficient facility, where the deterioration of mechanical systems has affected the efficacy of treatment processes. The aerators in the aeration basin, which serve as the "heart" of the treatment plant are significantly deteriorated, posing a serious risk to plant operation. The existing sludge drying beds have also proved to be inadequate and has greatly affected the plant's ability to waste sludge, affecting plant treatment processes. The City is requesting TWDB's consideration to divert funds from their existing PAD loan in order to complete an emergency construction project to relieve the sludge dewatering problem. Since the WWTP serves the entire population of Brady, failure of the plant would result in the inability of the City to treat wastewater. Additionally, corrosion of structural members has significantly increased the safety risks of operators working at the plant. The City has initiated an effort to fully replace the WWTP gravity sewer lines that are in danger of failure and these have been included in the scope of this project. with one of two types of plants: an extended aeration WWT facility or a sequencing batch reactor (SBR) facility. Additionally, there is pressing need for improvements to several</p>			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	03/01/2019	\$23,480,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		65.00

Authority: Cisco			
PIF #:	11132	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Stations & Reuse System			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The project includes replacement of the City's main lift station that transports the raw sewage to the WWTP, addition of a screening system to the City's new main lift station, and the replacement of another existing sanitary sewer lift station located approximately at East 24th St and Humble Ave that has been identified by TCEQ as being in need of replacement. Due to ongoing drought in the area, the City is concerned with the long-term viability of its primary raw water source, Lake Cisco. To address the issue, the City proposes to add several new treatment processes to the City's WWTP, including a new mechanical secondary treatment process, filtration and disinfection processes to enhance the quality of the WWTP effluent, with the goal of permitting a new outfall west of the WWTP at Bernie Lake, which will begin supplementing Lake Cisco with additional raw water.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	06/30/2018	\$4,905,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,776,367.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Kerr County			
PIF #:	11133	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Center Point Wastewater System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Acquisition & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will construct a new wastewater collection system for the Center Point community and portions of eastern Kerr County. Currently, this unincorporated area relies on septic systems which have a history of violations and are on lots that are too small for effective operation. Further, the households of this area generally have insufficient income to directly fund the necessary improvements. The current plan calls for over 177,000 LF of collection and transfer mains, 11 lift stations, and improvements to the existing Comfort WWTP. This system will collect wastewater from the Center Point area and a corridor along State Highway 27 through eastern Kerr County. This wastewater will be transferred to the existing Kendall County WCID #1 system that currently serves the Comfort area. This application seeks funding for the bidding and construction phase. An asset management plan is being considered during the current design phase.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		04/30/2015	\$128,000.00
Design:		03/31/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2016	07/31/2018	\$33,250,100.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		94.00

Authority: Orangefield WSC			
PIF #:	11134	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New collection system			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of the construction of a new collection system within the Victory Gardens Area. There is an estimated 500 connections to be installed within the Victory Gardens Subdivision. The new proposed collection system will replace the on-site septic tanks that are in use now. This project will help eliminate health hazards as well as aid in the clean-up of cow bayou. The collection system for this area will be a vacuum collection system. Currently the Corporation is undergoing a change to their existing organizational structure which would allow for eligibility of subsidies given the latest legislative changes which have affected the Clean Water State Revolving Funds guidelines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	09/01/2017	\$6,325,500.00

Green Project: No
Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		100.00

Authority: Ralls			
PIF #:	11135	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Facultative Lagoon WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Acquisition & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Ralls needs to construct a new wastewater treatment facility and disposal of effluent by irrigation. The plant shall consist of an influent mechanical fine bar screen, a facultative lagoon, two stabilization ponds and an effluent pumping station to transport the treated wastewater to the permitted land irrigation system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2015	\$132,500.00
Design:		03/31/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	12/31/2016	\$1,837,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		55.00

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	11136	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Station Rehab			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the replacement, elimination, enlargement, and rehabilitation of six (6) existing lift stations and the installation of the new force mains to alleviate current wastewater collection and pumping problems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	12/30/2016	\$7,898,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: West Tawakoni			
PIF #:	11137	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of rehabilitating and upgrading the wastewater treatment plant including clarifiers and sludge handling facilities			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$140,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$2,792,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		60.00

Authority: Acton MUD			
PIF #:	11138	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP #1 (DeCordova Bend) Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The District is proposing to treat the effluent to a level that would allow use as irrigation water for a near-by golf course. The Principal project involves providing sewer service to residences in neighborhoods on, or near, Lake Granbury. The areas serviced by the AMUD Decordova Bend WWTP are continuing to grow and expand. The WWTP expansion is necessary to treat the additional flows that will be produced due to the new developments in this area. In an effort to be proactive, AMUD proposes to expand Decordova Bend WWTP to accommodate the flows produced by these new connections in the collection system project. The proposed plant expansion will entail adding additional influent pump station capacity, an additional aeration basin and clarifier, sludge handling capacity, increased aeration capacity, as well as the associated yard piping, electrical, controls, etc. The plant expansion will allow AMUD to continue serving their customers with high quality, reliable wastewater treatment, as well as continuing to provide reuse water from this facility. additional connections. The District is proposing to treat the effluent to a level that would allow use as irrigation water for a near-by golf course.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$182,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$203,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	09/01/2018	\$2,535,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,920,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		71.00

Authority: Acton MUD			
PIF #:	11139	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Acton MUD is proposing to expand their sewer collection system to include several neighborhoods near Lake Granbury which are currently served by old, dilapidated, leaking septic tanks. Three of these neighborhoods are at lake levels and will require grinder pumps and small diameter low pressure sewer to properly service each residence. Conventional gravity sewer will service the remainder the proposed area. Two lift stations are planned and will pump wastewater via a proposed 6-inch force main to the Rhea Road sewer main. These neighborhood have also been identified as "hot spots" on Lake Granbury where high coliform reading are regularly recorded. This project will allow old septic systems to be abandoned and allow residents to utilize the sewer collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$209,875.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$724,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$9,162,198.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		31.00

Authority: Agua SUD			
PIF #:	11140	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sullivan City Collection Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Sullivan City Collection Expansion			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$630,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$5,681,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		61.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Alba			
PIF #:	11141	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lagoon Rehab			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Alba is seeking PAD & Construction dollars to rehabilitate, through sludge and sedimentation removal, two lagoons at the City's WWTP. The city lacks an Asset Management Plan for which funding is also sought.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$59,064.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$62,702.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	10/31/2017	\$578,851.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Bonham			
PIF #:	11142	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Please see attached - specifically Phase III Construction of new components			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$364,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2015	\$297,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/15/2015	06/15/2017	\$2,903,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,250,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		61.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Bovina			
PIF #:	11143	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Inlet & Outlet Piping and Lagoons			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The outlet piping of WWTP is configured such that the 60 acre-ft of storage cannot be utilized. The system further has been constructed such that vaults are flooded and piping is subjected to freezing and clogging. The inlet piping has been constructed with no air gap resulting in a condition which will drain the treatment system in the case of an influent line out or break. The lagoons were not completed in accordance with the permit. An additional 1 foot of liner is required in both lagoons.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/25/2009	\$247,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	10/01/2017	\$2,130,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		5.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11144	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: SSO Projects - Construction			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: BPUB is currently proposing several urgent need Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) projects throughout Brownsville to improve the aging and deteriorating wastewater collection system. The project includes replacement of 3,178 feet of VC pipe with PVC pipe plus the rehabilitation of 13 lift stations. The existing collection system includes several segments with pipe at reverse grades resulting in frequent overflows and cave-ins resulting in repeated calls regarding SSOs (see attached SSO Database and TCEQ Correspondence). BPUB has completed the project design through funds received through IUP ARRA #72495, however, improvements were not constructed due to limited funds.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2016	02/28/2018	\$8,019,999.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$650,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Campbell			
PIF #:	11145	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project seeks Planning, Acquisition & Design (PAD) funding. This project will address deficiencies in the sanitary sewage collection system owned and operated by the City of Campbell. This includes replacing undersized lines, and extending new sewer lines to customers inside the Campbell CCN that currently do not have sewer services.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$53,026.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$42,139.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2016	10/31/2016	\$328,417.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Comanche			
PIF #:	11146	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of replacing existing sewer lines throughout the City's collection system which are known to cause significant inflow and infiltration (I/I). The phases would include planning, design and construction of the project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		11/30/2015	\$22,400.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2016	\$299,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$372,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		60.00

