

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Greater Texoma UA

PIF #: 9917

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Gainesville WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace the trickling filter process at the City of Gainesville's WWTP with a 4.0 MGD sequencing batch reactor process. Implement a SCADA system and upgrade the ultraviolet

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$448,684.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$860,944.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	3/1/2016	\$9,658,588.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Greater Texoma UA

PIF #: 9918

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sherman WWTP Renovation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Replace approximately 4,200 feet of 12-inch and 5,260 feet of 18-inch diameter sewer with 18-inch sewer main. Existing lines are deteriorated and have severe infiltration and capacity problems.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$36,197.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$36,500.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$563,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Greater Texoma UA

PIF #: 9919

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sherman WWTP Renovation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Complete an engineering study to ascertain the optimum process for nitrogen and phosphorus removal. Reconstruct the plant's head works, and replace sensors and control elements to upgrade the 10-year old UV disinfection system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$1,629,704.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$343,100.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$5,195,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Wolfe City

PIF #: 9921

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace aging collection system lines, manholes, and lift stations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$132,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$87,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$781,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Harris Co WCID # 36

PIF #: 9923

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: New WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a new 2.0 MGD wastewater treatment plant to serve only WCID 36. The District currently shares ownership with two other districts in a regional WWTP operated by Harris Co FWSD 51. That plant is approaching capacity and will require expansion. The regional contract was signed in 1974 and will expire in 2014.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$139,513.00
Acquisition:		10/10/2013	
Design:			\$837,080.00
Est. Construction:	12/31/2013	12/31/2015	\$9,579,944.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		45

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Angelina & Neches RA

PIF #: 9924

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9925

Project Name: Redland Estates & FWSD 1 Sewer Systems

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace the Redland Estates Subdivision collection system outside the District on the east side of US 59, and provide first time service to 105 connections inside the District on the west side of US 59. In 2011 the District agreed to provide wastewater service to Redland Estates, which for many years had a collection system that discharged to a non-functioning treatment facility then raw to a tributary of the Angelina River. This project is integrally linked to the Angelina & Neches River Authority's project and flow will be treated at its North Angelina County Regional WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$131,000.00
Acquisition:		7/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:		7/1/2014	\$349,250.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$3,629,750.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **111**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Angelina & Neches RA

PIF #: 9925

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9924

Project Name: Redland Estates & FWSD 1 Sewer Systems

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Collection system improvements to divert flow from the Redland Estates Subdivision and from Angelina Co FWSD # 1 to the River Authority's North Angelina County Regional WWTP. The ANRA WWTP currently treats flow from the Central ISD, the Idlewood WCID, and the DADS Lufkin State Supported Living Center.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:		7/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:		7/1/2014	\$99,750.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$907,750.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		111

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Liberty

PIF #: 9926

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Manhole Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Rehabilitate manholes to reduce infiltration and inflow as outlined in the City's response to a compliance agreement with TCEQ.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$91,500.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		5/1/2014	\$41,600.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$505,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Weslaco

PIF #: 9930

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Two Water Recycling Plants

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: New recycling scalping plants that will receive wastewater from force mains and existing manholes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$15,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$84,600.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,057,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,156,600.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **66**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Weslaco

PIF #: 9932

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: North Weslaco Colonia Collection System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Collection system extension for 200 homes using septic

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$135,000.00
Est. Construction:			\$1,800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **51**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Weslaco

PIF #: 9933

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: North WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: North WWTP expansion will include conversion of existing oxidation ditch to aerobic digester

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:			\$31,885,660.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,086,922.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **48**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Weslaco

PIF #: 9935

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: South WWTP Master Plan

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning

Project Description: Develop a wastewater Master Plan and Asset Management Plan for the South Wastewater Treatment Plant.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$676,890.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:			

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **77**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Weslaco

PIF #: 9937

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: North WWTP Master Plan

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning

Project Description: Develop a Master Plan for the north area of the city.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$677,090.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:			

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **11**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Weslaco

PIF #: 9938

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: South WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: WWTP expansion from 2.5 mgd to 5.0 mgd

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$1,473,643.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$6,851,079.00
Est. Construction:			\$37,588,149.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$1,181,359.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **68**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Hudson

PIF #: 9939

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Replacement

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace the City's wastewater treatment plant. The plant was constructed in 1978 and has reached its useful service life. Most of the mechanical equipment has been replaced multiple times and concrete structures are deteriorating.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$265,000.00
Acquisition:		3/1/2014	\$20,000.00
Design:			\$198,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2015	6/1/2016	\$3,811,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **20**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9940

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: C5 & C28 WW Mains Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Condition, assess and rehabilitate or replace 26,000 feet of 8 to 36-inch main, and remove siphons to improve flow through the south central part of the City.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		6/30/2013	\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	12/1/2015	\$7,575,800.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9941

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: C12 Donaldson Terrace

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Design

Project Description: Construct 23,000 feet of 21 and 24-inch wastewater main to eliminate sanitary sewer overflows.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$1,484,512.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	12/1/2016	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9942

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: C13 Broadway Corridor

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace 7,500 feet of deteriorated and undersized 60-inch sewer main along Alamo Street from Josephine to Elm in downtown San Antonio.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	12/1/2015	\$11,538,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9943

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: SSO Reduction C33 & W6 Interceptors

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace 7,000 linear feet of gravity sewer main with 24, 48, and 54-inch pipe in the Olmos Basin area. The sewer mains are in poor condition and lack capacity to for future flows due to growth and during peak storm events. Also, the location of lines behind the Olmos Dam is believed to be a major source of infiltration and inflow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	12/1/2015	\$15,496,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9944

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: E16 Wurzbach

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Design

Project Description: Wurzbach from Blanco to Nakoma – construct 19,000 linear feet of 8 to 36-inch line. Construct a 15 to 36-inch gravity main in the Eastern Basin along Salado Creek between Jones Maltsberger Road and Blanco Road, and an 8 and 12-inch gravity main along Rhapsody between Highway 281 and W. Silversands.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$1,567,648.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2016	12/1/2017	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9945

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Hotwells WW Main Phase 1

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace 60,000 feet of sagging, deteriorated 8 to 24-inch sewer main to reduce SSOs. This project also has a water main replacement component.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014		\$9,507,394.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9946

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: SSO Reduction

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitation of pipelines due to sanitary sewer overflows

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	12/1/2014	\$55,739,850.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9947

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: SSO Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: SAWS is completing design on \$21.5 million in sewer pipelines to replace or rehabilitate lines that have experienced sanitary sewer overflows. SAWS has developed a sewer pipeline asset management plan, and lines are prioritized by frequency of overflows. The most critical projects are rehabilitated first.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	12/1/2014	\$21,492,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9948

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: SSO Reduction & Western Relief Line

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace 2 miles of 54-inch sanitary sewer main and siphon. This project was identified in the Comprehensive Wastewater Master Plan developed by the SAWS Master Planning Division. The outfalls are deteriorated and lack capacity to handle future flows due to growth and during peak storm events.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/31/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	12/1/2015	\$11,788,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9949

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: W31 IH-10 Boerne Stage

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Design

Project Description: Construct 27,000 feet of 12 to 30-inch wastewater main along IH-10 from Boerne Stage to Old Fredericksburg Road to reduce infiltration and inflow and eliminate Lift Stations 169 (Falcon Center) and 232 (Woodland Hills).

