



State Water Implementation Fund for Texas
2023 Abridged Applications - Prioritization Point System Detail

		HIGHEST CONSIDERATION (50 point maximum)										ADDITIONAL CRITERIA (35 point maximum)														
		(2) Population (6-30 pts)		(3) Urban/Rural (10+ 4/per - 30 pts)		(4) Regionalization (5/per - 30 pts)		(5) % Needs Met (10-30 pts)		(7) Local Contribution (1-5 pts)		(8) HH Cost Factor (1-2 pts)		(9) Emergency Need (3-5 pts)		(10) Readiness to Proceed (3-8 pts)		(11-A) Municipal (2-10 pts)		(11-B) Water Loss Threshold (0-5 pts)		(11-C) Wholesale (2-10 pts)		(11-D) Ag Efficiency (1-15 pts)		
Entity Name	Project Name	Total Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score		
Upper Trinity Regional WD	Taylor Regional Water Treatment Plant Expansion	70	250k-499,999	12	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	≥ 20%	2	≤ 1%	2	Earlier decade	1	B&C	5	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
North Texas MWD	Bois d'Arc Lake Phase II	70	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Central Harris Co Regional WA	Central Harris County Regional Water Authority Transmission and Internal Distribution	69	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
North Harris Co Regional WA	NHCRWA Distribution Expansion	69	750k-999,999	24	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	≥ 50%	5	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	C only	2	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Upper Trinity Regional WD	RTWS Northeast Transmission Pipelines (Ph 1-6) and Loop	66	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + 5 rural	26	5 entities	25	≥ 100%	30	≥ 20%	2	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	C only	2	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Brazos River Authority	Allens Creek Reservoir	64	≥ 1M	30	NA	0	2 entities	10	≥ 50%	10	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	C only	2	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Palo Pinto Co MWD # 1	Turkey Peak Reservoir	64	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A&C	5	NA	0	Wholesale	0	14%-17.9%	8	NA	0
Brushy Creek Regional UA	Brushy Creek Regional Utility Authority Treatment and Distribution Project	64	750k-999,999	24	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	NA	0	Wholesale	0	6%-9.9%	4	NA	0
Red River Authority	Automated Meter Infrastructure (AMI)	49	< 10k	0	NA	0	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	Earlier decade	1	A, B, & C	8	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	< 1%	0
Onalaska WSC	Onalaska Water Conservation	22	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	≥ 20%	2	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	10%-13.9%	6	Yes	5	NA	0	NA	0
Onalaska WSC	Onalaska Water Loss Reduction	20	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	10%-13.9%	6	Yes	5	NA	0	NA	0

Notes:
 (1) Conservation is scored as either Municipal (11-A) or Wholesale (11-C).
 (2) A "Wholesale" result under the Water Loss Threshold criteria indicates that the applicant is a wholesale water provider, and therefore the requirement to conduct water loss audits is not applicable.
 (3) Tie scores are priority ranked according to the conservation and [then if necessary] emergency criteria, where applicable per TAC 363.1304(13).
 (3a) The Central Harris Co Regional WA: Central Harris County Regional Water Authority Transmission and Internal Distribution, and the North Harris Co Regional WA: NHCRWA Distribution Expansion projects received tie scores even after additional consideration of conservation and emergency criteria per TAC 363.1304(13).