Authority: Cottonwood Shores			
PIF #:	11147	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 100,000 gal Facultative Lagoon & 40 Acres Irrigated			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Principal project is a no-discharge wastewater treatment facility to be located south of Cottonwood Shores and FM 2147 on underdeveloped acreage. The facility will be constructed in stages beginning with 100,000 gpd in the first stage and will increase in the second stage to 200,000 gpd as demand warrants. The facility will consist of the following treatment units: a facultative lagoon with a volume of 20.5 acre-ft, including freeboard; two parallel holding ponds of 3.4 acre-ft each; 40 irrigated acres with water-reel applicators. The estimated costs include lagoon-pond liners, site work, earthwork, irrigation equipment and distribution piping, and electrical power and lighting.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:		12/14/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$270,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/31/2016	01/31/2017	\$2,119,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$50,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.00

Authority: Eden			
PIF #:	11148	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: While the City's wastewater treatment capabilities are sufficient to meet the current needs of the City, several deficiencies have been identified within the City's existing wastewater collection system. Several areas, both within the city limits and in the City's Extra Territorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) do not currently have access to the City's wastewater collection system. Furthermore, the screening system installed in 2009 does not provide adequate protection to the City's two influent pump stations, resulting in excessive "wear and tear" on the pump equipment. The proposed wastewater system improvements are designed to address each of these deficiencies.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$125,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$72,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	09/01/2017	\$1,607,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.00

Authority: El Paso Co Tornillo WID			
PIF #:	11149	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Tornillo NE WW Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: See attachment 3 for project description			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,983.00
Acquisition:		07/04/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$254,518.00
Est. Construction:	07/04/2016	02/20/2017	\$7,441,910.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		71.00

Authority: Farmersville			
PIF #:	11150	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Interceptor Project			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes the design and construction of a regional wastewater treatment plant facility with necessary sewer gravity mains, sewer force mains, easement and right-of-way acquisition, and appurtenances.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$225,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$290,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$6,645,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		61.00

Authority: Gladewater			
PIF #:	11151	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: At this time the City of Gladewater is requesting P and D funding for the following project - Construction funding will be requested upon completion of P and D activities. the proposed project includes upgrades to the existing Wastewater Treatment Plant. The upgrades are repair and/or replace failing units and install new sludge management equipment and WWTP SCADA.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$136,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$201,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	10/01/2017	\$2,066,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$504,630.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Glen Rose			
PIF #:	11152	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP & Reuse Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The project involves an upgrade and expansion of the City's existing WWTP. The WWTP is currently permitted for an average flow of 0.60 MGD (PF of 1.80 MGD). The average daily flow at the plant at this time is approximately 0.47 MGD, which at the current population of 2,592 reflects a wastewater production rate of 180 gpcd. Using the historical annual growth rate from 2000 to 2010, an anticipated 2040 population of 4,007, which reflects a proposed average daily flow of 0.7 MGD. To ensure compliance with the 75/90 rule in 2040, we are proposing an expansion to 1.0 MGD (PF of 3.0 MGD). The expansion will include new headworks, preliminary, primary, secondary and tertiary treatment improvements and an upgrade to the existing disinfection system to UV disinfection. The sludge handling facility improvements will include an expansion of solids handling improvements prior to disposal. The project also includes land application of the effluent on property adjacent to the existing WWTP. Refer to Section III for additional detail.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	07/14/2017	\$8,166,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
	Rating:	55.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11153	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sherman WWTP Renovation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: Planning, design and construction funding sought to complete engineering study to ascertain optimum process for nitrogen and phosphorus removal, reconstruction of headworks that has deteriorated and requires replacement, and replacement of sensors and control elements with more reliable components to upgrade UV disinfection system, which is 10 years old. Newer, more capable and reliable sensors and controls will restore and improve UV system performance.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,570,938.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Harris Co FWSD # 47			
PIF #:	11154	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The District has one WWTP and it is over 40-years old. Several of the units at the WWTP are in need of repair and rehabilitation. The project includes rehabilitation/replacement of the WWTP lift station including new controls and pumps with rehabilitation of the wet well, installation of pretreatment solution to minimize FOG (fats, oils and grease) and additions to the structure to make it more flexible for future maintenance and operation. The project also includes rehabilitation of the sand filter (the unit is currently disconnected and not in use) and rehabilitation of the outfall box.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$124,200.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$807,300.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$146,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Hudson			
PIF #:	11155	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project is to replace existing WasterWater Treatment Plant for the City of Hudson. The existing Treatment Plant was constructed in 1978 and has reached its useful service life. Most mechanical equipment has been replaced multiple times and the concrete structures are deteriorating. The facility has historically met permit requirements but has problems with solids removal and handing I/I contribution under present loading conditions. The existing and proposed plants discharge to Jack Creek in Segment 0640 of the Neches River Basin.Funding is sought for Planning, Design, and Construction.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$243,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2014	\$20,000.00
Design:		03/01/2016	\$284,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$4,008,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Jefferson			
PIF #:	11157	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Rehab & Extend Sewers			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: At this time the City of Jefferson is requesting PAD funding for the following project - Construction funding will be requested upon completion of PAD activities. The proposed project include first-time sanitary sewer service to an area in the Northeast quadrant of Jefferson. The area was designated as a service priority in the September 2002 Water and Wastewater Study (TCDP Contract No. 721084). The proposed project also includes rehabilitation of sanitary sewerlines in the "downtown" area of Jefferson and first time sewer service to an area in the Southern section of Jefferson - see map for locations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$187,500.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2017	\$20,000.00
Design:			\$300,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	10/01/2017	\$3,183,125.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		41.00

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11158	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Fine Bubble Diffused Aeration System			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: City of La Feria has a 1.25 MGD mechanical wastewater treatment plant. This plant is permitted for a flow of 1.25 MGD and currently utilizes an extended aeration process for the design. The plant has two aeration basins, each having three 25 Hp flowing aerator units capable of treating the design flow. The Green Project would be such that the aeration basins would be converted to a diffused aeration system using blowers capable of having turn down to meet the actual demand of the plant. The savings is estimated to be \$49,120 per year. See attached calculations. The estimated construction cost for the project is \$1,558,320. See attached construction cost estimate. The diffused aeration system would allow the City of La Feria to treat the wastewater at reduced cost and allow turn down to save possibly even more money than the projected energy savings.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$150,240.00
Est. Construction:	01/29/2016	07/28/2016	\$1,333,080.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,558,320.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: La Joya			
PIF #:	11159	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sewer Line Upgrade			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of La Joya is seeking funding for the planning, design and installation of 8" and 10" sanitary sewer lines, a 6" force main, 82 short 4" service connections, 82 long 4" service connections, 28-48" diameter fiberglass manholes, 11 sanitary sewer drop manholes and 1 lift station to serve the Havana area. This area, currently served by the AGUA SUD water system, presently utilizes septic tanks with drainfields or cesspools for wastewater disposal that generally do not meet TCEQ On-Site Sewage Facilities design requirements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2016	\$304,418.40
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$4,905,386.84

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,941,277.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Lone Oak			
PIF #:	11160	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of installing a new lift station and new sewer lines. The project also consists of replacing three (3) existing lift station pumps. The proposed project would include the planning, acquisition, design and construction phases.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$52,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	01/31/2017	\$398,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		21.00

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	11161	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Bio Tower Rehab			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: PAD funding is requested for rehabilitation of the existing City of Marshall WWTP to rehabilitate existing Digester #3 and two Bio Filter towers. No asset management plan is in place - project includes preparation and implementation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$123,600.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$360,250.00
Est. Construction:	05/15/2017	10/31/2017	\$3,189,850.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Marshall			
PIF #:	11162	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: East End LS Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: PAD funding is requested for rehabilitation of the existing East End Lift Station. The aging LS is inefficient and has no reliable source of emergency power. No asset management plan is in place. Project includes preparation and implementation of an asset management plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,400.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$162,250.00
Est. Construction:	05/15/2017	10/31/2017	\$1,436,650.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$54,184.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: New Summerfield			
PIF #:	11164	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Sewer Service			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of New Summerfield, Texas is seeking PAD funding, at this time, for proposed FIRST-TIME public utility sewer service to a neighborhood within the corporate city limits of New Summerfield. Approximately 23 individual households will be served by permanent city sewer with the expectation of decommissioning a like number of individual septic tank systems. Some 2700 LF of 6" sewer line with appropriate Left Station(s) and force-mains will be installed to complete this project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$133,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/15/2016	12/31/2016	\$637,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		57.00