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		12/31/2014	\$1,844,880.00
Design:			
Est. Construction:	3/1/2016	12/1/2017	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9950

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: W2 Huebner Creek

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: _____

Project Description: Install 10,400 linear feet of 24, 36 and 42-inch wastewater main and 1,000 feet of connecting 8, 10 and 12-inch line in the West Basin along Huebner Creek from north of Bandera Road west of Seneca Drive, to just south of Eckherth Road.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		6/1/2014	\$163,802.00
Design:			
Est. Construction:	3/1/2016	12/1/2017	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 9951

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Dos Rios WRC Digesters Phase 3

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Design

Project Description: Improvements to four (5, 6, 7 & 8) of the eight anaerobic digesters at the Dos Rios WRC. Clean the digesters, repair dome seams and liners, replace draft tube mixers with pump-mix systems; replace dome hatches and man-ways, dome pressure/ vacuum relief assemblies and three-way valves; and replace gas meters and temperature probes. Improve electrical, instrumentation and control systems.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$1,040,870.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	12/1/2016	

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$900,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Houston

PIF #: 9952

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation 2014

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitate and replace wastewater collection systems citywide. The City intends to purchase six vacuum trucks. Work includes sanitary sewer cleaning and televising in support of rehabilitation and replacement/rehabilitation by slip lining, pipe bursting, and cured-in-place methods. The project is required by TCEQ agreed order to reduce sanitary sewer overflows and infiltration and inflow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/1/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	1/31/2017	\$51,900,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **86**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Edgewood

PIF #: 9953

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace aging collection lines and install a sludge dewatering unit at the WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$170,250.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$130,500.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$1,171,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Paradise ISD

PIF #: 9954

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace the ISD's wastewater treatment plant with a larger unit to accommodate growth and potentially serve residential customers in the City of Paradise, which does not now have a centralized wastewater system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$38,500.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2013	
Design:			\$243,500.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	1/1/2015	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **31**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Abilene

PIF #: 9955

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Buck Creek PS Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Supplement the existing screw pumps at the Buck Creek Pump Station (BCPS) with submersible pumps sized to eliminate the need for throttling flow from the 48-inch interceptor. The City's wastewater enters the BCPS via three main interceptors, one 48-inch interceptor and two 36-inch interceptors. The screw pumps have had difficulty in handling flow, especially during high flows due to wet weather events. The City has historically compensated for high flow events by using a large influent flow control gate to throttle the flow, which creates "storage" in the interceptor. The City has also constructed equalization storage at the BCPS. Properly sized submersible pumps will also eliminate the need for flow equalization during routine wet weather events.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$54,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		9/1/2013	\$104,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$1,808,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$452,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Los Fresnos

PIF #: 9956

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection Rehabilitation & Extension

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Rehabilitate lift stations, purchase standby generators and automatic transfer switches, and replace deteriorated and undersized vitrified clay pipes to reduce infiltration and inflow and improve performance. Also install collection facilities to provide first time service to existing development east of the City.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$223,623.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$894,495.00
Est. Construction:	10/21/2014	4/1/2015	\$7,060,121.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		42

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Glen Rose

PIF #: 9958

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP & Reuse Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the City's WWTP from 0.6 to 1.0 MGD and upgrade effluent quality to meet Type I reuse requirements. The project will include new head works, preliminary, primary, secondary and tertiary treatment improvements, and upgrading the disinfection process to UV disinfection. Sludge handling facilities will be expanded. The City's effluent reuse facilities, which now include irrigation on a nearby golf course, will be upgraded to reuse 100% of the flow to meet non-potable reuse needs.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$287,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		3/1/2014	\$453,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$8,161,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,000,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **45**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Daingerfield

PIF #: 9962

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: At the City's WWTP rehabilitate the 44-year old clarifier, construct peak flow diversion pump station, construct a new chlorine contact basin, and construct a sludge pump station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/1/2016	12/1/2017	\$1,380,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **42**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Agua SUD

PIF #: 9964

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Eastern Wastewater System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct the first phase of the District's eastern service area wastewater collection system. The first phase includes the community of Palmview and surrounding areas. Wastewater will be conveyed to a treatment facility south of Expressway 83. The project includes 160,000 feet of collection line, 5,000 feet of force main, 4 lift stations, and 1,691 connections. The District has EDAP funding (project 10365) for the planning and design phases of this project.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		9/2/2013	
Design:		3/1/2013	
Est. Construction:	10/1/2013	10/1/2015	\$29,351,692.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		53

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Agua SUD

PIF #: 9966

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sullivan City Collection Expansion

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Extend the District's Sullivan City (Western) collection system to 13 additional subdivisions. The system was constructed through TWDB project 10370.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:		12/20/2013	
Design:		3/1/2014	\$630,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$5,681,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **63**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Juan

PIF #: 9968

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9974

Project Name: Collection System Extensilon

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Provide first time sewer service to 105 existing homes. The project will include installation of collection lines, service lines, connection to homes, and decommissioning septic tanks and cesspools.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:		1/1/2014	
Design:		1/1/2014	\$121,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	5/1/2014	\$1,546,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **41**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Robstown

PIF #: 9970

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Matiana Ortiz Line Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace pipeline segments in three locations that were prioritized by the City's 2013 Wastewater Master Plan. Total lengths are 30,187 feet of 6 to 24-inch pipe. The segments consist almost entirely of vitrified clay pipe and brick manholes. The segments have insufficient capacity for project flow rates, are in deteriorated condition, and are a source of infiltration and inflow. Funds will also be used to conduct additional evaluation and update the Master Plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$54,720.00
Acquisition:		10/1/2013	\$0.00
Design:		3/1/2013	\$467,033.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2013	8/1/2014	\$3,335,953.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$795,684.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **86**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Olmito WSC

PIF #: 9971

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9979

Project Name: Collection System Extensions

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the WSC's collection system in two areas to provide first time service.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:		1/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$111,500.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	7/1/2014	\$1,120,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		30

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Bevil Oaks

PIF #: 9972

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Structurally rehabilitate the City's WWTP and construct new treatment units to enhance the original units. The plant is 33 years old and has structural deterioration in many parts and sections. A possible second alternate would be to abandon the WWTP and divert flow to the City of Beaumont for treatment.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:		7/1/2014	\$90,704.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/1/2014	\$173,080.00
Est. Construction:	10/1/2014	8/1/2015	\$2,088,631.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **70**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Juan

PIF #: 9974

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Lift Station Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace, eliminate, enlarge and rehabilitate of six lift stations and install new force mains to alleviate wastewater collection and pumping problems. Funds to plan and design the project were made available through TWDB project 73637.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		1/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:		10/1/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$5,200,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **30**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Agua SUD

PIF #: 9975

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9977

Project Name: Palmview WWTP Phase II

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Design

Project Description: Construct the second phase of the District's eastern service area wastewater treatment facility, an expansion from 2.5 to 7.5 MGD. The District has grants from the EDAP program (project 10365) for the planning and design phases of this project.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:		5/1/2014	\$600,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/2/2014	9/2/2017	\$30,113,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **53**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Agua SUD

PIF #: 9977

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9975

Project Name: Palmview Collection Phase II

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Design

Project Description: Construction of over 540,000 lf of collection lines and force mains for east service area

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		3/1/2015	
Design:		3/1/2014	\$800,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2015	6/1/2018	\$62,102,489.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		53

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Olmito WSC

PIF #: 9979

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9971

Project Name: WWTP Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Construction

Project Description: Construct a new facultative lagoon at the existing WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$59,800.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		7/1/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	6/1/2014	\$1,005,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Grand Saline

PIF #: 9980

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9984

Project Name: WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the City's WWTP from 0.54 to 0.8 MGD.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:		7/1/2014	
Design:		9/1/2014	\$488,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$4,025,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Grand Saline

PIF #: 9984

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9980

Project Name: Sewer System Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace deteriorated and low lying lines that are known sources of infiltration and inflow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$59,000.00
Acquisition:		7/1/2014	
Design:		9/1/2014	\$257,500.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2014	8/1/2014	\$1,150,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Eagle Pass

PIF #: 9986

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand an existing lift station to resolve ongoing problems related to reliability and maintenance. Rehabilitate portions of the collection system that are experiencing failures due to old and degrading pipes and manholes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$256,534.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$1,467,946.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2014	10/31/2015	\$16,215,461.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **10**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Baird

PIF #: 9994

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Facultative Lagoon WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a lift station on the southeast corner of the City, a force main to and a new facultative lagoon treatment facility south of the City, an effluent pipeline, and a center pivot irrigation system to irrigate an 84 acre site. The existing mechanical plant will be abandoned.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$105,000.00
Acquisition:		10/1/2014	
Design:			\$305,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2015	9/1/2016	\$3,390,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Baird

PIF #: 9996

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace 19,500 feet of 6 and 8-inch sewer line and install 30 manholes. The City experiences very high infiltration in the 50 year old clay sewer lines along the creek through town. Most of the sewer lines in the downtown are do not have manholes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$220,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$2,180,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Dilley