Authority: Quitman			
PIF #:	11165	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP & Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: WWTP improvements include installation of mechanical bar screen, grit chamber, removal of grit from existing aeration basins, replacement of existing clarifier mechanical equipment, new clarifier to provide clarification redundancy, replacement of existing sludge pumps, aeration basin and digester valve replacement, new chlorine contact chamber, site piping, electrical and controls. Collection system improvements include the replacement of existing deteriorated gravity collection system piping consisting of approximately 4,700 linear feet of 8-in sewer main and approximately 5,500 linear feet of 12-in sewer main, manholes, and associated appurtenances. Funding is sought for planning, design and construction of the WWTP and collection system improvements as well as for the preparation of an Asset Management Plan for the City's Wastewater System.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$314,600.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$547,700.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2015	02/01/2018	\$5,208,578.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Rio Hondo			
PIF #:	11166	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Rehab			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project will be for the construction phase of wastewater collection system improvements. The wastewater collection system has large amounts of infiltration and inflow (I/I) due to its 1950's era wastewater main lines, brick manholes and lift stations. The design will incorporate high efficiency pumps, motors with VFDs, solid state controls, and replace leaking main lines & manholes to reduce I/I.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$227,298.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/31/2015	\$282,096.00
Est. Construction:	12/14/2015	12/14/2016	\$3,063,848.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,573,242.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: Rio Hondo			
PIF #:	11167	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Effluent Polishing Wetlands & Direct Reuse			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: This project will be for the planning, design and construction of wastewater effluent polishing wetlands with an effluent reuse line. Presently, the collected wastewater is treated at the WWTP and discharged to the Arroyo Colorado River Basin which is designated as an impaired water body per TCEQ. The polishing wetlands & reuse line will eliminate nutrient & BOD loading being discharged to the Arroyo. The effluent reuse will be pumped to the WTP raw water reservoirs to provide sustainability of the drinking water. The design of the effluent reuse pump station will incorporate high efficiency pumps, motors with VFDs and solid state controls.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$131,140.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$97,280.00
Est. Construction:	03/16/2015	03/16/2017	\$1,082,282.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,310,702.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		65.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Robstown			
PIF #:	11168	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Industrial Zone Sanitary Sewer			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed Trade Processing and Inland Center project will require the improvement and expansion of the existing wastewater system for the southwest portion of the Robstown area. The current system being a direct benefactor of the project, the existing system would be enhanced with a new lift station and approximately 3,008 linear feet of 21" PVC sanitary sewer collector line, and 6,400 linear feet of 16" force main. The new system would provide sanitary sewer services for the southwest section of the City of Robstown. The attached exhibit illustrates the proposed improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$225,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$2,988,461.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Brazoria Co FWSD # 2			
PIF #:	11169	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Demi John Vacuum Sewer			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Community-wide vacuum sewer to serve 220 homes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$850,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$850,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		82.00

Authority: Rosebud			
PIF #:	11170	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The city of Rosebud had a wastewater system evaluation performed. This study identified several deficiencies in the city's collection system. These deficiencies result in increased inflow and infiltration which cause undue strain on the city's wastewater treatment plant. The city intends to replace these deficient collection systems in the effort to reduce their inflow and infiltration issues. The City intends to prepare their Asset Management Plan with the assistance of TCEQ's FMT contractor.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$59,433.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$147,732.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	07/31/2017	\$633,093.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$387,400.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		1.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Royalwood MUD			
PIF #:	11171	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The existing WWTP is approximately 40 yrs old. The proposed project will rehabilitate the existing controls and infrastructure that the plant remains operational and continues to produce quality effluent. Project includes replacement of existing motor control center and air diffuser system, recoating of above ground yard piping and headworks, and repairing of control building roof. The project also includes site cleanup and security/access upgrades by installing new chain link fence and site access road and removing and disposing of existing abandoned sludge drying beds, piping and sand/silt units.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$96,080.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$94,500.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$614,250.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11172	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: South Colonias WW Service			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Extend first time wastewater service to five subdivisions southeast of the City and south of the Brownsville International Airport. Subdivisions include Dockberry Estates, Colonia 21, Colonia Coronado, Paloma Blanca, and Milpa Verde. Residents use septic tank or other private disposal systems that present health hazards due to poor soil types, small lot sizes, high water table, and flooding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2013	\$0.00
Design:		05/31/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	06/30/2015	\$2,468,916.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		50.00

Authority: San Juan			
PIF #:	11173	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Extension			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: EDAP is currently funding the Planning, Acquisition and Design (PAD) for the project. This funding request is for Construction of the project only.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2016	06/01/2016	\$1,835,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11174	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: North Colonias WW Service			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Extend first time wastewater service to unsewered areas northeast of the City. Areas include five subdivisions: Las Flores, Palacios, Central Estates, Praxedis Saldivar, and Las Palmas; and an unserved part of Old Port Isabel Road. Residents use private means of disposal that are not very effective in unsuitable soils, on small lots, with a high water table, and occasional flooding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		05/31/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	06/30/2015	\$3,412,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		60.00

Authority: Stamford				
PIF #:	11175	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Collection System Improvements				
Project Type: POTW – Collection			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project includes replacement of the City's main lift stations that transports the raw sewage to the City's WWTP and replacement of aging sewer lines in the collection system. Refer to Section II for detailed project description.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$140,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$250,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$2,588,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
	Rating:	10.00

Authority: Troy			
PIF #:	11176	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The WWTP expansion will include the construction of a new Concrete Clarifier and the purchase of the new solids drying equipment. The clarifier will include manufacturer propriety filtering enhancements including dissolved air flotation. This project will also include the preparation of a Asset Management System.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$45,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	12/30/2016	\$465,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		36.00

Authority: Upper Leon River MWD			
PIF #:	11177	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sludge Holding & Dewatering			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project involves improving solids handling at the existing WWTP. The District currently has excessive concentrations of molybdenum in the WWTP sludge, preventing the District from land applying its WWTP sludge at its existing land application site, which results in a substantially higher operating cost for the District. The project will include the construction of an onsite sludge holding tank and dewatering system and the development of an industrial pretreatment program to encourage reductions in heavy metal waste entering the WWTP influent.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$152,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$63,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$702,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Harris Co FCD			
PIF #:	11178	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Katy Hockley North-Cypress Crk Reservoir			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Acquisition of 5,155 acres of land for a regional wetland bank, development of prairie grasslands and temp. flood storage during occasional inundation due to overflow; construction of a minor berm, generally less than 6 feet in height, for detaining water for up to six days in duration; excavation of a shallow channel to convey floodwaters to an outlet; development of a 500 foot wide, 7,000 feet wide greenway corridor along Bear Creek; and the temporary inundation of 5,755 acres of conservation land that are currently located within the 100 year floodplain.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$2,114,559.00
Acquisition:		06/15/2018	\$37,107,000.00
Design:			\$2,315,898.00
Est. Construction:	12/30/2015	12/30/2030	\$31,843,598.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$73,381,054.50

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	Yes	30.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		80.00

Authority: Vernon			
PIF #:	11179	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: The project in the IUP includes improvements to the City's WWTP. The plant aged and almost every plant is need of rehabilitation or replacement. The attached Notice of Violation list shows that the plant has had instances in the past few years of failing to meet permit limits. This is due to the dilapidated state of many plant components. The City is proposing, to rehabilitate both the primary and secondary clarifier, add a second primary clarifier, replace headworks units including, grit removal and bar screen, rehabilitate the main lift station, rehabilitate the existing sand filers, replace the belt press and rehabilitate and add control and automation processes throughout the plant. The City is also proposing to install 8 miles of treated effluent line from the WWTP for beneficial reuse.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2017	\$260,000.00
Design:			\$496,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	06/01/2018	\$10,220,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Vinton			
PIF #:	11180	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Centralized WW Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Village of Vinton currently does not have a centralized waste water collection system and residents currently use on-site sewage facilities. The proposed project is seeking funding for the design and construction phase for the installation of a centralized waste water collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2016	\$2,821,158.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	09/01/2018	\$20,943,830.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		91.00

Authority: West Tawakoni			
PIF #:	11181	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists replacing old and deteriorated collection lines and rehabilitating the lift stations throughout the City to reduce inflow and infiltration (I/I). The City also proposes to perform smoke testing to pinpoint the location of the sewer lines causing inflow and infiltration. The project is expected to have no significant permitting, contractual, environmental, engineering or financial issues. Therefore, the proposed project shall include the planning, acquisition, design and construction phases.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/31/2014	\$110,000.00
Est. Construction:	11/02/2014	03/04/2016	\$1,732,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,942,500.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Willow Park			
PIF #:	11183	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City proposes to replace old and deteriorated sewer collection lines and manholes within the service area to reduce inflow and infiltration. The proposed project would include the planning, acquisition, design and construction phases.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$28,800.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2015	06/01/2016	\$477,200.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$596,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Winters			
PIF #:	11184	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection Pipe Replacement and Main LS Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City's existing WW Collection System was originally constructed in the mid- to late 1930's and consists of clay pipes ranging in size from 4" to 12" in diameter. The proposed project area is located in various sections of the City and where the main outfall lines converge prior to the main sewage lift station. The lift station pumps all the City's wastewater to its WWTP. In addition to collection system improvements, the lift station requires improvements to reduce the number of incidents related to debris damaging the lift station pumps. In addition to collection system improvements, the lift station requires improvements to reduce the number of incidents related to debris damaging the lift station pumps. The lift station does not currently have any manner of screening the debris from entering the lift station. A mechanical screening is required to remove the debris from the wastewater stream so it doesn't damage the WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$168,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$95,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2017	\$1,906,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		10.00

Authority: La Joya			
PIF #:	11185	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10103			
Project Name: New Sewer Connections			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install 60 new 4-inch short and 53 4-inch long sewer service connections.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$51,876.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	03/01/2014	\$456,208.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		45.00

Authority: Abilene			
PIF #:	11186	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Buck Creek PS Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Supplement the existing screw pumps at the Buck Creek Pump Station (BCPS) with submersible pumps sized to eliminate the need for throttling flow from the 48-inch interceptor. The City's wastewater enters the BCPS via three main interceptors, one 48-inch interceptor and two 36-inch interceptors. The screw pumps have had difficulty in handling flow, especially during high flows due to wet weather events. The City has historically compensated for high flow events by using a large influent flow control gate to throttle the flow, which creates "storage" in the interceptor. The City has also constructed equalization storage at the BCPS. Properly sized submersible pumps will also eliminate the need for flow equalization during routine wet weather events.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	06/30/2015	\$1,808,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$452,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Baird			
PIF #:	11188	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Facultative Lagoon WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Construct a lift station on the southeast corner of the City, a force main to and a new facultative lagoon treatment facility south of the City, an effluent pipeline, and a center pivot irrigation system to irrigate an 84 acre site. The existing mechanical plant will be abandoned.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$105,000.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$305,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	09/01/2016	\$3,390,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Baird			
PIF #:	11189	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Replace 19,500 feet of 6 and 8-inch sewer line and install 30 manholes. The City experiences very high infiltration in the 50 year old clay sewer lines along the creek through town. Most of the sewer lines in the downtown are do not have manholes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$220,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$2,180,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Bangs			
PIF #:	11190	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Secondary Clarifier			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Add a secondary clarifier at the City's WWTP for system reliability.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	07/01/2016	\$800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Bastrop Co WCID # 2			
PIF #:	11191	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WW Collection Facilities			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Acquisition	
Project Description: The District would like to purchase this wastewater collection system from its current owner, the LCRA. Flows from this system are treated by the City of Bastrop. This is a purchase of an existing system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$4,000,000.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Bevil Oaks			
PIF #:	11192	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Structurally rehabilitate the City's WWTP and construct new treatment units to enhance the original units. The plant is 33 years old and has structural deterioration in many parts and sections. A possible second alternate would be to abandon the WWTP and divert flow to the City of Beaumont for treatment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,704.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$173,080.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2014	08/01/2015	\$2,088,631.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		70.00

Authority: Blanket			
PIF #:	11193	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Pond & Irrigation WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: The City is proposing to abandon the existing mechanical WWTP and construct a new WWTP with a facultative lagoon, stabilization ponds, and irrigation holding pond. The existing lift station will be modified to pump the wastewater to the new WWTP. It is proposed to irrigate approx. 12 acres with a new center pivot irrigation system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2016	\$75,000.00
Design:			\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2016	08/01/2017	\$975,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Brady			
PIF #:	11194	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace sewer lines to correct infiltration/inflow problems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$21,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	06/30/2014	\$320,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11195	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: South WWTP Odor Control			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Construct improvements at the head works and sludge dewatering facilities to address high levels of hydrogen sulfide gas. At the head works add channel covers, a containment building, and field-constructed enclosed-vessel biofilters, fans, and ductwork. At the sludge dewatering facilities add a containment building to house the belt filter press, and field-constructed enclosed-vessel biofilters, fans, and ductwork.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$36,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$363,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	06/01/2015	\$3,923,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$231,859.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11196	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: North Brownsville Water Reuse			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Deliver 5 to 6 million gallons per day of reclaimed water from the Robindale WWTP to industries north of Brownsville.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$450,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$1,772,045.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	02/01/2017	\$18,167,435.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,222,045.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11197	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Northwest Lift Stations			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct four new lift stations and associated force mains across the north side of the PUB service area, upgrade an existing force main, and construct a new force main from existing Lift Station 111. Existing mains are overloaded and cannot accommodate additional flow.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$4,760,120.00
Est. Construction:	05/31/2015	12/31/2016	\$27,079,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11198	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Divert Brownsville ND WWTPs			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Abandon the Brownsville Navigation District's North Side and Turning Basin WWTPs and divert flow to the Brownsville collection system. The project will required lift stations at both WWTP sites, 4,800 feet of 10-inch diameter force main, 52,000 feet of 20-inch force main, and upgrades to the collection system along FM 802 including to 13 lift stations. The WWTPs will be demolished.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,215,280.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$2,307,981.00
Est. Construction:	05/31/2015	12/31/2016	\$20,178,356.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$700,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11199	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Odor Control Major Lift Stations			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Add field-constructed enclosed-vessel biofilters, fans, and duct work to limit corrosion and provide odor control at 11 lift stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$33,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$332,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2014	02/01/2016	\$3,754,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$362,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Brownsville			
PIF #:	11200	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: SSO Projects - PADC			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace 61,240 LF of vitrified clay pipe with PVC pipe, rehabilitate 26 lift stations, and decommission 8 lift stations. This project is essentially the second phase of TWDB ARRA project 72495, to continue needed improvements to the City's deteriorating collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$300,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$3,393,900.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	06/01/2017	\$36,785,109.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,335,807.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		55.00

Authority: Buckholts			
PIF #:	11201	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project included the construction of new influent headworks, repair cracks in existing concrete walls of oxidation ditch, repair and repaint each clarifier mechanism, upgrade electric panels, install new ultrasonic flow meters and chart recorders. The City will complete an Asset Management Plan as part of the proposed project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$72,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$20,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/15/2014	01/01/2015	\$196,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Campbell			
PIF #:	11202	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Place a concrete lining in the flow equalization basin, replace gate valves at the chlorine contact chamber, install a return activated sludge flow sensor, improve the head works and add a grit removal system, and install an emergency generator and automatic transfer switch at the plant lift station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$53,300.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$43,600.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	10/31/2015	\$345,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Canton			
PIF #:	11203	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Outfall Lines (trunk sewers)			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: Complete east and west outfall renewals and construct a new north outfall.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$99,000.00
Acquisition:			\$22,000.00
Design:			\$281,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$3,053,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		27.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Chateau Woods MUD			
PIF #:	11204	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: 0.2 MGD WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Due to age and current flows, the District is expanding its 0.2 MGD WWTP to 0.4 MGD by adding another treatment train. The District is also anticipating new flows from the development of an additional 400 acres within the District's service area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$220,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/06/2015	01/06/2016	\$2,179,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		55.00

Authority: Colorado City			
PIF #:	11205	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Expand the City's integrated lagoon WWTP from 1.12 to 2.12 MGD by adding additional ponds and a center pivot irrigation system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$750,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	07/01/2016	\$8,100,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Colorado City			
PIF #:	11207	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Relief Interceptor			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Install 5.5 miles of sewer line to eliminate 5 of the City's 6 existing lift stations. This includes the City lift stations at the two prisons, which cost the city significantly in O&M charges. The proposed lines will also provide sewer service to areas where sewer service is currently unavailable on the east side of town. The City has been cited by TCEQ for not having backup power for its lift stations. Untreated sewage has discharged when lift stations have failed.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		09/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$300,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	07/01/2016	\$3,350,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$450,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		51.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Comanche			
PIF #:	11208	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace two clarifiers, install new drying beds, and reconstruct a sludge drying box at the City's WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$56,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/30/2014	12/31/2014	\$946,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		70.00

Authority: Cotulla			
PIF #:	11209	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sewer System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expansion of lift station and interceptor capacity. The project will also include the development of an Asset Management Plan.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$533,050.00
Acquisition:		03/30/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$682,247.00
Est. Construction:	04/30/2015		\$7,080,477.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		42.00