PIF #: 9997

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Prison WWTP Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Rehabilitate the facultative lagoon treatment plant that serves the TDCJ prison. Remove and dispose of sludge, install outfall piping, and rehabilitate the plant lift station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		7/1/2014	\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$785,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		40

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Dilley

PIF #: 9999

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Trunk Line Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace a trunk line in the northern portion of the City with a 12-inch line. The existing line consists of small, deteriorated pipe that frequently produce spills.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		7/1/2014	\$95,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$842,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **10**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Elsa

PIF #: 10001

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Upgrade three lift stations and replace two force mains. Improvements will include new pumps, motors, piping, valves, electrical panels, a generator and site improvements. Also, clean, evaluate and replace old vitrified clay sewer lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$70,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		4/30/2014	\$234,800.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2014	9/30/2015	\$3,017,700.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$270,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		45

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Port Arthur

PIF #: 10002

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Main WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a new wastewater treatment plant to replace the City's Main WWTP. Preliminary analysis indicates an average daily flow of 15 MGD (current is 9.2) and a peak flow of 75 MGD (44.8). The existing WWTP and lift station will be demolished, structures removed or abandoned in place and the property rerecorded.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$495,200.00
Acquisition:		9/1/2014	\$1,295,000.00
Design:		9/15/2019	\$5,481,750.00
Est. Construction:	2/6/2015	9/15/2019	\$81,211,375.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$77,211,375.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **31**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Petersburg

PIF #: 10005

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Facultative Lagoon WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a new facultative lagoon treatment system with a storage pond and an irrigation system to provide a cost effective solution to TCEQ violations at the existing WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$118,000.00
Acquisition:		12/1/2013	
Design:			\$66,300.00
Est. Construction:	8/22/2014	8/22/2017	\$1,458,691.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		40

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Moulton

PIF #: 10006

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Asset Management Program

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Develop and implement a comprehensive asset management program to address wastewater system deficiencies. This will include an evaluation of collection lines, manholes and lift stations

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$78,800.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$14,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/1/2013		

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **1**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Vinton

PIF #: 10007

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: New Collection System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a wastewater collection system for first time service to the Village. Collected wastewater will be treated at El Paso's Northwest WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		9/1/2014	
Design:		7/13/2014	\$2,621,924.00
Est. Construction:	1/2/2015	1/2/2016	\$18,305,593.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **76**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority El Campo

PIF #: 10009

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Reuse Planning & Design

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Conduct a planning study to identify the potential and alternatives for providing reclaimed water to potential customers; and design improvements to the WWTP to make Class I effluent reuse feasible. Among alternatives are: pumping effluent to the proposed LCRA Lane City above-ground reservoir; pumping effluent directly to farmers/irrigators; and pumping effluent to a land-spreading site to enhance aquifer recharge. When implemented the City could eliminate discharge to Tres Palacios Creek.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015		\$0.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$60,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Weinert

PIF #: 10010

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS:

Project Name: WWTP Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Add aerators to the WWTP ponds to control algae, and replace two lift station pumps.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$23,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$31,700.00
Est. Construction:	6/2/2014		\$161,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **20**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Bangs

PIF #: 10011

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Secondary Clarifier

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Add a secondary clarifier at the City's WWTP for system reliability.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:		9/1/2014	
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	\$800,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Colorado City

PIF #: 10012

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the City's integrated lagoon WWTP from 1.12 to 2.12 MGD by adding additional ponds and a center pivot irrigation system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		9/1/2014	
Design:			\$750,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	\$8,100,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		40

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Colorado City

PIF #: 10013

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Relief Interceptor

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Install 5.5 miles of sewer line to eliminate 5 of the City's 6 existing lift stations. This includes the City lift stations at the two prisons, which cost the city significantly in O&M charges. The proposed lines will also provide sewer service to areas where sewer service is currently unavailable on the east side of town. The City has been cited by TCEQ for not having backup power for its lift stations. Untreated sewage has discharged when lift stations have failed.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		9/1/2014	
Design:			\$300,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2015	7/1/2016	\$3,350,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,800,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		51

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority La Feria

PIF #: 10014

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS:

Project Name: Type 1 Reuse Program

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct Type I reuse facilities at the City's WWTP and a reclaimed water distribution system. The project will reduce nutrient discharge to the AN-49 watershed that affects the above tidal section of the Arroyo Colorado.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$224,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$293,165.00
Est. Construction:	9/30/2014	4/30/2014	\$1,134,650.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,651,815.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **55**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority La Feria

PIF #: 10015

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10014

Project Name: Constructed Wetlands & Biostreams

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Constructed wetlands and bio-streams to address nonpoint source pollution in the AN-47 and AN-49 watersheds that affect the above tidal section of the Arroyo Colorado.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$450,000.00
Acquisition:			\$320,000.00
Design:			\$501,661.00
Est. Construction:	6/30/2014	3/30/2015	\$4,001,550.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$5,273,211.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **60**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Canton

PIF #: 10016

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Outfall Lines (trunk sewers)

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Complete east and west outfall renewals and construct a new north outfall.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$119,000.00
Acquisition:		9/30/2014	
Design:		9/30/2014	\$303,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/30/2014	9/30/2016	\$3,053,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **27**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority West Tawakoni

PIF #: 10019

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace old and deteriorating collection lines and rehabilitate lift stations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/31/2013	\$110,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$1,732,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,942,500.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Buffalo Gap

PIF #: 10020

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: New Wastewater System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct a wastewater collection system to provide first time service for the Town and a transmission line to convey flow to the City of Abilene for treatment. Residents currently use septic tanks and drain fields. Many are on small lots. The ground becomes saturated during times of normal rainfall, and shallow groundwater has been contaminated. The Health Department has issued citations. The Town has planning, acquisition and design funding through TWDB project 72480.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		5/1/2013	
Design:		6/1/2013	
Est. Construction:	9/1/2013	8/30/2014	\$4,400,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		61

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Santa Anna

PIF #: 10021

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Pond Liner Reconstruction

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Remove and replace earthen pond liners. Existing liners are not adequately holding the contents of the WWTP ponds.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$254,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2015	2/1/2016	\$1,521,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Huntington

PIF #: 10022

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Renovation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Renovate and expand the City's WWTP. Construct new clarifiers and a chlorine contact chamber; and expand the aeration basin and blower size. The project will bring the WWTP into compliance with TCEQ standards and allow abandonment and diversion of flow from an industrial WWTP owned by Lufkin Industries.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		6/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:		6/1/2014	\$176,300.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$1,993,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		70

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Domino

PIF #: 10023

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10282

Project Name: New wastewater treatment plant

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a new wastewater treatment plant. A companion PIF would install a collection system for first time service to the City.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$142,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$142,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	11/1/2015	\$1,417,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **12**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Cushing

PIF #: 10025

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Remove sludge from ponds and install aerators. The WWTP has been in consistent violation of TCEQ discharge limits.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$64,695.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$90,269.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$799,239.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **51**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Jefferson

PIF #: 10027

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS:

Project Name: Rehabilitate & Extend Sewers

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Provide first time service to an area in the northeast quadrant and along South Polk south of the City. Also rehabilitate sewer lines in the downtown area.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		3/1/2014	\$20,000.00
Design:			\$245,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015	10/1/2015	\$2,553,625.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		56

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Gladewater

PIF #: 10028

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Upgrades

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Repair or replace failing treatment units, and install new sludge management equipment and SCADA.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$136,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$201,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015	10/1/2015	\$2,066,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$504,630.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Campbell

PIF #: 10030

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Upgrades

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace undersized lines, extend new lines to residences that do not have service, replace the collapsed railroad bore, and install a generator and automatic transfer switch at the Birch Street lift station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$53,027.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$42,139.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$328,417.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **12**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Campbell

PIF #: 10031

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Upgrades

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Place a concrete lining in the flow equalization basin, replace gate valves at the chlorine contact chamber, install a return activated sludge flow sensor, improve the head works and add a grit removal system, and install an emergency generator and automatic transfer switch at the plant lift station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$53,300.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$43,600.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$345,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		1

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Alba

PIF #: 10035

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Lagoon Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Remove accumulated sludge and renovate two lagoons at the City's WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$59,064.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$62,702.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2015	10/31/2015	\$578,851.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **31**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Pecos City