Authority: Cranfills Gap				
PIF #:	11210	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: WWTP and Collection System Improvements				
Project Type: POTW – Collection			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project is to replace the existing WWTP with a new package plant and to replace four manholes. The City also intends to prepare an Asset Management Plan with the assistance of the TCEQ FMT contractor.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$94,380.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$402,500.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$2,062,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Cushing			
PIF #:	11211	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Remove sludge from ponds and install aerators. The WWTP has been in consistent violation of TCEQ discharge limits.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$64,695.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$90,269.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	10/31/2015	\$799,239.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Daingerfield				
PIF #:	11212	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: WWTP Improvements				
Project Type: POTW – Treatment			Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: At the City's WWTP rehabilitate the 44-year old clarifier, construct peak flow diversion pump station, construct a new chlorine contact basin, and construct a sludge pump station.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	12/01/2017	\$1,380,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		42.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Del Rio			
PIF #:	11213	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Reconstruction II			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Funding would allow the City to begin the second phase of a multi-phased, multi-year program to reconstruct aging and undersized components in the City's collection system. The first phase has a CWSRF commitment through project 73639. Work will be done in conjunction with projects to restore the City's water distribution system (6150 & 62590).			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$200,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$300,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Dell City			
PIF #:	11214	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Force Main			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City needs to replace two lift stations and install 6,000 feet of 8-inch force main. They anticipate using TDA grant funds for the lift stations, and using CWSRF funds for the force main.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		02/01/2014	\$18,750.00
Design:			\$50,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	09/01/2015	\$475,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Eagle Pass			
PIF #:	11216	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Expand an existing lift station to resolve ongoing problems related to reliability and maintenance. Rehabilitate portions of the collection system that are experiencing failures due to old and degrading pipes and manholes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$256,534.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,467,946.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	10/31/2015	\$16,215,461.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: East Cedar Creek FWSD			
PIF #:	11217	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Inflow and Infiltration Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The planning and design of the rehabilitation or replacement of the Tamarack subdivision collection system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$76,200.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$178,000.00
Est. Construction:	04/15/2016	04/15/2017	\$1,910,800.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,610,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		55.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Edgewood			
PIF #:	11218	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace aging collection lines and install a sludge dewatering unit at the WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$170,250.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$130,500.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	06/01/2015	\$1,171,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Edgewood			
PIF #:	11219	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Treatment System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Planning, design, and construction of a sludge dewatering unit installed at the WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$36,800.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$18,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	06/01/2015	\$112,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: El Paso PSB			
PIF #:	11221	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Jonathan Rogers WTP Conservation Storage			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Planning, design, and construction of an approx. 3,000 ac-ft stormwater storage reservoir. The purpose of the project is to capture water from the Riverside Canal when flows exceed the quantity of water needed for municipal and irrigation purposes. The project is a water conservation and nonpoint source pollution control strategy intended to provide multiple benefits, including reduction of stormwater flows into Rio Grand Segment 2307. The project is also one component of an overall raw water conservation program for EPWU and the El Paso Co. Water Improvement District No. 1.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$170,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$5,454,321.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	09/01/2016	\$64,679,694.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$10,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		53.00

Authority: Electra			
PIF #:	11223	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10075			
Project Name: Irrigation System			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a holding pond and a center pivot irrigation system to convert the City's WWTP to no-discharge. The City has recorded discharge permit violations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2014	\$250,000.00
Design:			\$230,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	06/30/2016	\$1,250,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		55.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Electra			
PIF #:	11225	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Relief Interceptor & Service Extension			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install 4.5 miles of sewer line in order to eliminate 10 lift stations. The City has been cited for the lack of alternate power at the lift stations, and has recorded spills. Also install one new lift station, and pressure and gravity sewers to provide service to 20 households that have water service, but use septic tanks and drain fields that occasionally fail.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:		09/30/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$375,442.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	06/30/2015	\$3,764,558.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,165,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		21.00

Authority: Elsa			
PIF #:	11227	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Upgrade three lift stations and replace two force mains. Improvements will include new pumps, motors, piping, valves, electrical panels, a generator and site improvements. Also, clean, evaluate and replace old vitrified clay sewer lines.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$234,800.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2014	09/30/2015	\$3,017,700.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$270,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		45.00

Authority: Falfurrias			
PIF #:	11228	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Improvements to the City's wastewater collection and treatments systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$251,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$167,500.00
Est. Construction:	12/15/2014	02/20/2016	\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$285,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Falfurrias				
PIF #:	11229	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Lift Station Rehabilitation				
Project Type: POTW – Collection			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate 7 of the City's 11 lift stations including the Ranchito, Swimming Pool, Magnolia, Whistler, Bradely, Warehouse and Nate lift stations.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$71,531.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$57,094.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,032,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Falfurrias				
PIF #:	11230	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Sewer Line Replacement				
Project Type: POTW – Collection			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace 9,250 feet of vitrified clay sewer line with 8-inch PVC. Replace 13,300 feet of force main with 2,500 feet of 6-inch and 10,800 feet of 12-inch PVC force main.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$93,392.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$73,377.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,324,970.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Farmersville			
PIF #:	11231	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10385			
Project Name: WWTP #3			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Design and construct a new wastewater treatment facility. The City's two existing WWTPs are old and in very poor condition. The facility may be constructed and owned by the North Texas MWD, and serve other customers on the east side of Lake Lavon.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$175,000.00
Acquisition:		07/31/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2014	08/01/2015	\$5,829,527.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		81.00

Authority: George West			
PIF #:	11232	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the rehab of 6" thru 8" sanitary sewer lines including manholes and tie-ins throughout the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$135,068.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$115,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	06/30/2015	\$1,130,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Gorman			
PIF #:	11233	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: The City currently operates a facultative lagoon pond system under a discharge permit. The current pond system is having problems meeting current discharge permit parameters. The City is proposing to install an irrigation facility as well as the required appurtenances in order to irrigate their treated effluent. The City will also amend the TCEQ permit to be a no discharge permit.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2016	\$30,000.00
Design:			\$230,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2016	01/01/2017	\$1,480,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Olmito WSC			
PIF #:	11234	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9971, 9979, 10677			
Project Name: Collection System Extensions			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Expand the WSC's collection system in two areas to provide first time service.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2014	\$24,000.00
Design:			\$111,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	07/01/2014	\$1,120,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Graford			
PIF #:	11235	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Reconstruction			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Clean and reconstruct the facultative lagoon and stabilization ponds at the City's WWTP and install aeration equipment.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$20,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2014	11/30/2014	\$295,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$375,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Grand Saline			
PIF #:	11236	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9984			
Project Name: WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Expand the City's WWTP from 0.54 to 0.8 MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$488,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	08/01/2014	\$4,025,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11238	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Gainesville WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace the trickling filter process at the City of Gainesville's WWTP with a 4.0 MGD sequencing batch reactor process. Implement a SCADA system and upgrade the ultraviolet light disinfection system. Gainesville is in Cooke County.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$448,684.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$860,944.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	03/01/2016	\$9,658,588.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11239	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Whitewright Lift Station Project			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Relocation and replacement of lift station including electrical, fencing, SCADA, gravel paving, force pipe main, sanitary sewer pipe, generator, and other appurtenances as necessary for project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$89,533.00
Acquisition:			\$75,000.00
Design:			\$146,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$990,440.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Gustine			
PIF #:	11240	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Modify the aeration basins and clarifiers at the City's WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/31/2014	12/31/2014	\$351,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$99,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		80.00

Authority: Harris Co MUD # 148			
PIF #:	11243	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Lift Station Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Replace lift stations with updated controls and electrical systems, and add generators. Existing facilities are over 30 years old.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$207,700.00
Acquisition:		11/30/2013	\$55,700.00
Design:			\$125,600.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	01/06/2015	\$1,852,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		41.00

Authority: Harris Co WCID # 36			
PIF #:	11244	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new 2.0 MGD wastewater treatment plant to serve only WCID 36. The District currently shares ownership with two other districts in a regional WWTP operated by Harris Co FWSD 51. That plant is approaching capacity and will require expansion. The regional contract was signed in 1974 and will expire in 2014.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$139,513.00
Acquisition:		10/10/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$837,080.00
Est. Construction:	12/31/2013	12/31/2015	\$9,579,944.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		45.00

Authority: Hico			
PIF #:	11245	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construction of additional treatment processes at the WWTP, improvements required for the construction of a reuse system at the WWTP, and the development of a sludge land application site. The proposed project also includes collection system improvements including the replacement of existing collection lines, rehabilitation of two existing lift stations, and the rehabilitation of manholes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$119,600.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$278,300.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$2,008,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$855,260.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		78.00