PIF #: 10037

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace old and deteriorating components of the City's collection system. The project will include 43,000 feet of 8 to 12-inch diameter pipe, 125 manholes, and two lift stations. The Town has already purchased many of the components.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		9/2/2013	
Design:		6/3/2013	\$28,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/2/2013	12/25/2014	\$2,847,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,875,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		35

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Gustine

PIF #: 10041

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Modify the aeration basins and clarifiers at the City's WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		3/1/2014	\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/31/2014	12/31/2014	\$351,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$450,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		80

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Orangefield WSC

PIF #: 10044

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: New Collection Systems

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Install collection systems in four subdivisions with 900 connections using vacuum pump technology. The systems will replace on-site septic tanks. The project will eliminate health hazards and improve water quality in Cow Bayou.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs	Green Project:	No
Planning:			\$30,000.00	Green Amount:	\$0.00
Acquisition:		9/1/2014	\$0.00		
Design:			\$5,429,240.00		
Est. Construction:	9/1/2014	9/1/2015	\$11,416,210.00		

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **103**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Anson

PIF #: 10047

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: West Side Collection & USDA Refinance

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: Install 6 and 8-inch gravity lines and manholes to eliminate two antiquated, unreliable lift stations on the City's west side. The City also wants to refinance a \$3.2 million USDA loan that was used for the Anson WWTP and collection lines in 2009-2010.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$10,000.00
Acquisition:		10/1/2014	\$3,233,026.00
Design:		12/1/2014	\$186,974.00
Est. Construction:	2/1/2015	7/1/2015	\$470,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$666,974.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Comanche

PIF #: 10048

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace two clarifiers, install new drying beds, and reconstruct a sludge drying box at the City's WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$56,000.00
Est. Construction:	4/30/2014	12/31/2014	\$946,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		70

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Comanche

PIF #: 10051

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace lines throughout the City to reduce infiltration and inflow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/31/2013	\$22,400.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	12/31/2014	\$299,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$372,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		70

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brady

PIF #: 10053

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace sewer lines to correct infiltration/inflow problems.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$75,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/30/2013	\$21,600.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	6/30/2014	\$320,400.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **20**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Strawn

PIF #: 10055

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace old and deteriorating collection lines to reduce infiltration and inflow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$55,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/31/2013	\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	11/30/2014	\$326,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$405,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Dell City

PIF #: 10059

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Land Application System

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Increase irrigation capability at the City's WWTP from 1 to 74.6 acres.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$95,000.00
Acquisition:		2/1/2014	\$28,750.00
Design:			\$74,163.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	9/1/2015	\$489,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		55

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Dell City

PIF #: 10060

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Force Main

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: The City needs to replace two lift stations and install 6,000 feet of 8-inch force main. They anticipate using TDA grant funds for the lift stations, and using CWSRF funds for the force main.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		2/1/2014	\$18,750.00
Design:			\$50,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	9/1/2015	\$475,200.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **11**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Hutto

PIF #: 10067

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10274

Project Name: Lower Brushy Creek WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a new 2 MGD wastewater treatment plant. The existing WWTP is expected to reach full capacity in 2015.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$65,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		3/1/2014	\$645,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$13,768,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **20**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Graford

PIF #: 10069

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Reconstruction

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Clean and reconstruct the facultative lagoon and stabilization ponds at the City's WWTP and install aeration equipment.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		3/31/2014	\$20,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	11/30/2014	\$295,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$375,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **40**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Lone Oak

PIF #: 10070

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace three pumps in an existing lift station.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/31/2013	\$52,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	12/1/2014	\$398,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$500,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Moran

PIF #: 10071

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sewer Line Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace sewer lines that contribute to infiltration and inflow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/31/2013	\$24,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	11/30/2014	\$291,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$365,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Willow Park

PIF #: 10073

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS:

Project Name: Collection Line Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace old and deteriorated collection lines and manholes to reduce infiltration and inflow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		10/31/2013	\$28,800.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	11/30/2014	\$477,200.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$596,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **25**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Electra

PIF #: 10074

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10075

Project Name: Irrigation System

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Acquisition & Design

Project Description: Construct a holding pond and a center pivot irrigation system to convert the City's WWTP to no-discharge. The City has recorded discharge permit violations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		9/30/2014	\$250,000.00
Design:			\$230,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	\$1,250,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **55**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Electra

PIF #: 10075

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Relief Interceptor & Service Extension

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Install 4.5 miles of sewer line in order to eliminate 10 lift stations. The City has been cited for the lack of alternate power at the lift stations, and has recorded spills. Also install one new lift station, and pressure and gravity sewers to provide service to 20 households that have water service, but use septic tanks and drain fields that occasionally fail.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:		9/30/2014	
Design:			\$375,442.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2015	6/30/2016	\$3,764,558.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$4,165,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **21**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Greater Texoma UA

PIF #: 10077

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Van Alstyne Wastewater Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitate the City of Van Alstyne's WWTP. Facilities will include a detention tank, grit separator, pump and piping.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$32,905.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$26,444.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	12/1/2014	\$274,475.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **20**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Greater Texoma UA

PIF #: 10078

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Van Alstyne Wastewater Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Replace deteriorated sewer lines throughout the City.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$103,510.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$87,884.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	9/1/2014	\$1,173,359.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		20

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Pearland

PIF #: 10081

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Far Northwest WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the City's Far Northwest WWTP from 2 to 4 MGD. The plant has reached 75% of capacity. The expanded plant will serve a rapidly expanding area of the City.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$700,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$2,300,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/1/2014	6/1/2016	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 4a	50
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	Yes	5
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		70

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Early

PIF #: 10088

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: New Lagoon WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: Construct a new lagoon and pond treatment plant with an irrigation system. The City's flow is currently treated by the City of Brownwood. The system would reduce Early's operation cost and provide beneficial reuse.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$30,000.00
Acquisition:		9/30/2014	\$1,500,000.00
Design:			\$1,025,567.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2015	8/31/2016	\$7,694,433.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Acton MUD

PIF #: 10089

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10094

Project Name: Collection System Expansion

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct collection systems to serve several subdivisions near Lake Granbury currently served by old, dilapidated, leaking septic tanks. The areas have been identified as hot spots where high coliform readings are regularly recorded. Three neighborhoods are at lake level and will require grinder pumps and small diameter low pressure sewer. The remainder will be served by gravity lines. Two lift stations are planned.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$270,300.00
Acquisition:		1/1/2015	
Design:		12/1/2014	\$519,800.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2015	4/1/2016	\$6,960,900.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **33**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Winters

PIF #: 10093

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WW System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace old clay pipes in various areas of the City and where outfall lines converge on the main lift station. Make improvements at the lift station, including mechanical screening equipment to prevent damage to pumps.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$171,500.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		6/1/2014	\$90,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$1,791,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Acton MUD

PIF #: 10094

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10089

Project Name: De Cordova Bend WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the District's De Cordova Bend WWTP (#1) from 0.6 to 0.93 MGD. The District intends to expand its collection system to serve several subdivisions near Lake Granbury currently served by old, dilapidated, leaking septic tanks. Expansion will essentially be an additional treatment train and will include a new aeration basin and clarifier, and upgrades to various units

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$121,300.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$113,900.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2015	4/1/2016	\$1,540,600.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$169,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **48**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Cisco

PIF #: 10097

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS:

Project Name: Lift Stations & Reuse System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace the City's main lift station and add a screening system. The lift station was originally constructed in 1985 and has reached the end of its useful life. As well the City's WWTP no longer has a functioning screening system. Also replace a dilapidated lift station at East 24th and Humble.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$129,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		9/1/2014	\$66,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	3/31/2016	\$1,077,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		70

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Cisco

PIF #: 10098

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Lift Stations & Reuse System

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Add polishing processes to the City's WWTP (granulated activated carbon and ultraviolet disinfection) to enhance effluent quality, and permit and construct a new outfall west of the WWTP at Bernie Lake, a tributary of Lake Cisco, the City's primary water source. Due to the ongoing drought the City is concerned with the long term viability of Lake Cisco.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$350,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		9/1/2014	\$128,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2015	3/31/2016	\$2,474,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		28

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority La Joya

PIF #: 10100

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: ,10103

Project Name: New Sewer Connections

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Install 60 new 4-inch short and 53 4-inch long sewer service connections.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$51,876.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	3/1/2014	\$456,208.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **45**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority La Joya

PIF #: 10101

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Havana Collection System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Install 8 and 10-inch gravity sewer lines, 1 lift station, and 6-inch force main to provide first time service to the Havana area west of the City. Residents presently use septic tanks with drain fields or cesspools for wastewater disposal that generally do not meet TCEQ on-site design requirements. The area receives water from the Agua SUD.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$80,000.00
Acquisition:		1/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:		1/1/2014	\$487,813.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$3,121,532.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		51

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority La Joya

PIF #: 10103

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10100

Project Name: Sewer Line Upgrade

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Install 1,360 feet of 12 and 8.,820 feet of 15-inch sewer line with manholes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$40,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$304,418.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014		\$4,905,387.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$2,941,277.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5c	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		40

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Del Rio

PIF #: 10105

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System Reconstruction II

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Funding would allow the City to begin the second phase of a multi-phased, multi-year program to reconstruct aging and undersized components in the City's collection system. The first phase has a CWSRF commitment through project 73639. Work will be done in conjunction with projects to restore the City's water distribution system (6150 & 62590).