Authority: Kerrville			
PIF #:	11246	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Reuse Reservoir			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Construct a 13.5 million gallon pond at the WWTP site to store treated effluent for reuse purposes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,818.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$243,272.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	05/01/2015	\$2,914,192.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$334,090.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Kennard			
PIF #:	11247	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Remove sludge and reshape lagoons to restore the WWTP's original treatment capacity.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2014	10/01/2014	\$610,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Kyle				
PIF #:	11248	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs: 10241				
Project Name: WWTP Expansion				
Project Type: POTW – Treatment			Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Expand the City's wastewater treatment plant from 3 to 4.5 MGD by adding a third 1.5 MGD treatment train.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$625,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$625,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$3,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Marble Falls			
PIF #:	11250	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Reuse System			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct purple pipe from the City's WWTP to two city parks and ten city sports fields. The City recently upgraded their wastewater plant to produce Type I effluent. The system will serve to extend the capacity of the City's water plant, and help alleviate drought issues on the Highland Lakes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2013	03/31/2014	\$1,035,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,285,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		17.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Moran			
PIF #:	11252	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sewer Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace sewer lines that contribute to infiltration and inflow.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/31/2013	\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2014	11/30/2014	\$291,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$365,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Moulton				
PIF #:	11253	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs:				
Project Name: Asset Management Program				
Project Type: POTW – Collection			Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Develop and implement a comprehensive asset management program to address wastewater system deficiencies. This will include an evaluation of collection lines, manholes and lift stations.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$78,800.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$14,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		1.00

Authority: Paradise ISD			
PIF #:	11255	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Replace the ISD's wastewater treatment plant with a larger unit to accommodate growth and potentially serve residential customers in the City of Paradise, which does not now have a centralized wastewater system.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$38,500.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$243,500.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2014	01/01/2015	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		31.00

Authority: Patton Village			
PIF #:	11257	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System & Lift Station			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a wastewater collection system to provide first time service for the City. The system will include approximately 60,000 feet of gravity sewer, 7 lift stations, and 13,200 feet of force main. Flow will be treated at the City's Woodgate Mobile Home Village WWTF.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$140,500.00
Design:			\$508,720.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2014	05/01/2015	\$7,138,780.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		61.00

Authority: Pearland			
PIF #:	11259	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Far Northwest WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Expand the City's Far Northwest WWTP from 2 to 4 MGD. The plant has reached 75% of capacity. The expanded plant will serve a rapidly expanding area of the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$700,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$2,300,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/01/2014	06/01/2016	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		70.00

Authority: Pecos City			
PIF #:	11260	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old and deteriorating components of the City's collection system. The project will include 43,000 feet of 8 to 12-inch diameter pipe, 125 manholes, and two lift stations. The Town has already purchased many of the components.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		09/02/2013	\$0.00
Design:		06/03/2013	\$28,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/02/2013	12/25/2014	\$2,847,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,875,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		35.00

Authority: Petersburg			
PIF #:	11262	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Facultative Lagoon WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a new facultative lagoon treatment system with a storage pond and an irrigation system to provide a cost effective solution to TCEQ violations at the existing WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$118,000.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$66,300.00
Est. Construction:	08/22/2014	08/22/2017	\$1,458,691.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Pharr			
PIF #:	11264	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: South Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Installation of gravity sewers and elimination of 3-5 lift stations			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$220,000.00
Acquisition:		03/31/2014	\$0.00
Design:		09/30/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	05/15/2014	08/15/2015	\$12,981,640.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Pineland			
PIF #:	11266	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project is for the planning, design, and replacement of the City of Pineland's existing WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$113,500.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$1,516,500.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		25.00

Authority: Reno			
PIF #:	11267	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Planning and design of a new wastewater collection system to areas within the City of Reno (Parker County). These areas include Arvel Circle, Springtown Reno Elementary School, and South Reno Road.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$224,400.00
Acquisition:		04/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$540,800.00
Est. Construction:	04/15/2016	04/15/2018	\$8,152,800.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		36.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11268	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: E-7 Beitel Creek Replace WW Main			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Design	
Project Description: By replacing about three miles of 24" to 36" wastewater main in the Eastern Sewershed, the project will add capacity to the wastewater collection transmission system where SAWS has had SSOs due to inadequate capacity during 5-year, 6-hour design storm event. This is a future project on the EPA Consent Decree.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$2,015,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	12/31/2019	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		65.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11270	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Small & Large Diameter Condition Remedial Measures			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project will fund the rehabilitation of approx. 40 miles of small and 5 miles of large diameter sewer mains. This project is part of the EPA Consent Decree Early Action Program.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2014	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/31/2015	12/31/2016	\$28,895,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		65.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11271	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: W-6 Western Watershed Sewer Relief Line			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This construction project will replace a sewer lines between Quintana Road and SW Military Drive. The lines, being undersized and in poor condition, will be increased from 54 inches to 90 inches to handle peak storm events and to accommodate growth in the upper sewershed. This project is included in the EPA Consent Decree Early Action Program.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2014	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	09/01/2016	\$15,880,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		75.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11273	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Dos Rios Electrical Rehabilitation Phase 1			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This construction project, Phase 1, will replace various plant electrical switchgear, motor control centers, transformers and generators that are aging, in poor condition, and/or do not meet federal, state, and local electrical codes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	09/01/2016	\$13,435,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11274	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: C12 Donaldson Terrace			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Design	
Project Description: Construct 23,000 feet of 21 and 24-inch wastewater main to eliminate sanitary sewer overflows.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,484,512.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	12/01/2016	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11276	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: C13 Broadway Corridor			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Replace 7,500 feet of deteriorated and undersized 60-inch sewer main along Alamo Street from Josephine to Elm in downtown San Antonio.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	12/01/2015	\$11,538,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11277	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: E16 Wurzbach			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Design	
Project Description: Wurzbach from Blanco to Nakoma – construct 19,000 linear feet of 8 to 36-inch line. Construct a 15 to 36-inch gravity main in the Eastern Basin along Salado Creek between Jones Maltsberger Road and Blanco Road, and an 8 and 12-inch gravity main along Rhapsody between Highway 281 and W. Silversands.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,567,648.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	12/01/2017	\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11278	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Hotwells WW Main Phase 1			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Replace 60,000 feet of sagging, deteriorated 8 to 24-inch sewer main to reduce SSOs. This project also has a water main replacement component.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$9,507,394.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11279	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: SSO Reduction			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of pipelines due to sanitary sewer overflows			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	12/01/2014	\$55,739,850.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: San Diego MUD # 1			
PIF #:	11280	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:		2016	
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: System Upgrades			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the rehabilitation of the existing WWTP and the collection system lift stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$145,982.50
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$145,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015		\$1,690,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11281	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: SSO Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: SAWS is completing design on \$21.5 million in sewer pipelines to replace or rehabilitate lines that have experienced sanitary sewer overflows. SAWS has developed a sewer pipeline asset management plan, and lines are prioritized by frequency of overflows. The most critical projects are rehabilitated first.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	12/01/2014	\$21,492,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: San Marcos			
PIF #:	11282	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Reuse System Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Expand the City's reclaimed water system to provide irrigation in City parks and to provide chill plant make-up water and irrigate athletic fields at Texas State University. The project will reduce withdrawals from the Edwards aquifer and the San Marcos River by replacing potable water used for the same purposes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$288,176.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$2,840,955.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2015	08/01/2017	\$18,939,697.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$18,939,697.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		33.00

Authority: San Antonio Water System			
PIF #:	11283	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Dos Rios WRC Digesters Phase 3			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Design	
Project Description: Improvements to four (5, 6, 7 & 8) of the eight anaerobic digesters at the Dos Rios WRC. Clean the digesters, repair dome seams and liners, replace draft tube mixers with pump-mix systems; replace dome hatches and man-ways, dome pressure/ vacuum relief assemblies and three-way valves; and replace gas meters and temperature probes. Improve electrical, instrumentation and control systems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$1,040,870.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	12/01/2015	\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$900,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Santa Anna			
PIF #:	11284	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Pond Liner Reconstruction			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Remove and replace earthen pond liners. Existing liners are not adequately holding the contents of the WWTP ponds.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$254,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2015	02/01/2016	\$1,521,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Sequoia ID			
PIF #:	11285	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate sanitary sewer lines and associated manholes. The project will also include the cleaning and TV'ing the existing lines to determine construction methods to be used to address the problems.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$54,762.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		08/01/2015	\$260,104.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2016	12/31/2016	\$1,485,134.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		66.00

Authority: Shallowater			
PIF #:	11286	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project involves the repair of the WWTP clay liner, installation of new security fencing around the land application area, and installation of an irrigation system for treated effluent.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$40,800.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2014	10/24/2014	\$488,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Sonora			
PIF #:	11287	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Phase 3 Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: This is the 3rd phase of wastewater collection system improvements and is necessitated from TCEQ enforcement action dated 10/15/2009 which will address Items 21 - 24 of the enforcement agreement. The system improvements include pipeline and manhole renewal by "Other than Open Cut" methods and the addition of manholes on the end of lines to allow the City better maintenance access for reducing overflows. Pipe renewal includes cure in place pipe or pipe bursting. Manhole renewal includes repair of bench, cones and lids and the addition of epoxy liners. Also included is the replacement of the "City Yard Lift Station". The City of Sonora is seeking funding for planning, acquisition, design and construction of this project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$358,375.00
Acquisition:		12/01/2016	\$50,000.00
Design:			\$217,865.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2017	05/31/2018	\$5,223,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Sterling City			
PIF #:	11288	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project includes extending the City's wastewater collection system to previously unserved areas, rehabilitating two existing lift stations, and improvements to the WWTP for expansion for reuse.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$129,375.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$274,850.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	06/01/2017	\$2,766,325.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$648,685.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		83.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Strawn			
PIF #:	11290	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace old and deteriorating collection lines to reduce infiltration and inflow.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2014	11/30/2014	\$326,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$405,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Webb County			
PIF #:	11291	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of both the wastewater collection system and the treatment plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$566,066.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$506,700.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$6,418,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Weinert			
PIF #:	11292	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Add aerators to the WWTP ponds to control algae, and replace two lift station pumps.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$23,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$31,700.00
Est. Construction:			\$161,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		20.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11293	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9930			
Project Name: Two Water Recycling Plants			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: New recycling scalping plants that will receive wastewater from force mains and existing manholes.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			\$6,000.00
Design:			\$84,600.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,057,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,156,600.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		66.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11295	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9932			
Project Name: North Weslaco Colonia Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: Collection system extension for 200 homes using septic			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			\$200,000.00
Design:			\$135,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11296	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9933			
Project Name: North WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: North WWTP expansion consists of the expansion of a new effluent receiving well, influent pump station, a new headworks facility with mechanical screening and grit removal, elimination of Lift Station #12 and new gravity main to the plant, upgrade to Lift Station #1 and install a new 12" force main, reuse treatment, pump station and distribution system and new effluent pipeline.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2015	02/01/2017	\$12,991,927.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,086,922.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		93.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11297	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9937			
Project Name: North WWTP Master Plan			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: Develop a Master Plan for the north area of the city.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$676,890.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Weslaco			
PIF #:	11298	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9938			
Project Name: South WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: WWTP expansion from 2.5 mgd to 5.0 mgd			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$1,473,643.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$6,851,079.00
Est. Construction:			\$37,588,149.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		68.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Weslaco				
PIF #:	11300	Program:	CWSRF	PPL Year: 2016
Related PIFs: 9935				
Project Name: South WWTP Master Plan				
Project Type: POTW – Collection			Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: Develop a wastewater Master Plan and Asset Management Plan for the South Wastewater Treatment Plant.				

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$676,890.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		77.00

Authority: Whitney			
PIF #:	11301	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Master Plan			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: The project included the development of a Wastewater Master Plan. The project also includes the development of an Asset Management Plan with the assistance of TCEQ's FMT contractor.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$105,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:			\$0.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		11.00

Authority: Wolfe City			
PIF #:	11303	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace aging collection system lines, manholes, and lift stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$132,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$87,000.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2014	06/01/2015	\$781,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Woodloch			
PIF #:	11304	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP & Sanitary Sewer Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The planning, design, and construction of a replacement WWTP and collection system for the Town of Woodloch.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$448,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$203,600.00
Est. Construction:	08/01/2015	07/31/2016	\$2,078,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		50.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Yoakum			
PIF #:	11305	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Phase 4 Sewer Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Sanitary sewer collection system rehabilitation and replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2014	09/30/2015	\$665,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		25.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Yoakum			
PIF #:	11306	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: East Side Trunk - Rehab/Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Sanitary sewer collection system rehabilitation and replacement.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$25,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	09/30/2015	\$410,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		25.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: La Joya			
PIF #:	11307	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Havana Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Install 8 and 10-inch gravity sewer lines, 1 lift station, and 6-inch force main to provide first time service to the Havana area west of the City. Residents presently use septic tanks with drain fields or cesspools for wastewater disposal that generally do not meet TCEQ on-site design requirements. The area receives water from the Agua SUD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:		01/01/2016	\$0.00
Design:		05/01/2016	\$487,814.00
Est. Construction:	09/01/2016	03/01/2017	\$3,121,532.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11308	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sherman WWTP Auto Dissolved Oxy Control			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Modify existing activated sludge aeration system to automated dissolved oxygen control at WWTP and integrate into SCADA system to improve plant performance and efficiency.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$70,948.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$94,900.00
Est. Construction:	04/01/2016	04/01/2017	\$1,095,811.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: La Villa			
PIF #:	11310	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Plant Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Due to continued increasing growth, primarily to the La Villa Detention Center, the project is to expand the City's WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$153,033.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$415,742.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2015	01/31/2016	\$4,341,904.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,248,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		85.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11311	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sewer Rehab & Contingency Sewer Breaks			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: This project consists of sewer line repairs and manhole rehabilitation.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$5,680,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		27.00

Authority: Dilley			
PIF #:	11312	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Prison WWTP Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate the facultative lagoon treatment plant that serves the TDCJ prison. Remove and dispose of sludge, install outfall piping, and rehabilitate the plant lift station.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2014	07/01/2015	\$785,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Victoria Co WCID # 1			
PIF #:	11354	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Backup Power for WWTP and Lift Stations			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Project will provide an electrical power generator and provide disconnect panels and generator tails for each of the lift stations.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$25,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2014	07/01/2014	\$225,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Victoria Co WCID # 1			
PIF #:	11361	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10380			
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will perform an I/I study, replace or construct trenchless rehabilitation of deteriorated sewer mains, manholes, and mainline cleanouts to bring the collection system into compliance with TCEQ rules. Funding is sought for planning, design and construction.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$200,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2014	07/01/2015	\$1,100,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Domino			
PIF #:	11374	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10023			
Project Name: New Collection System			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: New service to unserved area			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$128,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$127,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	11/01/2015	\$1,193,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		12.00

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11379	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Manadas Creek WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Expansion of 6 MGD Manadas Crk WWTP to 9 MGD to provide service to Miles Rd and NE Laredo areas and to relieve overloading conditions of existing 24" line on Mines Rd. and 36" line on IH 35.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2018	06/30/2019	\$16,346,631.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		37.00

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11402	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New Manadas Creek WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construction of 6 MGD Manadas Creek WWTP to provide service to Mines Rd and NE Laredo areas and relieve overloading conditions of 24" line on Mines Rd and 36" line on IH 35.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2015	12/31/2017	\$24,000,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		42.00

Authority: Liberty			
PIF #:	11403	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Manhole Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate manholes to reduce infiltration and inflow as outlined in the City's response to a compliance agreement with TCEQ.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$91,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$41,600.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	05/01/2015	\$505,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		0.00

Authority: Los Fresnos			
PIF #:	11410	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10961			
Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitate the Lopez Lift Station and extend service to an un-served area.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$88,000.00
Acquisition:			\$25,000.00
Design:			\$305,720.00
Est. Construction:	10/21/2014	04/01/2016	\$1,902,556.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		51.00

Authority: Corix Utilities			
PIF #:	11415	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: New WWTP - Alleyton WW System			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project is the design and construction of a 0.05 MGD sequencing batch reactor WWTP for the unincorporated area of Alleyton, TX. This proposed plant will replace the existing plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$150,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	12/31/2015	\$1,200,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Grand Saline			
PIF #:	11423	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 9980			
Project Name: Sewer System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Replace deteriorated and low lying lines that are known sources of infiltration and inflow.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$59,000.00
Acquisition:		07/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$257,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2014	08/01/2014	\$1,150,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Dublin			
PIF #:	11451	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design	
Project Description: Collection system and treatment plant improvements.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$130,000.00
Acquisition:			\$700,000.00
Design:			\$830,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	07/01/2017	\$9,300,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		60.00

Authority: Domino			
PIF #:	11463	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10282			
Project Name: New wastewater treatment plant			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning & Design	
Project Description: Construct a new wastewater treatment plant. A companion PIF would install a collection system for first time service to the City.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$142,000.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$142,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2015	11/01/2015	\$1,417,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		12.00

Authority: Dilley			
PIF #:	11472	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Trunk Line Replacement			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Replace a trunk line in the northern portion of the City with a 12-inch line. The existing line consists of small, deteriorated pipe that frequently produce spills.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$95,000.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2014	07/01/2015	\$842,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		10.00