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$200,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$300,000.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2015		

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Ballinger

PIF #: 10106

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the City's WWTP and effluent recycling land application system. The City is currently adding an RO treatment component to its WTP to comply with SDWS standards. Additional wastewater will be generated, potentially exceeding the capacity of the City's existing WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$181,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		9/1/2014	\$81,000.00
Est. Construction:	12/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$1,710,500.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,973,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **43**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Upper Leon River MWD

PIF #: 10107

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sludge Holding & Dewatering

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct an onsite sludge holding tank and dewatering system, and develop an industrial pretreatment program to remove molybdenum and other heavy metals from WWTP sludge. The sludge has excessive levels of molybdenum, likely from a nearby hospital, which prevents the District from applying it at the existing land application site, and resulting in substantially higher operating costs.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$146,625.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		6/1/2014	\$57,500.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$642,875.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		15

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Olney

PIF #: 10109

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Lake Olney Reuse

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a pump station and pipeline to transport effluent and augment supplies in Lake Olney

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$100,000.00
Acquisition:		5/1/2014	\$0.00
Design:			\$212,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	1/30/2015	\$2,208,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,705,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **25**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Marble Falls

PIF #: 10110

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Wastewater Reuse System

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct purple pipe from the City's WWTP to two city parks and ten city sports fields. The City recently upgraded their wastewater plant to produce Type I effluent. The system will serve to extend the capacity of the City's water plant, and help alleviate drought issues on the Highland Lakes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	10/1/2013	3/31/2014	\$1,035,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **17**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Harris Co MUD # 148

PIF #: 10111

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Lift Station Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace lift stations with updated controls and electrical systems, and add generators. Existing facilities are over 30 years old.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$207,700.00
Acquisition:		11/30/2013	\$55,700.00
Design:			\$125,600.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2014	1/6/2015	\$1,852,600.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **41**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Pharr

PIF #: 10115

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: South Collection System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Installation of gravity sewers and elimination of 3-5 lift stations

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$220,000.00
Acquisition:		3/31/2014	
Design:		9/30/2013	
Est. Construction:	5/15/2014	8/15/2015	\$12,981,640.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		51

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10120

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: North Colonias WW Service

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Extend first time wastewater service to unsewered areas northeast of the City. Areas include five subdivisions: Las Flores, Palacios, Central Estates, Praxedis Saldivar, and Las Palmas; and an unserved part of Old Port Isabel Road. Residents use private means of disposal that are not very effective in unsuitable soils, on small lots, with a high water table, and occasional flooding.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		9/30/2013	
Design:		5/31/2013	
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	6/30/2015	\$3,261,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		60

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10125

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: South WWTP Odor Control

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct improvements at the head works and sludge dewatering facilities to address high levels of hydrogen sulfide gas. At the head works add channel covers, a containment building, and field-constructed enclosed-vessel biofilters, fans, and ductwork. At the sludge dewatering facilities add a containment building to house the belt filter press, and field-constructed enclosed-vessel biofilters, fans, and ductwork.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$36,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$363,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$3,923,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$2,555,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10126

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: North Brownsville Water Reuse

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Deliver 5 to 6 million gallons per day of reclaimed water from the Robindale WWTP to industries north of Brownsville.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$450,000.00
Acquisition:		1/1/2014	
Design:			\$1,772,045.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2015	2/1/2017	\$18,167,435.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$2,222,045.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **25**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10127

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Northwest Lift Stations

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct four new lift stations and associated force mains across the north side of the PUB service area, upgrade an existing force main, and construct a new force main from existing Lift Station 111. Existing mains are overloaded and cannot accommodate additional flow.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$150,000.00
Acquisition:		9/30/2015	
Design:			\$4,760,120.00
Est. Construction:	5/31/2015	12/31/2016	\$27,079,500.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **20**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10128

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: South Colonias WW Service

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Extend first time wastewater service to five subdivisions southeast of the City and south of the Brownsville International Airport. Subdivisions include Dockberry Estates, Colonia 21, Colonia Coronado, Paloma Blanca, and Milpa Verde. Residents use septic tank or other private disposal systems that present health hazards due to poor soil types, small lot sizes, high water table, and flooding.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		9/30/2013	
Design:		5/31/2013	
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	6/30/2014	\$2,468,916.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10129

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: SSO Projects - Construction

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace 3,178 of vitrified clay pipe with PVC pipe and rehabilitate 13 lift stations. The PUB completed design of this project through TWDB project 72495. It was omitted from the construction phase due to budget constraints.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	6/1/2016	\$7,869,999.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$650,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **25**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10132

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Divert Brownsville ND WWTPs

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Abandon the Brownsville Navigation District's North Side and Turning Basin WWTPs and divert flow to the Brownsville collection system. The project will required lift stations at both WWTP sites, 4,800 feet of 10-inch diameter force main, 52,000 feet of 20-inch force main, and upgrades to the collection system along FM 802 including to 13 lift stations. The WWTPs will be demolished.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$3,215,280.00
Acquisition:		9/30/2015	
Design:			\$2,307,981.00
Est. Construction:	5/31/2015	12/31/2016	\$20,178,356.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$700,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		20

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10133

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Odor Control Major Lift Stations

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Add field-constructed enclosed-vessel biofilters, fans, and duct work to limit corrosion and provide odor control at 11 lift stations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$33,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$332,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	2/1/2016	\$3,754,000.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$4,119,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Rio Hondo

PIF #: 10135

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Collection system repair and replacement, polishing wetlands and a reuse line.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$222,298.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$282,096.00
Est. Construction:	7/15/2014	12/15/2014	\$3,573,241.75

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		60

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Marshall

PIF #: 10136

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Bio Tower Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Rehabilitate digester # 3 and two bio filter towers at the City's WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$123,600.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$360,250.00
Est. Construction:	5/15/2015	10/31/2015	\$3,189,850.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **31**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Marshall

PIF #: 10137

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: East End Lift Station Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Rehabilitate the East End Lift Station. The aging lift station is inefficient and has no reliable source of emergency power.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$80,400.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$162,250.00
Est. Construction:	5/15/2015	10/31/2015	\$1,436,650.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$375,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5a	30
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **31**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Kerrville

PIF #: 10138

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Wastewater Reuse Reservoir

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a 13.5 million gallon pond at the WWTP site to store treated effluent for reuse purposes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$90,818.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$243,272.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$2,914,192.00

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: \$3,248,282.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority McAllen

PIF #: 10140

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS:

Project Name: South WWTP Upgrade

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Upgrade the City's South WWTP. Construct a new conventional activated sludge treatment facility to replace the eastern treatment train. Replace the existing anaerobic digester with new, deeper digesters. Replace the plant's aeration system and head works components. The eastern treatment plant was constructed in the late 1960s and features earthen aeration basins with surface aerators.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		12/12/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	11/30/2016	\$48,735,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$24,904,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		32

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Arlington

PIF #: 10147

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Kee Branch & Lynn Creek Sewer Lines