Authority: Aqua WSC			
PIF #:	11497	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Stony Point WWCS			
Project Type: Nonpoint Source		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: First time sewer collection system for the remaining portions of the Stony Point Subdivision in western Bastrop County.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	07/01/2012	02/01/2013	\$1,462,043.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		45.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11615	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Odor Control Unit for WWTP			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of La Feria has a 1.25 MGD wastewater treatment plant. This plant has an odor control unit with a capacity of 500 CFM that has failed. The unit installed in 2006 has suffered harsh environmental damage and will require replacing. The proposed odor control system will utilize the existing piping but will be fully enclosed and will handle 600 CFM.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$5,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/05/2015	\$30,375.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	08/01/2015	\$401,010.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		72.00

Authority: La Feria			
PIF #:	11623	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Odor Control Units for Various Lift Stations			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project consists of the installation of thirteen (13) new odor control units for various lift stations around the city.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		07/05/2015	\$166,500.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2015	05/01/2016	\$2,134,250.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		72.00

Authority: Rochester			
PIF #:	11651	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater Transmission Line			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The City of Rochester is under enforcement for multiple violations at their WWTP. The existing mechanical plant is dilapidated and currently not operational. This project would transport their wastewater to a nearby town with a larger mechanical plant. With this project the City could close their existing plant.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:		03/01/2017	\$0.00
Design:			\$153,000.00
Est. Construction:	05/01/2017	02/01/2018	\$802,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		50.00

Authority: San Angelo			
PIF #:	11652	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Potable Reuse Project			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: In order to support current and future water supply needs, the City of San Angelo is pursuing the implementation of a potable reuse project. In order to meet stringent permit limits observed by other utilities in Texas implementing potable reuse, effluent from the City's WWTP will be conveyed to an advanced water treatment facility, which will include treatment with low pressure membrane filters, reverse osmosis and advanced disinfection. This advanced-treated water will then be delivered to the City's surface WTP where it will undergo complete conventional treatment prior to being delivered to customers. Refer to Section II for additional information.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$3,810,000.00
Acquisition:	06/30/2016		\$0.00
Design:			\$11,421,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2017	12/31/2018	\$134,769,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$150,000,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		58.00

Authority: Kendall Co WCID # 1			
PIF #:	11726	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater I&I Study			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Planning	
Project Description: Perform an analysis of the existing collection system to identify I&I problems and recommend specific solutions. The project will included manhole inspections and smoke testing. The results of the study will identify future projects. An asset management plan is planned to be developed in conjunction with this project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$115,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	02/01/2015	05/31/2015	\$0.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		51.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Victoria Co WCID # 1			
PIF #:	11727	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs: 10382			
Project Name: WWTP Expansion			
Project Type: POTW – Treatment		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Construct a 150,000 gal/day capacity expansion to existing WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$1,460,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		15.00

Authority: Laredo			
PIF #:	11728	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Force Main & Lift Station Improvements			
Project Type: POTW – Collection		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Construction of one 5 MGD lift station and force main from Zacate Creek WWTP to 54" wastewater interceptor thence to South Laredo WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	01/01/2015	01/01/2016	\$3,500,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?		
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		37.00

Authority: Weatherford			
PIF #:	11730	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Reclaimed Water System			
Project Type: POTW – Both		Project Phase: Acquisition Design & Construction	
Project Description: The Wastewater Reuse System consists of wastewater treatment plant improvements, a reuse water pump station, approximately 31,000 linear feet of 16" reuse water line and an outfall structure.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		10/01/2015	\$1,200,000.00
Design:			\$1,100,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2016	09/01/2018	\$10,500,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$12,800,000.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		42.00

Authority: Greater Texoma UA			
PIF #:	11789	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sadler WWTP Rehab			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The goal of this project is to rehabilitate the wastewater treatment plant to a point where the plant can reliably meet TCEQ effluent limits. The project is still in the preliminary stages and the current options include, but are not limited to: Option 1: One (1) clarifier, one (1) recirculation pump, one (1) UV/chlorine disinfection unit, one sludge dewatering box with polymer system, electrical, piping, site grading, sludge removal and haul off, geotechnical study/permeability tests, and all associated appurtenances as necessary for project. Option 2: One (1) chlorine contact basin, modifications to V-notch location at wastewater treatment plant, and all associated appurtenances as necessary for project. Some components may be necessary to add to the project during final design, but we do not anticipate needing more money than the estimate provided with this PIF.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$48,945.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/15/2015	\$55,500.00
Est. Construction:	02/15/2016	03/15/2017	\$1,538,854.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Memorial Point UD			
PIF #:	11792	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: WWTP & LS Rehab			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The proposed project will consist of the renovation and upgrade of the existing 0.20 MGD wastewater treatment plant that currently serves Memorial Point UD. This project will also upgrade the existing lift station that feeds the plant. Funding is requested for planning, design, and construction of the project.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$74,300.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/19/2015	\$438,750.00
Est. Construction:	04/04/2016	12/16/2016	\$1,531,950.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		5.00

Authority: McAllen			
PIF #:	11795	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: North McAllen WWTP Reuse Project			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The City of McAllen/McAllen Public Utility is seeking funding assistance for the construction of Wastewater Reuse Facilities at the North McAllen Wastewater Treatment Plant. The proposed infrastructure improvements include facility improvements necessary to produce Type I Reuse Water as well as a delivery system which will include a Pumping Station and a 4 mile Transmission Line necessary to provide reuse water to the proposed 2600 acre Tres Lagos Development and a 3 mile Transmission Line to serve a proposed City of McAllen Sports Park			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$63,000.00
Acquisition:		08/30/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$899,640.00
Est. Construction:	11/01/2016	05/01/2016	\$8,351,658.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$8,351,658.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	Yes	5.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	Yes	5.00
Rating:		13.00

Authority: Dallas			
PIF #:	11803	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Sanitary Sewer Collections System Improvements (5 Year)			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Design & Construction	
Project Description: Collection System Rehabilitation			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		01/01/2019	\$12,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/01/2016	10/01/2023	\$120,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Eldorado			
PIF #:	11902	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: Installation of new and rehabilitation of existing deteriorated lines, including the replacement of 3 lift stations. This is add-on funding for Project 73625 (SFY 2011) as bids came in high.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	06/15/2016	01/15/2017	\$575,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		0.00

**Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Project Information Form Rating Report**

Authority: Jarrell			
PIF #:	12100	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: The project consists of the expansion of the existing wastewater treatment plant from 0.50 MGD to 1.50 MGD. The expansion will include improvements to the existing plant to allow for expansion to the final phase capacity of 4.0 MGD.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$507,000.00
Est. Construction:	03/01/2017	12/28/2018	\$10,968,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		30.00

Authority: Lubbock			
PIF #:	12135	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Northwest Drainage Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Construction	
Project Description: The areas along the Quaker Avenue corridor in the northern and northwest portions of the City of Lubbock have been historically flood prone, affecting residential and commercial structures (including a major medical district). This project will install a storm sewer network in order to drain the playa lakes down to a pre-rain condition. This helps to restore capacity within the lakes for future rainfall events in order to help reduce the risk of flooding.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		06/30/2016	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/03/2016	12/31/2018	\$35,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0.00
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0.00
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	Yes	15.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		26.00

Authority: Rogers			
PIF #:	12139	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System and WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: Planning Design & Construction	
Project Description: Rehabilitation of wastewater collection system to improve system reliability and reduce inflow and infiltration. Improvements to treatment works to bring plant into compliance with TCEQ rules.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$114,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$445,000.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2016	10/31/2017	\$4,188,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?		
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.		
Rating:		40.00

Authority: Anahuac			
PIF #:	12141	Program:	CWSRF
PPL Year:	2016		
Related PIFs:			
Project Name: Collection System & WWTP Improvements			
Project Type: POTW		Project Phase: P A D & Construction	
Project Description: PADC for improvements/rehab of the collection system and the WWTP.			

	Start Date	Completion Date	Phase Cost
Planning:			\$263,912.00
Acquisition:		06/01/2018	\$280,000.00
Design:			\$919,848.00
Est. Construction:	06/01/2018	12/31/2020	\$9,718,214.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating:

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10.00
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0.00
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30.00
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.		
Sec. 212 - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement measures to reduce the demand for publically owned treatment works capacity through water conservation, efficiency, or reuse?	No	0.00
Sec. 212 - For a qualified nonprofit, will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to assist owners and operators of small and medium POTWs?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement innovative approaches to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water?	No	0.00
All Proj. - Will a majority of the funds being requested from the SRF for the project be used to implement reuse or recycling wastewater, stormwater, or subsurface drainage water? If yes, please explain.	No	0.00
Rating:		60.00