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace of 13,100 feet of 15 to 24-inch diameter pipe in two segments to reduce infiltration and inflow. The segments are listed in the City's CIP as projects 11-25 and 21-33. The City's flow is treated at the Trinity River Authority's Central WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		4/1/2014	
Design:		4/1/2014	
Est. Construction:	5/15/2014	5/15/2015	\$3,957,600.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,957,600.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		90

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brownsville

PIF #: 10149

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: SSO Projects - PADC

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Replace 61,240 LF of vitrified clay pipe with PVC pipe, rehabilitate 26 lift stations, and decommission 8 lift stations. This project is essentially the second phase of TWDB ARRA project 72495, to continue needed improvements to the City's deteriorating collection system.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$300,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		12/31/2014	\$3,393,900.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2015	6/1/2017	\$36,785,109.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,335,807.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **55**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brady

PIF #: 10236

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9168

Project Name: Brady Lake & City WWTPs

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct a wastewater collection system and WWTP to provide first time service to residences around Lake Brady, whose septic tanks threaten the City's water supply. Also replace the City's WWTP with a new 1.5 MGD WWTP capable of advanced treatment, and c

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	3/1/2011	5/1/2011	\$952,000.00
Acquisition:			\$5,000.00
Design:	7/1/2011	12/1/2011	\$2,380,228.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2012	4/1/2014	\$17,271,272.00

Green Project: Yes-Comb.

Green Amount: \$5,780,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **65**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Brady

PIF #: 10237

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9170

Project Name: Brady Lake Collection & Reuse

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: The Brady Lake collection system and reuse transmission facilities portion of the project identified by (2012 IUP) 9168 and 9169.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	3/1/2011	5/1/2011	\$225,000.00
Acquisition:			\$5,000.00
Design:	7/1/2011	12/1/2011	\$1,075,000.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2012	4/1/2012	\$7,500,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$3,000,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **65**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Castroville

PIF #: 10238

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9269

Project Name: East Side Lift Station & Force Main

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Extend wastewater collection to the eastern part of the City. A new lift station, collection main and force main.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	2/1/2012	4/1/2012	\$60,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:	4/1/2012	8/1/2012	\$192,500.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2012	9/1/2013	\$1,700,000.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **11**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Ingram

PIF #: 10239

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Eastside & Central Interceptors

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct the Eastside and Central Interceptor Sewer Projects, four gravity interceptor lines that will provide service to nonresidential connections along SH27 and enable expansions to two areas that currently rely on septic systems: Ingram Oaks and Gree

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$20,134.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		6/30/2012	\$94,723.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2012	12/31/2014	\$1,114,577.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0
Rating 91	

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Kerr County

PIF #: 10240

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Center Point Wastewater System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: A new wastewater collection system to provide first time service for the Center Point community and a corridor along SH 27. Collected wastewater will be transferred to Kendall Co WCID # 1 (Comfort) for treatment.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	1/1/2009	8/1/2011	\$0.00
Acquisition:	10/1/2011	8/1/2012	\$191,501.00
Design:	10/1/2011	6/1/2012	\$3,867,545.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2012	8/1/2013	\$19,400,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5b	30
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		93

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Kyle

PIF #: 10241

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the City's wastewater treatment plant from 3 to 4.5 MGD by adding a third 1.5 MGD treatment train.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$625,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$625,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$3,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority McAllen

PIF #: 10242

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9440

Project Name: Sprague Sewer

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: A 24 to 48-inch trunk sewer that will convey wastewater from unsewered portions of McAllen and Agua SUD CCNs to the City's North WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:		5/1/2012	\$400,000.00
Acquisition:		3/1/2013	\$3,800,000.00
Design:		2/1/2013	\$1,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2013	7/1/2014	\$17,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **57**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Orange Co WCID # 2

PIF #: 10243

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sabine River Outfall

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct a 3.5 MGD lift station and 5,000 feet of force main to allow the District's WWTP to discharge directly to the Sabine River. The current discharge is to Adams Bayou, a tributary of the Sabine River. Also construct a new chlorine contact chamber a

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	3/1/2012	12/1/2012	\$219,000.00
Acquisition:	3/1/2012	6/1/2012	\$0.00
Design:	12/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$168,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2013	9/1/2014	\$2,204,424.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **11**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Ranger

PIF #: 10244

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9126

Project Name: Facultative Lagoon WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace the City's mechanical WWTP at a new site with a new facultative lagoon, stabilization pond and irrigation holding pond. A holding tank & pump station would be constructed at the existing WWTP and a 12" forcemain would deliver wastewater to the ne

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:		6/30/2012	\$20,000.00
Acquisition:		6/30/2012	\$350,000.00
Design:		6/30/2012	\$470,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2012	6/30/2013	\$3,480,078.55

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$4,320,078.55

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **75**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 10245

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: SSO Reduction & Western Relief Line

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: SAWS has identified 87 miles of sewer line that have experienced sanitary sewer overflows and need rehabilitation. They have completed design on 51 miles. The SSO reduction project will continue identifying and rehabilitating lines.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:		10/31/2013	\$0.00
Design:		11/30/2013	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2013	12/31/2013	\$43,682,751.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0
Rating	20

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 10246

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9879

Project Name: SSO Rehabilitation Project

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: SAWS has identified 87 miles of sewer line that have experienced sanitary sewer overflows and need rehabilitation. They have completed design on 51 miles. The SSO rehabilitation project will rehabilitate 34 of the 51 miles with completed design.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	2/15/2013	6/30/2013	\$23,967,700.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0
Rating	
20	

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 10247

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Dos Rios WRC - Digesters

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Design improvements for four of the WRP's eight anaerobic digesters.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	4/1/2012	9/30/2012	
Acquisition:			
Design:	10/1/2012	7/31/2013	\$1,281,175.00
Est. Construction:	10/1/2013	12/31/2014	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0
Rating	5

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 10249

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Dos Rios WRC - Dewatering

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Design improvements to dewatering facilities at the Dos Rios WRC. SAWS centralizes solids handling for all facilities at Dos Rios.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	1/12/2011	10/12/2011	
Acquisition:			
Design:	2/1/2012	2/1/2013	\$1,552,275.00
Est. Construction:	6/3/2013	6/3/2014	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating **5**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority West Tawakoni

PIF #: 10250

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10019

Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitate and upgrade the City's WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	8/1/2011	12/1/2011	\$90,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:	1/1/2012	5/1/2012	\$140,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2012	2/1/2012	\$2,792,500.00

Green Project:

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0
Rating	60

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Falfurrias

PIF #: 10251

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Lift Station Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitate 7 of the City's 11 lift stations including the Ranchito, Swimming Pool, Magnolia, Whistler, Bradely, Warehouse and Nate lift stations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	6/1/2011	9/1/2011	\$72,854.32
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:	9/1/2011	3/1/2012	\$58,150.24
Est. Construction:	3/1/2012	12/1/2012	\$1,051,601.25

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **11**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Falfurrias

PIF #: 10252

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sewer Line Replacement

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Replace 9,250 feet of vitrified clay sewer line with 8-inch PVC. Replace 13,300 feet of force main with 2,500 feet of 6-inch and 10,800 feet of 12-inch PVC force main.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:	6/1/2011	9/1/2011	\$95,119.75
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:	9/1/2011	3/1/2012	\$74,734.47
Est. Construction:	3/1/2012	9/1/2012	\$1,351,722.65

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10

Rating **11**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Antonio Water System

PIF #: 10270

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Dos Rios WRC - Primary Settling

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct four primary settling tanks, a new primary sludge and scum pump station, and distribution boxes. Upgrade existing primary settling tanks. The project is the second phase of a project to expand the Dos Rios WRC from 125 to 237 MGD.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:		7/31/2013	\$3,797,109.00
Est. Construction:	2/1/2014	7/31/2015	

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	0

Rating

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Edgewood

PIF #: 10273

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Treatment System Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: _____

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$36,800.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$18,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2014	6/1/2015	\$112,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Hutto

PIF #: 10274

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10067

Project Name: Brushy Creek Interceptor

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description:

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$45,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		5/1/2014	\$335,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$5,842,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **20**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Rio Hondo

PIF #: 10276

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Wastewater System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: _____

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$131,140.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$97,280.00
Est. Construction:	7/15/2014	12/15/2015	\$1,082,282.50

Green Project: Unknown

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		75

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Domino

PIF #: 10282

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10023

Project Name: New Collection System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: New service to unserved area

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$128,000.00
Acquisition:		6/1/2014	
Design:			\$127,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2013	11/1/2015	\$1,193,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **12**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Aqua WSC

PIF #: 10308

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Stony Point WWCS

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: First time sewer collection system for the remaining portions of the Stony Point Subdivision in western Bastrop County.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		7/1/2012	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2012	2/1/2013	\$1,462,043.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 319 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		45

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Ingram

PIF #: 10309

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9636

Project Name: Eastside & Central Interceptors

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct the Eastside and Central Interceptor Sewer Projects, four gravity interceptor lines that will provide service to nonresidential connections along SH27 and enable expansions to two areas that currently rely on septic systems: Ingram Oaks and Greenwood Forest.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$20,134.00
Acquisition:			
Design:		6/30/2012	\$94,723.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2012	12/31/2014	\$1,114,577.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount:

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	Priority 4a	50
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		91

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority McAllen

PIF #: 10310

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: South WWTP Upgrade

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Design

Project Description: Funds are requested for parts of a larger project for the City's South WWTP. Construct a new conventional activated sludge treatment facility to replace the eastern treatment train. Replace the existing anaerobic digester with new, deeper digesters. Replace the plant's aeration system and headworks components. The eastern treatment plant was constructed in the late 1960s and features earthen aeration basins with surface aerators.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:		12/1/2013	\$5,136,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	4/1/2016	\$34,237,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$20,597,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	Non-Priority 5b	20
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		22

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Wimberley

PIF #: 10311

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9755,9756

Project Name: Downtown Wastewater System

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct a wastewater treatment system to serve the downtown area and the Wimberley Nursing Home. Decommission an existing package WWTP and construct a new extended aeration activated sludge WWTP. Wastewater service is currently via septic systems which are deteriorating, limiting businesses from offering restroom service and potentially impacting groundwater quality and water quality in Cypress Creek.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:		3/1/2013	
Est. Construction:	5/1/2013	5/1/2014	\$4,456,800.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$4,840,457.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	Expansion of no-discharge plant	15
Sec. 212 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **72**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Wimberley

PIF #: 10312

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9754,9756

Project Name: Downtown Wastewater System

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct a new wastewater collection system to serve the downtown area and the Deer Creek of Wimberley Nursing Home. The system will consist of gravity sewers, force mains, 3 new lift stations, and modifications to the lift station currently serving the nursing home.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:		12/1/2012	
Design:		3/1/2013	
Est. Construction:	5/1/2013	5/1/2014	\$2,527,440.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$3,208,005.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		42

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Wimberley

PIF #: 10313

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9754,9755

Project Name: Downtown Wastewater System

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct a subsurface irrigation system to serve the Blue Hole Regional Park.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:		3/1/2013	
Est. Construction:	5/1/2013	5/1/2014	\$480,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$635,544.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		46

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Buda

PIF #: 10314

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 9829

Project Name: Hillside Terrace Collection System

Project Type Nonpoint Source

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: The proposed project includes the planning, acquisition, design, and construction of a centralized sewage construction system to connect all of the existing residential septic systems in the Hillside Terrace subdivision. The proposed collection system will discharge into a proposed lift station and will be pumped through a proposed force main that will discharge into the City of Buda's existing collection system. The sewage will then be conveyed to the City's existing treatment plant for processing. The subdivision is outside the City's water service area and is considered to be a nonpoint source contributor of pollution to the impaired water body of Plum Creek.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			
Acquisition:			
Design:			
Est. Construction:	1/10/2014	1/10/2015	\$4,380,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	Yes	30
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	Yes	20
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 319 - Does the project impact a water body by directly or indirectly mitigating a problem identified in the latest approved State of Texas 303(d) List?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		72

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority West

PIF #: 10346

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10345

Project Name: Emergency Lines & WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Replace 1,600 feet of trunk line leading to the WWTP

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$48,100.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$27,500.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$347,600.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		41

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority West

PIF #: 10347

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10344

Project Name: Emergency Lines & WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: Replace approximately 4,800 feet of sewer in the most heavily damaged area of West. If not already in place, the project will institute an asset management plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$113,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$46,750.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$815,500.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		41

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority West

PIF #: 10348

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10343

Project Name: Emergency Lines & WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: P A D & Construction

Project Description: Replace approx. 25,000 feet of sanitary sewer, manholes, etc.; replace two lift stations and force mains to address I/I issues

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$329,950.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$175,000.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$2,776,370.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		41

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority West

PIF #: 10349

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10340

Project Name: Emergency Lines & WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construction new headworks.; 0.45 mgd expansion; new disinfection facilities at the City's wastewater treatment plant

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$217,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$227,500.00
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$3,415,000.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 90% and proj. improves problem	30
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		101

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Kennard

PIF #: 10359

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: WWTP Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Remove sludge and reshape lagoons to restore the WWTP's original treatment capacity.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		1/1/2014	\$40,000.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	10/1/2014	\$610,000.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		40

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Patton Village

PIF #: 10360

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Collection System & Lift Station

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Construct a wastewater collection system to provide first time service for the City. The system will include approximately 60,000 feet of gravity sewer, 7 lift stations, and 13,200 feet of force main. Flow will be treated at the City's Woodgate Mobile Home Village WWTF.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$160,500.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$508,720.00
Est. Construction:	5/1/2014	5/1/2015	\$7,138,780.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		61

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Victoria Co WCID # 1

PIF #: 10380

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10382

Project Name: WWTP Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct a 150,000 gal/day capacity expansion to existing WWTP.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$120,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	1/1/2015	1/1/2016	\$1,460,000.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Victoria Co WCID # 1

PIF #: 10381

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Backup Power for WWTP and Lift Stations

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Project will provide an electrical power generator and provide disconnect panels and generator tails for each of the lift stations.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$20,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$25,000.00
Est. Construction:	3/1/2014	7/1/2014	\$225,000.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **0**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Victoria Co WCID # 1

PIF #: 10382

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10380

Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The proposed project will perform an I/I study, replace or construct trenchless rehabilitation of deteriorated sewer mains, manholes, and mainline cleanouts to bring the collection system into compliance with TCEQ rules.

Funding is sought for planning, design and construction.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$200,000.00
Acquisition:			
Design:			\$120,000.00
Est. Construction:	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	\$1,100,000.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **15**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Farmersville

PIF #: 10384

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10385

Project Name: WWTP #3

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Design and construct a new wastewater treatment facility. The City's two existing WWTPs are old and in very poor condition. The facility may be constructed and owned by the North Texas MWD, and serve other customers on the east side of Lake Lavon.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$175,000.00
Acquisition:		7/1/2014	
Design:		7/31/2014	\$200,000.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2014	8/1/2015	\$5,829,527.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **81**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Farmersville

PIF #: 10385

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10384

Project Name: Interceptor Project

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Construct interceptors to deliver flow to a new regional WWTP on the east side of Lake Lavon.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$225,000.00
Acquisition:		7/1/2014	
Design:		7/31/2014	\$290,000.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2014	8/1/2015	\$6,645,200.00

Green Project: _____

Green Amount: _____

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	SSO Plan	20
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 75% and < 90% and proj. improves problem	20
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		61

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority San Marcos

PIF #: 10386

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Reuse System Expansion

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning & Design

Project Description: Expand the City's reclaimed water system to provide irrigation in City parks and to provide chill plant make-up water and irrigate athletic fields at Texas State University. The project will reduce withdrawals from the Edwards aquifer and the San Marcos River by replacing potable water used for the same purposes.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$288,176.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$2,840,955.00
Est. Construction:	11/1/2014	8/1/2017	\$18,939,697.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$22,068,800.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Under Development	5
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	Yes	2
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		33

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Wichita Falls

PIF #: 10930

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10510

Project Name: Permanent Reuse Project

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The project includes nutrient reduction improvements to the River Road WWTP and a new pump station and pipeline to discharge 16 MGD of treated effluent into Lake Arrowhead. The need for the project is to shore up and extend limited water supply for the City and the surrounding communities that purchase water from the City.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$300,000.00
Acquisition:		12/31/2014	\$500,000.00
Design:			\$4,000,000.00
Est. Construction:	4/1/2015	6/1/2017	\$29,000,000.00

Green Project: Yes-CE

Green Amount: \$33,800,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	Yes	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?

Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?

Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?

All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?

All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?

All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?

All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?

All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?

All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?

Yes

2

All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.

Rating **57**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Laredo

PIF #: 10940

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Sombreretillo Creek WWTP

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construct a new 2 MGD WWTP to serve the Sombreretillo Creek and Mines Rd. area. This project will help eliminate (in conjunction with other 2015 CW projects) the Zacate Creek WWTP which is in the 100 yr floodplain.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs	Green Project:	No
Planning:			\$0.00	Green Amount:	\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00		
Design:			\$0.00		
Est. Construction:	6/1/2014	12/31/2015	\$10,810,000.00		

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	% permitted capacity is ≥ 65% and < 75% and proj. improves problem	15
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?

Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?

Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?

All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?

All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?

All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?

All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?

All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?

All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?

Yes

2

All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.

Rating **42**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Johnson City

PIF #: 10941

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10942 (2014); 10943 (2015)

Project Name: New wastewater reuse system

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: New wastewater reuse system to provide irrigation water for the City's park & baseball field.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$50,000.00
Acquisition:		6/1/2015	\$0.00
Design:			\$60,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2015	12/31/2015	\$225,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$225,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	Yes	15
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
Rating		15

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Johnson City

PIF #: 10942

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10941 (2014); 10944 (2015)

Project Name: WWTP Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: WWTP improvements are needed to bring the plant into compliance with the most recent discharge permit requirements.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$25,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		7/31/2014	\$30,000.00
Est. Construction:	9/1/2014	11/30/2014	\$730,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?

Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?

Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?

Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?

All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
--	----	---

All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
---	----	---

All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
---	----	---

All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
--	----	---

All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
--	----	---

All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
--	----	---

All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0
--	----	---

Rating	0
---------------	----------

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Kirbyville

PIF #: 10951

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10501 (2015)

Project Name: Sanitary Sewer Improvements

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitation of both the collection system and the WWTP. Improvements to the WWTP will include expansion of hydraulic and solids handling capacities. The City is under an Agreed Order by TCEQ.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$396,380.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$292,500.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2015	12/1/2016	\$2,015,000.00

Green Project: Yes-BC

Green Amount: \$1,500,000.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	Orders	30
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		40

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Woodloch

PIF #: 10958

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: 10530 (2015)

Project Name: WWTP & Sanitary Sewer Line Replacement

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: The planning, design, and construction of a replacement WWTP and collection system for the Town of Woodloch.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$448,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$203,600.00
Est. Construction:	8/1/2015	7/31/2016	\$2,078,400.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?		
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?		
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?		
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?		
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)		
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?		
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?		
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?		
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).		
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?		

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?		
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?		
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?		
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?		
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?		
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?		
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		50

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Winnsboro

PIF #: 10959

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS:

Project Name: Wastewater Plant Rehabilitation

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Planning, design, and construction of improvements to the original 1980 WWTP including replacement of equipment, weirs, walkway and electrical for two clarifiers and associated valves, orbal unit drive hoist systems, flow meter, and electrical / wiring.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs	Green Project:	No
Planning:			\$69,170.00	Green Amount:	\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00		
Design:			\$79,515.00		
Est. Construction:			\$864,570.00		

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	No	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	No	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	No	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	No	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	No	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **10**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Los Fresnos

PIF #: 10961

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Wastewater Collection System Improvements

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Planning Design & Construction

Project Description: Rehabilitate the Lopez Lift Station and extend service to an unserved area.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$113,000.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$305,720.00
Est. Construction:	10/21/2014	4/1/2016	\$1,902,556.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	Yes	11
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	Accepted	10
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	No	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Non-Priority 5c	10
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	No	0
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	Yes	10
Rating		51

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Fort Worth

PIF #: 10963

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Peak Flow Mgmt Facilities at Village Creek WRF

Project Type POTW – Treatment

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construction financing of the Peak Flow Management Facilities at Fort Worth's Village Creek Water Reclamation Facility (VCWRF). The project consists of three storage basins; one 40MG concrete, one approx. 70MG earthen, and one approx. 230MG earthen for a total Peak Flow Storage Basin capacity of 340MG. The project also consists of approximately 7,000LF of 96-inch pipeline and modifications to the VCWRF as needed to optimize the transportation and treatment of the peak flow wastewater. the project will also include preparing an Asset Management Plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:		10/15/2015	\$0.00
Est. Construction:	10/15/2015	12/15/2016	\$30,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **41**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Authority Fort Worth

PIF #: 10964

Program: CWSRF

PPL Year: 2014

Related PIFS: _____

Project Name: Big Fossil Parallel Relief SS, Phase I & III

Project Type POTW – Collection

Project_Phase: Construction

Project Description: Construction of collection system project Phase I, approximately 5,200LF of 66-inch sanitary sewer collection lines which will be parallel to and interconnected hydraulically with the existing 54-inch M-402. The project also consists of Phase 3, approximately 2,800LF of 30-inch sanitary sewer with a wholesale meter station. The project location is within a Federal Flood Project site and therefore includes coordination with the US Army Corps of Engineers. The route also includes several private properties and a monitored closed landfill. Lastly, the project includes the preparation of an Asset Management Plan.

	Start Date	Comp. Date	Phase Costs
Planning:			\$0.00
Acquisition:			\$0.00
Design:			\$0.00
Est. Construction:	1/2/2015	1/2/2016	\$9,000,000.00

Green Project: No

Green Amount: \$0.00

Project Rating

Criteria	Answer	Score
Sec. 212 - Is the work required by a schedule that is imposed by court order, EPA administrative order, TCEQ enforcement order, or participation in SSO Initiative?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve extending service (centralized or alternative system) to populated areas of an existing developed community that are not served by a centralized collection system?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the project?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Will the project include innovative or alternative types of collection or treatment technology?	No	0
Sec. 212 - For stormwater projects that are required under an NPDES permit, will the proposed project treat or minimize urban stormwater pollution discharges using any of the following innovative approaches?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project involve more stringent permit limitations?	No	0
Sec. 212 - Does the project result in regionalization? (See specific criteria)	Yes	10
Sec. 212 - Does the proposed project involve a facility that requires expansion of its hydraulic capacity or removal of extraneous flow?	N/A	0
Sec. 212 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	Priority 5a	40
Sec. 319 - Has a public health official found that a nuisance dangerous to public health and safety exists resulting from water supply and sanitation problems in the area to be served by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does a threat exist to an aquifer or groundwater that may be impacted by the proposed project?	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Is a water body impacted by the proposed project listed in a Watershed Protection Plan that is under development or has been accepted by the TCEQ or TSSWCB?	N/A	0

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report

Sec. 319 - The proposed project impacts a water body that does not meet applicable water quality standards. (refer to water bodies listed as Category 4a, 5a, 5b, or 5c in the latest Watershed Action Planning Strategy Table).	N/A	0
Sec. 319 - Does the project include stream bank restoration or contain elements of Low Impact Development, such as vegetated filter strips, bio-retention, rain gardens, or porous pavement?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project restore, protect, and enhance coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve water quality?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project enhance public access?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project improve onshore infrastructure and environmental management?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project mitigate erosion and stabilize shorelines?	N/A	0
Sec. 320 - Does the project educate the public on the importance of coastal natural resources?	N/A	0
All Proj. - In the past 5 years, has an asset management plan been adopted by the entity's governing body?	No	0
All Proj. - Is the entity planning to prepare an asset management plan as part of the proposed project?	Yes	1
All Proj. - Has asset management training been administered to the entity's governing body and employees?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific targets, goals, or measures in a water conservation or drought contingency plan that has been adopted by the entity's governing body within the past 5 years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project address specific goals in a system-wide or plant-wide energy assessment, audit, or optimization study that has been conducted within the past three years?	No	0
All Proj. - Does the proposed project implement elements contained in a state or regional water plan, integrated water resource management plan, regional facility plan, regionalization or consolidation plan, or TMDL implementation plan?	No	0
All Proj. - Entity qualifies as a disadvantaged community.	No	0

Rating **51**

Texas Water Development Board
Clean Water State Revolving Fund Intended Use Plan
Project Information Form Rating Report